

**NATIONAL ENERGY BOARD
OFFICE NATIONAL DE L'ÉNERGIE**



**Hearing Order GH-4-2001
Ordonnance d'audience GH-4-2001**

**GSX Canada Pipeline Project
Projet de pipeline de GSX Canada**

**Application to construct and operate
a new natural gas pipeline and related facilities /
Demande concernant la construction et l'exploitation
d'un nouveau pipeline destiné au transport du gaz naturel,
et les installations s'y rapportant**

**Hearing held at
L'audience tenue à**

**Mary Winspear Community Cultural Centre
2243 Beacon Avenue
Sidney, British Columbia/Sidney (Colombie-Britannique)**

**24 February 2003
24 février 2003**

Volume 1

**International Reporting Inc.
Ottawa, Ontario
Tel. 613-748-6043**

Canada

© Her Majesty the Queen in Right of Canada 2003
as represented by the National Energy Board

This publication is the recorded verbatim transcript
and, as such, is taped and transcribed in either of the
official languages, depending on the languages
spoken by the participant at the public hearing.

Printed in Canada

© Sa Majesté du Chef du Canada 2003
représentée par l'Office national de l'énergie

Cette publication est un compte rendu textuel des
délibérations et, en tant que tel, est enregistrée et
transcrite dans l'une ou l'autre des deux langues
officielles, compte tenu de la langue utilisée par le
participant à l'audience publique.

Imprimé au Canada

**HEARING ORDER/ORDONNANCE D'AUDIENCE
GH-4-2001**

IN THE MATTER OF the *National Energy Board Act*
("NEB Act"), being Chapter N-7 of the Revised Statutes
of Canada 1985, as amended, and the Regulations
made thereunder;

AND IN THE MATTER OF the *Canadian
Environmental Assessment Act* ("CEAA"),
being Chapter 37 of the Statutes of Canada 1992,
as amended, and the Regulations made thereunder;

AND IN THE MATTER OF an application by
Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Limited
pursuant to Part III of the NEB Act for a
Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity
and pursuant to Part IV of the NEB Act for an
Order respecting Tolls and Tariffs.

HEARING LOCATION/LIEU DE L'AUDIENCE

Hearing held at Sidney, British Columbia, on Monday, February 24, 2003

Audience tenue à Sidney (Colombie-Britannique) lundi le 24 février 2003

JOINT REVIEW PANEL/COMMISSION D'EXAMEN CONJOINT

E. Quarshie	Chairman/Présidente
R. Harrison	Member/Membre
B. Williams	Member/Membre

APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

Applicant/Demandeur:

Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Limited

- D.G. Davies
- Bernette Ho

Intervenors/Intervenants:

Alberta Department of Energy

Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association

- George Walker

Mr. Gordon L. Bell

Mr. Jim Bomford

Braithwaite Estates Improvement District

- J. Francis Hugo

Mr. Jolyon Briggs

Mr. Arthur Caldicott

Mr. James (Jim) Campbell

Centra Gas British Columbia Inc.

- David Bennett

Ms A. Marie Christofferson

Consumers Association of Canada *et al.*

Department of Justice (on behalf of Environment Canada, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Parks Canada and Natural Resources Canada)

- John Clark

Ms Joanna Dudley

Mr. Richard Dwyer

Mr. Anthony D. Fisher

GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition

- Williams Andrews
- Thomson Hackney

Gulf Crab Fishers Association

- William Douglass

Mr. David Haywood

Mr. Richard Hughes

Islands Trust Council

- David Essig

Ms Diana Knowles

Mr. Philip Marchant

Ms Màiri McLennan

Mr. Steve and Dodie Miller

Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of British Columbia
- Christopher Jones

APPEARANCES/COMPARUTIONS

Intervenors/Intervenants (continued):

Mirant Canada Energy Marketing, Ltd.

- Doug Anguish

Pender Islands GSX Coalition

- Sara Steil

Powerex Corp.

- Brian Moghadam

Saturna Community Club

- Ian Smyth

The Marine Coalition

- Susie Washington Smyth

Sencot'en Alliance

Mr. George G.P. Shephard

Mr. Don Skerik

Society Promoting Environmental Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation

- Tim Howard

Tseycum First Nation

University of British Columbia

Vancouver Island Advanced Technology Centre

- Colin Lennox

Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association

Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC

- Spiro Vassilopoulos

Ms Anne Irene Wright

National Energy Board

Ms Judith Hanebury

Ms Claire McKinnon

Ms Michelle Haug

TABLE OF CONTENTS/TABLE DES MATIÈRES

	Line No.
Opening Statement by the Chairman	Line 1, Page 1
List of pre-filed exhibits	Line 92, Page 3
Registration of Appearances	Line 3712, Page 90
Preliminary matters by Board Counsel	Line 5599, Page 142
Motions by Norske Skog Canada Limited	Line 5789, Page 148
Preliminary Motions	Line 5872, Page 150

EXHIBITS/PIÈCES JUSTIFICATIVES

NO.	DESCRIPTION
-----	-------------

National Energy Board/Office national de l'énergie

- | | |
|------|---|
| A-1 | Letter dated 2 October 2001 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL, requesting comments on the proposed schedule and Information Request No. 1 |
| A-2 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Lydia Hwitsum, Cowichan Tribes re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-3 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Vern Jacks, Tseycum First Nation, re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-4 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Mr. Andrew Bok, Tsawwassenn First Nation re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-5 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Earl Jack Sr., Penelakut First Nation re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-6 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Vincent Harry, Malahat First Nation re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-7 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Ms. Sharon Charles, Sencot'en Alliance re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-8 | Letter dated 17 October 2001 to Tom Hackney re: response to his 12 October 2001 email requesting information about public information sessions |
| A-9 | Public hearing procedure announced for Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Limited application (9 November 2001), (Directions on Procedure) / L'ONÉ fournit des instructions sur le déroulement de l'audience publique portant sur la demande de Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Limited (9 novembre 2001) (Instructions concernant la procédure) |
| A-10 | Letter dated 26 November 2001 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL, re: granting relief from including a map in the notice to be published |

- A-11 Letter dated 26 November 2001 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition re: response to their letter dated 24 October 2001
- A-12 Letter dated 26 November 2001 to Saturna Island Community Club re: response to their letter (undated) which addresses procedural and substantive issues related to the Project
- A-13 E-mail dated 27 November 2001 to Islands Trust re: Information on Intervention Procedures
- A-14 Letter dated 29 November 2001 to Gregory J. McDade, Ratcliff & Company re: response to letter dated 5 November 2001 addressing procedural and substantive issues related to the Project
- A-15 E-mail dated 30 November 2001 to Anthony Fisher re: Intervenor Procedures
- A-16 E-mail dated 5 December 2001 to Samuel Bowes re: Intervenor Funding Application
- A-17 Letter dated 17 December 2001 to Saturna Community Club re: Tour of Saturna Island
- A-18 Letter dated 17 December 2001 to Saturna Community Club et al, Island Trust and GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition re: proposed pre-hearing conference on the marine portion
- A-19 Letter dated 18 December 2001 to All Parties to Order GH-4-2001 with attached List of Parties / Lettre de la Commission à Toutes les parties à l'instance GH-4-2001, datée le 18 décembre 2001, avec la liste des parties
- A-20 Letter dated 18 December 2001 to Mr. Cunliffe Barnett, Mr. Ian Smyth, Mrs. S. Washington-Smyth, Mr. Arthur Caldicott, Mr. Philip Marchant, Mr. Don Skerik, Mr. Steve Miller and Mrs. Dodie Miller re: possible duplicate interventions
- A-21 E-mail dated 19 December 2001 to Steve Miller re: Correspondence of Concerns Directed to Panel
- A-22 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to FERC re: invitation to lead a break-out group at workshop
- A-23 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to GSX Concerned Citizens

Coalition (Mr. A. Caldicott) acknowledging receipt of e-mail dated 17 December 2001

- A-24 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to Saturna Community Club (Mr. C. Barnett) responding to 17 December 2001 letter
- A-25 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to Island Trust (Mr. D. Essig, Mr. W. Wright, and Mr. E. Round) responding to letters dated 5 and 13 December 2001
- A-26 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 to Anthony Fisher re: Response to Various Questions
- A-27 Letter dated 10 January 2002 to Parties named in distribution list with attached Information Request No. 2
- A-28 Letter dated 10 January 2002 to Mr. S. Miller and Mrs. D. Miller responding to 30 December 2001 letter.
- A-29 Letter dated 10 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL with attached Information Request No. 3
- A-30 Letter dated 11 January 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition (Mr. T. Hackney) responding to motion dated 9 January 2002
- A-31 Letter dated 22 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL, Saturna Community Club et al (Mrs. S. Washington-Smyth) and Islands Trust (Ms. L. Adams) re Filing of Certain Gas Supply Information and Pre-hearing Technical Conference
- A-32 Letter dated 25 January 2002 to Distribution List re: possible duplicate interventions
- A-33 Letter dated 31 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: formal process to determine whether or not proposed issues to be considered at the hearing
- A-34 Letter dated 31 January 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 - Procedural Update
- A-35 Letter dated 31 January 2002 to All Parties to Hearing Order GH-4-2001 with attached List of Issues
- A-36 Letter dated 1 February 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL with attached Information Request No. 4

- A-37 Letter dated 7 February 2002 to Mr. Timothy J. Howard
- A-38 Letter dated 11 February 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: placement of transcripts from Public Consultation Sessions
- A-39 Letter dated 22 February 2002 to Mr. Timothy Howard re: revised timelines for comments on emissions question set out by the Panel on 31 January 2002
- A-40 Letter dated 25 February 2002 to Ms. Dawn J. Kershaw, Cohen Highley LLP re: Amendments to List of Parties: Election of Authorized Representative
- A-41 E-mail dated 26 February 2002 to Duke Energy Trading re: Election of Authorized Representative to Receive Materials
- A-42 Letter dated 1 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: disclosure of Mr. Williams
- A-43 Letter dated 1 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: the late applications for intervenor status of Centra Gas British Columbia Inc. and Westcoast Energy Inc.
- A-44 Letter dated 1 March 2002 to Parties who have not elected an authorized representative re: election of authorized representatives
- A-45 E-mail dated 1 March 2002 to Gulf Crab Fishers Association re: Confirmation of Authorized Representative
- A-46 E-mail dated 4 March 2002 to Samuel Bowes re: Distribution of Documents
- A-47 E-mail dated 4 March 2002 to John Parker re: Distribution of Documents
- A-48 Letter dated 8 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: First Nations Consultation and the Board's Memorandum of Guidance on consultation with Aboriginal Peoples
- A-49 Letter dated 12 March 2002 to Environment Canada, Natural Resources Canada, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Parks Canada Agency re: involvement of federal government departments in the process

- A-50 Letter dated 12 March 2002 to Nova Scotia Department of Justice
re: response to letter regarding the participation of their client in
the proceeding
- A-51 Letter dated 12 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: pre-hearing technical conference on technical and
scientific issues relating to the marine portion of the project
- A-52 Letter dated 12 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: amended list of parties
- A-53 Letter dated 12 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Joint Review Panel Procedural Update Letter No. 2
- A-54 Letter dated 13 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: further to Panel letters of 31 January and 22
February 2002, intent to hear argument from parties who provide
submissions on the matter referred to in these letters
- A-55 Letter dated 13 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Decision: distribution of documents before the start
of the hearing effective 1 April 2002
- A-56 Letter dated 13 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
PL re: Information Request No. 5
- A-57 Letter dated 15 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
PL re: Information Request No. 6
- A-58 Letter dated 15 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: filing of certain gas supply information
- A-59 Letter dated 21 March 2002 to British Columbia Public Interest
Advocacy Centre re: appearing by telephone conference call for
oral argument
- A-60 Letter dated 22 March 2002 to all Parties re: amendment to
Amended List of Parties related to change of representative for
GSXCCC
- A-61 Letter dated 25 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
PL re: reply to Sencot'en Alliance submissions filed 18 March
2002

- A-62 Letter dated 25 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: pre-hearing conference on technical and scientific issues relating to the marine portion of the project.
- A-63 Letter dated 27 March 2002 to All Parties re: amendment to Amended List of Parties related to Mr. Skerik electing to receive all documents
- A-64 Letter dated 27 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 3, evidence and information requests on emissions from the combustion of natural gas
- A-65 Letter dated 2 April 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: request for comments on late intervention of VIATeC
- A-66 Letter dated 4 April 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: comments on suggestion to establish a workshop regarding First Nations' issues
- A-67 Letter dated 4 April 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Oral Argument on Motion
- A-68 Letter dated 4 April 2002 to Counsel for Consumers' Association of Canada BC Branch) et al re: their participation by telephone conference call for the oral argument in Sidney, BC on 9 & 10 April 2002
- A-69 Letter dated 5 April 2002 to All Parties re: amendment to Amended List of Parties related to a change in Mr. Caldicott's e-mail address
- A-70 Letter dated 5 April 2002 to Thomas Hackney, Director GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition re: distribution of documents during the oral hearing scheduled for 9 & 10 April 2002
- A-71 Letter dated 5 April 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Panel Information Request No. 7
- A-72 Letter dated 12 April 2002 to Mr. James Campbell re: response to his Letter dated 22 February 2002
- A-73 Letter dated 16 April 2002 to Mr. Caldicott re: Website enhancements
- A-74 Letter dated 16 April 2002 to All Parties to the GH-4-2001

Proceeding re: Georgia Strait Crossing Joint Review Panel
postpones commencement of public hearing

- A-75 Letter dated 22 April 2002 to Parties to the GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Pre-hearing Technical Conference on Marine Issues Postponed
- A-76 Letter dated 3 May 2002 to Mr. Michael P. Doherty, The British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre re: response to his letter dated 30 April 2002
- A-77 Letter dated 3 May 2002 to Mr. Arthur Caldicott, GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition re: responding to his letter and e-mail dated 20 April and 25 April 2002
- A-78 Letter dated 3 May 2002 to Mr. Thomas Hackney re: response to his letter dated 1 May 2002 and the Applicant's letter dated 2 May 2002
- A-79 Letter dated 7 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Amended List of Parties
- A-80 Letter dated 10 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Amended List of Parties
- A-81 Letter dated 13 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: late intervention of B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission
- A-82 Letter dated 15 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 4 - Email enhancement update
- A-83 Letter dated 24 May 2002 to Màiri McLennan and John Clark, Counsel, Dept. of Justice re: their letters filed outside the established deadline of 6 May 2002 in Panel letter dated 16 April 2002
- A-84 Letter dated 27 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: late intervention of the University of British Columbia and Vassilopoulos & Williamson LC
- A-85 Letter Decision dated 31 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Oral Argument on Motion. / Lettre de décision, datée 31 mai 2002 aux Parties à l'instance GH-4-2001 re: Plaidoirie orale concernant la requête
- A-86 Letter dated 31 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding

re: Revised Timetable

- A-87 Letter dated 31 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
re: Filing Requirements
- A-88 Letter dated 31 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
re: Information Request No. 9
- A-89 Letter dated 31 May 2002 to Ministry of Energy and Mines,
Province of British Columbia, re: Information Request No. 10
- A-90 Letter dated 4 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
re: Amended List of Parties
- A-91 Letter dated 4 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
re: Procedural Inquiries from Intervenors and Public
- A-92 Letter dated 4 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
re: Transcript Errata
- A-93 Letter dated 13 June 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
re: Extension Request Granted and Revised Timetable
- A-94 Letter dated 18 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
re: Preliminary Exhibit List
- A-95 Letter dated 25 June 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
re: Traditional Use Studies
- A-96 Letter dated 25 June 2002 to Parties on Distribution List re: Issue
added to List of Issues
- A-97 Letter dated 26 June 2002 to Mr. Gordon L. Bell re: Information
Request No. 1.6
- A-98 Letter dated 27 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
re: Unanswered Information Requests
- A-99 Letter dated 27 June 2002 to Saturna Community Club re:
Contracting Issues
- A-100 Letter dated 28 June 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
re: Information Request No. 11
- A-101 Letter dated 28 June 2002 to Saturna Island Community Club re:

Response to Letter dated 24 June 2002

- A-102 Letter dated 12 July 2002 to Natural Resources Canada re: Information Request No. 12
- A-103 Letter dated 12 July 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Motions on Unanswered Information Requests and Filing of Intervenor Evidence
- A-104 Letter dated 12 July 2002 to Sencot'en Alliance re: Information Requests and Notice of Motion
- A-105 Letter dated 17 July 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Information Request No. 13
- A-106 Letter dated 17 July 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Request for Review
- A-107 Letter dated 17 July 2002 to Marine Coalition re: Contracting Practices
- A-108 Letter dated 8 August 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Seeking Comments on Late Intervention of Snuneymuxw First Nation
- A-109 Letter dated 15 August 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Advising that the Snuneymuxw First Nation has been granted intervenor status
- A-110 Letter dated 15 August 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Requesting Comments on GSX PL's Notice of Motion requesting an order permitting that the Sencot'en Alliance Traditional Land Use and Occupancy Study be filed in confidence
- A-111 Letter dated 15 August 2002 to Snuneymuxw First Nation re: Approving the Request for Intervenor Status
- A-112 Letter dated 19 August 2002 to Department of Fisheries and Ocean re: Seeking Clarification to its 9 July 2002 Information Request
- A-113 Facsimile dated 12 September 2002 to Alberta Department of Energy (ADOE) re: Requesting Comments Regarding ADOE's Omission of Service of Reply Submission dated 29 August 2002

- A-114 Letter dated 13 September 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Deadline for Reply to Motions
- A-115 Letter dated 24 September 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Granting Motion regarding filing of the Traditional Land Use and Occupancy Study dated June 2002 and prepared by the Sencot'en Alliance
- A-116 Letter Decision dated 26 September 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Motions to compel answers to information requests./Lettre de décision daté 26 septembre 2002 à Toutes les parties de l'audience GH-4-2001 sujet: Motions obligeant des réponses aux demandes de renseignements
- A-117 Letter dated 26 September 2002 to Mr. Arthur Caldicott re: Panel Reply to E-mails dated 28 June 2002 and Letters dated 28 July 2002
- A-118 Letter dated 27 September 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Timing of the pre-hearing Marine Technical Conference
- A-119 Letter dated 1 October 2002 to Federal and Provincial Crown Intervenors in the Attached Distribution List re: Requesting an Update on the Progress of Crown Consultation with First Nations
- A-120 Letter dated 4 October 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Adoption of GSX PL Proposal to File Project Documents in CD ROM Format with the Local Libraries and Adding the Nanaimo Library to the List of Locations
- A-121 Letter dated 9 October 2002 to Department of Fisheries and Oceans re: Extension of Filing Date for Response to Information Request No. 14
- A-122 Letter dated 17 October 2002 to List of Participants to the Marine Technical Conference re: Details of Conference
- A-123 Reasons for Decision dated 18 October 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding re: Reasons related to Letter Decision dated 26 September 2002 / Motifs des décisions daté 18 octobre 2002 à Toutes les parties de l'audience GH-4-2001 sujet: Motifs reliées à la Lettre de décision daté 26 septembre 2002

- A-124 Letter dated 18 October 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
PL re: Information Request No. 15
- A-125 Letter dated 22 October 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Disclosure of Dr. K. Wayne Savigny
- A-126 E-mail dated 29 October 2002 to List of Participants to the Marine
Technical Conference re: Updated Agenda and Participant List
- A-127 Letter dated 4 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Notice of Motion dated 17 October 2002 re:
Tseycum First Nation Traditional Use Study
- A-128 E-mail dated 12 November 2002 to List of Participants to the
Marine Technical Conference re: Finalized Agenda and Participant
List
- A-129 Letter dated 18 November 2002 to Marine Coalition re: Dismissing
the Motion dated 7 November 2002 Requesting an Extension for
Filing Intervenor Evidence
- A-130 Letter dated 18 November 2002 to Saturna Community Club re:
Granting, in part, the Motion dated 8 November 2002, Extending
the Deadline for Filing of Evidence
- A-131 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Federal and
Provincial Crown Intervenor re: Information Request No. 16
- A-132 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
GSX PL re: Information Request No. 17
- A-133 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to First Nations Intervenor re:
Information Request No. 18
- A-134 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to GSXCCC re: Dismissing the
Motion dated 7 November 2002
- A-135 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
GSX PL and SPEC & DSF re: Response to Information Request
2.3(f)
- A-136 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to The Pender Islands GSX
Coalition re: Responding to the Request to Extend the Date for
Filing of Intervenor Evidence

- A-137 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 5
- A-138 Letter dated 20 November 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens
Coalition re: Denying the Request that the Panel direct GSX PL to
Respond to IR 1.16(d) in Microsoft Excel format
- A-139 Letter dated 20 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
GSX PL re: Information Request No. 19
- A-140 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 6
- A-141 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Requesting Comments on the Motion to Review the
Reasons for Decision
- A-142 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens
Coalition re: Denying the Motion dated 20 November 2002 that
GSX PL Respond to Information Requests
- A-143 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
GSX PL re: Directing GSX PL to Respond to IR 2.3(f) or File a
Motion pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB Act
- A-144 Letter dated 25 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Requesting Comments on Whether the Application
is ready to be set down for hearing.
- A-145 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 7
- A-146 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to Islands Trust Council re:
Documents submitted as Written Evidence attached to letter dated
25 Nov 2002
- A-147 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
GSX PL re: Granting the Motion that the Tseycum Traditional Use
Study be confidentially filed pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB
Act.
- A-148 Letter dated 28 November 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens
Coalition re: Completeness of GSX PL's Response to IR 1.16(e)
- A-149 Letter dated 29 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001

Proceeding re: Seeking comments on the GSX PL Motion to file the "average price for GHG offsets" in confidence.

- A-150 Letter dated 2 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Facilitator's Report summarizing the results of the technical experts' round table discussions.
- A-151 Letter dated 6 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Removing Mr. Edwin Morton from the Intervenor on the List of Parties
- A-152 Letter dated 12 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 8
- A-153 Letter dated 16 December 2002 to the Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of B.C. re: Information Request No. 24
- A-154 Letter dated 16 December 2002 to the Sencot'en Alliance re: Information Request No. 25
- A-155 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to Environment Canada re: Information Request No. 20
- A-156 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to Anthony Fisher re: Information Request No. 21
- A-157 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition re: Information Request No. 22
- A-158 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to Màiri McLennan re: Information Request No. 23
- A-159 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to the Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association re: Information Request No. 26
- A-160 Letter dated 18 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 9
- A-161 Letter dated 18 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 10
- A-162 Letter dated 18 December 2002 to the Islands Trust Council re: Information Request No. 27
- A-163 Letter dated 20 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001

Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 11

- A-164 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
PL re: Information Request No. 28
- A-165 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to British Columbia and the Cedar
Lodge Society re: Information Request No. 29
- A-166 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to Vancouver Island Pipeline
Landowners Association re: Information Request No. 30
- A-167 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
re: Information Request No. 31
- A-168 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
PL re: section 16.1 motion dated 26 November 2002
- A-169 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
informing parties of the reasons why the Panel has decided to set
down the date for an oral hearing
- A-170 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to Parties to GH-4-2001 advising that
the Panel has set a date for the oral hearing, which will commence
on 24 February 2003 at 10:00 am local time at a location to be
announced
- A-171 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
dismissing its motion dated 16 December 2002
- A-172 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to Màiri McLennan and GSX
Concerned Citizens Coalition providing the reasons why Ms.
McLennan's application has been dismissed and the reasons why
the Panel has decided to review its original decision and reasons on
the grounds raised by the GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
- A-173 Letter dated 17 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
PL seeking GSX PL's submissions on whether the application is
ready to proceed to a hearing on 24 February 2003
- A-174 Letter dated 20 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 attaching
its original reasons as varied by the Panel
- A-175 Letter dated 20 January 2003 to Màiri McLennan and GSX
Concerned Citizens Coalition advising that due to an
administrative error, Mr. Skerik's comments were not distributed to

the parties or the Panel, however, the 13 January 2003 decision does not need to be changed as a result of Mr. Skerik's submissions

- A-176 Letter dated 20 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Procedural Update No. 12, advising that the Panel will not accept evidence, submissions or communications in e-mail format from Intervenors or the Applicant
- A-177 Letter dated 23 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Setting out a formal comment process with respect to the notice of motion filed by GSX PL to file in confidence traditional use information provided by Cowichan Tribes
- A-178 Letter dated 23 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL, re: Information Request No. 32
- A-179 Letter dated 24 January 2003 to Parties to GH-4-2001 re: hearing location details and other procedures
- A-180 Letter dated 27 January 2003 to Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Mr. Williams' Disclosure
- A-181 Letter dated 28 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL, re: Witness Panels
- A-182 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Mr. Timothy J. Howard, Sierra Legal Defence Fund, re: responses to questions of clarification in relation to the Panel's letter of 27 Jan 2003
- A-183 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Service of Documents
- A-184 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Information Request No. 33
- A-185 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Snuneymuxw First Nation re: Information Request No. 34
- A-186 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Dodie Miller, Shady Brook Farm re: Motion dated 29 Jan 2003
- A-187 Letter dated 31 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Information Request No. 35

- A-188 Letter dated 31 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Decision on Whether the Application is Ready to Proceed to Hearing
- A-189 Letter dated 7 February 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL re: Granting the Notice of Motion to file the Cowichan Tribes study pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB Act
- A-190 Letter dated 10 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 enclosing a copy of the Exhibit List
- A-191 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to Cohen Highly LLP, on behalf of VIPLA re: filing written evidence
- A-192 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to Michael P. Doherty, on behalf of CAC(BC) re: filing written evidence
- A-193 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to Michael P. Doherty, on behalf of CAC(BC) re: response to motion dated 4 February 2003
- A-194 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 enclosing an amended List of Parties
- A-195 Letter dated 13 February 2003 to Thomas Hackney, on behalf of GSXCCC re: response to motion dated 6 February 2003
- A-196 Letter dated 13 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Procedural Updated No. 13
- A-197 Letter dated 14 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Procedural Updated No. 14
- A-198 Letter dated 17 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Several Details regarding the Conduct of the Hearing
- A-199 GSX Canada Pipeline Project Public Registry as at 3 February 2003 / Projet de gazoduc GSX Canada Pipeline Registre public mise à jour le 3 février 2003
- A-200 Letter dated 19 February 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL, re: Information Request No. 36
- A-201 Letter dated 19 February 2003 to Sencot'en Alliance re: Motion dated 17 February 2003 will be heard at the outset of the hearing

- A-202 Letter dated 19 February 2003 to Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of BC re: purpose of information request 35.1
- A-203 Letter dated 19 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: making statement to the Panel at the hearing
- A-204 Letter dated 19 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Amendment to the List of Parties
- A-205 Letter addressed from the Joint Panel to the Proponent dated 24th February 2003 in relation to proposed conditions

Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Limited (GSX PL)

- B-1 Application dated 24 April 2001 to the NEB from Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Ltd. (GSX PL) Volume I, II (including figures & appendixes), III, IV, V
- B-2 Letter dated 25 May 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: withdrawal of request for intervenors to indicate whether a hard copy of the application is required
- B-3 Letter dated 5 October 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: attachment of supplemental information for Volume II, Volume III, Volume IV and V
- B-4 Letter dated 16 October 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 1
- B-5 Letter dated 26 October 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Comments on proposed timetable of events attached to the Panel's letter dated 2 October 2001
- B-6 Letter dated 20 November 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: advise that Directions on Procedure in both official languages were sent to persons identified on their attached list
- B-7 Letter dated 20 November 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: relief from Section 8(a) of the DOP as it relates to publication of a map in the Canada Gazette
- B-8 Letter dated 8 January 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: revision to Volume II of their application as it relates to their construction commencement date

- B-9 Letter dated 28 January 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 3
- B-10 Letter dated 7 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: advice that D.G. Davies will be the authorized representative of GSX PL
- B-11 Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 2
- B-12 Letter dated 14 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: whether the Panel can and should consider the environmental effects of combustion
- B-13 Letter dated 19 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Panels letter dated 22 January 2002
- B-14 Letter dated 19 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Pre-Hearing technical conference
- B-15 Letter dated 19 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: attachment of copies of supplement information respecting Volume II, Section 4 - Supply of Gas
- B-16 Letter dated 20 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: copies of reports requested by GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
- B-17 Letter dated 20 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 4
- B-18 Letter dated 25 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: advice of submission of several reports requested in Information Request No. 4
- B-19 Letter dated 6 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: list of reports provided to Sencot'en Alliance
- B-20 Letter dated 7 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: late intervention of Westcoast Energy Inc. and Centra Gas British Columbia Inc.
- B-21 Letter dated 7 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Additional Written Evidence

- B-22 Letter dated 21 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Panel's letter dated 8 March 2002 concerning the request of the Department of Justice for a workshop regarding First Nations' issues
- B-23 Letter dated 22 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: receipt of submissions from parties regarding the questions posed in Panel letter dated 31 January 2002
- B-24 Letter dated 3 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: list of reports provided to Ms. Washington-Smyth
- B-25 Letter dated 11 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 8
- B-26 Report filed with letter dated 5 June 2002, see B-35-1.
- B-27 Letter dated 29 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: no comment with respect to late intervention of Vancouver Island Advanced Technology Centre
- B-28 Letter dated 29 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to questions in Panel's letter dated 16 April 2002
- B-29 Letter dated 2 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Mr. Hackney's letter dated 1 May 2002 (GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition)
- B-30 Letter dated 13 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: comments on Panel letter dated 16 April 2002
- B-31 Letter dated 14 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: re-establishing dates for the technical conference on marine issues
- B-32 Letter dated 14 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 4.1(a)
- B-33 Letter dated 17 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: submitting 14 reports
- B-33-1 Letter dated 24 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: submitting the following report: Georgia Strait Crossing Project - Pipeline On-Bottom Stability Analysis, Aker Engineering, Inc.

- B-34 Letter dated 30 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: late intervention of The University of British Columbia and Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC.
- B-35 Letter dated 30 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: late intervention of the B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission
- B-35-1 Letter dated 5 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: responses to JRP IRs No. 5, 6 and 7, as well as responses to intervenors' information requests
- B-35-2 Letter dated 6 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: requesting an extension to the deadline for Written Evidence of Applicant on the combustion of gas
- B-36 Letter dated 17 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: dates for the technical conference on marine issues
- B-37 Letter dated 17 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 9
- B-38 Letter dated 18 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: information on environmental effects of the combustion gas at the proposed Duke Point generation facility
- B-39 Letter dated 20 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: letter from SPEC/DSF dated 18 June 2002
- B-40 Letter dated 25 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 5.17
- B-41 Letter dated 2 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: opposing the request of the Marine Coalition to postpone deadline of intervenor evidence
- B-42 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: opposing the request of the Sencot'en Alliance for a revision in the Timetable of Events
- B-43 Letter dated 15 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Notice of Motion of GSX PL
- B-44 Letter dated 18 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:

response to Information Request No. 11, CAC(BC) information request no. 2, Environment Canada information request no. 2, GSXCCC information request no. 2, and SPEC/DSF Information Request No. 2

- B-45 Letter dated 7 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 13
- B-46 Letter dated 8 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 7.54
- B-47 Letter dated 8 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: plan for the pre-hearing technical conference on marine issues
- B-48 Letter dated 9 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Notice of Motion for an order permitting that the Sencot'en Alliance Traditional Land Use and Occupancy Study to be filed in confidence
- B-49 Letter dated 9 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: late intervention request of the Snuneymuxw First Nation
- B-50 Letter dated 9 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 13.13(a)
- B-51 Letter dated 20 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to Information Request No. 5.12(b)
- B-52 Letter dated 20 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to the Information Request No. 7.65(b)
- B-53 Letter dated 20 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: additional copies of documents requested
- B-54 Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Comments on Motions relating to the 6 June 2002 responses to Information Requests
- B-55 Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Comments on Motions relating to the 18 July 2002 responses to Information Requests
- B-56 Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Comments on Motions relating to the filing of Intervenor Evidence

- B-57 Letter dated 5 September 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: document repositories being maintained by GSX PL
- B-58 Letter dated 7 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to the Information Request No. 14
- B-59 Letter dated 9 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: attaching copies of the Sencot'en Traditional Land Use and Occupancy Study/2002, filed in confidence pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB Act
- B-60 Letter dated 10 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: attaching copies of the Order Issuing Certificate and Presidential Permit issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on 20 September 2002
- B-61 Letter dated 17 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Notice of Motion for an order permitting GSX PL to file in confidence a traditional use study prepared by the Tseycum First Nation dated January 2001
- B-62 Letter dated 24 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to the Information Request No. 7.65(b)
- B-63 Letter dated 29 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Supplementary Responses to Intervenor Information Requests
- B-64 Letter dated 5 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Response to Information Request No. 15
- B-65 Letter dated 7 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: SPEC/DSF letter dated 6 November 2002 respecting the supplementary response to Information Request 2.3(f)
- B-66 Letter dated 8 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Notice of Motion made by the Marine Coalition dated 7 November 2002
- B-67 Letter dated 13 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: Notice of Motion made by the Saturna Community Club dated 8 November 2002

- B-68 Letter dated 15 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Vancouver Island Peak Load Information as supplied by BC
Hydro
- B-69 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Supplementary Response to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
1.7
- B-70 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Information Respecting Values for the two Variables in the
Response to GSXCCC 1.16(e)
- B-71 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: GSXCCC's Notice of Motion dated 7 November 2002
- B-72 Letter dated 25 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: the units for the intensity variables related to GSXCCC 1.16(e)
- B-73 Letter dated 26 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Notice of Motion to file "the average price for GHG offsets" in
confidence pursuant to subsection 16.1 of the NEB Act
- B-74 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Response to Information Request No. 16
- B-75 Letter dated 29 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Response to Information Request No. 17
- B-76 Letter dated 29 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Response to Information Request No. 19
- B-77 Letter dated 4 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Tseycum First Nation's Traditional Use report filed in
confidence pursuant to subsection 16.1 of the NEB Act
- B-78 Letter dated 6 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Affidavit of John Duffy in support of the Notice of Motion
dated 26 November 2002
- B-79 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Response as to application's readiness to be set down for
hearing
- B-80 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Response to GSXCCC's Notice of Motion dated 19 November

2002

- B-81 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Response to Màiri McLennan's Notice of Motion dated 18
November 2002
- B-82 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Reply to Submissions Opposing GSX PL's Notice of Motion
dated 26 November 2002
- B-83 Letter dated 16 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Reply to Intervenor Comments as to the Application's readiness
to be set down for hearing
- B-84 Letter dated 17 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
re: Information Requests of GSX PL to GSX Concerned Citizens
Coalition, the Marine Coalition and VIPLA
- B-85 Letter dated 18 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to Islands
Trust requesting copies of documents filed.
- B-86 Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
filing a report entitled "Offshore Geotechnical Investigation
Georgia Strait Crossing Cherry Point, WA to Manley Creek, BC"
- B-87 Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
filing a report entitled "Supplemental Information to Volume IV
Environmental and Socio-Economic Impact Assessment respecting
Marine Route Revision Near 22.0 & Revised Marine Pipeline
Installation Plan" and a binder entitled "Supplemental-2
Information"
- B-88 Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
filing a binder entitled "Pipeline Seismic Analysis Report dated
December 2002" and a binder entitled "Pipeline Seismic Analysis
Report ANSYS Results dated December 2002"
- B-89 Letter dated 17 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
filing a document entitled "Volume IV and V Supplemental
Information Terrestrial Route Revision KP 3.857 to KP 5.561
dated January 2003"
- B-90 Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
filing six copies of the supplementary response of GSX PL to
SPEC/DSF Information Request 2.3(f) in confidence pursuant to

section 16.1

- B-91 Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing a Notice of Motion requesting that the Panel make an order allowing GSX PL to file in confidence tradition use information provided by Cowichan Tribes
- B-92 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing its response to Information Request No. 28
- B-93 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing larger copies of the revised terrestrial environmental alignment sheets
- B-94 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing comments on various news stories and readiness of application for hearing
- B-95 Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing a report entitled Laboratory Investigations Into The Potential for a Bottom-Founded Marine Pipeline to Act as a Barrier to the Movement of: Dungeness Crab, Speckled Sanddab and Starry Flounder by TERA Environmental Consultants dated January 2003
- B-96 Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing a third party review of the seismic design of the GSX Pipeline Project entitled Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Project Review Report: Seismic Design Methodology by Douglas G. Honegger dated 15 January 2003
- B-97 Letter dated 29 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing comments as to whether the application continues to be ready to proceed to a hearing
- B-98 Letter dated 31 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing the draft Operations & Maintenance Manual (Volumes 1-4) and draft Emergency Plan & Preparedness Manual (Volume 5)
- B-99 Letter dated 31 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel filing responses to information requests 13.5 and 13.6
- B-100 Letter dated 3 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel listing the GSX PL witness panels and the witnesses on each panel
- B-101 Letter dated 6 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:

response to information request No. 32

- B-102 Letter dated 6 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to information request No. 33
- B-103 Letter dated 10 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: response to information request No. 35
- B-104 Letter dated 11 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: ensuring availability of appropriate witnesses
- B-105 Letter dated 11 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel attaching direct evidence of the GSX PL witnesses
- B-106 Letter dated 12 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel attaching reply evidence with regard to the Report on Gas Supply for the Georgia Strait Crossing Natural Gas Pipeline
- B-107 Letter dated 13 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel providing an update to Volume III (Public Information Program) of the GSX PL application
- B-108 Letter dated 14 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: attaching copies of the Cowichan Traditional Use Information, filed in confidence pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB Act
- B-109 Letter dated 14 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: attaching revisions to Table 8.1 and Appendix 9-D in Volume II of the GSX PL application
- B-110 Letter dated 13 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: submitting that there is no basis for GSXCCC to call Dr. Jaccard or Ms. Murphy as witnesses at the hearing
- B-111 Letter dated 18 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: attaching a document identifying the evidence that will be addressed by each panel
- B-112 Letter dated February 20, 2003 from D. Davies attaching direct evidence of Valerie Lambert
- B-113 Document entitled "Considerations of Evidence for Noise Generation by Underwater Gas Pipelines and Presentation of Laboratory Data Relevant to the Acoustic Insulation Properties of Concrete Pipeline Cladding"

- B-114 Document entitled "Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Project, Dungeness Crab Maternity Site, Reconnaissance Survey Boatswain Bank and Cape Keppel"
- B-115 Revised table entitled "Revision to JRP 15.12 Attachment C - Singleton Report Table E-01A"

Intervenors/Intervenants:

Aboriginal Peoples/Peuples autochtones

C-1 B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission

- C-1-1 Letter dated 30 March 2002 from Susan Anderson Behn (on behalf of B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission) re: application for intervention

C-2 Cowichan Tribes / Tribus Cowichan

- C-2-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-2-2 Fax dated 17 January 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes), re: adjournment as appropriate alternative remedy
- C-2-3 Letter dated 22 January 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes), re: submission of Speaking Notes of Councilor Philomena Alphonse
- C-2-4 E-mail dated 12 February 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes), re: clarification of s. 36 of Rules of Practice and Procedure
- C-2-5 Fax dated 28 February 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes), to GSX PL requesting copies of reports referenced in IR 4 response
- C-2-6 Fax dated 28 February 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes), enclosing a copy of letter dated 17 January 2002 for distribution to parties
- C-2-7 Letter dated 11 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf

of Cowichan Tribes) re: their correspondence sent to the BC Ministry of Energy & Mines regarding their response to IR 2

- C-2-8 Letter dated 15 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: NEB letter soliciting comments on the merits of a workshop on First Nations interests
- C-2-9 Letter dated 20 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: submissions in respect of the Environmental Effects of the Combustion of Gas Proposed to be Transported
- C-2-10 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Cowichan Tribes IR #1
- C-2-11 This item misfiled, see C-54-22-1
- C-2-12 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: response to Panel letter of 16 April 2002 - questions regarding consultation and hearing schedule
- C-2-13 Fax dated 14 May 2002 with attached letter dated 6 May 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: response to Panel letter of 16 April 2002 concerning consultation and Hearing schedule
- C-2-14 Letter dated 21 May 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: letter of 8 May 2002 from Department of Justice to Panel in respect of Crown consultation
- C-2-15 Letter dated 21 May 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: letters of 29 April and 13 May from Macleod Dixon to Panel regarding evidence of Crown consultation and a new Hearing schedule
- C-2-16 Fax dated 6 June 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Advising that Federal Crown Consultations have not yet commenced
- C-2-17 Fax dated 9 July 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Notice of Motion to Compel Responses from GSX PL to Information Requests.
- C-2-18 Fax dated 1 August 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Notice of Motion that the Intervenor

Evidence Filing Deadline be adjourned until Aboriginal Consultation has been concluded

- C-2-19 Email dated 13 September 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Non-reply to GSX Submissions
- C-2-20 Fax dated 28 November 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: On-going discussions with the Proponent and possible impact on continued participation as an Intervenor
- C-2-21 Fax dated 12 December 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Response that a Hearing date could now be set
- C-3 Sencot'en Alliance**
- C-3-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Sharon Charles, Chairperson, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance
- C-3-2 E-mail dated 8 January 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf Sencot'en Alliance Request to participate in the consultation sessions on January 12, 2002
- C-3-3 E-mail dated 8 January 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf Sencot'en Alliance: Request to participate in the consultation session on January 12, 2002
- C-3-4 Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the Sencot'en Alliance, re: comments on List of Issues
- C-3-5 Letter dated 8 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the Sencot'en Alliance, re: identification of contact
- C-3-6 Fax dated 12 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the Sencot'en Alliance, re: comments on pre-hearing technical conference
- C-3-7 Fax dated 12 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the Sencot'en Alliance, re: the filing of certain gas supply information
- C-3-8 Fax dated 14 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the Sencot'en Alliance, re: Panel's jurisdiction to consider the environmental effects of the combustion of the gas

- C-3-9 Fax of 18 March 2002 (with letter of 15 March) from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: workshop on First Nations interests
- C-3-10 Letter of 28 March 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance, re: Information Requests
- C-3-11 Letter dated 4 April 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the Sencot'en Alliance, re: names of experts for Pre-hearing conference
- C-3-12 Letter of 9 April 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance, re: map of territories
- C-3-13 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance, re: response to Panel's letter dated 16 April 2002
- C-3-14 Letter dated 17 May 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Independent Power Producers Review Panel's Report
- C-3-15 Fax dated 2 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Endorsing the Views of SPEC/DSF in their letter dated 28 June 2002.
- C-3-16 Letter dated 4 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Update on Crown Consultation
- C-3-17 Fax dated 9 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Delay in Review of Combustion of Gas Materials
- C-3-18 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Application to Compel Answers to the Sencot'en Alliance's Information Requests Made to GSX PL
- C-3-19 Fax dated 9 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Replacement Letter for 02-07-09 Unsigned Letter
- C-3-20 Fax dated 1 August 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Notice of Motion Requesting an Extended Date for Filing Intervenors' Evidence
- C-3-21 Fax dated 10 September 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Reply Comments on the Motion to Compel GSX PL to Answer Information Requests

- C-3-22 Letter dated 27 November 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Affidavits that comprise Sencot'en Alliance's Written Evidence
- C-3-23 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Response to Information Request No. 18
- C-3-24 Fax dated 5 December 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Missing Page 54 From Some Copies of Affidavit No. 2 of Sharon Charles
- C-3-25 Fax dated 9 December 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Application Not Ready to be Set Down for Hearing
- C-3-26 Fax dated 11 December 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Support for Motions by GSXCCC and Màiri McLennan
- C-3-27 Fax dated 6 January 2003 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Response to Information Request No. 25
- C-3-28 Fax dated 27 January 2003 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Readiness of application to proceed to a hearing
- C-3-29 Fax dated 17 February 2003 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: Notice of Motion (Supplemental)
- C-3-30 A letter from Mandell Pinder on behalf of hte Sencot'en Alliance dated February 21st, 2003 advising of discussions presently being undertaken
- C-4 Snuneymuxw First Nation / Première nation Snuneymuxw**
- C-4-1 Letter dated 18 July 2002 to JRP re: Late Intervenor Status Request
- C-4-2 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to JRP re: Response to Information Request No. 18
- C-4-3 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to JRP re: Two Reports by Dr. Bates as part of Written Evidence
- C-4-4 Letter dated 10 December 2002 to Environment Assessment Office

re: Interim Report on Status of Review and Outstanding Issues

C-4-5 Fax dated 4 January 2003 to JRP re: Response to Information Request No. 34

C-5 Tseycum First Nation / Première nation Tseycum

C-5-1 Letter dated 28 November 2001 from Chief Vern Jacks, on behalf of Tseycum First Nation re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-5-2 Letter dated February 24th, 2003 from the Tseycum First Nation advising of discussions they are presently undertaking with the Proponent

Individuals/Individus

C-6 Bell, Gordon L.

C-6-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Gordon L. Bell

C-6-2 Fax dated 11 February 2002 from Gordon Bell, re: filing of certain gas supply information and pre-hearing technical conference

C-6-3 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Gordon L. Bell re: information requests

C-6-4 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Gordon L. Bell re: unanswered information requests of Gordon. L. Bell dated 28 March 2002

C-6-5 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Gordon L. Bell submitting intervenor evidence

C-6-6 Letter dated 10 December 2002 from Gordon L. Bell supporting the motions of both Màiri McLennan and GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition

C-6-7 Letter dated 29 January 2003 from Gordon L. Bell re: Comments on readiness of application to proceed to hearing

C-7 Bomford, Jim

C-7-1 Intervention from Jim Bomford dated 16 January 2002

C-8 Bowes, Samuel Stark

- C-8-1 Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Samuel Stark Bowes
- C-9 Briggs, Jolyon and/et Shirley**
- C-9-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Jolyon and Shirley Briggs
- C-9-2 Letter dated 31 December 2001 from Jolyon Briggs re: 14 January 2002 Public Consultation Session / Presentation notes of Jolyon Briggs dated 14 January 2002, from scoping session in Cobble Hill
- C-9-3 Fax dated 31 December 2001 from Jolyon Briggs re: registration
- C-10 Caldicott, Arthur**
- C-10-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Arthur Caldicott
- C-10-2 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, re: Intervention justification, List of Issues
- C-10-3 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott registering for the GSX Pipeline Consultation Sessions
- C-10-4 E-mail dated 19 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, re: coalbed methane
- C-10-5 Letter dated 28 June 2002 from Arthur Caldicott requesting to modify the document distribution
- C-11 Campbell, James (Jim)**
- C-11-1 Letter of Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Mr. James (Jim) Campbell
- C-11-2 Letter dated 22 February 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell, re: issue concerning the existing BC Centra Gas system
- C-11-2-1 Letter dated 22 February 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell, re: commenting on whether the environmental effects of emissions should be included in the List of Issues
- C-11-3 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell requesting that the Panel address the GSX PL's complete disregard for "relative to the purpose of, need for, and alternatives to this GSX

PL Pipeline" issue

- C-11-4 Letter dated 13 August 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell re: intervenor status of Snuneymuxw First Nation
- C-11-5 Letter dated 7 November 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell supporting the motion dated 17 October 2002 re: Tseycum First Nation Traditional Use Study
- C-11-6 Letter dated 25 November 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell requesting that the Panel obtain a copy of "Energy for our Future: A Plan for BC"
- C-11-7 Letter dated 27 November 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell re: interview with Minister Richard Neufeld, BC Government Ministry of Energy and Mines
- C-11-8 Letter dated 2 December 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell opposing the notice of motion from the Applicant for an order allowing GSX PL to file in confidence with the Panel the "average price for GHG Offsets" and supporting the position and reasons given by SPEC and DSF
- C-11-9 Letter dated 9 January 2003 from James (Jim) Campbell supporting the GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition motion to suspend these proceedings
- C-11-10 Letter dated 29 January 2003 from James (Jim) Campbell re: Columbia River Treaty Downstream Benefits
- C-12 Christofferson, A. Marie**
- C-12-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from A. Marie Christofferson
- C-13 Intervenor withdrew, see D-89.**
- C-14 Dudley, Joanna**
- C-14-1 Intervention dated 29 November 2001 from Ms. Joanna Dudley
- C-14-2 E-mail dated 21 January 2002 from Joanna Dudley re: copy of commentary to the JRP at 14 January 2002 Public Consultation Session

- C-14-3 Letter dated 27 March 2002 from Joanna Dudley re: concerns regarding late intervenors
- C-15 Dwyer, Richard**
- C-15-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Richard D. Dwyer
- C-16 Fisher, Anthony D.**
- C-16-1 Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from A.D. Fisher
- C-16-2 Letter dated 14 November 2001 from A.D. Fisher to the Panel requesting a copy of the Directions on Procedure for GH-4-2001
- C-16-3 E-mail dated 28 November 2001 from Tony Fisher to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-16-4 E-mail dated 24 December 2001 from Tony Fisher, re: confirmation of intervenor status and registration for 12 January 2002 Workshop
- C-16-5 Submission dated 14 January 2002 from A.D. Fisher at Cobble Hill
- C-16-6 E-mail dated 18 January 2002 from Dr. A.D. Fisher, re: additions to issues mentioned at Arbutus Ridge
- C-16-7 Letter dated 27 February 2002 from A.D. Fisher, re: Panel letter dated 31 January 2002 requesting comments on its authority to consider certain environmental effects
- C-16-8 E-mail dated 2 March 2002 from A.D. Fisher requesting service of documents to the other parties
- C-16-9 Letter dated 21 March 2002 from Anthony D. Fisher re: request for information
- C-16-10 Letter dated 17 June 2002 from A.D. Fisher requesting the Panel to ask the Applicant to answer the unanswered information requests of his
- C-16-11 Letter dated 3 September 2002 from A.D. Fisher responding to GSX PL's comments and the submissions of the Province of British Columbia re: his information requests

- C-16-12 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from A.D. Fisher re: issues and questions remaining unanswered or not answered adequately
- C-16-13 Response to Information Request No. 21 from A.D. Fisher, dated January 20, 2003
- C-17 Haywood, David**
- C-17-1 Fax dated 27 November 2001 from David Haywood to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-18 Hughes, Richard**
- C-18-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Richard Hughes to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public
- C-18-2 E-mail dated 20 December 2001 from Richard Hughes, on behalf of the CVRD, re: Cobble Hill meeting
- C-18-3 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Richard Hughes, on behalf of the CVRD, re: presentation at Cobble Hill public Consultation Session
- C-18-4 Presentation dated 14 January 2002 by Richard Hughes at Cobble Hill
- C-19 Knowles, Diana**
- C-19-1 Intervention dated 22 January 2002 from Diana Knowles
- C-19-2 Presentation dated 14 January 2002 by Diana Knowles at Cobble Hill
- C-19-3 Letter dated 27 February 2002 from Diana Knowles, re: comments on questions about whether the Panel has the authority to consider certain effects
- C-19-4 Letter dated 10 March 2002 from Ms. Diana Knowles re: request that Panel distribute documents on her behalf (A0D0K9)
- C-19-5 Letter of 2 April 2002 from Diana Knowles re: not speaking before the Panel
- C-19-6 Letter dated 14 August 2002 from Diana Knowles endorsing the notices of motion by other intervenors that the Panel order the

proponent to provide an adequate response to their information requests

C-19-7 Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Diana Knowles re: Readiness of application to go to hearing

C-19-8 A letter dated 23 February 2003 from Diana Knowles, addressed to the Panel, asking that her registration be amended

C-20 Intervenor withdrew, see D-90.

C-21 Marchant, Philip

C-21-1 Fax dated 27 November 2001 from Philip Marchant to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-21-2 Letter dated 4 January 2002 from Philip Marchant re: clarification of intervention

C-21-3 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Phil Marchant at Public Consultation Sessions

C-22 McLennan, Màiri

C-22-1 Fax dated 5 December 2001 from Màiri McLennan to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-22-2 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Màiri McLennan, re: presentation at Cobble Hill

C-22-3 Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Màiri McLennan, re: comments to list of issues

C-22-4 Fax dated 8 March 2002 from Ms. Màiri McLennan, enclosing copy of submission dated 28 February 2002

C-22-5 Letter of 25 March 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: objection to letter of Christopher Jones on behalf of Province of BC

C-22-6 Letter of 28 March 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: information requests

C-22-7 Letter dated 12 April 2002 from Ms. Màiri McLennan, re: motion to extend deadline of responses

- C-22-8 Letter dated 7 May 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: comments on Crown consultation and new schedule and date for the hearing
- C-22-9 Letter dated 8 May 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: further comments on Crown consultation and new schedule and date for the hearing
- C-22-10 Letter dated 8 July 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: unanswered information requests
- C-22-11 Letter dated 1 August 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: unanswered information requests and intervenor evidence deadline
- C-22-12 Letter dated 14 August 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: unanswered information requests
- C-22-13 Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: reply submission about the unanswered information requests and evidence deadline
- C-22-14 Letter dated 18 September 2002 from Màiri McLennan responding to the submission by counsel for the Alberta Department of Energy
- C-22-15 Letter dated 18 November 2002 from Màiri McLennan filing a notice of motion
- C-22-16 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Màiri McLennan filing her preliminary submission concerning evidence for the Panel
- C-22-17 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: whether the GSX Canada Pipeline Project application is ready to be set down for hearing
- C-22-18 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan opposing the granting of the motion that GSX PL has brought concerning the confidentiality of the information on "average price for GHG offsets"
- C-22-19 Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan filing reply submission to the responses concerning her notice of motion dated 18 November 2002
- C-22-20 Letter dated 30 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan advising that paragraph 10 of her reply submission dated 20 December 2002 referenced Mr. Davies, rather than Mr. Jones

- C-22-21 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan replying to the Panel's Information Request No. 23 dated 17 December 2002
- C-22-22 Letter dated 24 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan re: response submission deadline - 27 January 2003
- C-22-23 Letter dated 28 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan re: comments against the process adopted by the JRP for comment on the readiness for hearing
- C-22-24 Letter dated 28 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan re: commenting on the readiness for hearing
- C-22-25 Notice of Motion dated 17 February 2003 from Màiri McLennan and Shady Brook Farm
- C-22-26 Notice of Motion filed February 24, 2003 on behalf of the Intervenor Màiri McLennan
- C-23 Parker, John L.**
- C-23-1 Intervention dated 23 January 2002 from John L. Parker
- C-24 Shady Brook Farm**
- C-24-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Steve and Dodie Miller, on behalf of Shady Brook Farm
- C-24-2 E-mail dated 29 December 2001 from Steve Miller on behalf of Shady Brook Farms, re: Panel letter dated 18 December 2001
- C-24-3 E-mail dated 31 December 2001 from Steve Miller on behalf of Shady Brook Farms, re: public consultation Questions and Answers
- C-24-4 E-mail dated January 6, 2002 from Steve Miller, on behalf of Shady Brook Farm: Registration for session on 01/14/02 for GH-4-2001
- C-24-5 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Dodie Miller at Public Consultation Sessions
- C-24-6 E-mail dated January 26, 2002 from Steve Miller, on behalf of

Shady Brook Farm, to Christopher Jones re contact information

- C-24-7 Letter dated 27 January 2002 from Steve Miller on behalf of Shady Brook Farms, re: Panel letter dated 25 January 2002
- C-24-8 Letter dated 10 December 2002 from Steve and Dodie Miller, on behalf of Shady Brook Farm, filing comments as to whether Ms. Màiri McLennan or GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition have raised a doubt as to the correctness of the Panel's decision of 18 October 2002
- C-24-9 Letter dated 24 January 2003 from Dodie Miller, on behalf of Shady Brook Farm, Status of Vancouver Island Generation Project
- C-24-10 Notice of Motion dated 29 January 2003 from Dodie Miller, on behalf of Shady Brook Farm
- C-24-11 Fax dated 29 January 2003 from Dodie Miller, on behalf of Shady Brook Farm re comments concerning readiness to proceed to hearing
- C-24-12 See Exhibit C-22-25
- C-24-13 Notice of Motion on behalf of the Intervenor Shady Brook Farm

C-25 Shephard, G.P.

- C-25-1 Fax dated 30 November 2001 from G.P. Shephard to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-26 Skerik, Don

- C-26-1 Fax dated 2 December 2001 from Don Skerik to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-26-2 E-mail dated 1 January 2002 from Don Skerik, re: clarification of intervention
- C-26-3 Submission dated 14 January 2002 from Don Skerik at Cobble Hill
- C-26-4 E-mail dated 25 February 2002 from Don Skerik, attaching 3 documents, including: comments dated 18 February 2002 regarding the Panel's authority to consider certain environmental

effects; and comments dated 18 February regarding GSX's request for relief of Filing of Certain Gas Supply Information

- C-26-5 E-mail dated 22 March 2002 from Don Skerik requesting that documents be mailed directly to him
- C-26-6 E-mail dated 26 March 2002 from Don Skerik advising that he does not plan to make oral argument before the Panel
- C-26-7 E-mail dated 27 March 2002 from Don Skerik attaching a list of questions for the Panel
- C-26-8 Letter dated 24 July 2002 from Don Skerik re: Information Requests - Notice of Motion
- C-26-9 E-mail dated 11 December 2002 from Don Skerik re: Màiri McLennan's motion dated 18 November 2002 - Application for review of Reasons for Decision of JRP
- C-27 Wright, Anne Irene**
- C-27-1 E-mail dated January 8, 2002 from Irene Wright: Registration for Saltspring session for GH-4-2001.
- C-27-2 Intervention dated 16 January 2002 from Ms. Anne Irene Wright

Associations

- C-28 Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association (ARRRA)**
- C-28-1 Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Heather Schyf, on behalf of the ARRRA.
- C-28-2 E-mail dated 6 January 2002 from Heather Schyf, on behalf of Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association, re: Registration for GH-4-2001 consultation sessions.
- C-28-3 E-mail dated 11 February 2002 from Heather Schyf, on behalf of the Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association, re: final list of issues and IR # 4
- C-28-4 Letter dated February 21, 2003 from Arbutus Ridge Resident

Ratepayers Association in relation to G. Walker as the representative at the hearing

C-28-5 Letter dated February 21, 2003 from Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association re change of address for e-mail

C-29 Braithwaite Estates Improvement District

C-29-1 Fax dated 3 December 2001 from J.F. Hugo to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-29-2 E-mail dated 3 December 2001 from J. Francis Hugo, on behalf of Braithwaite Estates Improvement District, regarding submission of intervention

C-29-3 E-mail dated 26 December 2001 from J. Francis Hugo on behalf of Braithwaite Estates Improvement District, re: presentation in Cobble Hill

C-29-4 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by J. Francis Hugo - Trustee for the Braithwaite Estates Improvement District at the Public Consultation Sessions

C-29-5 Letter dated 9 February 2003 by J. Francis Hugo - Trustee for the Braithwaite Estates Improvement District re: expressing concerns, requesting clarification and assistance

C-29-6 Letter dated 16 February 2003 by J. Francis Hugo - Trustee for the Braithwaite Estates Improvement District re: clarification on witnesses required

C-29-7 Notice of Motion filed by Intervenor Braithwaite Estates Improvement District

C-30 Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP)

C-30-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Brian Troicuk to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-31 Consumers Association of Canada et al

C-31-1 Fax dated 3 December 2001 from Michael Doherty to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-31-2 E-mail dated 20 December 2001 from Michael Doherty on behalf

of CAC(BC) et al, re: registration for 11 January 2002 public consultation session

- C-31-3 Letter dated 15 January 2002 from Michael P. Doherty on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, re: possible need for teleconferencing facilities
- C-31-4 Copy of letter dated 25 January 2002 from Michael P. Doherty on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, to Arvay Finlay, re: clarification of Arvay Finlay letter of 23 January 2002
- C-31-5 Letter dated 7 February 2002 (should read 7 March 2002) from M. Doherty, on behalf of the CAC(BC), re: Alberta Justices' request for extension
- C-31-6 Letter dated 11 March 2002 from M. Doherty, on behalf of the CAC(BC), re: Comments on Board's letter dated 8 March 2002
- C-31-7 Letter dated 14 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: two points of procedure
- C-31-8 Letter dated 15 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: Submissions of CAC(BC) et al. on the question of jurisdiction to consider environmental effects of combustion of natural gas
- C-31-8-1 Letter dated 22 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: response to JRP letter dated 21 March 2002
- C-31-9 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: Information Request #1 of CAC(BC)
- C-31-10 Letter dated 2 April 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: oral argument on the jurisdictional questions scheduled for April 9, 10
- C-31-11 Letter dated 30 April 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, re: response to Panel letter of 16 April 2002
- C-31-12 Letter dated 24 June 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, commenting on the subject whether the Panel should set an orderly process for compelling the Applicant to provide adequate answers to Information Requests
- C-31-13 Letter dated 8 July 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its

Information Request No. 2 to Macleod Dixon LLP

- C-31-14 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its application to compel GSX PL to provide answers to Information Requests
- C-31-15 Letter dated 1 August 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its Notice of Motion dated 1 August 2002
- C-31-16 Letter dated 10 September 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its reply to the responses of GSX PL, the Government of British Columbia and the Government of Alberta
- C-31-17 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its submission on whether the GSX Project should be set down for hearing
- C-31-18 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, opposing the motion that seeks to allow GSX PL to file in confidence with the JRP the "average price for GHG offsets"
- C-31-19 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, responding to the JRP's letter dated 22 November 2002
- C-31-20 Fax dated 27 January 2003 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, responding to the JRP's letter dated 17 January 2003
- C-31-21 Fax dated 4 February 2003 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, Application to JRP to provide teleconferencing facilities for the hearing
- C-31-22 Letter dated February 10, 2003 from M.P. Doherty on behalf of CAC (BC) re portion of the transcript from a BCUC hearing dated February 7, 2003.
- C-32 GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition (GSXCCC)**

- C-32-1 E-mail dated 12 November 2001 from Thomas Hackney to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency re Participant funding program
- C-32-2 Fax dated 5 December 2001 from Steve Miller, on behalf of GSXCCC to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-32-3 E-mail dated 17 December 2001 from Mr. Arthur Caldicott, GSXCCC re: questions about the consultation sessions
- C-32-4 Letter dated 3 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of GSXCCC, re: Panel letter dated 18 December 2001
- C-32-5 Letter dated 9 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of GSXCCC, re: proposed format of Public Consultation Sessions
- C-32-6 Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: comments on Issues for consideration by the JRP
- C-32-7 E-mail dated 23 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, on behalf of the GSXCCC re: Electronic copies of documents
- C-32-8 E-mail dated 25 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney on behalf of the GSXCCC and the Sierra Club of B.C., re: intervenor status
- C-32-9 Letter dated 29 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of GSXCCC, re: various requests
- C-32-10 E-mail dated 1 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of the GSXCCC re: Service of submissions of Intervenor by the National Energy Board
- C-32-11 Letter dated 8 February from Thomas Hackney on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: authorized representatives
- C-32-12 Letter dated 10 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: pre-hearing technical conference
- C-32-13 Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Arthur Caldicott on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: views on filing certain gas supply information
- C-32-14 Fax dated 12 February 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: revised submission regarding filing of certain gas

supply information

- C-32-15 Fax dated 15 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: oral argument on the end use of gas that would be transported by GSX
- C-32-16 Fax dated 18 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: confirmation that Panel will provide service of documents on behalf of GSXCCC
- C-32-17 Letter dated 28 February 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf of the GSXCCC, re: Panel letter dated 31 January 2002, regarding combustion of gas
- C-32-18 Letter dated 7 March 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, re: ensuring the GSXCCC receives all documents from parties
- C-32-19 Letter of 18 March 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf of GSXCCC, re: letter submission and affidavit of Dr. Mark Jaccard
- C-32-20 Fax of 18 March 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf of GSXCCC, re: affidavit of Thomas Hackney
- C-32-21 Letter of 18 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: consultation with aboriginal peoples
- C-32-22 Fax of 18 March 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf of GSXCCC, re: first page of affidavit from Thomas Hackney
- C-32-23 Letter of 20 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: authorized representative
- C-32-24 Letter of 22 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: clarification regarding receipt of documentation
- C-32-25 Letter of 28 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: information request #1
- C-32-26 Letter of 1 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: distribution of documents at the oral hearing
- C-32-27 Letter of 3 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: Project registry and document management
- C-32-28 Letter dated 13 April 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, clarifying that its members do not include Gordon Bell or Joan

LeSeur

- C-32-29 Letter dated 13 April 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, re: re-sending page 13 of original IR no 1 to GSX
- C-32-30 Letter dated 19 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: service of letter from Panel dated 16 April 2002 giving notice that the Panel has suspended the schedule for the Review
- C-32-31 Letter dated 20 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: Project registry and document management
- C-32-32 Letter dated 1 May 2002 from GSXCCC re: not receiving a copy of comments required by Applicant as mentioned in Panel letter dated 16 April 2002
- C-32-33 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from GSXCCC re: response to Panel's letter of 16 April 2002 and to the Applicant's comments on questions
- C-32-34 Letter dated 18 June 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, taking issue with certain statements made by the Panel in a letter to All Parties dated 31 May 2002
- C-32-35 Letter dated 21 June 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, expressing their concerns about the NEB's actions may seriously prejudice the public's ability to participate in proceedings of this type
- C-32-36 Letter dated 21 June 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, supporting SPEC and DSF's request to the Panel dated 18 June 2002
- C-32-37 Letter dated 25 June 2002 from GSXCCC re: responses to Intervenor's Information Requests
- C-32-38 Letter dated 28 June 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, Director of GSXCCC requesting to modify the document distribution
- C-32-39 Letter dated 8 July 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC re: Mr. Hoo's withdrawal from the Marine Coalition
- C-32-40 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, to Macleod Dixon LLP submitting its Information Request No. 2

- C-32-41 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, applying to the Panel and moving that the Applicant be ordered to answer several questions that GSXCCC submitted in its Information Request of 28 March 2002
- C-32-42 Letter dated 1 August 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC submitting its Motion for an order with regard to the date for filing of Intervenor's Written Evidence
- C-32-43 Letter dated 1 August 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC submitting its Motion for an order to compel answers to several items of GSXCCC's Information Request No. 2
- C-32-44 Letter dated 23 August 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP seeking to know whether GSX PL has a document entitled "Canadian Natural Gas Market Review & Outlook" dated May 2001 in electronic form and could GSX PL supply it to the Coalition in this form
- C-32-45 Letter dated 10 September 2002 from Mr. Bill Andrews filing GSXCCC's final reply submission regarding the two motions to compel answers to information requests
- C-32-46 Letter dated 10 September 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC requesting an extension to the event deadline for service and filing so that it can have a reasonable opportunity to reply to Alberta's submission
- C-32-47 Letter dated 18 September 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP requesting that it explain the inconsistencies between 2 statements in order to prepare its written evidence
- C-32-48 Letter dated 18 September 2002 from William J. Andrews replying to a 29 August 2002 response submission from counsel for the Alberta Department of Energy
- C-32-49 Letter dated 7 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC filing a Motion
- C-32-50 Letter dated 18 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC requesting that, further to its Motion dated 7 November

2002, the Panel specify that the Applicant should respond to GSXCCC IR 1.16(d) using an appropriate format for conveying the information requested

- C-32-51 Notice of Motion dated 19 November 2002 from William, J. Andrews regarding the JRP assessment of the GSX Canada Pipeline Project
- C-32-52 Letter dated 20 November 2002 Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC requesting that GSX PL provide the units for the values used for the driving variables
- C-32-53 Letter dated 20 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC filing a Notice of Motion that the Panel order the Applicant to comply with the Panel's order concerning responses to GSXCCC's information requests
- C-32-54 Letter dated 26 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC re: the units used for the intensity measures which remain unclear
- C-32-55 Letter dated 26 November 2002 from GSXCCC filing evidence in support of the GSXCCC intervention
- 1) *Briefing notes of presentation given by B.C. Hydro to Vancouver Island MLS's, 16 April 2002*
 - 2) *B.C. Hydro Electric Load Forecast 2001/2021 prepared Dec. 2001*
 - 3) *B.C. Hydro response to B.C. Utilities Commission re: electricity supply to Vancouver Island 4 Dec. 2000*
 - 4) *Economic Analysis of British Columbia Vol. 22 No. 6 2002 published by Economics Department, Credit Union Central of British Columbia*
 - 5) *Multiple Account Benefit Cost Evaluation of the Burrard Thermal Generating Plant, Prepared for Ministry of Finance and Corporate Relations, by Marvin Schaffer and Associates April 2001*
 - 6) *Recommended HVDC Operating and Maintenance Strategy 1999 to 2007 B.C. Hydro March 13, 2000*
 - 7) *Briefing notes from presentation given to GSXCCC by B.C. Hydro 7 December 2001*

- C-32-56 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
GSXCCC filing its written evidence
*Document 1 - Report on Gas Supply for the Georgia Strait
Crossing Natural Gas Pipeline, September 2002, Rob Woronuk,
GasEnergy Strategies Inc, Appendices A to H*
*Document 2 - The Need for GSX: Electricity Supply and Demand
Forecasts for Vancouver Island 2002-2012, November 2002, by
Steve Miller and Associates*
*Document 3 - BC's Electricity Options: Multi-Attribute Trade-Off
and Risk Analysis of the Natural Gas Strategy for Vancouver
Island: Final Report, May 2002, Mark Jaccard and Rose Murphy*
*Document 4 - BC's Electricity Options: Multi-Attribute Trade-Off
and Risk Analysis of the Natural Gas Strategy for Vancouver
Island: Postscript to the Report of May 1, 2002, July 2002, Mark
Jaccard and Rose Murphy*
*Document 5 - Report on Energy Alternatives Considered by BC
Hydro November 2002 by Thomas Hackney*
*Document 6 - Report on BC Hydro Greenhouse Gas Offsets
Proposals, November 2002, by Thomas Hackney*
*Document 7 - Report on the Canadian Commitment to Ratify the
Kyoto Protocol and Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions, November
2002, by Thomas Hackney*
Document 8 - Curriculum vitae of Mr. Rob Woronuk
Document 9 - Curriculum vitae of Mr. Stephen Miller
Document 10 - Curriculum vitae of Mr. Thomas Hackney
- C-32-57 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
GSXCCC submitting its comments on the questions set in the
Panel's letter of 25 November 2002
- C-32-58 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
GSXCCC submitting its comments on the Motion of 26 November
2002 brought by GSX PL Ltd.
- C-32-59 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
GSXCCC submitting its comments on the Motion of Máiri
McLennan dated 18 November 2002
- C-32-60 Letter dated 16 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
GSXCCC filing a Motion
- C-32-61 Letter dated 18 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
GSXCCC requesting that the Panel distribute all documents filed
as written evidence or clarify its position with respect to its
undertaking to serve GSXCCC's documents

- C-32-62 Letter dated 18 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC advising that its fax machine will be out of service from 19 December 2002 to 3 January 2003
- C-32-63 Reply submission dated 19 December 2002 from Mr. William J. Andrews, on behalf of GSXCCC in relation to the Panel's 22 November 2002 letter to parties
- C-32-64 Letter dated 6 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP requesting that the Applicant provide a report entitled " Supplemental Information to Volume IV Environmental and Socio-Economic Impact Assessment respecting Marine Route Revision Near 22.0 & Revised Marine Pipeline Installation Plan" and a copy of a binder entitled "Supplemental 2 Information
- C-32-65 Letter dated 13 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC confirming that it has delivered copies of the Coalition's written evidence documents 11 to 17 to the Province of BC
- C-32-66 Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP submitting its response to GSX PL Ltd's Information Request
- C-32-67 Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC submitting its response to the Panel's Information Request No. 22, prepared by Rob Woronuk
- C-32-68 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC submitting responses to the Panel's Information Request No. 31
- C-32-69 Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC adopting and endorsing the reasons, arguments and requests made by Mr. Tim Howard, counsel for SPEC and DSF
- C-32-70 Letter dated 6 February 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC Notice of Motion regarding location of hearing and pre-hearing phase
- C-32-71 Letter dated 10 February 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC Notice to All Parties re: Dr. Mark Jaccard to testify at hearing

- C-32-72 Letter dated 20 February 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC re: GSXCCC's witnesses at the hearing and other matters
- C-32-73 Notice of Motion filed February 24, 2003 on behalf of Intervenor GSX CCC
- C-32-74 Document entitled "Canada's Energy Future Scenarios for Supply and Demand to 2025" from NEB Draft for Public Consultation
- C-32-75 Document entitled "Gas Production Projections" prepared by GasEnergy Strategies Inc. dated February 19, 2003
- C-32-76 Document entitled "Gas Production Projections" prepared by GasEnergy Strategies Inc. dated February 19, 2003

C-33 Gulf Crab Fishers Association

- C-33-1 William Douglass fax to the JRP dated 17 November 2001 re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing.
- C-33-2 Letter dated 18 January 2002 from Mr. Bill Douglass, on behalf of the Gulf Crab Fishers Association, re: comments on the scope of GSX review
- C-33-3 Letter dated 25 April 2002 from Gulf Crab Fishers Association re: GSX PL proposed trenching measures.

C-34 Pender Islands GSX Coalition

- C-34-1 Fax dated 15 November 2001 from Sara J. Steil to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-34-2 E-mail dated 21 December 2001 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: intervention and consultation session on Pender Islands
- C-34-3 E-mail dated 21 December 2001 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: presentations by Isabel Roberts, Shirley Fyles, Sara Steil, Don Harrison and Stuart Scholefield at North Pender Island
- C-34-4 E-mail dated January 16, 2002 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of Pender Islands GSX Coalition: Request to reinstate intervenor status

- C-34-5 Submission dated 19 January 2002 from Ms. Sara J. Steil, on behalf of the Pender Islands Conservancy
- C-34-6 Submission dated 19 January 2002 from Don Harrison, on behalf of the Pender Islands Conservancy Association, consultation session comments
- C-34-7 E-mail dated January 28, 2002 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: inquiry re intervenor status
- C-34-8 E-mail dated 1 February 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: letter to Panel from Arvay Finlay dated 23 January 2002
- C-34-9 Letter dated 2 July 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: EBA Consulting
- C-34-10 Letter dated 31 August 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: the Province of BC's reply with regards to the motion to compel GSX Panel to answer questions on electricity generation by National Gas as a direct result of the GSX
- C-34-11 Letter dated 11 November 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the Pender Islands GSX Coalition, requesting a delay of the deadline for filing Intervenor evidence until mid January 2003
- C-35 Saturna Community Club/Club communautaire Saturna**
- C-35-1 E-mail dated 19 November 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth to the JRP re Tour of Saturna Island
- C-35-2 Saturna Community Club undated information request to the JRP re Questions for the GSX JRP Secretariat
- C-35-3 Letter dated 23 November 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of Saturna Community Club, to the JRP re: request to consider sponsorship of a pre:-hearing conference on the marine portion of the Georgia Strait Crossing pipeline application
- C-35-4 Intervention dated 4 December 2001 from Mr. Ian Smyth and Mr. Cunliffe Barnett, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club
- C-35-5 E-mail dated December 16, 2001 from Ian Smyth, on behalf of

Saturna Community Club, re: Alternate contact

- C-35-6 Fax dated December 17, 2001 from Cunliffe Barnett, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club, re:: letter of complaint re:: Public consultation for the GSX Project
- C-35-7 E-mail dated December 19, 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of Saturna Community, re: Wish to clarify that they are part of three separate applications for intervenor status with respect to GH-4-2001
- C-35-8 Presentation notes dated 19 January 2002 from C. Barnett, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club, Saturna Island scoping session
- C-35-9 Letter dated 23 March 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Island Community Club, re: Panel IR no 5 to GSX PL and request for information regarding other electricity supply options
- C-35-10 Letter dated 24 June 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Island Community Club, regarding its letter of 23 March 2002 requesting information with respect to various matters related to the GSX pipeline project
- C-35-11 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Island Community Club, referring to his letters to the Panel of 23 March 2002 and 24 June 2002 which remain unanswered by GSX PL
- C-35-12 Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Island Community Club, re: his letter dated 29 July 2002 and other previous correspondence and the response of GSX PL dated 29 August 2002
- C-35-13 Letter dated 8 November 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Island Community Club, re: Notice of Motion of the Saturna Community Club
- C-35-14 Letter dated 14 November 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Island Community Club, re: GSX PL's response to their Notice of Motion
- C-36 Saturna Marine Coalition**

- C-36-1 Intervention and letter dated 23 November 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of Saturna Community Club, Pender Island Conservancy Association, Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society, Georgia Strait Alliance and Salt Spring Conservancy (Saturna Marine Coalition)
- C-36-2 Intervention dated 30 November 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Club, Pender Islands Conservancy Association, Salt Spring Island Conservancy, Saanich Inlet protection Society, Georgia Strait Alliance and Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society, (B.C. Chapter)
- C-36-3 E-mail dated December 16, 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of Saturna Community Club, re: Alternate contact
- C-36-4 E-mail dated 30 January 2002 from Susie Washington Smyth of the Saturna Community Club, on behalf of various associations, re: pre-hearing conference
- C-36-5 Fax dated 8 February 2002 from Susie Washington Smyth and William Douglass, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club, Gulf Crab Fishers Association, Pender Islands Conservancy Association, Salt Spring Island Conservancy, Saanich Inlet Protection Society, Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (B.C. Chapter), Georgia Strait Alliance, re: pre-hearing technical conference
- C-36-6 E-mail dated 28 February 2002 from Susie Washington Smyth and William Douglass, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club, Gulf Crab Fishers Association, Pender Islands Conservancy Association, Salt Spring Island Conservancy, Saanich Inlet Protection Society, Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (B.C. Chapter), Georgia Strait Alliance to Macleod Dixon LLP requesting that the Applicant provide them with two sets of the five reports detailed in its letter
- C-36-7 Letter of 18 March 2002 from Saturna Community Club re: consultation with aboriginal peoples
- C-36-8 E-mail dated 20 March 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition re: away 24 March to 5 April, alternate contact K Symington
- C-36-9 Letter of 23 March 2002 from Saturna Community Club re: Information Request on marine portion

- C-36-10 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: comments in reply to Panel letter of 16 April 2002, crown consultation and appropriate new schedule
- C-36-11 Letter dated 19 June 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, bringing to the attention of the JRP an administrative practice within the NEB which has done serious injury to the capacity of the Marine Coalition
- C-36-12 Letter dated 26 June 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: pre-hearing technical conference on the marine portion of the environmental impact assessment
- C-36-13 Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, commenting on the 29 August 2002 letter from Counsel for GSX PL with respect to the filing of intervenor evidence and the 15 July 2002 Notice of Motion filed on behalf of GSX PL
- C-36-14 Letter dated 7 November 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, filing its Notice of Motion
- C-36-15 Letter dated 8 November 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: GSX PL's failure to discharge its obligations in a timely fashion
- C-36-16 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: filing its direct evidence
Direct evidence of Susie Washington Smyth
Appendix A - Curriculum Vitae
Appendix B - Review of Benthic Infauna and associated background documents
Appendix C - Review of marine Flora & associated background documents
Appendix D - Review of Barrier Effects & associated background documents
Appendix E - Review of Marine Mammals & associated background documents
Appendix F - Questions for the Scientific Review of the GSX PL, Glossary, Call for Action

- C-36-17 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, submitting its comments on the questions set in the Panel's letter of 25 November 2002
- C-36-18 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, filing its response to GSX PL's information request
- C-36-19 Letter dated 19 February 2003 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, advising of details regarding the hearing
- C-37 Society Promoting Environmental Conservation (SPEC) and/et the David Suzuki Foundation**
- C-37-1 Letter dated 13 November 2001 from Timothy J. Howard re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-37-2 Letter dated December 19, 2001 from Timothy Howard of Sierra Legal Defence Fund, on behalf of the Society Promoting Environmental Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation, re: Correction - Sierra Legal represents Intervenor David Suzuki Foundation
- C-37-3 E-mail dated January 8, 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund on behalf of the Society Promoting Environmental Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation, re: Request to participate in the consultation sessions on January 11 in Vancouver
- C-37-3-1 Submission dated 11 January 2002 from Dermot Foley, Energy Policy Analyst, David Suzuki Foundation, Vancouver Consultation Session
- C-37-4 Fax dated January 11, 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund on behalf of the Society Promoting Environmental Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation, re: Concerns arising from Vancouver session for GH-4-2001
- C-37-5 Fax dated 5 February 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard requesting that the Panel expand the process provided for in that letter to permit the filing of affidavit evidence and presentation of oral argument

- C-37-6 Fax dated 6 February 2002 from Mr. Tim Howard, on behalf of DSF and SPEC, re: comments in reply to Panel letter dated 22 January 2002 regarding relief from filing requirements and the proposed technical conference
- C-37-7 Fax dated 15 February 2002 from Ms. Tina Reale, Sierra Legal Defence Fund, on behalf of DSF and SPEC re: confirmation of distribution list
- C-37-8 Letter dated 6 March 2002 from the Sierra Legal Defence Fund, on behalf of SPEC and DSF, re: opposing a request by Alberta Justice for an extension to the deadline for filing evidence by the Attorney General
- C-37-9 Letter dated 13 March 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on behalf of DSF and SPEC) re: submissions, evidence and authorities of SPEC and DSF on the preliminary issue
- C-37-10 Letter dated 14 March 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on behalf of DSF and SPEC) re: comments on issue of workshop on First Nations Interests
- C-37-11 E-mail dated 20 March 2002 from Mr. Tim Howard, Sierra Legal Defense Fund, on behalf of DSF and SPEC re: inquiry about comments on the scope of the assessment from federal department
- C-37-12 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on behalf of DSF and SPEC) re: Information Requests
- C-37-13 Letter dated 6 April 2002 (should be 6 May 2002) from Sierra Legal Defence Fund on behalf of DSF and SPEC re: response to Panel letter of 16 April 2002
- C-37-14 Letter dated 12 April 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on behalf of David Suzuki Foundation & SPEC) re: request for extension of time for filing written evidence set for May 9
- C-37-15 Letter dated 18 June 2002 from Timothy J. Howard seeking direction from the Panel regarding the timing and procedure for applications by Intervenor for orders requiring GSX PL to adequately respond to IRs
- C-37-16 Letter dated 20 June 2002 from Tina Reale requesting a revised and amended List of Parties

- C-37-17 Letter dated 28 June 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard providing brief general and specific comments in response to the Panel's letter of June 27
- C-37-18 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard enclosing for service a copy of SPEC and DSF's information requests and an application to compel answers to the earlier information requests with supporting materials
- C-37-19 Letter dated 31 July 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard enclosing for service a Notice of Motion of SPEC and DSF seeking an order compelling the proponent to answer an IR regarding the environmental effects of the combustion of gas at Duke Point
- C-37-20 Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard enclosing an additional 25 copies each of the reply submission and excerpted text and authority of the SPEC and DSF, on its motion dated 9 July and 31 July 2002
- C-37-21 Letter dated 6 November 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard writing further to the proponent's supplementary response to the Intervenor's IRs as directed by the Panel in its decision of 26 September 2002
- C-37-22 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard enclosing for service a Notice of Motion of SPEC and DSF seeking a further order requiring GSX PL to comply with the Panel's order of 26 September
- C-37-23 Letter dated 27 November 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard advising that due to scheduling problems that have recently arisen, it will be serving its written evidence by courier over the weekend
- C-37-24 Letter dated 29 November 2002 to Mr. Timothy J. Howard enclosing for service copies of the written evidence of SPEC and DSF
- C-37-25 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard filing its submission on the setting down of this matter for a hearing, and as its further comments on GSX PL's confidentiality motion
- C-37-26 Fax dated 24 January 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re: response to request for further submissions regarding scheduling
- C-37-27 Fax dated 28 January 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re: JRP's

Disclosure letter of 27 January 2003

- C-37-28 Fax dated 29 January 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re: correction of error in letter of 28 January
- C-37-29 Fax dated 18 February 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re: advising of intent to rely on pre-filed written evidence
- C-38 Intervenor withdrew, see D-92**
- C-39 Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association (VIPLA)**
- C-39-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Cohen Highley re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-39-2 E-mail dated January 5, 2002 from Dave Thomson on behalf of Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association, re: Request for time of appearance at session on 14 January
- C-39-3 Presentation notes dated 14 January 2002 of Dave Thomson, Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association, Cobble Hill scoping session
- C-39-4 Letter dated 5 February 2002 from Ms. Dawn J. Kershaw, Cohen Highley, on behalf of the VIPLA, re: authorized representative
- C-39-5 Letter dated 20 February 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA, re: concerns with respect to right-of-way and temporary workspace agreements, and impacts of construction
- C-39-6 Letter dated 22 February 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA, re: request to allow two designated representatives
- C-39-7 Letter dated 26 February 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA, re: designation of authorized representative
- C-39-8 Letter dated 11 March 2002 from Mr. Dave Thomson, on behalf of VIPLA, re: supports combining the effects of emissions
- C-39-9 Letter of 28 March 2002 from Vancouver Island pipeline Landowners Association re: Information Requests
- C-39-10 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Cohen Highley on behalf of VIPLA re: response to Panel's letter of 16 April 2002

- C-39-11 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Cohen Highley, attaching an electronic copy of the written submissions on behalf of the Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners' Association
- C-39-12 Letter dated 4 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA providing its comments with respect to the GSX application proceeding to hearing
- C-39-13 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA re: telephone call respecting clarification of a document that was attached to one of the landowner's evidence in the VIPLA submission
- C-39-14 Letter dated 17 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA to Mr. Lou Rilkoff, GSX PL re: VIPLA's negotiation proposal
- C-39-15 Letter dated 23 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA requesting binders entitled "Pipeline Seismic Analysis Report dated December 2002" and a binder entitled "Pipeline Seismic Analysis Report ANSYS Results dated December 2002
- C-39-16 E-mail dated 14 January 2003 from Dawn J. Kershaw-Halligan re: schedule during the hearing
- C-39-17 Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA enclosing a complete copy of responses to information requests, including schedules and maps
- C-39-18 Letter dated 7 February 2003 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of VIPLA enclosing a supplement to the Pre-filed Evidence of VIPLA
- C-39-19 Letter dated February 20, 2003 from Cohen Highley on behalf of VIPLA
- C-39-20 Letter from Cohen Highley on behalf of VIPLA dated February 24, 2003
- C-40 Vancouver Island Advanced Technology Centre (VIATeC)**
- C-40-1 E-mail dated 25 January 2002 from Colin Lennox on behalf of the Vancouver Island Advanced Technology Centre (VIATeC) re: request for advice

- C-40-2 E-mail dated 20 March 2002 from Mr. Colin Lennox, on behalf of VIATeC, re: intent to intervene
- C-40-3 Intervention dated 27 March 2002 from Mr. Colin Lennox, on behalf of VIATeC
- C-40-4 E-mail dated 15 January 2003 from Mr. Colin Lennox, on behalf of VIATeC confirming that VIATeC is still planning to speak briefly at the hearing scheduled to start on 24 February 2003

Companies/Compagnies

C-41 B.C. Gas Utility Ltd.

- C-41-1 Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Mr. Rick Parnell, on behalf of BC Gas Utility Ltd.
- C-41-2 Mr. Rick Parnell, on behalf of BC Gas Utility Ltd., letter dated 18 February 2002, re: whether the Panel can and should consider the effects

C-42 Blue Energy Canada Inc.

- C-42-1 Martin Burger fax to the JRP dated 5 December 2001 re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

C-43 Centra Gas British Columbia Inc.

- C-43-1 Intervention dated 22 January 2002 from Geoffrey Higgins, on behalf of Centra Gas

C-44 Duke Energy Trading & Marketing, L.L.C. (DETM)

- C-44-1 Letter of Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Gordon J. Smith (John & Hengerer), on behalf of DETM

C-45 Imperial Oil Resources / Pétrolière Impériale Ressources Limitée

- C-45-1 Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Mr. C. Brian Woods, on behalf of Imperial Oil Resources

C-46 Mirant Canada Energy Marketing, Ltd. (Mirant)

- C-46-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Tomaz Lange, on behalf

of Mirant

C-47 Northern Pipeline Projects Ltd.

- C-47-1 Letter of Intervention dated 4 December 2001 from Mr. Doug Anguish, on behalf of Northern Pipeline Projects Ltd.
- C-47-2 E-mail dated 5 January 2002 from Doug Anguish, on behalf of Northern Pipeline Projects Ltd., re: registration at 12 January Workshop, 12 January First Nations session and 14 January Cobble Hill sessions

C-48 Powerex Corp. (Powerex)

- C-48-1 Fax dated 6 December from Brian Moghadam re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-48-2 Letter dated 18 July 2002 from Powerex bringing to the attention of the Panel the consequences of a delay to the in-service date of GSX

C-49 University of British Columbia

- C-49-1 Letter dated 29 April 2002 from University of British Columbia re: request for intervenor status
- C-49-2 Letter dated 24 May 2002 to the Panel from the University of British Columbia re: request for name of authorized representative
- C-49-3 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from the University of British Columbia filing its submission as an intervenor in the matter of the proposed GSX natural gas pipeline on Vancouver Island
- C-49-4 Letter dated 20 January 2002 from the University of British Columbia re: JRP's Information Request No. 29 regarding UBC Evidence dated 28 November 2002 - page 3

C-50 Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC (VW)

- C-50-1 Letter dated 17 May 2002 from Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC (VW) re: Request for intervenor status
- C-50-2 E-mail dated 24 May 2002 from Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC (VW) re: confirming their authorized representative

C-51 Westcoast Energy Inc.

- C-51-1 Intervention dated 18 February 2002 from Mr. Barry Jardine, on behalf of Westcoast Energy Inc.
- C-51-2 Letter dated 22 February 2002 from Westcoast Energy Inc., providing supplementary information in support of a request for late intervention

Governments/Gouvernements

C-52 Alberta Department of Energy / Ministère de l'Énergie de l'Alberta

- C-52-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Brent Prenevost re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-52-2 Letter dated 14 February 2002 from the Alberta Energy, re: JRP consideration of environmental effects
- C-52-3 Fax and letter dated 21 February 2002 from Alberta Energy re: authorized representative
- C-52-4 Letter dated 8 July 2002 from Alberta Energy responding to the Panel's letter of 31 January 2002
- C-52-5 Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Alberta Energy filing its submission opposing the Notices of Motion to compel answers to information requests respecting electricity
- C-52-6 Letter dated 12 September 2002 from Alberta Energy advising that it did not send its submission to certain intervenors
- C-52-7 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Alberta Energy filing its comments on motions brought by GSXCCC and Màiri McLennan for review of the Panel's reasons for decision of 18 October 2002

C-53 British Columbia Utilities Commission

- C-53-1 Letter dated 16 November 2001 from Robert J. Pellatt re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

**C-54 Department of Justice (on behalf of Environment Canada,
Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Parks Canada and**

**Natural Resources Canada) / Ministère de la Justice (pour
Environnement Canada, Ministère des Pêches et des Océans,
Parcs Canada et Ressources naturelles Canada)**

- C-54-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Catherine Badke, on behalf of Natural Resources Canada re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-54-2 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Nik Lopoukhine on behalf of Parks Canada re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-54-3 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Don Fast, on behalf of Environment Canada re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing.
- C-54-4 Intervention dated 20 December 2001 from Scott Northrup, on behalf of Fisheries and Oceans
- C-54-5 Intervention dated 20 December 2001 from Jeff Johansen, on behalf of Fisheries and Oceans
- C-54-6 Letter dated 15 January 2002 from John Clark, on behalf of Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Parks Canada and Natural Resources Canada, re: joint federal intervenors
- C-54-7 Submission dated 17 January 2002 by John Clark, Justice Canada, on behalf of four federal departments, re: comments on list of issues.
- C-54-8 Letter dated 31 January 2002 from Adrian C. Duncan, on behalf of Environment Canada, re: change of contact
- C-54-9 Letter dated 1 February 2002 from John Clark of the Department of Justice, on behalf of federal intervenors, re: adding name to mailing list
- C-54-10 Letter dated 8 February 2002 from John Clark of the Department of Justice, on behalf of federal intervenors, re: authorized representative
- C-54-11 Fax dated 11 February 2002 from Justice Canada, on behalf of 4 federal departments, re: activities with respect to First Nations
- C-54-12 Fax dated 13 February 2002 from John Clark, Counsel,

Department of Justice, on behalf of various federal intervenors, re: comments on the merits of the Panel sponsoring a pre-hearing conference

- C-54-13 Letter dated 22 February 2002 from Dave Carter, on behalf of Fisheries and Oceans, to Ms. Sharon Charles, Sencot'en Alliance, re: request to meet with respect to views on the GSX project
- C-54-14 Letter dated 28 February 2002 from Dave Carter, on behalf of Fisheries and Oceans, to a First Nations distribution list, re: request to meet with respect to views on the GSX project
- C-54-15 Letter dated 6 March 2002 from the Department of Justice, on behalf of federal departments, re: designation of authorized representatives
- C-54-16 Letter dated 15 March 2002 from Department of Justice re: response to Panel letter of 31 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon
- C-54-17 Letter dated 18 March 2002 from Department of Justice re: comments on issue of workshop on First Nations Interests
- C-54-18 Letter dated 27 March 2002 from Fisheries and Oceans re: preliminary meeting with NEB and Cowichan Tribes
- C-54-19 Letter dated 27 March 2002 from Fisheries and Oceans to Mandell Pinder re: meeting with Sencot'en Alliance
- C-54-20 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Fisheries and Oceans re: Federal Intervenor involvement in planning team
- C-54-21 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Department of Justice re: Oral Argument 9, 10 April 2002 in Sidney, BC
- C-54-22 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Department of Justice re: information requests from federal departments
- C-54-22-1 Fax dated 8 April 2002 from from Fisheries and Oceans attaching Letter from Cowichan representative re: commencing a consultation process
- C-54-23 Letter dated 11 April 2002 from Mr. Hugh MacAulay, Department of Justice Canada, on behalf of the federal intervenors, re: request for extension on reply to IR No 8.1

- C-54-24 Letter dated 25 April 2002 from Department of Justice for Federal Dept. Intervenor(s) re: response to Panel's April 4 IR No. 8.1
- C-54-25 Letter dated 8 May 2002 from Department of Justice re: proposed hearing schedule
- C-54-26 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from the Department of Justice to Macleod Dixon LLP filing its Information Request No. 2
- C-54-27 Letter dated 29 July 2002 from the Department of Justice filing its Notice of Motion dated 26 July 2002
- C-54-28 Letter dated 27 August 2002 from the Department of Justice responding to the Panel's letter dated 19 August 2002 requesting clarification of Environment Canada's Information Request No. 2.3(5)
- C-54-29 Letter dated 4 October 2002 from the Department of Justice requesting an extension to respond to Information Request No. 14
- C-54-30 Letter dated 4 October 2002 from the Department of Justice filing its response to Information Request No. 12
- C-54-31 Letter dated 17 October 2002 from the Department of Justice filing the federal intervenor's response to Information Request No. 14
- C-54-32 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from the Department of Justice filing intervenor evidence of Parks Canada
- C-54-33 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from the Department of Justice filing the federal intervenor's response to Information Request No. 16 and the written evidence and witness lists of Environment Canada and Fisheries and Oceans Canada
- C-54-34 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from the Department of Justice filing the federal intervenor's response to Information Request No. 20
- C-54-35 Letter dated 23 January 2003 from the Department of Justice filing Environment Canada's response
- C-54-36 Letter dated February 20, 2003 from Department of Justice Canada re federal intervenor's list of witnesses
- C-54-37 Updated evidence of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans on the GSX Pipeline

C-55 Islands Trust Council / Conseil d'Islands Trust

- C-55-1 Letter of comment dated 26 November 2001 from David Essig, Chair, Islands Trust Council
- C-55-2 Letter dated 5 December 2001 from Wayne H. Wright and Em Round, North Pender Island Local Trustees, on behalf of the Islands Trust, re: request to hold a public consultation session on North Pender Island
- C-55-3 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from David Essig, Chair, Islands Trust Council
- C-55-4 Letter dated 13 December 2001 from David Essig, on behalf of Islands Trust, re: session on North Pender Island
- C-55-5 Fax dated 12 February 2002 from David Essig, on behalf of the Islands Trust Council, re: pre-hearing technical conference.
- C-55-6 Letter dated 25 November 2002 from David Essig filing his written evidence
- C-55-7 Letter dated 10 January 2003 from David Essig to Macleod Dixon LLP providing the documents requested
- C-55-8 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from David Essig enclosing his response to Information Request No. 27
- C-55-9 (No document presented)

C-56 Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of British Columbia (the Province)

- C-56-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Karen Koncohrade re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
- C-56-2 Letter dated 19 December 2001 from Karen Koncohrade, adding 2 names to list of representatives
- C-56-3 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Christopher Jones, of Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: presentation in Victoria
- C-56-4 Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Christopher Jones, of Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: written comments as part of

the public consultation process

- C-56-5 Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Karen Koncohrade, on behalf of the Province, re: Information Request No.2
- C-56-6 Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Christopher Jones of Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: contact information
- C-56-7 Fax dated 14 February 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: reply to Panel letter dated 31 January 2002, concerning authority to consider certain environmental effects
- C-56-8 Letter of 15 March 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: preparing a revised response to Information Request No. 2
- C-56-9 Letter of 22 March 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: Reply Submissions
- C-56-10 Letter of 28 March 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: request to receive correspondence in a timely manner
- C-56-11 Fax dated 12 April 2002 from Mr. Christopher Jones, Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province re: IR response No. 2 and 8.1
- C-56-12 Letter dated 3 May 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: their response to Mr. Davies letter of 29 April 2002
- C-56-13 Letter dated 19 June 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province filing its response to the Panel's Information Request No. 10
- C-56-14 Letter dated 24 June 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province, responding to the SPEC and DSF letter dated 18 June 2002
- C-56-15 Letter dated 3 July 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the Province responding to a letter from the Panel dated 27 June 2002 setting out a schedule for applications by intervenors to compel answers to information requests
- C-56-16 Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Christopher Jones filing the submissions of the Province with respect to motions brought to compel the applicant to respond to certain information requests

- C-56-17 Letter dated 7 October 2002 from Christopher Jones filing the Province's response to the Panel's Information Request No. 14
- C-56-18 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Christopher Jones filing the Province's response to the Panel's Information Request No. 16
- C-56-19 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Christopher Jones submitting that the application should be set down for hearing
- C-56-20 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Christopher Jones advising of an error in his letter dated 9 December 2002
- C-56-21 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Christopher Jones filing submissions with respect to the two motions requesting a review of the Panel's decision of 26 September 2002
- C-56-22 Letter dated 16 December 2002 from Christopher Jones replying to the Panel's letter of 25 November 2002
- C-56-23 Letter dated 17 December 2002 from Christopher Jones re: service of intervenors' evidence
- C-56-24 Letter dated 6 January 2003 from Karen Koncohrade filing the Province's response to the Panel's Information Request No. 24
- C-56-25 Letter dated 8 January 2003 from Christopher Jones in response to GSXCCC's motion dated 16 December 2002
- C-56-26 Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Christopher Jones in response to the Panel's letter of 17 January 2003 concerning the readiness of this matter to be set down for hearing
- C-56-27 Letter dated 13 February 2003 from Christopher Jones in response to the Panel's letter dated 31 January 2003 re: seeking clarification with regard to the purpose of Information Request No. 35.1
- C-56-28 Letter dated February 20, 2003 from C. Jones on behalf of Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of British Columbia re relevance of information respecting other ways to produce electricity
- C-57-1 Letter dated February 18, 2003 from Bull Housser & Tupper on behalf of Norske Canada re requesting late intervenor status

D-1 Alberta Department of Justice

- D-1-1 Letter dated 4 March 2002 to Joint Review Panel re: Effects of Combustion and Attached List of Attorneys General.
- D-1-2 Letter dated 6 March 2002 to Joint Review Panel re: Request for Extension.
- D-1-3 Letter dated 27 March 2002 to Joint Review Panel re: Reply to Ms. Dudley Rollinson's Letter.
- D-2 Anderson**
- D-2-1 Copy of Article dated 9 January 2002 from Saanich News received 23 January 2002 from Mr. Anderson.
- D-3 Arbess, Saul**
- D-3-1 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Saul Arbess re: Oral Presentation in Victoria.
- D-3-2 Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Dr. Saul Arbess, on behalf of the Council of Canadians, Victoria Chapter, at Victoria scoping session.
- D-4 BC Hydro**
- D-4-1 Letter dated 29 July 2002 to Snuneymuxw First Nation re: Title and Treaty Rights.
- D-5 Beaubier, Hiram**
- D-5-1 E-mail dated 20 January 2002 from Hiram Beaubier, re: Questions regarding Website.
- D-5-2 E-mail dated 21 January 2002 from Hiram Beaubier, re: Comments on Scope of GSX Review.
- D-6 Bonner, Virginia and/et Ralph**
- D-6-1 Letter dated 14 January 2002 from Virginia M. Bonner and Ralph G. Bonner to Minister David Anderson and NEB, re: GSX.
- D-7 British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office**
- D-7-1 Letter dated 24 June 2002 from British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office re: Review of Application for a Project

Certificate under Environmental Assessment Act for the
Vancouver Island Generation Project.

D-8 Campbell River, District of/Campbell River, District de

D-8-1 Letter of acknowledgement dated 30 November 2001 from the
Mayor of Campbell River.

D-8-2 Letter dated 28 December 2001 from Mayor James Lornie re:
support of the Georgia Strait Crossing Project.

D-9 Christison, Ian (Morningside Farms Ltd.)

D-9-1 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Ian Christison, of
Morningside Farms Ltd. at Public Consultation Sessions.

D-10 Colwood, City of/Colwood, Ville de

D-10-1 Presentation notes of Beth Gibson, Mayor of Colwood, at scoping
session held 17 January 2002 in Victoria.

D-10-2 Letter dated 12 February 2002 from the City of Colwood, re:
Council motion supporting the Georgia Straight Pipeline Project.

D-11 Concert Properties

D-11-1 Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Concert Properties Ltd. re:
compensation for Cobble Hill Quarry Lands.

D-11-2 Letter dated 13 May 2002 from Concert Properties Ltd. re:
compensation for Cobble Hill Quarry lands.

D-12 Conrad, Norman C.

D-12-1 E-mail dated 6 January 2002 from Norman C. Conrad, re:
registration for 17 Jan 02 public consultation session.

D-13 Cooke, Roy

D-13-1 Letter dated 16 December 2001 from Roy Cooke, re: objection to
the proposed natural gas line.

D-14 Cowichan Valley Raging Grannies

D-14-1 Comments dated 14 January 2002 from Laura Fisher on behalf of

the Cowichan Valley Raging Grannies.

D-15 Department of Justice (Nova Scotia)

D-15-1 Letter dated 6 March 2002 from Department of Justice (Nova Scotia) re: Request to defer decision.

D-16 Duncan, Loren

D-16-1 E-mail dated 20 December 2001 from Loren Duncan re: registration for 17 January 2002 Public Consultation Session.

D-17 EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.

D-17-1 Letter dated 18 July 2002 from EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd. Re: Clarification of Representation and Conflict of Interest.

D-18 Faulkner, Susan

D-18-1 Submission dated 14 January 2002 from Susan Faulkner.

D-19 Field, Dorothy

D-19-1 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Dorothy Field re: registration for the 17 January 2002 Public Consultation Session.

D-19-2 Submission dated 14 January 2002 from Dorothy Field.

D-20 Fox, Charlie

D-20-1 Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Charlie Fox, re: comments to Joint Panel.

D-21 Friends of Ecological Reserves

D-21-1 Letter dated 9 April 2002 from Friends of Ecological Reserves re: request for a meeting with the Minister Responsible for Water, Land and Air Protection, Province of BC.

D-21-2 Letter dated 5 December 2002 from Friends of Ecological Reserves re: Comments on GSX project on Ecological Reserve 67 and Georgia Strait.

- D-22** **Fyles, R.A.**
- D-22-1 Submission (undated) from Richard Fyles, Pender Island scoping session (19 January 2002).
- D-23** **Fyles, Shirley J.**
- D-23-1 Submission dated 12 January 2002 from Shirley Fyles, Pender Island scoping session.
- D-24** **Gartshore, Ian E.**
- D-24-1 Letter dated 22 April 2002 from Ian E. Gartshore re: opposition to proposed pipeline and reasons.
- D-25** **Haines, M.**
- D-25-1 M. Haines letter of comment to the Joint Review Panel dated 27 November 2001.
- D-26** **Hamilton, Rodger**
- D-26-1 Presentation by Rodger Hamilton dated 14 January 2002 at Cobble Hill.
- D-27** **Hawkins, Ron**
- D-27-1 E-mail dated 23 January 2002 from Ron Hawkins, re: Columbia River Treaty downstream benefits.
- D-27-2 E-mail dated 23 January 2002 from Ron Hawkins, re: GSX Pipeline Proposal to Vanc. Isl.
- D-28** **Henley, Michael**
- D-28-1 E-mail dated 24 January 2002 from Michael Henley, re: submission guidelines.
- D-29** **Hennell, Valerie**
- D-29-1 E-mail dated 27 March 2002 from Ms. Valerie Hennell, re: opposition to Georgia Straight Crossing and gas power plant in Nanaimo

D-30 Hertzog, Stuart

D-30-1 Presentation and Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Stuart Hertzog, re: comments on the proposed routing and the safety of travellers to and from Vancouver Island.

D-31 Hodges, Ann

D-31-1 E-mail dated 5 December 2001 from Ann Hodges, re: letter of comment.

D-32 Hood, Phyllis

D-32-1 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Ms. Phyllis Hood at the Public Consultation Sessions.

D-33 Huneck, Lavonne

D-33-1 Presentation by Lavonne Huneck on 14 January 2002 at Cobble Hill.

D-34 Hupacasath First Nation/Première nation Hupacasath

D-34-1 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Chief Councillor Judith Sayers, on behalf of the Hupacasath First Nation, re: presentation at 14 January 2002 Public Consultation Session.

D-34-2 E-mail dated 10 January 2002 from Chief Councillor Judith Sayers, on behalf of the Hupacasath First Nation, re: Cobble Hill presentation.

D-34-3 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Judith Sayers, Chief Councillor, on behalf of the Hupacasath First Nation at the Public Consultation Sessions.

D-35 Kaller, E.

D-35-1 Letter of comment dated 5 December 2001 from E. Kaller.

D-36 Keller, Ralph

D-36-1 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Ralph Keller, BC Green Party at the Public Consultation Sessions.

- D-37** **Kendrick, Bryce**
- D-37-1 E-mail dated 15 January 2002 from Bryce Kendrick, re: 5 considerations for the Panel.
- D-38** **La Flam, Guy**
- D-38-1 E-mail dated 6 January 2002 from Guy La Flam, re: presentation at Cobble Hill.
- D-39** **Lamb, Alan**
- D-39-1 Letter of comment dated 1 March 2002 from Allan Lamb, re: support for GSX project.
- D-40** **Lane, Arne H.**
- D-40-1 Letter of comment dated 23 February 2002 from Dr. Arne H. Lane, re: support for GSX project.
- D-41** **Lappin, Jack**
- D-41-1 Comment submitted by Mr. Jack Lappin on 14 January 2002 at Public Consultation Sessions.
- D-42** **Levitt, Maureen**
- D-42-1 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Maureen Levitt, re: presentation at Cobble Hill.
- D-43** **Life On Earth**
- D-43-1 Ralph C. Goodwin e-mail to the Joint Review Panel dated 14 November 2001 re Application documents and procedural information to acquire intervenor status.
- D-43-2 Ralph C. Goodwin e-mail to the Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency dated 20 November 2001 re Information required to proceed to file a funding application.
- D-44** **MacDonald, J.C.F.**
- D-44-1 Letter of comment dated 22 February 2002 from J.C.F. Macdonald, re: support of GSX project.

- D-45 Maher, Kevin**
- D-45-1 E-mail dated 29 December 2001 from Mr. Kevin Maher, re: consultation session in Cobble Hill 14 January 2002
- D-46 Malcolmson, Claire**
- D-46-1 Letter of Comment from Claire Malcolmson dated 12 December 2001.
- D-47 Martin, Bo**
- D-47-1 E-mail dated January 7, 2002 from Bo Martin, re: Registration for Victoria session.
- D-48 Mayba, John**
- D-48-1 Presentation by John Mayba on 14 January 2002 at Cobble Hill.
- D-49 McDonald, J.A.**
- D-49-1 Letter of comment dated 28 February 2002 from J.A. McDonald, re: support for GSX project.
- D-50 McMahon, Martha**
- D-50-1 Letter dated 24 January 2002 from Martha McMahon, re: consultation process considerations.
- D-51 Meeres, Margaret**
- D-51-1 Submission dated 18 January 2002 from Margaret Meeres, Saturna Island scoping session.
- D-52 Miles, Justine**
- D-52-1 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Justine Miles, re: presentation at Cobble Hill.
- D-52-2 Presentation notes dated 17 January 2002 from Justine Miles, scoping session in Victoria.
- D-53 Miller, Dusty**

- D-53-1 E-mail dated 15 January 2002 from Dusty Miller re: presentation in Victoria.
- D-53-2 E-mail dated 15 January 2002 from Dusty Miller re: request to be one of first presenters.
- D-53-3 Presentation and submission dated 17 January 2002 from Dusty Miller, scoping session in Victoria.
- D-54 Ministry of Health, British Columbia**
- D-54-1 E-mail dated 14 February 2002 from Ministry of Health, British Columbia re: Inquiring about GSX Project.
- D-55 Mortimer, Kate**
- D-55-1 Letter of Comment dated 15 January 2002 from Kate Mortimer.
- D-56 Morton, E. Ralph**
- D-56-1 Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Edwin Ralph Morton
- D-56-2 Letter of Comment dated 21 November 2002 from Edwin Ralph Morton
- D-57 Nanaimo, City of/Nanaimo, Ville de**
- D-57-1 Fax dated 18 February 2002 from the City of Nanaimo, re: Council motion supporting the Vancouver Island Electricity Generation Project and the Georgia Straight Crossing Pipeline Project.
- D-58 Newman, Virginia**
- D-58-1 Letter of Comment dated 16 November 2001 from Virginia Newman.
- D-59 Norris, George and/et Phyllis**
- D-59-1 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by George and Phyllis Norris at Public Consultation Sessions.
- D-60 Office of the Secretary to The Lieutenant-Governor (Province of British Columbia)**

- D-60-1 Letter dated 5 March 2002 from Office of the Secretary to The Lieutenant-Governor (Province of British Columbia) re: support for project.
- D-60-2 Letter dated 14 June 2002 to Joint Review Panel from Office of the Secretary to The Lieutenant-Governor (Province of British Columbia) re: clarification of March 5, 2002 correspondence.
- D-61 Olynyk, Jane**
- D-61-1 Letter of 10 March 2002 from Jane Olynyk re: concerns with harmful effects of project.
- D-62 Peramaki, B.L.**
- D-62-1 Presentation notes dated 18 January 2002 from B.L. Peramaki, Saturna Island scoping session.
- D-63 Roberts, Isabel**
- D-63-1 Submission dated 19 January 2002 from Isabel Roberts, Pender Island scoping session.
- D-63-2 E-mail dated January 22, 2002 at 12:53 pm from Isabel Roberts, re: GSX Pipeline Project Joint Review Panel presentation.
- D-64 Rose, Mary**
- D-64-1 Letter of comment dated 20 February 2002 from Mary Rose, re: protest extension of a gas pipeline to Vancouver Island.
- D-65 Saanich Inlet Protection Society**
- D-65-1 Letter dated January 17, 2002 from Frances Pugh, on behalf of Saanich Inlet Protection Society, re: submission of concerns and comments re. the proposed Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Project.
- D-66 Salt Spring Island Conservancy**
- D-66-1 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Andrew Lewis, on behalf of the Saltspring Conservancy re: 16 January 2002 Saltspring Consultation Session.

- D-67** **Scott, Rowena**
- D-67-1 E-mail dated January 4, 2002 from Rowena Scott, re: Request to participate in session in Vancouver.
- D-68** **Sierra Club of British Columbia**
- D-68-1 Submission dated 17 January 2002 from the Sierra Club of British Columbia, Victoria scoping session.
- D-69** **Smith, Susan M.**
- D-69-1 E-mail dated 8 December 2001 from Susan Smith to CEAA, re: comments on GSX.
- D-70** **Smyth, Susie Washington**
- D-70-1 E-mail from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, re: personal comments regarding whether the Panel has the authority to consider end use impacts
- D-71** **Sterk, Jane**
- D-71-1 Presentation dated 17 January 2002 from Jane Sterk, Victoria scoping session.
- D-72** **Stobbart, Marcia**
- D-72-1 Submission from Marcia Stobbart on 14 January 2002 at Cobble Hill.
- D-73** **Storey, Randy**
- D-73-1 E-mail from Mr. Randy Storey, re: voicing concerns over GSX PL and describing its flaws.
- D-74** **Taylor, Graeme**
- D-74-1 E-mail dated January 18, 2002 from Graeme Taylor, re: Concerns about GH-4-2001.
- D-75** **Taylor, Jill**
- D-75-1 Presentation dated 19 January 2002 from Jill Taylor, Pender Island scoping session.

D-76 Tebbitt, Jillian

- D-76-1 Presentation notes dated 18 January 2002 from Jillian Tebbitt, Saturna Island scoping session.
- D-76-2 Letter dated 18 April 2002 from Jillian Tebbitt re: objection to the project.

D-77 Thorgeirson, Trudy

- D-77-1 E-mail dated 31 December 2001 from Trudy Thorgeirson, re: registration at Cobble Hill.
- D-77-2 E-mail dated January 9, 2002 from Trudy Thorgeirson: Follow up to phone message of January 9, 2002 - will only be able to speak on January 14, 2002 at the Cobble Hill session in the afternoon.
- D-77-3 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Trudy Thorgeirson at Public Consultation Sessions.

D-78 Thornton, Glenn

- D-78-1 E-mail dated January 6, 2002 from Glenn Thornton, re: Letter of comment for GH-4-2001.
- D-78-2 Letter dated 20 September 2002 from Glenn Thornton, re: Safety Related Questions to the Joint Review Panel.

D-79 Truswell, Gordon

- D-79-1 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Gordon Truswell, of GT Farms Ltd., at Public Consultation Sessions.

D-80 Veuger, Fred

- D-80-1 Letter dated 17 January 2002 from Fred Veuger re: Aquatic life, Environment

D-81 Vrkic, Damir

- D-81-1 Letter dated 29 July 2002 from Damir Vrkic re: Comments on economic reliability and security.

D-82 VWVulcan Energy of Canada, Ltd.

D-82-1 Letter dated 25 January 2002 from David Seymour on behalf of
VWVulcan Energy of Canada, Ltd., re; comments by Beth Gibson.

D-83 Wadds, Morris

D-83-1 E-mail dated January 7, 2002 from Morris Wadds, re: Registration
for session at Cobble Hill.

D-83-2 Submission by Morris E. Wadds and Bonnie C. Wadds on 14
January 2002 at Cobble Hill.

D-83-3 E-mail dated January 22, 2002 from Morris Wadds, re: GH-4-2001
Supplementary submission- Jan 14, presentation in Cobble Hill.

D-83-4 E-mail from Mr. M. Wadds dated 2 February 2002, forwarded
from the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office

D-83-5 Letter from Mr. M. Wadds dated 18 January 2003 re: Piteau &
Associates Hydrological Assessment of the Proposed Georgia
Strait Crossing Report

D-84 Wightman, W. Esther

D-84-1 Submission dated 11 January 2002 and from W. Esther Wightman
at 14 January Public Consultation.

D-85 Williams, J.D.

D-85-1 Letter dated 29 November 2001 from J.D. Williams, re: comments
on GSX project

D-86 Wyton, Bernadette

D-86-1 Presentation by Bernadette Wyton on 14 January 2002 at Cobble
Hill.

D-87 Wyton, Keith

D-87-1 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Keith Wyton at Public
Consultation Session.

D-88 Young, Sonja

D-88-1 Fax dated 2 January 2002 from Sonja Young, re: registration for Victoria session.

D-88-2 Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Sonja Young, Victoria scoping session.

D-89 Dimozantos, Michael A.

D-89-1 Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Michael A. Dimozantos

D-89-2 Letter dated 15 February 2002 from Michael A. Dimozantos to Macleod Dixon LLP, counsel for GSX PL attaching questions

D-89-3 Letter dated 5 March 2002 from M. Dimozantos re: provincial and federal jurisdiction issues

D-89-4 Letter dated 31 January 2003 from M. Dimozantos re: withdrawing as an intervenor

D-90 LeSeur, Joan

D-90-1 Submission dated 14 January 2002 by Joan LeSeur at the Public Consultation Sessions

D-90-2 Intervention dated 22 January 2002 from Joan LeSeur

D-90-3 Fax dated 11 February 2002 from Joan LeSeur, re: filing of certain gas supply information

D-90-4 Fax dated 3 February 2003 from Joan LeSeur re: withdrawing as an intervenor

D-91 Legg, George

D-91-1 E-mail dated 7 February 2003 from George Legg submitting comments on the GSX Canada Pipeline Project - VIGP - Sovereignty

D-92 Underwater Harvesters Association

D-92-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Grant Dovey re Intervenor Status

in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing

- D-92-2 E-mail dated December 19, 2001 from Grant Dovey, on behalf of the Underwater Harvesters Association, requesting confirmation on their application for intervenor status
- D-92-3 E-mail dated 24 December 2001 from Grant Dovey on behalf of the Underwater Harvesters Association, re: correction to e-mail address
- D-92-4 Letter dated 16 February 2003 from Grant Dovey on behalf of the Underwater Harvesters Association, re: withdrawing as an intervenor
- D-93 Dzugalo, Ed**
- D-93-1 Letter dated 5 June 2002 from Ed Dzugalo submitting comments on the GSX Canada Pipeline Project
- D-94-1 Letter dated February 21, 2003 from Hillsborough Resources Limited re observation of the hearing

1 --- Upon commencing at 10:01 a.m./L'audience débute à 10h01

2
3 **THE CLERK:** All rise, ladies and gentlemen.

4
5 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Good morning, ladies and gentlemen.
6 Bonjour, mesdames and messieurs.

7
8 My name is Elizabeth Quarshie and I am the Chair of the Joint
9 Review Panel established by the Minister of the Environment and the National
10 Energy Board to consider the pipeline application for Georgia Strait Crossing
11 Pipeline Limited.

12
13 The other two members of the Joint Panel are Mr. Rowland
14 Harrison to my right and the Honourable Bryan Williams to my left.

15
16 On April 24th, 2001, Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Limited, or
17 the Applicant, applied, pursuant to Part III and Part IV of the *National Energy*
18 *Board Act*, for a Certificate of Public Convenience and Necessity and for related
19 orders for a 60-kilometre pipeline, 406-millimetre outside diameter, connecting at
20 a point at the Canada-U.S. border in Boundary Pass east of Saturna Island to a
21 connection with the Centra Gas B.C. Pipeline Inc. at the west point of Shawnigan
22 Lake on Vancouver Island.

23
24 Prior to the filing of the application under the *National Energy*
25 *Board Act*, the National Energy Board referred the GSX Canada Pipeline Project
26 to the Minister of the Environment for referral to a Review Panel under the
27 *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*.

28
29 On the 4th of October 2000, the Minister announced a referral of
30 the project to a Review Panel, and by an agreement dated 15th August 2001, the
31 Board and the Minister of the Environment agreed to establish a Joint Review
32 Panel with a mandate under the *National Energy Board Act* and the *Canadian*
33 *Environment Assessment Act*.

34
35 Under the *Canadian Environmental Assessment Act*, this Panel is
36 to make recommendations concerning environmental assessment matters related
37 to the proposed pipeline. Under the *National Energy Board Act*, this Panel must
38 make a decision as to whether or not the proposed pipeline is in the public
39 convenience and necessity.

40 In order to make its recommendations and decision, the Panel will
41 consider the evidence filed by the Applicant, intervenors and other parties during
42 the public hearing process.

43
44 The Panel intends to examine the issues set out in the Revised List
45 of Issues which was released with the ruling from the Panel dated 31 May 2001.
46 The Panel would ask that participants in this proceeding bear in mind the List of
47 Issues which was determined following public consultation sessions last year.

48
49 As well, as parties are aware, there have been numerous rulings by
50 the Panel on pre-hearing motions. Some of those rulings specifically address
51 questions regarding the scope of matters that are relevant to this proceeding.

52
53 Parties are asked to be mindful of these previous decisions of the
54 Panel when they cross-examine other parties, offer their own oral evidence and
55 make their submissions.

56
57 The Panel plans to sit each day from 9:30 a.m. to 12:30 p.m. and
58 from 2:00 p.m. till 4:00 p.m. In addition, the Panel will sit tomorrow evening at
59 7:00 p.m. in order to hear from parties who only wish to make oral comments to
60 the Panel. If it appears that additional time is needed in order to complete the
61 hearing on time, the Panel may reconsider these sitting times at a later date.

62
63 The Panel is concerned that hearing time be used efficiently and
64 effectively. There has been a great deal of evidence exchanged prior to the
65 commencement of this oral hearing. There has also been a Marine Technical
66 Conference. These pre-hearing activities were designed to make all of the parties
67 aware of the evidence in advance of the hearing and make the oral hearing more
68 efficient. The purpose of cross-examination at the oral hearing is to test the
69 pre-filed evidence of the Applicant and the parties.

70 The Panel calls upon parties to direct their cross-examination to
71 those portions of the evidence that are relevant to the issues in this hearing, that
72 are in dispute and are of consequence. The Panel will not allow "sweetheart"
73 cross-examinations, which is a cross-examination of a witness by a party who is
74 not adverse in interest to the witness. Parties should not use cross-examination to
75 enter their own evidence or argument. The Panel also asks that parties avoid
76 repeating cross-examination in areas that have already been canvassed by another
77 party in cross-examination and responded to by the Applicant or another party.
78 Parties are encouraged to talk to other intervenors and review transcripts in order
79 to make sure that their areas of questioning have not already been appropriately
80 responded to by a witness.

If any party has a special need with respect to the timing of their appearance or cross-examination, the Panel asks that they first try to make arrangements with other parties and Panel Counsel before bringing the matter to the attention of the Panel. It is very important to make prior arrangements because if you are not present when called upon at any point in this hearing, you will lose the opportunity to speak on that matter or cross-examine that particular witness panel unless you have made alternative arrangements through counsel. The Panel will try to accommodate parties' schedules to a reasonable extent but must also consider the need for fairness to all parties, timing constraints and the efficient running of the hearing.

LIST OF PRE-FILED EXHIBITS:

National Energy Board/Office national de l'énergie

- | | |
|-----|--|
| A-1 | Letter dated 2 October 2001 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL, requesting comments on the proposed schedule and Information Request No. 1 |
| A-2 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Lydia Hwitsum, Cowichan Tribes re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-3 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Vern Jacks, Tseycum First Nation, re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-4 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Mr. Andrew Bok, Tsawwassenn First Nation re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-5 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Earl Jack Sr., Penelakut First Nation re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-6 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Chief Vincent Harry, Malahat First Nation re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-7 | Letter dated 16 October 2001 to Ms. Sharon Charles, Sencot'en Alliance re: First Nation Information Sessions |
| A-8 | Letter dated 17 October 2001 to Tom Hackney re: response to his 12 October 2001 email requesting information about public information sessions |

120	A-9	Public hearing procedure announced for Georgia Strait Crossing
121		Pipeline Limited application (9 November 2001), (Directions on
122		Procedure) / L'ONÉ fournit des instructions sur le déroulement de
123		l'audience publique portant sur la demande de Georgia Strait
124		Crossing Pipeline Limited (9 novembre 2001) (Instructions
125		concernant la procédure)
126		
127	A-10	Letter dated 26 November 2001 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
128		GSX PL, re: granting relief from including a map in the notice to
129		be published
130		
131	A-11	Letter dated 26 November 2001 to GSX Concerned Citizens
132		Coalition re: response to their letter dated 24 October 2001
133		
134	A-12	Letter dated 26 November 2001 to Saturna Island Community Club
135		re: response to their letter (undated) which addresses procedural
136		and substantive issues related to the Project
137		
138	A-13	E-mail dated 27 November 2001 to Islands Trust re: Information
139		on Intervention Procedures
140		
141	A-14	Letter dated 29 November 2001 to Gregory J. McDade, Ratcliff &
142		Company re: response to letter dated 5 November 2001 addressing
143		procedural and substantive issues related to the Project
144		
145	A-15	E-mail dated 30 November 2001 to Anthony Fisher re: Intervenor
146		Procedures
147		
148	A-16	E-mail dated 5 December 2001 to Samuel Bowes re: Intervenor
149		Funding Application
150		
151	A-17	Letter dated 17 December 2001 to Saturna Community Club re:
152		Tour of Saturna Island
153		
154	A-18	Letter dated 17 December 2001 to Saturna Community Club et al,
155		Island Trust and GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition re: proposed
156		pre-hearing conference on the marine portion
157		
158	A-19	Letter dated 18 December 2001 to All Parties to Order GH-4-2001
159		with attached List of Parties / Lettre de la Commission à Toutes les
160		parties à l'instance GH-4-2001, datée le 18 décembre 2001, avec la
161		liste des parties

162
163 A-20 Letter dated 18 December 2001 to Mr. Cunliffe Barnett, Mr. Ian
164 Smyth, Mrs. S. Washington-Smyth, Mr. Arthur Caldicott, Mr.
165 Philip Marchant, Mr. Don Skerik, Mr. Steve Miller and Mrs. Dodie
166 Miller re: possible duplicate interventions
167
168 A-21 E-mail dated 19 December 2001 to Steve Miller re:
169 Correspondence of Concerns Directed to Panel
170
171 A-22 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to FERC re: invitation to lead a
172 break-out group at workshop
173
174 A-23 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to GSX Concerned Citizens
175 Coalition (Mr. A. Caldicott) acknowledging receipt of e-mail dated
176 17 December 2001
177
178 A-24 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to Saturna Community Club (Mr.
179 C. Barnett) responding to 17 December 2001 letter
180
181 A-25 Letter dated 21 December 2001 to Island Trust (Mr. D. Essig, Mr.
182 W. Wright, and Mr. E. Round) responding to letters dated 5 and 13
183 December 2001
184
185 A-26 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 to Anthony Fisher re: Response to
186 Various Questions
187
188 A-27 Letter dated 10 January 2002 to Parties named in distribution list
189 with attached Information Request No. 2
190
191 A-28 Letter dated 10 January 2002 to Mr. S. Miller and Mrs. D. Miller
192 responding to 30 December 2001 letter.
193
194 A-29 Letter dated 10 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
195 PL with attached Information Request No. 3
196
197 A-30 Letter dated 11 January 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
198 (Mr. T. Hackney) responding to motion dated 9 January 2002
199
200 A-31 Letter dated 22 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
201 PL, Saturna Community Club et al (Mrs. S. Washington-Smyth)
202 and Islands Trust (Ms. L. Adams) re Filing of Certain Gas Supply
203 Information and Pre-hearing Technical Conference

204		
205	A-32	Letter dated 25 January 2002 to Distribution List re: possible
206		duplicate interventions
207		
208	A-33	Letter dated 31 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
209		PL re: formal process to determine whether or not proposed issues
210		to be considered at the hearing
211		
212	A-34	Letter dated 31 January 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 -
213		Procedural Update
214		
215	A-35	Letter dated 31 January 2002 to All Parties to Hearing Order GH-
216		4-2001 with attached List of Issues
217		
218	A-36	Letter dated 1 February 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
219		PL with attached Information Request No. 4
220		
221	A-37	Letter dated 7 February 2002 to Mr. Timothy J. Howard
222		
223	A-38	Letter dated 11 February 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
224		GSX PL re: placement of transcripts from Public Consultation
225		Sessions
226		
227	A-39	Letter dated 22 February 2002 to Mr. Timothy Howard re: revised
228		timelines for comments on emissions question set out by the Panel
229		on 31 January 2002
230		
231	A-40	Letter dated 25 February 2002 to Ms. Dawn J. Kershaw, Cohen
232		Highley LLP re: Amendments to List of Parties: Election of
233		Authorized Representative
234		
235	A-41	E-mail dated 26 February 2002 to Duke Energy Trading re:
236		Election of Authorized Representative to Receive Materials
237		
238	A-42	Letter dated 1 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
239		re: disclosure of Mr. Williams
240		
241	A-43	Letter dated 1 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
242		re: the late applications for intervenor status of Centra Gas British
243		Columbia Inc. and Westcoast Energy Inc.
244		

245	A-44	Letter dated 1 March 2002 to Parties who have not elected an
246		authorized representative re: election of authorized representatives
247		
248	A-45	E-mail dated 1 March 2002 to Gulf Crab Fishers Association re:
249		Confirmation of Authorized Representative
250		
251	A-46	E-mail dated 4 March 2002 to Samuel Bowes re: Distribution of
252		Documents
253		
254	A-47	E-mail dated 4 March 2002 to John Parker re: Distribution of
255		Documents
256		
257	A-48	Letter dated 8 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
258		re: First Nations Consultation and the Board's Memorandum of
259		Guidance on consultation with Aboriginal Peoples
260		
261	A-49	Letter dated 12 March 2002 to Environment Canada, Natural
262		Resources Canada, Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Parks
263		Canada Agency re: involvement of federal government
264		departments in the process
265		
266	A-50	Letter dated 12 March 2002 to Nova Scotia Department of Justice
267		re: response to letter regarding the participation of their client in
268		the proceeding
269		
270	A-51	Letter dated 12 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
271		Proceeding re: pre-hearing technical conference on technical and
272		scientific issues relating to the marine portion of the project
273		
274	A-52	Letter dated 12 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
275		Proceeding re: amended list of parties
276		
277	A-53	Letter dated 12 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
278		Proceeding re: Joint Review Panel Procedural Update Letter No. 2
279		
280	A-54	Letter dated 13 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
281		Proceeding re: further to Panel letters of 31 January and 22
282		February 2002, intent to hear argument from parties who provide
283		submissions on the matter referred to in these letters
284		

285	A-55	Letter dated 13 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
286		Proceeding re: Decision: distribution of documents before the start
287		of the hearing effective 1 April 2002
288		
289	A-56	Letter dated 13 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
290		PL re: Information Request No. 5
291		
292	A-57	Letter dated 15 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
293		PL re: Information Request No. 6
294		
295	A-58	Letter dated 15 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
296		Proceeding re: filing of certain gas supply information
297		
298	A-59	Letter dated 21 March 2002 to British Columbia Public Interest
299		Advocacy Centre re: appearing by telephone conference call for
300		oral argument
301		
302	A-60	Letter dated 22 March 2002 to all Parties re: amendment to
303		Amended List of Parties related to change of representative for
304		GSXCCC
305		
306	A-61	Letter dated 25 March 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
307		PL re: reply to Sencot'en Alliance submissions filed 18 March
308		2002
309		
310	A-62	Letter dated 25 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
311		Proceeding re: pre-hearing conference on technical and scientific
312		issues relating to the marine portion of the project.
313		
314	A-63	Letter dated 27 March 2002 to All Parties re: amendment to
315		Amended List of Parties related to Mr. Skerik electing to receive
316		all documents
317		
318	A-64	Letter dated 27 March 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
319		Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 3, evidence and information
320		requests on emissions from the combustion of natural gas
321		
322	A-65	Letter dated 2 April 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
323		re: request for comments on late intervention of VIATeC
324		

325	A-66	Letter dated 4 April 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
326		re: comments on suggestion to establish a workshop regarding First
327		Nations' issues
328		
329	A-67	Letter dated 4 April 2002 to All Parties to Hearing GH-4-2001
330		Proceeding re: Oral Argument on Motion
331		
332	A-68	Letter dated 4 April 2002 to Counsel for Consumers' Association
333		of Canada BC Branch) et al re: their participation by telephone
334		conference call for the oral argument in Sidney, BC on 9 & 10
335		April 2002
336		
337	A-69	Letter dated 5 April 2002 to All Parties re: amendment to
338		Amended List of Parties related to a change in Mr. Caldicott's e-
339		mail address
340		
341	A-70	Letter dated 5 April 2002 to Thomas Hackney, Director GSX
342		Concerned Citizens Coalition re: distribution of documents during
343		the oral hearing scheduled for 9 & 10 April 2002
344		
345	A-71	Letter dated 5 April 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
346		re: Panel Information Request No. 7
347		
348	A-72	Letter dated 12 April 2002 to Mr. James Campbell re: response to
349		his Letter dated 22 February 2002
350		
351	A-73	Letter dated 16 April 2002 to Mr. Caldicott re: Website
352		enhancements
353		
354	A-74	Letter dated 16 April 2002 to All Parties to the GH-4-2001
355		Proceeding re: Georgia Strait Crossing Joint Review Panel
356		postpones commencement of public hearing
357		
358	A-75	Letter dated 22 April 2002 to Parties to the GH-4-2001 Proceeding
359		re: Pre-hearing Technical Conference on Marine Issues Postponed
360		
361	A-76	Letter dated 3 May 2002 to Mr. Michael P. Doherty, The British
362		Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre re: response to his letter
363		dated 30 April 2002
364		

365	A-77	Letter dated 3 May 2002 to Mr. Arthur Caldicott, GSX Concerned
366		Citizens Coalition re: responding to his letter and e-mail dated 20
367		April and 25 April 2002
368		
369	A-78	Letter dated 3 May 2002 to Mr. Thomas Hackney re: response to
370		his letter dated 1 May 2002 and the Applicant's letter dated 2 May
371		2002
372		
373	A-79	Letter dated 7 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Amended
374		List of Parties
375		
376	A-80	Letter dated 10 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
377		Amended List of Parties
378		
379	A-81	Letter dated 13 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
380		re: late intervention of B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission
381		
382	A-82	Letter dated 15 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
383		re: Procedural Update No. 4 - Email enhancement update
384		
385	A-83	Letter dated 24 May 2002 to Màiri McLennan and John Clark,
386		Counsel, Dept. of Justice re: their letters filed outside the
387		established deadline of 6 May 2002 in Panel letter dated 16 April
388		2002
389		
390	A-84	Letter dated 27 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
391		re: late intervention of the University of British Columbia and
392		Vassilopoulos & Williamson LC
393		
394	A-85	Letter Decision dated 31 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
395		Proceeding re: Oral Argument on Motion. / Lettre de décision,
396		datée 31 mai 2002 aux Parties à l'instance GH-4-2001 re: Plaidoirie
397		orale concernant la requête
398		
399	A-86	Letter dated 31 May 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
400		re: Revised Timetable
401		
402	A-87	Letter dated 31 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
403		re: Filing Requirements
404		
405	A-88	Letter dated 31 May 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
406		re: Information Request No. 9

407		
408	A-89	Letter dated 31 May 2002 to Ministry of Energy and Mines,
409		Province of British Columbia, re: Information Request No. 10
410		
411	A-90	Letter dated 4 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
412		re: Amended List of Parties
413		
414	A-91	Letter dated 4 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
415		re: Procedural Inquiries from Intervenors and Public
416		
417	A-92	Letter dated 4 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
418		re: Transcript Errata
419		
420	A-93	Letter dated 13 June 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
421		re: Extension Request Granted and Revised Timetable
422		
423	A-94	Letter dated 18 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
424		re: Preliminary Exhibit List
425		
426	A-95	Letter dated 25 June 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
427		re: Traditional Use Studies
428		
429	A-96	Letter dated 25 June 2002 to Parties on Distribution List re: Issue
430		added to List of Issues
431		
432	A-97	Letter dated 26 June 2002 to Mr. Gordon L. Bell re: Information
433		Request No. 1.6
434		
435	A-98	Letter dated 27 June 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
436		re: Unanswered Information Requests
437		
438	A-99	Letter dated 27 June 2002 to Saturna Community Club re:
439		Contracting Issues
440		
441	A-100	Letter dated 28 June 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
442		re: Information Request No. 11
443		
444	A-101	Letter dated 28 June 2002 to Saturna Island Community Club re:
445		Response to Letter dated 24 June 2002
446		
447	A-102	Letter dated 12 July 2002 to Natural Resources Canada re:
448		Information Request No. 12

449		
450	A-103	Letter dated 12 July 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 Proceeding
451		re: Motions on Unanswered Information Requests and Filing of
452		Intervenor Evidence
453		
454	A-104	Letter dated 12 July 2002 to Sencot'en Alliance re: Information
455		Requests and Notice of Motion
456		
457	A-105	Letter dated 17 July 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
458		re: Information Request No. 13
459		
460	A-106	Letter dated 17 July 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX PL
461		re: Request for Review
462		
463	A-107	Letter dated 17 July 2002 to Marine Coalition re: Contracting
464		Practices
465		
466	A-108	Letter dated 8 August 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
467		PL re: Seeking Comments on Late Intervention of Snuneymuxw
468		First Nation
469		
470	A-109	Letter dated 15 August 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
471		Proceeding re: Advising that the Snuneymuxw First Nation has
472		been granted intervenor status
473		
474	A-110	Letter dated 15 August 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
475		Proceeding re: Requesting Comments on GSX PL's Notice of
476		Motion requesting an order permitting that the Sencot'en Alliance
477		Traditional Land Use and Occupancy Study be filed in confidence
478		
479	A-111	Letter dated 15 August 2002 to Snuneymuxw First Nation re:
480		Approving the Request for Intervenor Status
481		
482	A-112	Letter dated 19 August 2002 to Department of Fisheries and Ocean
483		re: Seeking Clarification to its 9 July 2002 Information Request
484		
485	A-113	Facsimile dated 12 September 2002 to Alberta Department of
486		Energy (ADOE) re: Requesting Comments Regarding ADOE's
487		Omission of Service of Reply Submission dated 29 August 2002
488		
489	A-114	Letter dated 13 September 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
490		Proceeding re: Deadline for Reply to Motions

491		
492	A-115	Letter dated 24 September 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
493		GSX PL re: Granting Motion regarding filing of the Traditional
494		Land Use and Occupancy Study dated June 2002 and prepared by
495		the Sencot'en Alliance
496		
497	A-116	Letter Decision dated 26 September 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-
498		2001 Proceeding re: Motions to compel answers to information
499		requests./Lettre de décision daté 26 septembre 2002 à Toutes les
500		parties de l'audience GH-4-2001 sujet: Motions obligeant des
501		réponses aux demandes de renseignements
502		
503	A-117	Letter dated 26 September 2002 to Mr. Arthur Caldicott re: Panel
504		Reply to E-mails dated 28 June 2002 and Letters dated 28 July
505		2002
506		
507	A-118	Letter dated 27 September 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
508		Proceeding re: Timing of the pre-hearing Marine Technical
509		Conference
510		
511	A-119	Letter dated 1 October 2002 to Federal and Provincial Crown
512		Intervenors in the Attached Distribution List re: Requesting an
513		Update on the Progress of Crown Consultation with First Nations
514		
515	A-120	Letter dated 4 October 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
516		PL re: Adoption of GSX PL Proposal to File Project Documents in
517		CD ROM Format with the Local Libraries and Adding the
518		Nanaimo Library to the List of Locations
519		
520	A-121	Letter dated 9 October 2002 to Department of Fisheries and
521		Oceans re: Extension of Filing Date for Response to Information
522		Request No. 14
523		
524	A-122	Letter dated 17 October 2002 to List of Participants to the Marine
525		Technical Conference re: Details of Conference
526		
527	A-123	Reasons for Decision dated 18 October 2002 to All Parties to GH-
528		4-2001 Proceeding re: Reasons related to Letter Decision dated 26
529		September 2002 / Motifs des décisions daté 18 octobre 2002 à
530		Toutes les parties de l'audience GH-4-2001 sujet: Motifs reliées à
531		la Lettre de décision daté 26 septembre 2002
532		

533 A-124 Letter dated 18 October 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
534 PL re: Information Request No. 15
535
536 A-125 Letter dated 22 October 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
537 Proceeding re: Disclosure of Dr. K. Wayne Savigny
538
539 A-126 E-mail dated 29 October 2002 to List of Participants to the Marine
540 Technical Conference re: Updated Agenda and Participant List
541
542 A-127 Letter dated 4 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
543 Proceeding re: Notice of Motion dated 17 October 2002 re:
544 Tseycum First Nation Traditional Use Study
545
546 A-128 E-mail dated 12 November 2002 to List of Participants to the
547 Marine Technical Conference re: Finalized Agenda and Participant
548 List
549
550 A-129 Letter dated 18 November 2002 to Marine Coalition re: Dismissing
551 the Motion dated 7 November 2002 Requesting an Extension for
552 Filing Intervenor Evidence
553
554 A-130 Letter dated 18 November 2002 to Saturna Community Club re:
555 Granting, in part, the Motion dated 8 November 2002, Extending
556 the Deadline for Filing of Evidence
557
558 A-131 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Federal and
559 Provincial Crown Intervenor re: Information Request No. 16
560
561 A-132 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
562 GSX PL re: Information Request No. 17
563
564 A-133 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to First Nations Intervenor re:
565 Information Request No. 18
566
567 A-134 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to GSXCCC re: Dismissing the
568 Motion dated 7 November 2002
569
570 A-135 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
571 GSX PL and SPEC & DSF re: Response to Information Request
572 2.3(f)
573

574 A-136 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to The Pender Islands GSX
575 Coalition re: Responding to the Request to Extend the Date for
576 Filing of Intervenor Evidence
577
578 A-137 Letter dated 19 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
579 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 5
580
581 A-138 Letter dated 20 November 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens
582 Coalition re: Denying the Request that the Panel direct GSX PL to
583 Respond to IR 1.16(d) in Microsoft Excel format
584
585 A-139 Letter dated 20 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
586 GSX PL re: Information Request No. 19
587
588 A-140 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
589 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 6
590
591 A-141 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
592 Proceeding re: Requesting Comments on the Motion to Review the
593 Reasons for Decision
594
595 A-142 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens
596 Coalition re: Denying the Motion dated 20 November 2002 that
597 GSX PL Respond to Information Requests
598
599 A-143 Letter dated 22 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
600 GSX PL re: Directing GSX PL to Respond to IR 2.3(f) or File a
601 Motion pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB Act
602
603 A-144 Letter dated 25 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
604 Proceeding re: Requesting Comments on Whether the Application
605 is ready to be set down for hearing.
606
607 A-145 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
608 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 7
609
610 A-146 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to Islands Trust Council re:
611 Documents submitted as Written Evidence attached to letter dated
612 25 Nov 2002
613
614 A-147 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
615 GSX PL re: Granting the Motion that the Tseycum Traditional Use

616 Study be confidentially filed pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB
617 Act.
618
619 A-148 Letter dated 28 November 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens
620 Coalition re: Completeness of GSX PL's Response to IR 1.16(e)
621
622 A-149 Letter dated 29 November 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
623 Proceeding re: Seeking comments on the GSX PL Motion to file
624 the "average price for GHG offsets" in confidence.
625
626 A-150 Letter dated 2 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
627 Proceeding re: Facilitator's Report summarizing the results of the
628 technical experts' round table discussions.
629
630 A-151 Letter dated 6 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
631 Proceeding re: Removing Mr. Edwin Morton from the Intervenor
632 on the List of Parties
633
634 A-152 Letter dated 12 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
635 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 8
636
637 A-153 Letter dated 16 December 2002 to the Ministry of Energy and
638 Mines, Province of B.C. re: Information Request No. 24
639
640 A-154 Letter dated 16 December 2002 to the Sencot'en Alliance re:
641 Information Request No. 25
642
643 A-155 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to Environment Canada re:
644 Information Request No. 20
645
646 A-156 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to Anthony Fisher re: Information
647 Request No. 21
648
649 A-157 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to GSX Concerned Citizens
650 Coalition re: Information Request No. 22
651
652 A-158 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to Màiri McLennan re: Information
653 Request No. 23
654
655 A-159 Letter dated 17 December 2002 to the Vancouver Island Pipeline
656 Landowners Association re: Information Request No. 26
657

658 A-160 Letter dated 18 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
659 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 9
660
661 A-161 Letter dated 18 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
662 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 10
663
664 A-162 Letter dated 18 December 2002 to the Islands Trust Council re:
665 Information Request No. 27
666
667 A-163 Letter dated 20 December 2002 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
668 Proceeding re: Procedural Update No. 11
669
670 A-164 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
671 PL re: Information Request No. 28
672
673 A-165 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to British Columbia and the Cedar
674 Lodge Society re: Information Request No. 29
675
676 A-166 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to Vancouver Island Pipeline
677 Landowners Association re: Information Request No. 30
678
679 A-167 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
680 re: Information Request No. 31
681
682 A-168 Letter dated 9 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
683 PL re: section 16.1 motion dated 26 November 2002
684
685 A-169 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
686 informing parties of the reasons why the Panel has decided to set
687 down the date for an oral hearing
688
689 A-170 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to Parties to GH-4-2001 advising that
690 the Panel has set a date for the oral hearing, which will commence
691 on 24 February 2003 at 10:00 am local time at a location to be
692 announced
693
694 A-171 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
695 dismissing its motion dated 16 December 2002
696
697 A-172 Letter dated 13 January 2003 to Màiri McLennan and GSX
698 Concerned Citizens Coalition providing the reasons why Ms.
699 McLennan's application has been dismissed and the reasons why

700 the Panel has decided to review its original decision and reasons on
701 the grounds raised by the GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
702
703 A-173 Letter dated 17 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
704 PL seeking GSX PL's submissions on whether the application is
705 ready to proceed to a hearing on 24 February 2003
706
707 A-174 Letter dated 20 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 attaching
708 its original reasons as varied by the Panel
709
710 A-175 Letter dated 20 January 2003 to Mairi McLennan and GSX
711 Concerned Citizens Coalition advising that due to an
712 administrative error, Mr. Skerik's comments were not distributed to
713 the parties or the Panel, however, the 13 January 2003 decision
714 does not need to be changed as a result of Mr. Skerik's submissions
715
716 A-176 Letter dated 20 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
717 Procedural Update No. 12, advising that the Panel will not accept
718 evidence, submissions or communications in e-mail format from
719 Intervenors or the Applicant
720
721 A-177 Letter dated 23 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
722 Setting out a formal comment process with respect to the notice of
723 motion filed by GSX PL to file in confidence traditional use
724 information provided by Cowichan Tribes
725
726 A-178 Letter dated 23 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
727 PL, re: Information Request No. 32
728
729 A-179 Letter dated 24 January 2003 to Parties to GH-4-2001 re: hearing
730 location details and other procedures
731
732 A-180 Letter dated 27 January 2003 to Parties to GH-4-2001 re: Mr.
733 Williams' Disclosure
734
735 A-181 Letter dated 28 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
736 PL, re: Witness Panels
737
738 A-182 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Mr. Timothy J. Howard, Sierra
739 Legal Defence Fund, re: responses to questions of clarification in
740 relation to the Panel's letter of 27 Jan 2003
741

742 A-183 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
743 PL re: Service of Documents
744
745 A-184 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
746 PL re: Information Request No. 33
747
748 A-185 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Snuneymuxw First Nation re:
749 Information Request No. 34
750
751 A-186 Letter dated 30 January 2003 to Dodie Miller, Shady Brook Farm
752 re: Motion dated 29 Jan 2003
753
754 A-187 Letter dated 31 January 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
755 PL re: Information Request No. 35
756
757 A-188 Letter dated 31 January 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
758 Decision on Whether the Application is Ready to Proceed to
759 Hearing
760
761 A-189 Letter dated 7 February 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for GSX
762 PL re: Granting the Notice of Motion to file the Cowichan Tribes
763 study pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB Act
764
765 A-190 Letter dated 10 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
766 enclosing a copy of the Exhibit List
767
768 A-191 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to Cohen Highly LLP, on behalf of
769 VIPLA re: filing written evidence
770
771 A-192 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to Michael P. Doherty, on behalf of
772 CAC(BC) re: filing written evidence
773
774 A-193 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to Michael P. Doherty, on behalf of
775 CAC(BC) re: response to motion dated 4 February 2003
776
777 A-194 Letter dated 12 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001
778 enclosing an amended List of Parties
779
780 A-195 Letter dated 13 February 2003 to Thomas Hackney, on behalf of
781 GSXCCC re: response to motion dated 6 February 2003
782

783	A-196	Letter dated 13 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
784		Procedural Updated No. 13
785		
786	A-197	Letter dated 14 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
787		Procedural Updated No. 14
788		
789	A-198	Letter dated 17 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
790		Several Details regarding the Conduct of the Hearing
791		
792	A-199	GSX Canada Pipeline Project Public Registry as at 3 February
793		2003 / Projet de gazoduc GSX Canada Pipeline Registre public
794		mise à jour le 3 février 2003
795		
796	A-200	Letter dated 19 February 2003 to Macleod Dixon, Counsel for
797		GSX PL, re: Information Request No. 36
798		
799	A-201	Letter dated 19 February 2003 to Sencot'en Alliance re: Motion
800		dated 17 February 2003 will be heard at the outset of the hearing
801		
802	A-202	Letter dated 19 February 2003 to Ministry of Energy and Mines,
803		Province of BC re: purpose of information request 35.1
804		
805	A-203	Letter dated 19 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
806		making statement to the Panel at the hearing
807		
808	A-204	Letter dated 19 February 2003 to All Parties to GH-4-2001 re:
809		Amendment to the List of Parties
810		
811	Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Limited (GSX PL)	
812		
813	B-1	Application dated 24 April 2001 to the NEB from Georgia Strait
814		Crossing Pipeline Ltd. (GSX PL) Volume I, II (including figures &
815		appendixes), III, IV, V
816		
817	B-2	Letter dated 25 May 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
818		withdrawal of request for intervenors to indicate whether a hard
819		copy of the application is required
820		
821	B-3	Letter dated 5 October 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
822		attachment of supplemental information for Volume II, Volume III,
823		Volume IV and V
824		

825 B-4 Letter dated 16 October 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
826 response to Information Request No. 1
827

828 B-5 Letter dated 26 October 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
829 Comments on proposed timetable of events attached to the Panel's
830 letter dated 2 October 2001
831

832 B-6 Letter dated 20 November 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
833 re: advise that Directions on Procedure in both official languages
834 were sent to persons identified on their attached list
835

836 B-7 Letter dated 20 November 2001 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
837 re: relief from Section 8(a) of the DOP as it relates to publication
838 of a map in the Canada Gazette
839

840 B-8 Letter dated 8 January 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
841 revision to Volume II of their application as it relates to their
842 construction commencement date
843

844 B-9 Letter dated 28 January 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
845 response to Information Request No. 3
846

847 B-10 Letter dated 7 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
848 advice that D.G. Davies will be the authorized representative of
849 GSX PL
850

851 B-11 Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
852 re: response to Information Request No. 2
853

854 B-12 Letter dated 14 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
855 re: whether the Panel can and should consider the environmental
856 effects of combustion
857

858 B-13 Letter dated 19 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
859 re: response to Panels letter dated 22 January 2002
860

861 B-14 Letter dated 19 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
862 re: Pre-Hearing technical conference
863

864 B-15 Letter dated 19 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
865 re: attachment of copies of supplement information respecting
866 Volume II, Section 4 - Supply of Gas

867		
868	B-16	Letter dated 20 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
869		re: copies of reports requested by GSX Concerned Citizens
870		Coalition
871		
872	B-17	Letter dated 20 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
873		re: response to Information Request No. 4
874		
875	B-18	Letter dated 25 February 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
876		re: advice of submission of several reports requested in
877		Information Request No. 4
878		
879	B-19	Letter dated 6 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
880		list of reports provided to Sencot'en Alliance
881		
882	B-20	Letter dated 7 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
883		late intervention of Westcoast Energy Inc. and Centra Gas British
884		Columbia Inc.
885		
886	B-21	Letter dated 7 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
887		Additional Written Evidence
888		
889	B-22	Letter dated 21 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
890		response to Panel's letter dated 8 March 2002 concerning the
891		request of the Department of Justice for a workshop regarding First
892		Nations' issues
893		
894	B-23	Letter dated 22 March 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
895		receipt of submissions from parties regarding the questions posed
896		in Panel letter dated 31 January 2002
897		
898	B-24	Letter dated 3 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: list
899		of reports provided to Ms. Washington-Smyth
900		
901	B-25	Letter dated 11 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
902		response to Information Request No. 8
903		
904	B-26	Report filed with letter dated 5 June 2002, see B-35-1.
905		
906	B-27	Letter dated 29 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: no
907		comment with respect to late intervention of Vancouver Island
908		Advanced Technology Centre

909		
910	B-28	Letter dated 29 April 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
911		response to questions in Panel's letter dated 16 April 2002
912		
913	B-29	Letter dated 2 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
914		response to Mr. Hackney's letter dated 1 May 2002 (GSX
915		Concerned Citizens Coalition)
916		
917	B-30	Letter dated 13 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
918		comments on Panel letter dated 16 April 2002
919		
920	B-31	Letter dated 14 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: re-
921		establishing dates for the technical conference on marine issues
922		
923	B-32	Letter dated 14 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
924		response to Information Request No. 4.1(a)
925		
926	B-33	Letter dated 17 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
927		submitting 14 reports
928		
929	B-33-1	Letter dated 24 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
930		submitting the following report: Georgia Strait Crossing Project -
931		Pipeline On-Bottom Stability Analysis, Aker Engineering, Inc.
932		
933	B-34	Letter dated 30 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: late
934		intervention of The University of British Columbia and
935		Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC.
936		
937	B-35	Letter dated 30 May 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re: late
938		intervention of the B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission
939		
940	B-35-1	Letter dated 5 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
941		responses to JRP IRs No. 5, 6 and 7, as well as responses to
942		intervenors' information requests
943		
944	B-35-2	Letter dated 6 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
945		requesting an extension to the deadline for Written Evidence of
946		Applicant on the combustion of gas
947		
948	B-36	Letter dated 17 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
949		dates for the technical conference on marine issues
950		

951	B-37	Letter dated 17 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
952		response to Information Request No. 9
953		
954	B-38	Letter dated 18 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
955		information on environmental effects of the combustion gas at the
956		proposed Duke Point generation facility
957		
958	B-39	Letter dated 20 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
959		letter from SPEC/DSF dated 18 June 2002
960		
961	B-40	Letter dated 25 June 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
962		response to Information Request No. 5.17
963		
964	B-41	Letter dated 2 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
965		opposing the request of the Marine Coalition to postpone deadline
966		of intervenor evidence
967		
968	B-42	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
969		opposing the request of the Sencot'en Alliance for a revision in the
970		Timetable of Events
971		
972	B-43	Letter dated 15 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
973		Notice of Motion of GSX PL
974		
975	B-44	Letter dated 18 July 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
976		response to Information Request No. 11, CAC(BC) information
977		request no. 2, Environment Canada information request no. 2,
978		GSXCCC information request no. 2, and SPEC/DSF Information
979		Request No. 2
980		
981	B-45	Letter dated 7 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
982		response to Information Request No. 13
983		
984	B-46	Letter dated 8 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
985		response to Information Request No. 7.54
986		
987	B-47	Letter dated 8 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
988		plan for the pre-hearing technical conference on marine issues
989		
990	B-48	Letter dated 9 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
991		Notice of Motion for an order permitting that the Sencot'en

992		Alliance Traditional Land Use and Occupancy Study to be filed in
993		confidence
994		
995	B-49	Letter dated 9 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
996		late intervention request of the Snuneymuxw First Nation
997		
998	B-50	Letter dated 9 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
999		response to Information Request No. 13.13(a)
1000		
1001	B-51	Letter dated 20 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1002		response to Information Request No. 5.12(b)
1003		
1004	B-52	Letter dated 20 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1005		response to the Information Request No. 7.65(b)
1006		
1007	B-53	Letter dated 20 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1008		additional copies of documents requested
1009		
1010	B-54	Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1011		Comments on Motions relating to the 6 June 2002 responses to
1012		Information Requests
1013		
1014	B-55	Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1015		Comments on Motions relating to the 18 July 2002 responses to
1016		Information Requests
1017		
1018	B-56	Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1019		Comments on Motions relating to the filing of Intervenor Evidence
1020		
1021	B-57	Letter dated 5 September 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1022		re: document repositories being maintained by GSX PL
1023		
1024	B-58	Letter dated 7 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1025		response to the Information Request No. 14
1026		
1027	B-59	Letter dated 9 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1028		attaching copies of the Sencot'en Traditional Land Use and
1029		Occupancy Study/2002, filed in confidence pursuant to section
1030		16.1 of the NEB Act
1031		
1032	B-60	Letter dated 10 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1033		attaching copies of the Order Issuing Certificate and Presidential

1034 Permit issued by the Federal Energy Regulatory Commission on 20
1035 September 2002
1036

1037 B-61 Letter dated 17 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1038 Notice of Motion for an order permitting GSX PL to file in
1039 confidence a traditional use study prepared by the Tseycum First
1040 Nation dated January 2001
1041

1042 B-62 Letter dated 24 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1043 response to the Information Request No. 7.65(b)
1044

1045 B-63 Letter dated 29 October 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1046 Supplementary Responses to Intervenor Information Requests
1047

1048 B-64 Letter dated 5 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1049 re: Response to Information Request No. 15
1050

1051 B-65 Letter dated 7 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1052 re: SPEC/DSF letter dated 6 November 2002 respecting the
1053 supplementary response to Information Request 2.3(f)
1054

1055 B-66 Letter dated 8 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1056 re: Notice of Motion made by the Marine Coalition dated 7
1057 November 2002
1058

1059 B-67 Letter dated 13 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1060 re: Notice of Motion made by the Saturna Community Club dated
1061 8 November 2002
1062

1063 B-68 Letter dated 15 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1064 re: Vancouver Island Peak Load Information as supplied by BC
1065 Hydro
1066

1067 B-69 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1068 re: Supplementary Response to GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition
1069 1.7
1070

1071 B-70 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1072 re: Information Respecting Values for the two Variables in the
1073 Response to GSXCCC 1.16(e)
1074

1075 B-71 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1076 re: GSXCCC's Notice of Motion dated 7 November 2002
1077
1078 B-72 Letter dated 25 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1079 re: the units for the intensity variables related to GSXCCC 1.16(e)
1080
1081 B-73 Letter dated 26 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1082 re: Notice of Motion to file "the average price for GHG offsets" in
1083 confidence pursuant to subsection 16.1 of the NEB Act
1084
1085 B-74 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1086 re: Response to Information Request No. 16
1087
1088 B-75 Letter dated 29 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1089 re: Response to Information Request No. 17
1090
1091 B-76 Letter dated 29 November 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1092 re: Response to Information Request No. 19
1093
1094 B-77 Letter dated 4 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1095 re: Tseycum First Nation's Traditional Use report filed in
1096 confidence pursuant to subsection 16.1 of the NEB Act
1097
1098 B-78 Letter dated 6 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1099 re: Affidavit of John Duffy in support of the Notice of Motion
1100 dated 26 November 2002
1101
1102 B-79 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1103 re: Response as to application's readiness to be set down for
1104 hearing
1105
1106 B-80 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1107 re: Response to GSXCCC's Notice of Motion dated 19 November
1108 2002
1109
1110 B-81 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1111 re: Response to Mairi McLennan's Notice of Motion dated 18
1112 November 2002
1113
1114 B-82 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1115 re: Reply to Submissions Opposing GSX PL's Notice of Motion
1116 dated 26 November 2002

1117
1118 B-83 Letter dated 16 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1119 re: Reply to Intervenor Comments as to the Application's readiness
1120 to be set down for hearing
1121
1122 B-84 Letter dated 17 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1123 re: Information Requests of GSX PL to GSX Concerned Citizens
1124 Coalition, the Marine Coalition and VIPLA
1125
1126 B-85 Letter dated 18 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to Islands
1127 Trust requesting copies of documents filed.
1128
1129 B-86 Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1130 filing a report entitled "Offshore Geotechnical Investigation
1131 Georgia Strait Crossing Cherry Point, WA to Manley Creek, BC"
1132
1133 B-87 Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1134 filing a report entitled "Supplemental Information to Volume IV
1135 Environmental and Socio-Economic Impact Assessment respecting
1136 Marine Route Revision Near 22.0 & Revised Marine Pipeline
1137 Installation Plan" and a binder entitled "Supplemental-2
1138 Information"
1139
1140 B-88 Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1141 filing a binder entitled "Pipeline Seismic Analysis Report dated
1142 December 2002" and a binder entitled "Pipeline Seismic Analysis
1143 Report ANSYS Results dated December 2002"
1144
1145 B-89 Letter dated 17 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1146 filing a document entitled "Volume IV and V Supplemental
1147 Information Terrestrial Route Revision KP 3.857 to KP 5.561
1148 dated January 2003"
1149
1150 B-90 Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1151 filing six copies of the supplementary response of GSX PL to
1152 SPEC/DSF Information Request 2.3(f) in confidence pursuant to
1153 section 16.1
1154
1155 B-91 Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1156 filing a Notice of Motion requesting that the Panel make an order
1157 allowing GSX PL to file in confidence tradition use information
1158 provided by Cowichan Tribes

1159
1160 B-92 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1161 filing its response to Information Request No. 28
1162
1163 B-93 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1164 filing larger copies of the revised terrestrial environmental
1165 alignment sheets
1166
1167 B-94 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1168 filing comments on various news stories and readiness of
1169 application for hearing
1170
1171 B-95 Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1172 filing a report entitled Laboratory Investigations Into The Potential
1173 for a Bottom-Founded Marine Pipeline to Act as a Barrier to the
1174 Movement of: Dungeness Crab, Speckled Sanddab and Starry
1175 Flounder by TERA Environmental Consultants dated January 2003
1176
1177 B-96 Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1178 filing a third party review of the seismic design of the GSX
1179 Pipeline Project entitled Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Project
1180 Review Report: Seismic Design Methodology by Douglas G.
1181 Honegger dated 15 January 2003
1182
1183 B-97 Letter dated 29 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1184 filing comments as to whether the application continues to be ready
1185 to proceed to a hearing
1186
1187 B-98 Letter dated 31 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1188 filing the draft Operations & Maintenance Manual (Volumes 1-4)
1189 and draft Emergency Plan & Preparedness Manual (Volume 5)
1190
1191 B-99 Letter dated 31 January 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1192 filing responses to information requests 13.5 and 13.6
1193
1194 B-100 Letter dated 3 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1195 listing the GSX PL witness panels and the witnesses on each panel
1196
1197 B-101 Letter dated 6 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1198 response to information request No. 32
1199

1200	B-102	Letter dated 6 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel re:
1201		response to information request No. 33
1202		
1203	B-103	Letter dated 10 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1204		re: response to information request No. 35
1205		
1206	B-104	Letter dated 11 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1207		re: ensuring availability of appropriate witnesses
1208		
1209	B-105	Letter dated 11 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1210		attaching direct evidence of the GSX PL witnesses
1211		
1212	B-106	Letter dated 12 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1213		attaching reply evidence with regard to the Report on Gas Supply
1214		for the Georgia Strait Crossing Natural Gas Pipeline
1215		
1216	B-107	Letter dated 13 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1217		providing an update to Volume III (Public Information Program) of
1218		the GSX PL application
1219		
1220	B-108	Letter dated 14 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1221		re: attaching copies of the Cowichan Traditional Use Information,
1222		filed in confidence pursuant to section 16.1 of the NEB Act
1223		
1224	B-109	Letter dated 14 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1225		re: attaching revisions to Table 8.1 and Appendix 9-D in Volume II
1226		of the GSX PL application
1227		
1228	B-110	Letter dated 13 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1229		re: submitting that there is no basis for GSXCCC to call Dr.
1230		Jaccard or Ms. Murphy as witnesses at the hearing
1231		
1232	B-111	Letter dated 18 February 2003 from Macleod Dixon to the Panel
1233		re: attaching a document identifying the evidence that will be
1234		addressed by each panel

1235 **Intervenors/Intervenants:**

1236 **Aboriginal Peoples/Peuples autochtones**

1237		
1238	C-1	B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission
1239		
1240	C-1-1	Letter dated 30 March 2002 from Susan Anderson Behn (on behalf
1241		of B.C. Aboriginal Fisheries Commission) re: application for
1242		intervention
1243		
1244	C-2	Cowichan Tribes / Tribus Cowichan
1245		
1246	C-2-1	Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1247		of Cowichan Tribes) re: Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline
1248		Project public hearing
1249		
1250	C-2-2	Fax dated 17 January 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of
1251		Cowichan Tribes), re: adjournment as appropriate alternative
1252		remedy
1253		
1254	C-2-3	Letter dated 22 January 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1255		of Cowichan Tribes), re: submission of Speaking Notes of
1256		Councilor Philomena Alphonse
1257		
1258	C-2-4	E-mail dated 12 February 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on
1259		behalf of Cowichan Tribes), re: clarification of s. 36 of Rules of
1260		Practice and Procedure
1261		
1262	C-2-5	Fax dated 28 February 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1263		of Cowichan Tribes), to GSX PL requesting copies of reports
1264		referenced in IR 4 response
1265		
1266	C-2-6	Fax dated 28 February 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1267		of Cowichan Tribes), enclosing a copy of letter dated 17 January
1268		2002 for distribution to parties
1269		
1270	C-2-7	Letter dated 11 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1271		of Cowichan Tribes) re: their correspondence sent to the BC
1272		Ministry of Energy & Mines regarding their response to IR 2
1273		
1274	C-2-8	Letter dated 15 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1275		of Cowichan Tribes) re: NEB letter soliciting comments on the
1276		merits of a workshop on First Nations interests
1277		

1278	C-2-9	Letter dated 20 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1279		of Cowichan Tribes) re: submissions in respect of the
1280		Environmental Effects of the Combustion of Gas Proposed to be
1281		Transported
1282		
1283	C-2-10	Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1284		of Cowichan Tribes) re: Cowichan Tribes IR #1
1285	C-2-11	This item misfiled, see C-54-22-1
1286	C-2-12	Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of
1287		Cowichan Tribes) re: response to Panel letter of 16 April 2002 -
1288		questions regarding consultation and hearing schedule
1289		
1290	C-2-13	Fax dated 14 May 2002 with attached letter dated 6 May 2002
1291		from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re:
1292		response to Panel letter of 16 April 2002 concerning consultation
1293		and Hearing schedule
1294		
1295	C-2-14	Letter dated 21 May 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of
1296		Cowichan Tribes) re: letter of 8 May 2002 from Department of
1297		Justice to Panel in respect of Crown consultation
1298		
1299	C-2-15	Letter dated 21 May 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of
1300		Cowichan Tribes) re: letters of 29 April and 13 May from Macleod
1301		Dixon to Panel regarding evidence of Crown consultation and a
1302		new Hearing schedule
1303		
1304	C-2-16	Fax dated 6 June 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of
1305		Cowichan Tribes) re: Advising that Federal Crown Consultations
1306		have not yet commenced
1307		
1308	C-2-17	Fax dated 9 July 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of
1309		Cowichan Tribes) re: Notice of Motion to Compel Responses from
1310		GSX PL to Information Requests.
1311		
1312	C-2-18	Fax dated 1 August 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf of
1313		Cowichan Tribes) re: Notice of Motion that the Intervenor
1314		Evidence Filing Deadline be adjourned until Aboriginal
1315		Consultation has been concluded
1316		

1317 C-2-19 Email dated 13 September 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on
1318 behalf of Cowichan Tribes) re: Non-reply to GSX Submissions
1319

1320 C-2-20 Fax dated 28 November 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1321 of Cowichan Tribes) re: On-going discussions with the Proponent
1322 and possible impact on continued participation as an Intervenor
1323

1324 C-2-21 Fax dated 12 December 2002 from Ratcliff & Company (on behalf
1325 of Cowichan Tribes) re: Response that a Hearing date could now
1326 be set
1327

1328 **C-3 Sencot'en Alliance**
1329

1330 C-3-1 Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Sharon Charles,
1331 Chairperson, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance
1332

1333 C-3-2 E-mail dated 8 January 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf
1334 Sencot'en Alliance Request to participate in the consultation
1335 sessions on January 12, 2002
1336

1337 C-3-3 E-mail dated 8 January 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf
1338 Sencot'en Alliance: Request to participate in the consultation
1339 session on January 12, 2002
1340

1341 C-3-4 Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1342 the Sencot'en Alliance, re: comments on List of Issues
1343

1344 C-3-5 Letter dated 8 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the
1345 Sencot'en Alliance, re: identification of contact
1346

1347 C-3-6 Fax dated 12 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the
1348 Sencot'en Alliance, re: comments on pre-hearing technical
1349 conference
1350

1351 C-3-7 Fax dated 12 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the
1352 Sencot'en Alliance, re: the filing of certain gas supply information
1353

1354 C-3-8 Fax dated 14 February 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the
1355 Sencot'en Alliance, re: Panel's jurisdiction to consider the
1356 environmental effects of the combustion of the gas
1357

1358 C-3-9 Fax of 18 March 2002 (with letter of 15 March) from Mandell
1359 Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en Alliance re: workshop on First
1360 Nations interests
1361
1362 C-3-10 Letter of 28 March 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1363 Sencot'en Alliance, re: Information Requests
1364
1365 C-3-11 Letter dated 4 April 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of the
1366 Sencot'en Alliance, re: names of experts for Pre-hearing conference
1367
1368 C-3-12 Letter of 9 April 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en
1369 Alliance, re: map of territories
1370
1371 C-3-13 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1372 Sencot'en Alliance, re: response to Panel's letter dated 16 April
1373 2002
1374
1375 C-3-14 Letter dated 17 May 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1376 Sencot'en Alliance re: Independent Power Producers Review
1377 Panel's Report
1378
1379 C-3-15 Fax dated 2 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en
1380 Alliance re: Endorsing the Views of SPEC/DSF in their letter dated
1381 28 June 2002.
1382
1383 C-3-16 Letter dated 4 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1384 Sencot'en Alliance re: Update on Crown Consultation
1385
1386 C-3-17 Fax dated 9 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en
1387 Alliance re: Delay in Review of Combustion of Gas Materials
1388
1389 C-3-18 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1390 Sencot'en Alliance re: Application to Compel Answers to the
1391 Sencot'en Alliance's Information Requests Made to GSX PL
1392
1393 C-3-19 Fax dated 9 July 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of Sencot'en
1394 Alliance re: Replacement Letter for 02-07-09 Unsigned Letter
1395
1396 C-3-20 Fax dated 1 August 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1397 Sencot'en Alliance re: Notice of Motion Requesting an Extended
1398 Date for Filing Intervenors' Evidence
1399

1400 C-3-21 Fax dated 10 September 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1401 Sencot'en Alliance re: Reply Comments on the Motion to Compel
1402 GSX PL to Answer Information Requests
1403
1404 C-3-22 Letter dated 27 November 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1405 Sencot'en Alliance re: Affidavits that comprise Sencot'en Alliance's
1406 Written Evidence
1407
1408 C-3-23 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1409 Sencot'en Alliance re: Response to Information Request No. 18
1410
1411 C-3-24 Fax dated 5 December 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1412 Sencot'en Alliance re: Missing Page 54 From Some Copies of
1413 Affidavit No. 2 of Sharon Charles
1414
1415 C-3-25 Fax dated 9 December 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1416 Sencot'en Alliance re: Application Not Ready to be Set Down for
1417 Hearing
1418
1419 C-3-26 Fax dated 11 December 2002 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1420 Sencot'en Alliance re: Support for Motions by GSXCCC and Màiri
1421 McLennan
1422
1423 C-3-27 Fax dated 6 January 2003 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1424 Sencot'en Alliance re: Response to Information Request No. 25
1425
1426 C-3-28 Fax dated 27 January 2003 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1427 Sencot'en Alliance re: Readiness of application to proceed to a
1428 hearing
1429
1430 C-3-29 Fax dated 17 February 2003 from Mandell Pinder, on behalf of
1431 Sencot'en Alliance re: Notice of Motion (Supplemental)
1432
1433 **C-4 Snuneymuxw First Nation / Première nation Snuneymuxw**
1434
1435 C-4-1 Letter dated 18 July 2002 to JRP re: Late Intervenor Status Request
1436
1437 C-4-2 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to JRP re: Response to
1438 Information Request No. 18
1439
1440 C-4-3 Letter dated 27 November 2002 to JRP re: Two Reports by Dr.
1441 Bates as part of Written Evidence

1442		
1443	C-4-4	Letter dated 10 December 2002 to Environment Assessment Office
1444		re: Interim Report on Status of Review and Outstanding Issues
1445		
1446	C-4-5	Fax dated 4 January 2003 to JRP re: Response to Information
1447		Request No. 34
1448		
1449	C-5	Tseycum First Nation / Première nation Tseycum
1450		
1451	C-5-1	Letter dated 28 November 2001 from Chief Vern Jacks, on behalf
1452		of Tseycum First Nation re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada
1453		Pipeline Project public hearing
1454		
1455	Individuals/Individus	
1456		
1457	C-6	Bell, Gordon L.
1458		
1459	C-6-1	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Gordon L. Bell
1460		
1461	C-6-2	Fax dated 11 February 2002 from Gordon Bell, re: filing of certain
1462		gas supply information and pre-hearing technical conference
1463		
1464	C-6-3	Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Gordon L. Bell re: information
1465		requests
1466		
1467	C-6-4	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Gordon L. Bell re: unanswered
1468		information requests of Gordon. L. Bell dated 28 March 2002
1469		
1470	C-6-5	Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Gordon L. Bell submitting
1471		intervenor evidence
1472		
1473	C-6-6	Letter dated 10 December 2002 from Gordon L. Bell supporting
1474		the motions of both Màiri McLennan and GSX Concerned Citizens
1475		Coalition
1476		
1477	C-6-7	Letter dated 29 January 2003 from Gordon L. Bell re: Comments
1478		on readiness of application to proceed to hearing
1479		
1480	C-7	Bomford, Jim
1481		
1482	C-7-1	Intervention from Jim Bomford dated 16 January 2002
1483		

1484	C-8	Bowes, Samuel Stark
1485		
1486	C-8-1	Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Samuel Stark Bowes
1487		
1488	C-9	Briggs, Jolyon and/et Shirley
1489		
1490	C-9-1	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Jolyon and Shirley
1491		Briggs
1492		
1493	C-9-2	Letter dated 31 December 2001 from Jolyon Briggs re: 14 January
1494		2002 Public Consultation Session / Presentation notes of Jolyon
1495		Briggs dated 14 January 2002, from scoping session in Cobble Hill
1496		
1497	C-9-3	Fax dated 31 December 2001 from Jolyon Briggs re: registration
1498		
1499	C-10	Caldicott, Arthur
1500		
1501	C-10-1	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Arthur Caldicott
1502		
1503	C-10-2	E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, re:
1504		Intervention justification, List of Issues
1505		
1506	C-10-3	E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott registering for
1507		the GSX Pipeline Consultation Sessions
1508		
1509	C-10-4	E-mail dated 19 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, re: coalbed
1510		methane
1511		
1512	C-10-5	Letter dated 28 June 2002 from Arthur Caldicott requesting to
1513		modify the document distribution
1514		
1515	C-11	Campbell, James (Jim)
1516		
1517	C-11-1	Letter of Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Mr. James
1518		(Jim) Campbell
1519		
1520	C-11-2	Letter dated 22 February 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell, re:
1521		issue concerning the existing BC Centra Gas system
1522		
1523	C-11-2-1	Letter dated 22 February 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell, re:
1524		commenting on whether the environmental effects of emissions
1525		should be included in the List of Issues

1526		
1527	C-11-3	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell requesting
1528		that the Panel address the GSX PL's complete disregard for
1529		"relative to the purpose of, need for, and alternatives to this GSX
1530		PL Pipeline" issue
1531		
1532	C-11-4	Letter dated 13 August 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell re:
1533		intervenor status of Snuneymuxw First Nation
1534		
1535	C-11-5	Letter dated 7 November 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell
1536		supporting the motion dated 17 October 2002 re: Tseycum First
1537		Nation Traditional Use Study
1538		
1539	C-11-6	Letter dated 25 November 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell
1540		requesting that the Panel obtain a copy of "Energy for our Future:
1541		A Plan for BC"
1542		
1543	C-11-7	Letter dated 27 November 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell re:
1544		interview with Minister Richard Neufeld, BC Government
1545		Ministry of Energy and Mines
1546		
1547	C-11-8	Letter dated 2 December 2002 from James (Jim) Campbell
1548		opposing the notice of motion from the Applicant for an order
1549		allowing GSX PL to file in confidence with the Panel the "average
1550		price for GHG Offsets" and supporting the position and reasons
1551		given by SPEC and DSF
1552		
1553	C-11-9	Letter dated 9 January 2003 from James (Jim) Campbell
1554		supporting the GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition motion to
1555		suspend these proceedings
1556		
1557	C-11-10	Letter dated 29 January 2003 from James (Jim) Campbell re:
1558		Columbia River Treaty Downstream Benefits
1559		
1560	C-12	Christofferson, A. Marie
1561		
1562	C-12-1	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from A. Marie Christofferson
1563		
1564	C-13	Intervenor withdrew, see D-89.
1565		
1566	C-14	Dudley, Joanna
1567		

1568	C-14-1	Intervention dated 29 November 2001 from Ms. Joanna Dudley
1569		
1570	C-14-2	E-mail dated 21 January 2002 from Joanna Dudley re: copy of
1571		commentary to the JRP at 14 January 2002 Public Consultation
1572		Session
1573		
1574	C-14-3	Letter dated 27 March 2002 from Joanna Dudley re: concerns
1575		regarding late intervenors
1576		
1577	C-15	Dwyer, Richard
1578		
1579	C-15-1	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Richard D. Dwyer
1580		
1581	C-16	Fisher, Anthony D.
1582		
1583	C-16-1	Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from A.D. Fisher
1584		
1585	C-16-2	Letter dated 14 November 2001 from A.D. Fisher to the Panel
1586		requesting a copy of the Directions on Procedure for GH-4-2001
1587		
1588	C-16-3	E-mail dated 28 November 2001 from Tony Fisher to the JRP re
1589		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1590		
1591	C-16-4	E-mail dated 24 December 2001 from Tony Fisher, re:
1592		confirmation of intervenor status and registration for 12 January
1593		2002 Workshop
1594		
1595	C-16-5	Submission dated 14 January 2002 from A.D. Fisher at Cobble Hill
1596		
1597	C-16-6	E-mail dated 18 January 2002 from Dr. A.D. Fisher, re: additions
1598		to issues mentioned at Arbutus Ridge
1599		
1600	C-16-7	Letter dated 27 February 2002 from A.D. Fisher, re: Panel letter
1601		dated 31 January 2002 requesting comments on its authority to
1602		consider certain environmental effects
1603		
1604	C-16-8	E-mail dated 2 March 2002 from A.D. Fisher requesting service of
1605		documents to the other parties
1606		
1607	C-16-9	Letter dated 21 March 2002 from Anthony D. Fisher re: request for
1608		information
1609		

1610 C-16-10 Letter dated 17 June 2002 from A.D. Fisher requesting the Panel to
1611 ask the Applicant to answer the unanswered information requests
1612 of his
1613

1614 C-16-11 Letter dated 3 September 2002 from A.D. Fisher responding to
1615 GSX PL's comments and the submissions of the Province of
1616 British Columbia re: his information requests
1617

1618 C-16-12 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from A.D. Fisher re: issues and
1619 questions remaining unanswered or not answered adequately
1620

1621 **C-17 Haywood, David**
1622

1623 C-17-1 Fax dated 27 November 2001 from David Haywood to the JRP re
1624 Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1625

1626 **C-18 Hughes, Richard**
1627

1628 C-18-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Richard Hughes to the JRP re
1629 Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public
1630

1631 C-18-2 E-mail dated 20 December 2001 from Richard Hughes, on behalf
1632 of the CVRD, re: Cobble Hill meeting
1633

1634 C-18-3 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Richard Hughes, on behalf of
1635 the CVRD, re: presentation at Cobble Hill public Consultation
1636 Session
1637

1638 C-18-4 Presentation dated 14 January 2002 by Richard Hughes at Cobble
1639 Hill
1640

1641 **C-19 Knowles, Diana**
1642

1643 C-19-1 Intervention dated 22 January 2002 from Diana Knowles
1644

1645 C-19-2 Presentation dated 14 January 2002 by Diana Knowles at Cobble
1646 Hill
1647

1648 C-19-3 Letter dated 27 February 2002 from Diana Knowles, re: comments
1649 on questions about whether the Panel has the authority to consider
1650 certain effects
1651

1652	C-19-4	Letter dated 10 March 2002 from Ms. Diana Knowles re: request
1653		that Panel distribute documents on her behalf (A0D0K9)
1654		
1655	C-19-5	Letter of 2 April 2002 from Diana Knowles re: not speaking before
1656		the Panel
1657		
1658	C-19-6	Letter dated 14 August 2002 from Diana Knowles endorsing the
1659		notices of motion by other intervenors that the Panel order the
1660		proponent to provide an adequate response to their information
1661		requests
1662		
1663	C-19-7	Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Diana Knowles re: Readiness of
1664		application to go to hearing
1665		
1666	C-20	Intervenor withdrew, see D-90.
1667		
1668	C-21	Marchant, Philip
1669		
1670	C-21-1	Fax dated 27 November 2001 from Philip Marchant to the JRP re
1671		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1672		
1673	C-21-2	Letter dated 4 January 2002 from Philip Marchant re: clarification
1674		of intervention
1675		
1676	C-21-3	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Phil Marchant at Public
1677		Consultation Sessions
1678		
1679	C-22	McLennan, Màiri
1680		
1681	C-22-1	Fax dated 5 December 2001 from Màiri McLennan to the JRP re
1682		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1683		
1684	C-22-2	E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Màiri McLennan, re:
1685		presentation at Cobble Hill
1686		
1687	C-22-3	Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Màiri McLennan, re: comments
1688		to list of issues
1689		
1690	C-22-4	Fax dated 8 March 2002 from Ms. Màiri McLennan, enclosing
1691		copy of submission dated 28 February 2002
1692		

1693	C-22-5	Letter of 25 March 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: objection to
1694		letter of Christopher Jones on behalf of Province of BC
1695		
1696	C-22-6	Letter of 28 March 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: information
1697		requests
1698		
1699	C-22-7	Letter dated 12 April 2002 from Ms. Màiri McLennan, re: motion
1700		to extend deadline of responses
1701		
1702	C-22-8	Letter dated 7 May 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: comments on
1703		Crown consultation and new schedule and date for the hearing
1704		
1705	C-22-9	Letter dated 8 May 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: further
1706		comments on Crown consultation and new schedule and date for
1707		the hearing
1708		
1709	C-22-10	Letter dated 8 July 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: unanswered
1710		information requests
1711		
1712	C-22-11	Letter dated 1 August 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: unanswered
1713		information requests and intervenor evidence deadline
1714		
1715	C-22-12	Letter dated 14 August 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: unanswered
1716		information requests
1717		
1718	C-22-13	Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: reply
1719		submission about the unanswered information requests and
1720		evidence deadline
1721		
1722	C-22-14	Letter dated 18 September 2002 from Màiri McLennan responding
1723		to the submission by counsel for the Alberta Department of Energy
1724		
1725	C-22-15	Letter dated 18 November 2002 from Màiri McLennan filing a
1726		notice of motion
1727		
1728	C-22-16	Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Màiri McLennan filing her
1729		preliminary submission concerning evidence for the Panel
1730		
1731	C-22-17	Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan re: whether
1732		the GSX Canada Pipeline Project application is ready to be set
1733		down for hearing
1734		

1735	C-22-18	Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan opposing the
1736		granting of the motion that GSX PL has brought concerning the
1737		confidentiality of the information on "average price for GHG
1738		offsets"
1739		
1740	C-22-19	Letter dated 20 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan filing reply
1741		submission to the responses concerning her notice of motion dated
1742		18 November 2002
1743		
1744	C-22-20	Letter dated 30 December 2002 from Màiri McLennan advising
1745		that paragraph 10 of her reply submission dated 20 December 2002
1746		referenced Mr. Davies, rather than Mr. Jones
1747		
1748	C-22-21	Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan replying to the
1749		Panel's Information Request No. 23 dated 17 December 2002
1750		
1751	C-22-22	Letter dated 24 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan re: response
1752		submission deadline - 27 January 2003
1753		
1754	C-22-23	Letter dated 28 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan re: comments
1755		against the process adopted by the JRP for comment on the
1756		readiness for hearing
1757		
1758	C-22-24	Letter dated 28 January 2003 from Màiri McLennan re:
1759		commenting on the readiness for hearing
1760		
1761	C-22-25	Notice of Motion dated 17 February 2003 from Màiri McLennan
1762		and Shady Brook Farm
1763		
1764	C-23	Parker, John L.
1765		
1766	C-23-1	Intervention dated 23 January 2002 from John L. Parker
1767		
1768	C-24	Shady Brook Farm
1769		
1770	C-24-1	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Steve and Dodie Miller,
1771		on behalf of Shady Brook Farm
1772		
1773	C-24-2	E-mail dated 29 December 2001 from Steve Miller on behalf of
1774		Shady Brook Farms, re: Panel letter dated 18 December 2001
1775		

1776 C-24-3 E-mail dated 31 December 2001 from Steve Miller on behalf of
1777 Shady Brook Farms, re: public consultation Questions and
1778 Answers
1779
1780 C-24-4 E-mail dated January 6, 2002 from Steve Miller, on behalf of
1781 Shady Brook Farm: Registration for session on 01/14/02 for GH-4-
1782 2001
1783
1784 C-24-5 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Dodie Miller at Public
1785 Consultation Sessions
1786
1787 C-24-6 E-mail dated January 26, 2002 from Steve Miller, on behalf of
1788 Shady Brook Farm, to Christopher Jones re contact information
1789
1790 C-24-7 Letter dated 27 January 2002 from Steve Miller on behalf of Shady
1791 Brook Farms, re: Panel letter dated 25 January 2002
1792
1793 C-24-8 Letter dated 10 December 2002 from Steve and Dodie Miller, on
1794 behalf of Shady Brook Farm, filing comments as to whether Ms.
1795 Màiri McLennan or GSX Concerned Citizens Coalition have raised
1796 a doubt as to the correctness of the Panel's decision of 18 October
1797 2002
1798
1799 C-24-9 Letter dated 24 January 2003 from Dodie Miller, on behalf of
1800 Shady Brook Farm, Status of Vancouver Island Generation Project
1801
1802 C-24-10 Notice of Motion dated 29 January 2003 from Dodie Miller, on
1803 behalf of Shady Brook Farm
1804
1805 C-24-11 Fax dated 29 January 2003 from Dodie Miller, on behalf of Shady
1806 Brook Farm re comments concerning readiness to proceed to
1807 hearing
1808
1809 C-24-12 See Exhibit C-22-25
1810
1811 **C-25 Shephard, G.P.**
1812
1813 C-25-1 Fax dated 30 November 2001 from G.P. Shephard to the JRP re
1814 Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1815
1816 **C-26 Skerik, Don**
1817

1818 C-26-1 Fax dated 2 December 2001 from Don Skerik to the JRP re
1819 Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1820
1821 C-26-2 E-mail dated 1 January 2002 from Don Skerik, re: clarification of
1822 intervention
1823
1824 C-26-3 Submission dated 14 January 2002 from Don Skerik at Cobble Hill
1825
1826 C-26-4 E-mail dated 25 February 2002 from Don Skerik, attaching 3
1827 documents, including: comments dated 18 February 2002
1828 regarding the Panel's authority to consider certain environmental
1829 effects; and comments dated 18 February regarding GSX's request
1830 for relief of Filing of Certain Gas Supply Information
1831
1832 C-26-5 E-mail dated 22 March 2002 from Don Skerik requesting that
1833 documents be mailed directly to him
1834
1835 C-26-6 E-mail dated 26 March 2002 from Don Skerik advising that he
1836 does not plan to make oral argument before the Panel
1837
1838 C-26-7 E-mail dated 27 March 2002 from Don Skerik attaching a list of
1839 questions for the Panel
1840
1841 C-26-8 Letter dated 24 July 2002 from Don Skerik re: Information
1842 Requests - Notice of Motion
1843
1844 C-26-9 E-mail dated 11 December 2002 from Don Skerik re: Màiri
1845 McLennan's motion dated 18 November 2002 - Application for
1846 review of Reasons for Decision of JRP
1847
1848 **C-27 Wright, Anne Irene**
1849
1850 C-27-1 E-mail dated January 8, 2002 from Irene Wright: Registration for
1851 Saltspring session for GH-4-2001.
1852
1853 C-27-2 Intervention dated 16 January 2002 from Ms. Anne Irene Wright
1854
1855
1856 **Associations**
1857
1858 **C-28 Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association (ARRRA)**
1859

1860	C-28-1	Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Heather Schyf, on behalf
1861		of the ARRA.
1862		
1863	C-28-2	E-mail dated 6 January 2002 from Heather Schyf, on behalf of
1864		Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association, re: Registration
1865		for GH-4-2001 consultation sessions.
1866		
1867	C-28-3	E-mail dated 11 February 2002 from Heather Schyf, on behalf of
1868		the Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association, re: final list of
1869		issues and IR # 4.
1870		
1871	C-29	Braithwaite Estates Improvement District
1872		
1873	C-29-1	Fax dated 3 December 2001 from J.F. Hugo to the JRP re
1874		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1875		
1876	C-29-2	E-mail dated 3 December 2001 from J. Francis Hugo, on behalf of
1877		Braithwaite Estates Improvement District, regarding submission of
1878		intervention
1879		
1880	C-29-3	E-mail dated 26 December 2001 from J. Francis Hugo on behalf of
1881		Braithwaite Estates Improvement District, re: presentation in
1882		Cobble Hill
1883		
1884	C-29-4	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by J. Francis Hugo - Trustee
1885		for the Braithwaite Estates Improvement District at the Public
1886		Consultation Sessions
1887		
1888	C-29-5	Letter dated 9 February 2003 by J. Francis Hugo - Trustee for the
1889		Braithwaite Estates Improvement District re: expressing concerns,
1890		requesting clarification and assistance
1891		
1892	C-29-6	Letter dated 16 February 2003 by J. Francis Hugo - Trustee for the
1893		Braithwaite Estates Improvement District re: clarification on
1894		witnesses required
1895		
1896	C-30	Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers (CAPP)
1897		
1898	C-30-1	Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Brian Troicuk to the JRP re
1899		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1900		
1901	C-31	Consumers Association of Canada et al

1902		
1903	C-31-1	Fax dated 3 December 2001 from Michael Doherty to the JRP re
1904		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
1905		
1906	C-31-2	E-mail dated 20 December 2001 from Michael Doherty on behalf
1907		of CAC(BC) et al, re: registration for 11 January 2002 public
1908		consultation session
1909		
1910	C-31-3	Letter dated 15 January 2002 from Michael P. Doherty on behalf of
1911		CAC(BC) et al, re: possible need for teleconferencing facilities
1912		
1913	C-31-4	Copy of letter dated 25 January 2002 from Michael P. Doherty on
1914		behalf of CAC(BC) et al, to Arvay Finlay, re: clarification of Arvay
1915		Finlay letter of 23 January 2002
1916		
1917	C-31-5	Letter dated 7 February 2002 (should read 7 March 2002) from M.
1918		Doherty, on behalf of the CAC(BC), re: Alberta Justices' request
1919		for extension
1920		
1921	C-31-6	Letter dated 11 March 2002 from M. Doherty, on behalf of the
1922		CAC(BC), re: Comments on Board's letter dated 8 March 2002
1923		
1924	C-31-7	Letter dated 14 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: two points of
1925		procedure
1926		
1927	C-31-8	Letter dated 15 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: Submissions
1928		of CAC(BC) et al. on the question of jurisdiction to consider
1929		environmental effects of combustion of natural gas
1930		
1931	C-31-8-1	Letter dated 22 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: response to
1932		JRP letter dated 21 March 2002
1933		
1934	C-31-9	Letter dated 28 March 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: Information
1935		Request #1 of CAC(BC)
1936		
1937	C-31-10	Letter dated 2 April 2002 from CAC(BC) et al, re: oral argument
1938		on the jurisdictional questions scheduled for April 9, 10
1939		
1940	C-31-11	Letter dated 30 April 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest
1941		Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, re: response to
1942		Panel letter of 16 April 2002
1943		

1944	C-31-12	Letter dated 24 June 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, commenting on the subject whether the Panel should set an orderly process for compelling the Applicant to provide adequate answers to Information Requests
1945		
1946		
1947		
1948		
1949	C-31-13	Letter dated 8 July 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its Information Request No. 2 to Macleod Dixon LLP
1950		
1951		
1952		
1953		
1954	C-31-14	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its application to compel GSX PL to provide answers to Information Requests
1955		
1956		
1957		
1958		
1959	C-31-15	Letter dated 1 August 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its Notice of Motion dated 1 August 2002
1960		
1961		
1962		
1963		
1964	C-31-16	Letter dated 10 September 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its reply to the responses of GSX PL, the Government of British Columbia and the Government of Alberta
1965		
1966		
1967		
1968		
1969	C-31-17	Letter dated 9 December 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, filing its submission on whether the GSX Project should be set down for hearing
1970		
1971		
1972		
1973		
1974	C-31-18	Letter dated 9 December 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, opposing the motion that seeks to allow GSX PL to file in confidence with the JRP the "average price for GHG offsets"
1975		
1976		
1977		
1978		
1979	C-31-19	Letter dated 11 December 2002 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, responding to the JRP's letter dated 22 November 2002
1980		
1981		
1982	C-31-20	Fax dated 27 January 2003 from British Columbia Public Interest Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, responding to the JRP's letter dated 17 January 2003
1983		
1984		
1985		

1986	C-31-21	Fax dated 4 February 2003 from British Columbia Public Interest
1987		Advocacy Centre, on behalf of CAC(BC) et al, Application to JRP
1988		to provide teleconferencing facilities for the hearing
1989		
1990	C-32	GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition (GSXCCC)
1991		
1992	C-32-1	E-mail dated 12 November 2001 from Thomas Hackney to the
1993		Canadian Environmental Assessment Agency re Participant
1994		funding program
1995		
1996	C-32-2	Fax dated 5 December 2001 from Steve Miller, on behalf of
1997		GSXCCC to the JRP re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline
1998		Project public hearing
1999		
2000	C-32-3	E-mail dated 17 December 2001 from Mr. Arthur Caldicott,
2001		GSXCCC re: questions about the consultation sessions
2002		
2003	C-32-4	Letter dated 3 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of
2004		GSXCCC, re: Panel letter dated 18 December 2001
2005		
2006	C-32-5	Letter dated 9 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of
2007		GSXCCC, re: proposed format of Public Consultation Sessions
2008		
2009	C-32-6	Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on
2010		behalf of the GSXCCC, re: comments on Issues for consideration
2011		by the JRP
2012		
2013	C-32-7	E-mail dated 23 January 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, on behalf of
2014		the GSXCCC re: Electronic copies of documents
2015		
2016	C-32-8	E-mail dated 25 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney on behalf of
2017		the GSXCCC and the Sierra Club of B.C., re: intervenor status
2018		
2019	C-32-9	Letter dated 29 January 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of
2020		GSXCCC, re: various requests
2021		
2022	C-32-10	E-mail dated 1 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of
2023		the GSXCCC re: Service of submissions of Intervenor by the
2024		National Energy Board
2025		
2026	C-32-11	Letter dated 8 February from Thomas Hackney on behalf of the
2027		GSXCCC, re: authorized representatives

2028		
2029	C-32-12	Letter dated 10 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney on behalf of
2030		the GSXCCC, re: pre-hearing technical conference
2031		
2032	C-32-13	Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Arthur Caldicott on behalf of
2033		the GSXCCC, re: views on filing certain gas supply information
2034		
2035	C-32-14	Fax dated 12 February 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, on behalf of
2036		the GSXCCC, re: revised submission regarding filing of certain gas
2037		supply information
2038		
2039	C-32-15	Fax dated 15 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of
2040		the GSXCCC, re: oral argument on the end use of gas that would
2041		be transported by GSX
2042		
2043	C-32-16	Fax dated 18 February 2002 from Thomas Hackney, on behalf of
2044		the GSXCCC, re: confirmation that Panel will provide service of
2045		documents on behalf of GSXCCC
2046		
2047	C-32-17	Letter dated 28 February 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf
2048		of the GSXCCC, re: Panel letter dated 31 January 2002, regarding
2049		combustion of gas
2050		
2051	C-32-18	Letter dated 7 March 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC,
2052		re: ensuring the GSXCCC receives all documents from parties
2053		
2054	C-32-19	Letter of 18 March 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf of
2055		GSXCCC, re: letter submission and affidavit of Dr. Mark Jaccard
2056		
2057	C-32-20	Fax of 18 March 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf of
2058		GSXCCC, re: affidavit of Thomas Hackney
2059		
2060	C-32-21	Letter of 18 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: consultation with
2061		aboriginal peoples
2062		
2063	C-32-22	Fax of 18 March 2002 from William J. Andrews, on behalf of
2064		GSXCCC, re: first page of affidavit from Thomas Hackney
2065		
2066	C-32-23	Letter of 20 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: authorized
2067		representative
2068		

2069	C-32-24	Letter of 22 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: clarification regarding
2070		receipt of documentation
2071		
2072	C-32-25	Letter of 28 March 2002 from GSXCCC re: information request #1
2073		
2074	C-32-26	Letter of 1 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: distribution of
2075		documents at the oral hearing
2076		
2077	C-32-27	Letter of 3 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: Project registry and
2078		document management
2079		
2080	C-32-28	Letter dated 13 April 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC,
2081		clarifying that its members do not include Gordon Bell or Joan
2082		LeSeur
2083		
2084	C-32-29	Letter dated 13 April 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC,
2085		re: re-sending page 13 of original IR no 1 to GSX
2086		
2087	C-32-30	Letter dated 19 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: service of letter
2088		from Panel dated 16 April 2002 giving notice that the Panel has
2089		suspended the schedule for the Review
2090		
2091	C-32-31	Letter dated 20 April 2002 from GSXCCC re: Project registry and
2092		document management
2093		
2094	C-32-32	Letter dated 1 May 2002 from GSXCCC re: not receiving a copy of
2095		comments required by Applicant as mentioned in Panel letter dated
2096		16 April 2002
2097		
2098	C-32-33	Letter dated 6 May 2002 from GSXCCC re: response to Panel's
2099		letter of 16 April 2002 and to the Applicant's comments on
2100		questions
2101		
2102	C-32-34	Letter dated 18 June 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC,
2103		taking issue with certain statements made by the Panel in a letter to
2104		All Parties dated 31 May 2002
2105		
2106	C-32-35	Letter dated 21 June 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC,
2107		expressing their concerns about the NEB's actions may seriously
2108		prejudice the public's ability to participate in proceedings of this
2109		type
2110		

2111	C-32-36	Letter dated 21 June 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC,
2112		supporting SPEC and DSF's request to the Panel dated 18 June
2113		2002
2114		
2115	C-32-37	Letter dated 25 June 2002 from GSXCCC re: responses to
2116		Intervenor's Information Requests
2117		
2118	C-32-38	Letter dated 28 June 2002 from Arthur Caldicott, Director of
2119		GSXCCC requesting to modify the document distribution
2120		
2121	C-32-39	Letter dated 8 July 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC re:
2122		Mr. Hoo's withdrawal from the Marine Coalition
2123		
2124	C-32-40	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC, to
2125		Macleod Dixon LLP submitting its Information Request No. 2
2126		
2127	C-32-41	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC,
2128		applying to the Panel and moving that the Applicant be ordered to
2129		answer several questions that GSXCCC submitted in its
2130		Information Request of 28 March 2002
2131		
2132	C-32-42	Letter dated 1 August 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC
2133		submitting its Motion for an order with regard to the date for filing
2134		of Intervenor's Written Evidence
2135		
2136	C-32-43	Letter dated 1 August 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC
2137		submitting its Motion for an order to compel answers to several
2138		items of GSXCCC's Information Request No. 2
2139		
2140	C-32-44	Letter dated 23 August 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2141		GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP seeking to know whether GSX
2142		PL has a document entitled "Canadian Natural Gas Market Review
2143		& Outlook" dated May 2001 in electronic form and could GSX PL
2144		supply it to the Coalition in this form
2145		
2146	C-32-45	Letter dated 10 September 2002 from Mr. Bill Andrews filing
2147		GSXCCC's final reply submission regarding the two motions to
2148		compel answers to information requests
2149		
2150	C-32-46	Letter dated 10 September 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2151		GSXCCC requesting an extension to the event deadline for service

2152 and filing so that it can have a reasonable opportunity to reply to
2153 Alberta's submission
2154

2155 C-32-47 Letter dated 18 September 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2156 GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP requesting that it explain the
2157 inconsistencies between 2 statements in order to prepare its written
2158 evidence
2159

2160 C-32-48 Letter dated 18 September 2002 from William J. Andrews replying
2161 to a 29 August 2002 response submission from counsel for the
2162 Alberta Department of Energy
2163

2164 C-32-49 Letter dated 7 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2165 GSXCCC filing a Motion
2166

2167 C-32-50 Letter dated 18 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2168 GSXCCC requesting that, further to its Motion dated 7 November
2169 2002, the Panel specify that the Applicant should respond to
2170 GSXCCC IR 1.16(d) using an appropriate format for conveying the
2171 information requested
2172

2173 C-32-51 Notice of Motion dated 19 November 2002 from William, J.
2174 Andrews regarding the JRP assessment of the GSX Canada
2175 Pipeline Project
2176

2177 C-32-52 Letter dated 20 November 2002 Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC
2178 requesting that GSX PL provide the units for the values used for
2179 the driving variables
2180

2181 C-32-53 Letter dated 20 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2182 GSXCCC filing a Notice of Motion that the Panel order the
2183 Applicant to comply with the Panel's order concerning responses to
2184 GSXCCC's information requests
2185

2186 C-32-54 Letter dated 26 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2187 GSXCCC re: the units used for the intensity measures which
2188 remain unclear
2189

2190 C-32-55 Letter dated 26 November 2002 from GSXCCC filing evidence in
2191 support of the GSXCCC intervention
2192 1) *Briefing notes of presentation given by B.C. Hydro to*
2193 *Vancouver Island MLS's, 16 April 2002*

2194 2) *B.C. Hydro Electric Load Forecast 2001/2021 prepared Dec.*
 2195 *2001*
 2196 3) *B.C. Hydro response to B.C. Utilities Commission re:*
 2197 *electricity supply to Vancouver Island 4 Dec. 2000*
 2198 4) *Economic Analysis of British Columbia Vol. 22 No. 6 2002*
 2199 *published by Economics Department, Credit Union Central of*
 2200 *British Columbia*
 2201 5) *Multiple Account Benefit Cost Evaluation of the Burrard*
 2202 *Thermal Generating Plant, Prepared for Ministry of Finance and*
 2203 *Corporate Relations, by Marvin Schaffer and Associates April*
 2204 *2001*
 2205 6) *Recommended HVDC Operating and Maintenance Strategy*
 2206 *1999 to 2007 B.C. Hydro March 13, 2000*
 2207 7) *Briefing notes from presentation given to GSXCCC by B.C.*
 2208 *Hydro 7 December 2001*
 2209
 2210 C-32-56 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
 2211 GSXCCC filing its written evidence
 2212 *Document 1 - Report on Gas Supply for the Georgia Strait*
 2213 *Crossing Natural Gas Pipeline, September 2002, Rob Woronuk,*
 2214 *GasEnergy Strategies Inc, Appendices A to H*
 2215 *Document 2 - The Need for GSX: Electricity Supply and Demand*
 2216 *Forecasts for Vancouver Island 2002-2012, November 2002, by*
 2217 *Steve Miller and Associates*
 2218 *Document 3 - BC's Electricity Options: Multi-Attribute Trade-Off*
 2219 *and Risk Analysis of the Natural Gas Strategy for Vancouver*
 2220 *Island: Final Report, May 2002, Mark Jaccard and Rose Murphy*
 2221 *Document 4 - BC's Electricity Options: Multi-Attribute Trade-Off*
 2222 *and Risk Analysis of the Natural Gas Strategy for Vancouver*
 2223 *Island: Postscript to the Report of May 1, 2002, July 2002, Mark*
 2224 *Jaccard and Rose Murphy*
 2225 *Document 5 - Report on Energy Alternatives Considered by BC*
 2226 *Hydro November 2002 by Thomas Hackney*
 2227 *Document 6 - Report on BC Hydro Greenhouse Gas Offsets*
 2228 *Proposals, November 2002, by Thomas Hackney*
 2229 *Document 7 - Report on the Canadian Commitment to Ratify the*
 2230 *Kyoto Protocol and Reduce Greenhouse Gas Emissions, November*
 2231 *2002, by Thomas Hackney*
 2232 *Document 8 - Curriculum vitae of Mr. Rob Woronuk*
 2233 *Document 9 - Curriculum vitae of Mr. Stephen Miller*
 2234 *Document 10 - Curriculum vitae of Mr. Thomas Hackney*
 2235

2236	C-32-57	Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC submitting its comments on the questions set in the Panel's letter of 25 November 2002
2237		
2238		
2239		
2240	C-32-58	Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC submitting its comments on the Motion of 26 November 2002 brought by GSX PL Ltd.
2241		
2242		
2243		
2244	C-32-59	Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC submitting its comments on the Motion of Mairi McLennan dated 18 November 2002
2245		
2246		
2247		
2248	C-32-60	Letter dated 16 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC filing a Motion
2249		
2250		
2251	C-32-61	Letter dated 18 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC requesting that the Panel distribute all documents filed as written evidence or clarify its position with respect to its undertaking to serve GSXCCC's documents
2252		
2253		
2254		
2255		
2256	C-32-62	Letter dated 18 December 2002 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC advising that its fax machine will be out of service from 19 December 2002 to 3 January 2003
2257		
2258		
2259		
2260	C-32-63	Reply submission dated 19 December 2002 from Mr. William J. Andrews, on behalf of GSXCCC in relation to the Panel's 22 November 2002 letter to parties
2261		
2262		
2263		
2264	C-32-64	Letter dated 6 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP requesting that the Applicant provide a report entitled " Supplemental Information to Volume IV Environmental and Socio-Economic Impact Assessment respecting Marine Route Revision Near 22.0 & Revised Marine Pipeline Installation Plan" and a copy of a binder entitled "Supplemental 2 Information
2265		
2266		
2267		
2268		
2269		
2270		
2271		
2272	C-32-65	Letter dated 13 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney, GSXCCC confirming that it has delivered copies of the Coalition's written evidence documents 11 to 17 to the Province of BC
2273		
2274		
2275		

2276	C-32-66	Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2277		GSXCCC to Macleod Dixon LLP submitting its response to GSX
2278		PL Ltd's Information Request
2279		
2280	C-32-67	Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2281		GSXCCC submitting its response to the Panel's Information
2282		Request No. 22, prepared by Rob Woronuk
2283		
2284	C-32-68	Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2285		GSXCCC submitting responses to the Panel's Information Request
2286		No. 31
2287		
2288	C-32-69	Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2289		GSXCCC adopting and endorsing the reasons, arguments and
2290		requests made by Mr. Tim Howard, counsel for SPEC and DSF
2291		
2292	C-32-70	Letter dated 6 February 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2293		GSXCCC Notice of Motion regarding location of hearing and pre-
2294		hearing phase
2295		
2296	C-32-71	Letter dated 10 February 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2297		GSXCCC Notice to All Parties re: Dr. Mark Jaccard to testify at
2298		hearing
2299		
2300	C-32-72	Letter dated 20 February 2003 from Mr. Thomas Hackney,
2301		GSXCCC re: GSXCCC's witnesses at the hearing and other
2302		matters
2303		
2304	C-33	Gulf Crab Fishers Association
2305		
2306	C-33-1	William Douglass fax to the JRP dated 17 November 2001 re
2307		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing.
2308		
2309	C-33-2	Letter dated 18 January 2002 from Mr. Bill Douglass, on behalf of
2310		the Gulf Crab Fishers Association, re: comments on the scope of
2311		GSX review
2312		
2313	C-33-3	Letter dated 25 April 2002 from Gulf Crab Fishers Association re:
2314		GSX PL proposed trenching measures.
2315		
2316	C-34	Pender Islands GSX Coalition
2317		

2318	C-34-1	Fax dated 15 November 2001 from Sara J. Steil to the JRP re
2319		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
2320		
2321	C-34-2	E-mail dated 21 December 2001 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of
2322		Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: intervention and consultation
2323		session on Pender Islands
2324		
2325	C-34-3	E-mail dated 21 December 2001 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of
2326		Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: presentations by Isabel Roberts,
2327		Shirley Fyles, Sara Steil, Don Harrison and Stuart Scholefield at
2328		North Pender Island
2329		
2330	C-34-4	E-mail dated January 16, 2002 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of
2331		Pender Islands GSX Coalition: Request to reinstate intervenor
2332		status
2333		
2334	C-34-5	Submission dated 19 January 2002 from Ms. Sara J. Steil, on
2335		behalf of the Pender Islands Conservancy
2336		
2337	C-34-6	Submission dated 19 January 2002 from Don Harrison, on behalf
2338		of the Pender Islands Conservancy Association, consultation
2339		session comments
2340		
2341	C-34-7	E-mail dated January 28, 2002 from Sara J. Steil, on behalf of
2342		Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: inquiry re intervenor status
2343		
2344	C-34-8	E-mail dated 1 February 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the
2345		Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: letter to Panel from Arvay
2346		Finlay dated 23 January 2002
2347		
2348	C-34-9	Letter dated 2 July 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the Pender
2349		Islands GSX Coalition, re: EBA Consulting
2350		
2351	C-34-10	Letter dated 31 August 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the
2352		Pender Islands GSX Coalition, re: the Province of BC's reply with
2353		regards to the motion to compel GSX Panel to answer questions
2354		on electricity generation by National Gas as a direct result of the
2355		GSX
2356		
2357	C-34-11	Letter dated 11 November 2002 from Sara J. Steil on behalf of the
2358		Pender Islands GSX Coalition, requesting a delay of the deadline
2359		for filing Intervenor evidence until mid January 2003

2360		
2361	C-35	Saturna Community Club/Club communautaire Saturna
2362		
2363	C-35-1	E-mail dated 19 November 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth to
2364		the JRP re Tour of Saturna Island
2365		
2366	C-35-2	Saturna Community Club undated information request to the JRP
2367		re Questions for the GSX JRP Secretariat
2368		
2369	C-35-3	Letter dated 23 November 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth, on
2370		behalf of Saturna Community Club, to the JRP re: request to
2371		consider sponsorship of a pre:-hearing conference on the marine
2372		portion of the Georgia Strait Crossing pipeline application
2373		
2374	C-35-4	Intervention dated 4 December 2001 from Mr. Ian Smyth and Mr.
2375		Cunliffe Barnett, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club
2376		
2377	C-35-5	E-mail dated December 16, 2001 from Ian Smyth, on behalf of
2378		Saturna Community Club, re: Alternate contact
2379		
2380	C-35-6	Fax dated December 17, 2001 from Cunliffe Barnett, on behalf of
2381		the Saturna Community Club, re:: letter of complaint re:: Public
2382		consultation for the GSX Project
2383		
2384	C-35-7	E-mail dated December 19, 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth,
2385		on behalf of Saturna Community, re: Wish to clarify that they are
2386		part of three separate applications for intervenor status with respect
2387		to GH-4-2001
2388		
2389	C-35-8	Presentation notes dated 19 January 2002 from C. Barnett, on
2390		behalf of the Saturna Community Club, Saturna Island scoping
2391		session
2392		
2393	C-35-9	Letter dated 23 March 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of
2394		the Saturna Island Community Club, re: Panel IR no 5 to GSX PL
2395		and request for information regarding other electricity supply
2396		options
2397		
2398	C-35-10	Letter dated 24 June 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the
2399		Saturna Island Community Club, regarding its letter of 23 March
2400		2002 requesting information with respect to various matters related
2401		to the GSX pipeline project

2402		
2403	C-35-11	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of the
2404		Saturna Island Community Club, referring to his letters to the
2405		Panel of 23 March 2002 and 24 June 2002 which remain
2406		unanswered by GSX PL
2407		
2408	C-35-12	Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf
2409		of the Saturna Island Community Club, re: his letter dated 29 July
2410		2002 and other previous correspondence and the response of GSX
2411		PL dated 29 August 2002
2412		
2413	C-35-13	Letter dated 8 November 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf of
2414		the Saturna Island Community Club, re: Notice of Motion of the
2415		Saturna Community Club
2416		
2417	C-35-14	Letter dated 14 November 2002 from Mr. Ian R. Smyth, on behalf
2418		of the Saturna Island Community Club, re: GSX PL's response to
2419		their Notice of Motion
2420		
2421	C-36	Saturna Marine Coalition
2422		
2423	C-36-1	Intervention and letter dated 23 November 2001 from Susie
2424		Washington Smyth, on behalf of Saturna Community Club, Pender
2425		Island Conservancy Association, Canadian Parks and Wilderness
2426		Society, Georgia Strait Alliance and Salt Spring Conservancy
2427		(Saturna Marine Coalition)
2428		
2429	C-36-2	Intervention dated 30 November 2001 from Susie Washington
2430		Smyth, on behalf of the Club, Pender Islands Conservancy
2431		Association, Salt Spring Island Conservancy, Saanich Inlet
2432		protection Society, Georgia Strait Alliance and Canadian Parks and
2433		Wilderness Society, (B.C. Chapter)
2434		
2435	C-36-3	E-mail dated December 16, 2001 from Susie Washington Smyth,
2436		on behalf of Saturna Community Club, re: Alternate contact
2437		
2438	C-36-4	E-mail dated 30 January 2002 from Susie Washington Smyth of
2439		the Saturna Community Club, on behalf of various associations, re:
2440		pre-hearing conference
2441		
2442	C-36-5	Fax dated 8 February 2002 from Susie Washington Smyth and
2443		William Douglass, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club, Gulf

- 2444 Crab Fishers Association, Pender Islands Conservancy
2445 Association, Salt Spring Island Conservancy, Saanich Inlet
2446 Protection Society, Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (B.C.
2447 Chapter), Georgia Straight Alliance, re: pre-hearing technical
2448 conference
2449
- 2450 C-36-6 E-mail dated 28 February 2002 from Susie Washington Smyth and
2451 William Douglass, on behalf of the Saturna Community Club, Gulf
2452 Crab Fishers Association, Pender Islands Conservancy
2453 Association, Salt Spring Island Conservancy, Saanich Inlet
2454 Protection Society, Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (B.C.
2455 Chapter), Georgia Straight Alliance to Macleod Dixon LLP
2456 requesting that the Applicant provide them with two sets of the
2457 five reports detailed in its letter
2458
- 2459 C-36-7 Letter of 18 March 2002 from Saturna Community Club re:
2460 consultation with aboriginal peoples
2461
- 2462 C-36-8 E-mail dated 20 March 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth,
2463 on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition re: away 24 March to 5
2464 April, alternate contact K Symington
2465
- 2466 C-36-9 Letter of 23 March 2002 from Saturna Community Club re:
2467 Information Request on marine portion
2468
- 2469 C-36-10 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on
2470 behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: comments in reply to
2471 Panel letter of 16 April 2002, crown consultation and appropriate
2472 new schedule
2473
- 2474 C-36-11 Letter dated 19 June 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on
2475 behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, bringing to the attention of
2476 the JRP an administrative practice within the NEB which has done
2477 serious injury to the capacity of the Marine Coalition
2478
- 2479 C-36-12 Letter dated 26 June 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, on
2480 behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: pre-hearing technical
2481 conference on the marine portion of the environmental impact
2482 assessment
2483
- 2484 C-36-13 Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth,
2485 on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, commenting on the 29

2486 August 2002 letter from Counsel for GSX PL with respect to the
2487 filing of intervenor evidence and the 15 July 2002 Notice of
2488 Motion filed on behalf of GSX PL
2489

2490 C-36-14 Letter dated 7 November 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth,
2491 on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, filing its Notice of
2492 Motion
2493

2494 C-36-15 Letter dated 8 November 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth,
2495 on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: GSX PL's failure to
2496 discharge its obligations in a timely fashion
2497

2498 C-36-16 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington
2499 Smyth, on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, re: filing its
2500 direct evidence
2501 *Direct evidence of Susie Washington Smyth*
2502 *Appendix A - Curriculum Vitae*
2503 *Appendix B - Review of Benthic Infauna and associated*
2504 *background documents*
2505 *Appendix C - Review of marine Flora & associated background*
2506 *documents*
2507 *Appendix D - Review of Barrier Effects & associated background*
2508 *documents*
2509 *Appendix E - Review of Marine Mammals & associated*
2510 *background documents*
2511 *Appendix F - Questions for the Scientific Review of the GSX PL,*
2512 *Glossary, Call for Action*
2513

2514 C-36-17 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth,
2515 on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, submitting its
2516 comments on the questions set in the Panel's letter of 25 November
2517 2002
2518

2519 C-36-18 Letter dated 21 January 2003 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth,
2520 on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, filing its response to
2521 GSX PL's information request
2522

2523 C-36-19 Letter dated 19 February 2003 from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth,
2524 on behalf of the Saturna Marine Coalition, advising of details
2525 regarding the hearing
2526

2527	C-37	Society Promoting Environmental Conservation (SPEC) and/et
2528		the David Suzuki Foundation
2529		
2530	C-37-1	Letter dated 13 November 2001 from Timothy J. Howard re
2531		Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
2532		
2533	C-37-2	Letter dated December 19, 2001 from Timothy Howard of Sierra
2534		Legal Defence Fund, on behalf of the Society Promoting
2535		Environmental Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation, re:
2536		Correction - Sierra Legal represents Intervenor David Suzuki
2537		Foundation
2538		
2539	C-37-3	E-mail dated January 8, 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund on
2540		behalf of the Society Promoting Environmental Conservation and
2541		the David Suzuki Foundation, re: Request to participate in the
2542		consultation sessions on January 11 in Vancouver
2543		
2544	C-37-3-1	Submission dated 11 January 2002 from Dermot Foley, Energy
2545		Policy Analyst, David Suzuki Foundation, Vancouver Consultation
2546		Session
2547		
2548	C-37-4	Fax dated January 11, 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund on
2549		behalf of the Society Promoting Environmental Conservation and
2550		the David Suzuki Foundation, re: Concerns arising from
2551		Vancouver session for GH-4-2001
2552		
2553	C-37-5	Fax dated 5 February 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard
2554		requesting that the Panel expand the process provided for in that
2555		letter to permit the filing of affidavit evidence and presentation of
2556		oral argument
2557		
2558	C-37-6	Fax dated 6 February 2002 from Mr. Tim Howard, on behalf of
2559		DSF and SPEC, re: comments in reply to Panel letter dated 22
2560		January 2002 regarding relief from filing requirements and the
2561		proposed technical conference
2562		
2563	C-37-7	Fax dated 15 February 2002 from Ms. Tina Reale, Sierra Legal
2564		Defence Fund, on behalf of DSF and SPEC re: confirmation of
2565		distribution list
2566		
2567	C-37-8	Letter dated 6 March 2002 from the Sierra Legal Defence Fund, on
2568		behalf of SPEC and DSF, re: opposing a request by Alberta Justice

2569 for an extension to the deadline for filing evidence by the Attorney
2570 General
2571
2572 C-37-9 Letter dated 13 March 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on
2573 behalf of DSF and SPEC) re: submissions, evidence and authorities
2574 of SPEC and DSF on the preliminary issue
2575
2576 C-37-10 Letter dated 14 March 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on
2577 behalf of DSF and SPEC) re: comments on issue of workshop on
2578 First Nations Interests
2579
2580 C-37-11 E-mail dated 20 March 2002 from Mr. Tim Howard, Sierra Legal
2581 Defense Fund, on behalf of DSF and SPEC re: inquiry about
2582 comments on the scope of the assessment from federal department
2583
2584 C-37-12 Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on
2585 behalf of DSF and SPEC) re: Information Requests
2586
2587 C-37-13 Letter dated 6 April 2002 (should be 6 May 2002) from Sierra
2588 Legal Defence Fund on behalf of DSF and SPEC re: response to
2589 Panel letter of 16 April 2002
2590
2591 C-37-14 Letter dated 12 April 2002 from Sierra Legal Defence Fund (on
2592 behalf of David Suzuki Foundation & SPEC) re: request for
2593 extension of time for filing written evidence set for May 9
2594
2595 C-37-15 Letter dated 18 June 2002 from Timothy J. Howard seeking
2596 direction from the Panel regarding the timing and procedure for
2597 applications by Intervenor for orders requiring GSX PL to
2598 adequately respond to IRs
2599
2600 C-37-16 Letter dated 20 June 2002 from Tina Reale requesting a revised
2601 and amended List of Parties
2602
2603 C-37-17 Letter dated 28 June 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard providing
2604 brief general and specific comments in response to the Panel's
2605 letter of June 27
2606
2607 C-37-18 Letter dated 9 July 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard enclosing
2608 for service a copy of SPEC and DSF's information requests and an
2609 application to compel answers to the earlier information requests
2610 with supporting materials

2611
2612 C-37-19 Letter dated 31 July 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard enclosing
2613 for service a Notice of Motion of SPEC and DSF seeking an order
2614 compelling the proponent to answer an IR regarding the
2615 environmental effects of the combustion of gas at Duke Point
2616
2617 C-37-20 Letter dated 9 September 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard
2618 enclosing an additional 25 copies each of the reply submission and
2619 excerpted text and authority of the SPEC and DSF, on its motion
2620 dated 9 July and 31 July 2002
2621
2622 C-37-21 Letter dated 6 November 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard
2623 writing further to the proponent's supplementary response to the
2624 Intervenor's IRs as directed by the Panel in its decision of 26
2625 September 2002
2626
2627 C-37-22 Letter dated 19 November 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard
2628 enclosing for service a Notice of Motion of SPEC and DSF seeking
2629 a further order requiring GSX PL to comply with the Panel's order
2630 of 26 September
2631
2632 C-37-23 Letter dated 27 November 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard
2633 advising that due to scheduling problems that have recently arisen,
2634 it will be serving its written evidence by courier over the weekend
2635
2636 C-37-24 Letter dated 29 November 2002 to Mr. Timothy J. Howard
2637 enclosing for service copies of the written evidence of SPEC and
2638 DSF
2639
2640 C-37-25 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard filing
2641 its submission on the setting down of this matter for a hearing, and
2642 as its further comments on GSX PL's confidentiality motion
2643
2644 C-37-26 Fax dated 24 January 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re:
2645 response to request for further submissions regarding scheduling
2646
2647 C-37-27 Fax dated 28 January 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re: JRP's
2648 Disclosure letter of 27 January 2003
2649
2650 C-37-28 Fax dated 29 January 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re:
2651 correction of error in letter of 28 January
2652

2653 C-37-29 Fax dated 18 February 2003 from Mr. Timothy J. Howard re:
2654 advising of intent to rely on pre-filed written evidence
2655
2656 **C-38 Intervenor withdrew, see D-92**
2657
2658 **C-39 Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association (VIPLA)**
2659
2660 C-39-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Cohen Highley re Intervenor
2661 Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
2662
2663 C-39-2 E-mail dated January 5, 2002 from Dave Thomson on behalf of
2664 Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association, re: Request
2665 for time of appearance at session on 14 January
2666
2667 C-39-3 Presentation notes dated 14 January 2002 of Dave Thomson,
2668 Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association, Cobble Hill
2669 scoping session
2670
2671 C-39-4 Letter dated 5 February 2002 from Ms. Dawn J. Kershaw, Cohen
2672 Highley, on behalf of the VIPLA, re: authorized representative
2673
2674 C-39-5 Letter dated 20 February 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2675 VIPLA, re: concerns with respect to right-of-way and temporary
2676 workspace agreements, and impacts of construction
2677
2678 C-39-6 Letter dated 22 February 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2679 VIPLA, re: request to allow two designated representatives
2680
2681 C-39-7 Letter dated 26 February 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2682 VIPLA, re: designation of authorized representative
2683
2684 C-39-8 Letter dated 11 March 2002 from Mr. Dave Thomson, on behalf of
2685 VIPLA, re: supports combining the effects of emissions
2686
2687 C-39-9 Letter of 28 March 2002 from Vancouver Island pipeline
2688 Landowners Association re: Information Requests
2689
2690 C-39-10 Letter dated 6 May 2002 from Cohen Highley on behalf of VIPLA
2691 re: response to Panel's letter of 16 April 2002
2692

2693	C-39-11	Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Cohen Highley, attaching an
2694		electronic copy of the written submissions on behalf of the
2695		Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners' Association
2696		
2697	C-39-12	Letter dated 4 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2698		VIPLA providing its comments with respect to the GSX
2699		application proceeding to hearing
2700		
2701	C-39-13	Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2702		VIPLA re: telephone call respecting clarification of a document
2703		that was attached to one of the landowner's evidence in the VIPLA
2704		submission
2705		
2706	C-39-14	Letter dated 17 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2707		VIPLA to Mr. Lou Rilkoff, GSX PL re: VIPLA's negotiation
2708		proposal
2709		
2710	C-39-15	Letter dated 23 December 2002 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2711		VIPLA requesting binders entitled "Pipeline Seismic Analysis
2712		Report dated December 2002" and a binder entitled "Pipeline
2713		Seismic Analysis Report ANSYS Results dated December 2002
2714		
2715	C-39-16	E-mail dated 14 January 2003 from Dawn J. Kershaw-Halligan re:
2716		schedule during the hearing
2717		
2718	C-39-17	Letter dated 20 January 2003 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2719		VIPLA enclosing a complete copy of responses to information
2720		requests, including schedules and maps
2721		
2722	C-39-18	Letter dated 7 February 2003 from Cohen Highley, on behalf of
2723		VIPLA enclosing a supplement to the Pre-filed Evidence of VIPLA
2724		
2725	C-40	Vancouver Island Advanced Technology Centre (VIATeC)
2726		
2727	C-40-1	E-mail dated 25 January 2002 from Colin Lennox on behalf of the
2728		Vancouver Island Advanced Technology Centre (VIATeC) re:
2729		request for advice
2730		
2731	C-40-2	E-mail dated 20 March 2002 from Mr. Colin Lennox, on behalf of
2732		VIATeC, re: intent to intervene
2733		

2734	C-40-3	Intervention dated 27 March 2002 from Mr. Colin Lennox, on
2735		behalf of VIATeC
2736		
2737	C-40-4	E-mail dated 15 January 2003 from Mr. Colin Lennox, on behalf
2738		of VIATeC confirming that VIATeC is still planning to speak
2739		briefly at the hearing scheduled to start on 24 February 2003
2740		
2741	Companies/Compagnies	
2742		
2743	C-41	B.C. Gas Utility Ltd.
2744		
2745	C-41-1	Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Mr. Rick
2746		Parnell, on behalf of BC Gas Utility Ltd.
2747		
2748	C-41-2	Mr. Rick Parnell, on behalf of BC Gas Utility Ltd., letter dated 18
2749		February 2002, re: whether the Panel can and should consider the
2750		effects
2751		
2752	C-42	Blue Energy Canada Inc.
2753		
2754	C-42-1	Martin Burger fax to the JRP dated 5 December 2001 re Intervenor
2755		Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
2756		
2757	C-43	Centra Gas British Columbia Inc.
2758		
2759	C-43-1	Intervention dated 22 January 2002 from Geoffrey Higgins, on
2760		behalf of Centra Gas
2761		
2762	C-44	Duke Energy Trading & Marketing, L.L.C. (DETM)
2763		
2764	C-44-1	Letter of Intervention dated 5 December 2001 from Gordon J.
2765		Smith (John & Hengerer), on behalf of DETM
2766		
2767	C-45	Imperial Oil Resources / Pétrolière Impériale Ressources
2768		Limitée
2769		
2770	C-45-1	Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Mr. C. Brian
2771		Woods, on behalf of Imperial Oil Resources
2772		
2773	C-46	Mirant Canada Energy Marketing, Ltd. (Mirant)
2774		

2775	C-46-1	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from Tomaz Lange, on behalf
2776		of Mirant
2777		
2778	C-47	Northern Pipeline Projects Ltd.
2779		
2780	C-47-1	Letter of Intervention dated 4 December 2001 from Mr. Doug
2781		Anguish, on behalf of Northern Pipeline Projects Ltd.
2782		
2783	C-47-2	E-mail dated 5 January 2002 from Doug Anguish, on behalf of
2784		Northern Pipeline Projects Ltd., re: registration at 12 January
2785		Workshop, 12 January First Nations session and 14 January Cobble
2786		Hill sessions
2787		
2788	C-48	Powerex Corp. (Powerex)
2789		
2790	C-48-1	Fax dated 6 December from Brian Moghadam re Intervenor Status
2791		in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
2792		
2793	C-48-2	Letter dated 18 July 2002 from Powerex bringing to the attention
2794		of the Panel the consequences of a delay to the in-service date of
2795		GSX
2796		
2797	C-49	University of British Columbia
2798		
2799	C-49-1	Letter dated 29 April 2002 from University of British Columbia re:
2800		request for intervenor status
2801		
2802	C-49-2	Letter dated 24 May 2002 to the Panel from the University of
2803		British Columbia re: request for name of authorized representative
2804		
2805	C-49-3	Letter dated 28 November 2002 from the University of British
2806		Columbia filing its submission as an intervenor in the matter of the
2807		proposed GSX natural gas pipeline on Vancouver Island
2808		
2809	C-49-4	Letter dated 20 January 2002 from the University of British
2810		Columbia re: JRP's Information Request No. 29 regarding UBC
2811		Evidence dated 28 November 2002 - page 3
2812		
2813	C-50	Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC (VW)
2814		
2815	C-50-1	Letter dated 17 May 2002 from Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC
2816		(VW) re: Request for intervenor status

2817		
2818	C-50-2	E-mail dated 24 May 2002 from Vassilopoulos & Williamson, LC
2819		(VW) re: confirming their authorized representative
2820		
2821	C-51	Westcoast Energy Inc.
2822		
2823	C-51-1	Intervention dated 18 February 2002 from Mr. Barry Jardine, on
2824		behalf of Westcoast Energy Inc.
2825		
2826	C-51-2	Letter dated 22 February 2002 from Westcoast Energy Inc.,
2827		providing supplementary information in support of a request for
2828		late intervention
2829		
2830	Governments/Gouvernements	
2831		
2832	C-52	Alberta Department of Energy / Ministère de l'Énergie de
2833		l'Alberta
2834		
2835	C-52-1	Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Brent Prenevost re Intervenor
2836		Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
2837		
2838	C-52-2	Letter dated 14 February 2002 from the Alberta Energy, re: JRP
2839		consideration of environmental effects
2840		
2841	C-52-3	Fax and letter dated 21 February 2002 from Alberta Energy re:
2842		authorized representative
2843		
2844	C-52-4	Letter dated 8 July 2002 from Alberta Energy responding to the
2845		Panel's letter of 31 January 2002
2846		
2847	C-52-5	Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Alberta Energy filing its
2848		submission opposing the Notices of Motion to compel answers to
2849		information requests respecting electricity
2850		
2851	C-52-6	Letter dated 12 September 2002 from Alberta Energy advising that
2852		it did not send its submission to certain intervenors
2853		
2854	C-52-7	Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Alberta Energy filing its
2855		comments on motions brought by GSXCCC and Mairi McLennan
2856		for review of the Panel's reasons for decision of 18 October 2002
2857		
2858	C-53	British Columbia Utilities Commission

2859
2860 C-53-1 Letter dated 16 November 2001 from Robert J. Pellatt re Intervenor
2861 Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
2862
2863 **C-54 Department of Justice (on behalf of Environment Canada,**
2864 **Department of Fisheries and Oceans, Parks Canada and**
2865 **Natural Resources Canada) / Ministère de la Justice (pour**
2866 **Environnement Canada, Ministère des Pêches et des Océans,**
2867 **Parcs Canada et Ressources naturelles Canada)**
2868
2869 C-54-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Catherine Badke, on behalf of
2870 Natural Resources Canada re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada
2871 Pipeline Project public hearing
2872
2873 C-54-2 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Nik Lopoukhine on behalf of
2874 Parks Canada re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project
2875 public hearing
2876
2877 C-54-3 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Don Fast, on behalf of
2878 Environment Canada re Intervenor Status in GSX Canada Pipeline
2879 Project public hearing.
2880
2881 C-54-4 Intervention dated 20 December 2001 from Scott Northrup, on
2882 behalf of Fisheries and Oceans
2883
2884 C-54-5 Intervention dated 20 December 2001 from Jeff Johansen, on
2885 behalf of Fisheries and Oceans
2886
2887 C-54-6 Letter dated 15 January 2002 from John Clark, on behalf of
2888 Environment Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, Parks Canada
2889 and Natural Resources Canada, re: joint federal intervenors
2890
2891 C-54-7 Submission dated 17 January 2002 by John Clark, Justice Canada,
2892 on behalf of four federal departments, re: comments on list of
2893 issues.
2894
2895 C-54-8 Letter dated 31 January 2002 from Adrian C. Duncan, on behalf of
2896 Environment Canada, re: change of contact
2897
2898 C-54-9 Letter dated 1 February 2002 from John Clark of the Department of
2899 Justice, on behalf of federal intervenors, re: adding name to
2900 mailing list

2901		
2902	C-54-10	Letter dated 8 February 2002 from John Clark of the Department of
2903		Justice, on behalf of federal intervenors, re: authorized
2904		representative
2905		
2906	C-54-11	Fax dated 11 February 2002 from Justice Canada, on behalf of 4
2907		federal departments, re: activities with respect to First Nations
2908		
2909	C-54-12	Fax dated 13 February 2002 from John Clark, Counsel,
2910		Department of Justice, on behalf of various federal intervenors, re:
2911		comments on the merits of the Panel sponsoring a pre-hearing
2912		conference
2913		
2914	C-54-13	Letter dated 22 February 2002 from Dave Carter, on behalf of
2915		Fisheries and Oceans, to Ms. Sharon Charles, Sencot'en Alliance,
2916		re: request to meet with respect to views on the GSX project
2917		
2918	C-54-14	Letter dated 28 February 2002 from Dave Carter, on behalf of
2919		Fisheries and Oceans, to a First Nations distribution list, re: request
2920		to meet with respect to views on the GSX project
2921		
2922	C-54-15	Letter dated 6 March 2002 from the Department of Justice, on
2923		behalf of federal departments, re: designation of authorized
2924		representatives
2925		
2926	C-54-16	Letter dated 15 March 2002 from Department of Justice re:
2927		response to Panel letter of 31 January 2002 to Macleod Dixon
2928		
2929	C-54-17	Letter dated 18 March 2002 from Department of Justice re:
2930		comments on issue of workshop on First Nations Interests
2931		
2932	C-54-18	Letter dated 27 March 2002 from Fisheries and Oceans re:
2933		preliminary meeting with NEB and Cowichan Tribes
2934		
2935	C-54-19	Letter dated 27 March 2002 from Fisheries and Oceans to Mandell
2936		Pinder re: meeting with Sencot'en Alliance
2937		
2938	C-54-20	Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Fisheries and Oceans re: Federal
2939		Intervenor involvement in planning team
2940		
2941	C-54-21	Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Department of Justice re: Oral
2942		Argument 9, 10 April 2002 in Sidney, BC

2943		
2944	C-54-22	Letter dated 28 March 2002 from Department of Justice re:
2945		information requests from federal departments
2946		
2947	C-54-22-1	Fax dated 8 April 2002 from from Fisheries and Oceans attaching
2948		Letter from Cowichan representative re: commencing a
2949		consultation process
2950		
2951	C-54-23	Letter dated 11 April 2002 from Mr. Hugh MacAulay, Department
2952		of Justice Canada, on behalf of the federal intervenors, re: request
2953		for extension on reply to IR No 8.1
2954		
2955	C-54-24	Letter dated 25 April 2002 from Department of Justice for Federal
2956		Dept. Intervenors) re: response to Panel's April 4 IR No. 8.1
2957		
2958	C-54-25	Letter dated 8 May 2002 from Department of Justice re: proposed
2959		hearing schedule
2960		
2961	C-54-26	Letter dated 9 July 2002 from the Department of Justice to
2962		Macleod Dixon LLP filing its Information Request No. 2
2963		
2964	C-54-27	Letter dated 29 July 2002 from the Department of Justice filing its
2965		Notice of Motion dated 26 July 2002
2966		
2967	C-54-28	Letter dated 27 August 2002 from the Department of Justice
2968		responding to the Panel's letter dated 19 August 2002 requesting
2969		clarification of Environment Canada's Information Request No.
2970		2.3(5)
2971		
2972	C-54-29	Letter dated 4 October 2002 from the Department of Justice
2973		requesting an extension to respond to Information Request No. 14
2974		
2975	C-54-30	Letter dated 4 October 2002 from the Department of Justice filing
2976		its response to Information Request No. 12
2977		
2978	C-54-31	Letter dated 17 October 2002 from the Department of Justice filing
2979		the federal intervenor's response to Information Request No. 14
2980		
2981	C-54-32	Letter dated 28 November 2002 from the Department of Justice
2982		filing intervenor evidence of Parks Canada
2983		

2984	C-54-33	Letter dated 28 November 2002 from the Department of Justice
2985		filing the federal intervenor's response to Information Request No.
2986		16 and the written evidence and witness lists of Environment
2987		Canada and Fisheries and Oceans Canada
2988		
2989	C-54-34	Letter dated 21 January 2003 from the Department of Justice filing
2990		the federal intervenor's response to Information Request No. 20
2991		
2992	C-54-35	Letter dated 23 January 2003 from the Department of Justice filing
2993		Environment Canada's response
2994		
2995	C-55	Islands Trust Council / Conseil d'Islands Trust
2996		
2997	C-55-1	Letter of comment dated 26 November 2001 from David Essig,
2998		Chair, Islands Trust Council
2999		
3000	C-55-2	Letter dated 5 December 2001 from Wayne H. Wright and Em
3001		Round, North Pender Island Local Trustees, on behalf of the
3002		Islands Trust, re: request to hold a public consultation session on
3003		North Pender Island
3004		
3005	C-55-3	Intervention dated 6 December 2001 from David Essig, Chair,
3006		Islands Trust Council
3007		
3008	C-55-4	Letter dated 13 December 2001 from David Essig, on behalf of
3009		Islands Trust, re: session on North Pender Island
3010		
3011	C-55-5	Fax dated 12 February 2002 from David Essig, on behalf of the
3012		Islands Trust Council, re: pre-hearing technical conference.
3013		
3014	C-55-6	Letter dated 25 November 2002 from David Essig filing his written
3015		evidence
3016		
3017	C-55-7	Letter dated 10 January 2003 from David Essig to Macleod Dixon
3018		LLP providing the documents requested
3019		
3020	C-55-8	Letter dated 21 January 2003 from David Essig enclosing his
3021		response to Information Request No. 27
3022		
3023	C-56	Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of British Columbia
3024		(the Province)

3025
3026 C-56-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Karen Koncohrade re Intervenor
3027 Status in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
3028
3029 C-56-2 Letter dated 19 December 2001 from Karen Koncohrade, adding 2
3030 names to list of representatives
3031
3032 C-56-3 E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Christopher Jones, of Arvay
3033 Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: presentation in Victoria
3034
3035 C-56-4 Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Christopher Jones, of Arvay
3036 Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: written comments as part of
3037 the public consultation process
3038
3039 C-56-5 Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Karen Koncohrade, on behalf
3040 of the Province, re: Information Request No.2
3041
3042 C-56-6 Letter dated 11 February 2002 from Christopher Jones of Arvay
3043 Finlay, on behalf of the Province, re: contact information
3044
3045 C-56-7 Fax dated 14 February 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3046 Province, re: reply to Panel letter dated 31 January 2002,
3047 concerning authority to consider certain environmental effects
3048
3049 C-56-8 Letter of 15 March 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3050 Province, re: preparing a revised response to Information Request
3051 No. 2
3052
3053 C-56-9 Letter of 22 March 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3054 Province, re: Reply Submissions
3055
3056 C-56-10 Letter of 28 March 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3057 Province, re: request to receive correspondence in a timely manner
3058
3059 C-56-11 Fax dated 12 April 2002 from Mr. Christopher Jones, Arvay
3060 Finlay, on behalf of the Province re: IR response No. 2 and 8.1
3061
3062 C-56-12 Letter dated 3 May 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3063 Province, re: their response to Mr. Davies letter of 29 April 2002
3064

3065 C-56-13 Letter dated 19 June 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3066 Province filing its response to the Panel's Information Request No.
3067 10
3068

3069 C-56-14 Letter dated 24 June 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3070 Province, responding to the SPEC and DSF letter dated 18 June
3071 2002
3072

3073 C-56-15 Letter dated 3 July 2002 from Arvay Finlay, on behalf of the
3074 Province responding to a letter from the Panel dated 27 June 2002
3075 setting out a schedule for applications by intervenors to compel
3076 answers to information requests
3077

3078 C-56-16 Letter dated 29 August 2002 from Christopher Jones filing the
3079 submissions of the Province with respect to motions brought to
3080 compel the applicant to respond to certain information requests
3081

3082 C-56-17 Letter dated 7 October 2002 from Christopher Jones filing the
3083 Province's response to the Panel's Information Request No. 14
3084

3085 C-56-18 Letter dated 28 November 2002 from Christopher Jones filing the
3086 Province's response to the Panel's Information Request No. 16
3087

3088 C-56-19 Letter dated 9 December 2002 from Christopher Jones submitting
3089 that the application should be set down for hearing
3090

3091 C-56-20 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Christopher Jones advising of
3092 an error in his letter dated 9 December 2002
3093

3094 C-56-21 Letter dated 11 December 2002 from Christopher Jones filing
3095 submissions with respect to the two motions requesting a review of
3096 the Panel's decision of 26 September 2002
3097

3098 C-56-22 Letter dated 16 December 2002 from Christopher Jones replying to
3099 the Panel's letter of 25 November 2002
3100

3101 C-56-23 Letter dated 17 December 2002 from Christopher Jones re: service
3102 of intervenors' evidence
3103

3104 C-56-24 Letter dated 6 January 2003 from Karen Koncohrade filing the
3105 Province's response to the Panel's Information Request No. 24
3106

3107	C-56-25	Letter dated 8 January 2003 from Christopher Jones in response to
3108		GSXCCC's motion dated 16 December 2002
3109		
3110	C-56-26	Letter dated 27 January 2003 from Christopher Jones in response
3111		to the Panel's letter of 17 January 2003 concerning the readiness of
3112		this matter to be set down for hearing
3113		
3114	C-56-27	Letter dated 13 February 2003 from Christopher Jones in response
3115		to the Panel's letter dated 31 January 2003 re: seeking clarification
3116		with regard to the purspose of information request No. 35.1
3117		
3118	D-1	Alberta Department of Justice
3119		
3120	D-1-1	Letter dated 4 March 2002 to Joint Review Panel re: Effects of
3121		Combustion and Attached List of Attorneys General.
3122		
3123	D-1-2	Letter dated 6 March 2002 to Joint Review Panel re: Request for
3124		Extension.
3125		
3126	D-1-3	Letter dated 27 March 2002 to Joint Review Panel re: Reply to Ms.
3127		Dudley Rollinson's Letter.
3128		
3129	D-2	Anderson
3130		
3131	D-2-1	Copy of Article dated 9 January 2002 from Saanich News received
3132		23 January 2002 from Mr. Anderson.
3133		
3134	D-3	Arbess, Saul
3135		
3136	D-3-1	E-mail dated 4 January 2002 from Saul Arbess re: Oral
3137		Presentation in Victoria.
3138		
3139	D-3-2	Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Dr. Saul Arbess, on behalf
3140		of the Council of Canadians, Victoria Chapter, at Victoria scoping
3141		session.
3142		
3143	D-4	BC Hydro
3144		
3145	D-4-1	Letter dated 29 July 2002 to Snuneymuxw Firt Nation re: Title and
3146		Treaty Rights.
3147		
3148	D-5	Beaubier, Hiram

3149		
3150	D-5-1	E-mail dated 20 January 2002 from Hiram Beaubier, re: Questions
3151		regarding Website.
3152		
3153	D-5-2	E-mail dated 21 January 2002 from Hiram Beaubier, re: Comments
3154		on Scope of GSX Review.
3155		
3156	D-6	Bonner, Virginia and/et Ralph
3157		
3158	D-6-1	Letter dated 14 Januray 2002 from Virginia M. Bonner and Ralph
3159		G. Bonner to Minister David Anderson and NEB, re: GSX.
3160		
3161	D-7	British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office
3162		
3163	D-7-1	Letter dated 24 June 2002 from British Columbia Environmental
3164		Assessment Office re: Review of Application for a Project
3165		Certificate under Environmental Assessment Act for the
3166		Vancouver Island Generation Project.
3167		
3168	D-8	Campbell River, District of/Campbell River, District de
3169		
3170	D-8-1	Letter of acknowledgement dated 30 November 2001 from the
3171		Mayor of Campbell River.
3172		
3173	D-8-2	Letter dated 28 December 2001 from Mayor James Lornie re:
3174		support of the Georgia Strait Crossing Project.
3175		
3176	D-9	Christison, Ian (Morningside Farms Ltd.)
3177		
3178	D-9-1	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Ian Christison, of
3179		Morningside Farms Ltd. at Public Consultation Sessions.
3180		
3181	D-10	Colwood, City of/Colwood, Ville de
3182		
3183	D-10-1	Presentation notes of Beth Gibson, Mayor of Colwood, at scoping
3184		session held 17 January 2002 in Victoria.
3185		
3186	D-10-2	Letter dated 12 February 2002 from the City of Colwood, re:
3187		Council motion supporting the Georgia Straight Pipeline Project.
3188		
3189	D-11	Concert Properties
3190		

3191 D-11-1 Letter dated 23 January 2002 from Concert Properties Ltd. re:
3192 compensation for Cobble Hill Quarry Lands.
3193

3194 D-11-2 Letter dated 13 May 2002 from Concert Properties Ltd. re:
3195 compensation for Cobble Hill Quarry lands.
3196

3197 **D-12 Conrad, Norman C.**
3198

3199 D-12-1 E-mail dated 6 January 2002 from Norman C. Conrad, re:
3200 registration for 17 Jan 02 public consultation session.
3201

3202 **D-13 Cooke, Roy**
3203

3204 D-13-1 Letter dated 16 December 2001 from Roy Cooke, re: objection to
3205 the proposed natural gas line.
3206

3207 **D-14 Cowichan Valley Raging Grannies**
3208

3209 D-14-1 Comments dated 14 January 2002 from Laura Fisher on behalf of
3210 the Cowichan Valley Raging Grannies.
3211

3212 **D-15 Department of Justice (Nova Scotia)**
3213

3214 D-15-1 Letter dated 6 March 2002 from Department of Justice (Nova
3215 Scotia) re: Request to defer decision.
3216

3217 **D-16 Duncan, Loren**
3218

3219 D-16-1 E-mail dated 20 December 2001 from Loren Duncan re:
3220 registration for 17 January 2002 Public Consultation Session.
3221

3222 **D-17 EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.**
3223

3224 D-17-1 Letter dated 18 July 2002 from EBA Engineering Consultants Ltd.
3225 Re: Clarification of Representation and Conflict of Interest.
3226

3227 **D-18 Faulkner, Susan**
3228

3229 D-18-1 Submission dated 14 January 2002 from Susan Faulkner.
3230

3231 **D-19 Field, Dorothy**
3232

3233 D-19-1 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Dorothy Field re: registration for
3234 the 17 January 2002 Public Consultation Session.
3235
3236 D-19-2 Submission dated 14 January 2002 from Dorothy Field.
3237
3238 **D-20 Fox, Charlie**
3239
3240 D-20-1 Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Charlie Fox, re:
3241 comments to Joint Panel.
3242
3243 **D-21 Friends of Ecological Reserves**
3244
3245 D-21-1 Letter dated 9 April 2002 from Friends of Ecological Reserves re:
3246 request for a meeting with the Minister Responsible for Water,
3247 Land and Air Protection, Province of BC.
3248
3249 D-21-2 Letter dated 5 December 2002 from Friends of Ecological Reserves
3250 re: Comments on GSX project on Ecological Reserve 67 and
3251 Georgia Strait.
3252
3253 **D-22 Fyles, R.A.**
3254
3255 D-22-1 Submission (undated) from Richard Fyles, Pender Island scoping
3256 session (19 January 2002).
3257
3258 **D-23 Fyles, Shirley J.**
3259
3260 D-23-1 Submission dated 12 January 2002 from Shirley Fyles, Pender
3261 Island scoping session.
3262
3263 **D-24 Gartshore, Ian E.**
3264
3265 D-24-1 Letter dated 22 April 2002 from Ian E. Gartshore re: opposition to
3266 proposed pipeline and reasons.
3267
3268 **D-25 Haines, M.**
3269
3270 D-25-1 M. Haines letter of comment to the Joint Review Panel dated 27
3271 November 2001.
3272
3273 **D-26 Hamilton, Rodger**
3274

3275	D-26-1	Presentation by Rodger Hamilton dated 14 January 2002 at Cobble
3276		Hill.
3277		
3278	D-27	Hawkins, Ron
3279		
3280	D-27-1	E-mail dated 23 January 2002 from Ron Hawkins, re: Columbia
3281		River Treaty downstream benefits.
3282		
3283	D-27-2	E-mail dated 23 January 2002 from Ron Hawkins, re: GSX
3284		Pipeline Proposal to Vanc. Isl.
3285		
3286	D-28	Henley, Michael
3287		
3288	D-28-1	E-mail dated 24 January 2002 from Michael Henley, re:
3289		submission guidelines.
3290		
3291	D-29	Hennell, Valerie
3292		
3293	D-29-1	E-mail dated 27 March 2002 from Ms. Valerie Hennell, re:
3294		opposition to Georgia Straight Crossing and gas power plant in
3295		Nanaimo
3296		
3297	D-30	Hertzog, Stuart
3298		
3299	D-30-1	Presentation and Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Stuart
3300		Hertzog, re: comments on the proposed routing and the safety of
3301		travellers to and from Vancouver Island.
3302		
3303	D-31	Hodges, Ann
3304		
3305	D-31-1	E-mail dated 5 December 2001 from Ann Hodges, re: letter of
3306		comment.
3307		
3308	D-32	Hood, Phyllis
3309		
3310	D-32-1	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Ms. Phyllis Hood at the
3311		Public Consultation Sessions.
3312		
3313	D-33	Huneck, Lavonne
3314		
3315	D-33-1	Presentation by Lavonne Huneck on 14 January 2002 at Cobble
3316		Hill.

3317		
3318	D-34	Hupacasath First Nation/Première nation Hupacasath
3319		
3320	D-34-1	E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Chief Councillor Judith Sayers,
3321		on behalf of the Hupacasath First Nation, re: presentation at 14
3322		January 2002 Public Consultation Session.
3323		
3324	D-34-2	E-mail dated 10 January 2002 from Chief Councillor Judith Sayers,
3325		on behalf of the Hupacasath First Nation, re: Cobble Hill
3326		presentation.
3327		
3328	D-34-3	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Judith Sayers, Chief
3329		Councillor, on behalf of the Hupacasath First Nation at the Public
3330		Consultation Sessions.
3331		
3332	D-35	Kaller, E.
3333		
3334	D-35-1	Letter of comment dated 5 December 2001 from E. Kaller.
3335		
3336	D-36	Keller, Ralph
3337		
3338	D-36-1	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Ralph Keller, BC Green
3339		Party at the Public Consultation Sessions.
3340		
3341	D-37	Kendrick, Bryce
3342		
3343	D-37-1	E-mail dated 15 January 2002 from Bryce Kendrick, re: 5
3344		considerations for the Panel.
3345		
3346	D-38	La Flam, Guy
3347		
3348	D-38-1	E-mail dated 6 January 2002 from Guy La Flam, re: presentation at
3349		Cobble Hill.
3350		
3351	D-39	Lamb, Alan
3352		
3353	D-39-1	Letter of comment dated 1 March 2002 from Allan Lamb, re:
3354		support for GSX project.
3355		
3356	D-40	Lane, Arne H.
3357		

3358 D-40-1 Letter of comment dated 23 February 2002 from Dr. Arne H. Lane,
3359 re: support for GSX project.
3360

3361 **D-41 Lappin, Jack**
3362

3363 D-41-1 Comment submitted by Mr. Jack Lappin on 14 January 2002 at
3364 Public Consultation Sessions.
3365

3366 **D-42 Levitt, Maureen**
3367

3368 D-42-1 E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Maureen Levitt, re: presentation
3369 at Cobble Hill.
3370

3371 **D-43 Life On Earth**
3372

3373 D-43-1 Ralph C. Goodwin e-mail to the Joint Review Panel dated 14
3374 November 2001 re Application documents and procedural
3375 information to acquire intervenor status.
3376

3377 D-43-2 Ralph C. Goodwin e-mail to the Canadian Environmental
3378 Assessment Agency dated 20 November 2001 re Information
3379 required to proceed to file a funding application.
3380

3381 **D-44 MacDonald, J.C.F.**
3382

3383 D-44-1 Letter of comment dated 22 February 2002 from J.C.F. Macdonald,
3384 re: support of GSX project.
3385

3386 **D-45 Maher, Kevin**
3387

3388 D-45-1 E-mail dated 29 December 2001 from Mr. Kevin Maher, re:
3389 consultation session in Cobble Hill 14 January 2002
3390

3391 **D-46 Malcolmson, Claire**
3392

3393 D-46-1 Letter of Comment from Claire Malcolmson dated 12 December
3394 2001.
3395

3396 **D-47 Martin, Bo**
3397

3398 D-47-1 E-mail dated January 7, 2002 from Bo Martin, re: Registration for
3399 Victoria session.

3400		
3401	D-48	Mayba, John
3402		
3403	D-48-1	Presentation by John Mayba on 14 January 2002 at Cobble Hill.
3404		
3405	D-49	McDonald, J.A.
3406		
3407	D-49-1	Letter of comment dated 28 February 2002 from J.A. McDonald,
3408		re: support for GSX project.
3409		
3410	D-50	McMahon, Martha
3411		
3412	D-50-1	Letter dated 24 January 2002 from Martha McMahon, re:
3413		consultation process considerations.
3414		
3415	D-51	Meeres, Margaret
3416		
3417	D-51-1	Submission dated 18 January 2002 from Margaret Meeres, Saturna
3418		Island scoping session.
3419		
3420	D-52	Miles, Justine
3421		
3422	D-52-1	E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Justine Miles, re: presentation at
3423		Cobble Hill.
3424		
3425	D-52-2	Presentation notes dated 17 January 2002 from Justine Miles,
3426		scoping session in Victoria.
3427		
3428	D-53	Miller, Dusty
3429		
3430	D-53-1	E-mail dated 15 January 2002 from Dusty Miller re: presentation in
3431		Victoria.
3432		
3433	D-53-2	E-mail dated 15 January 2002 from Dusty Miller re: request to be
3434		one of first presenters.
3435		
3436	D-53-3	Presentation and submission dated 17 January 2002 from Dusty
3437		Miller, scoping session in Victoria.
3438		
3439	D-54	Ministry of Health, British Columbia
3440		

3441	D-54-1	E-mail dated 14 February 2002 from Ministry of Health, British
3442		Columbia re: Inquiring about GSX Project.
3443		
3444	D-55	Mortimer, Kate
3445		
3446	D-55-1	Letter of Comment dated 15 January 2002 from Kate Mortimer.
3447		
3448	D-56	Morton, E. Ralph
3449		
3450	D-56-1	Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Edwin Ralph Morton
3451		
3452	D-56-2	Letter of Comment dated 21 November 2002 from Edwin Ralph
3453		Morton
3454		
3455	D-57	Nanaimo, City of/Nanaimo, Ville de
3456		
3457	D-57-1	Fax dated 18 February 2002 from the City of Nanaimo, re: Council
3458		motion supporting the Vancouver Island Electricity Generation
3459		Project and the Georgia Straight Crossing Pipeline Project.
3460		
3461	D-58	Newman, Virginia
3462		
3463	D-58-1	Letter of Comment dated 16 November 2001 from Virginia
3464		Newman.
3465		
3466	D-59	Norris, George and/et Phyllis
3467		
3468	D-59-1	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by George and Phyllis Norris
3469		at Public Consultation Sessions.
3470		
3471	D-60	Office of the Secretary to The Lieutenant-Governor (Province
3472		of British Columbia)
3473		
3474	D-60-1	Letter dated 5 March 2002 from Office of the Secretary to The
3475		Lieutenant-Governor (Province of British Columbia) re: support
3476		for project.
3477		
3478	D-60-2	Letter dated 14 June 2002 to Joint Review Panel from Office of the
3479		Secretary to The Lieutenant-Governor (Province of British
3480		Columbia) re: clarification of March 5, 2002 correspondence.
3481		
3482	D-61	Olynyk, Jane

3483		
3484	D-61-1	Letter of 10 March 2002 from Jane Olynyk re: concerns with
3485		harmful effects of project.
3486		
3487	D-62	Peramaki, B.L.
3488		
3489	D-62-1	Presentation notes dated 18 January 2002 from B.L. Peramaki,
3490		Saturna Island scoping session.
3491		
3492	D-63	Roberts, Isabel
3493		
3494	D-63-1	Submission dated 19 January 2002 from Isabel Roberts, Pender
3495		Island scoping session.
3496		
3497	D-63-2	E-mail dated January 22, 2002 at 12:53 pm from Isabel Roberts, re:
3498		GSX Pipeline Project Joint Review Panel presentation.
3499		
3500	D-64	Rose, Mary
3501		
3502	D-64-1	Letter of comment dated 20 February 2002 from Mary Rose, re:
3503		protest extension of a gas pipeline to Vancouver Island.
3504		
3505	D-65	Saanich Inlet Protection Society
3506		
3507	D-65-1	Letter dated January 17, 2002 from Frances Pugh, on behalf of
3508		Saanich Inlet Protection Society, re: submission of concerns and
3509		comments re. the proposed Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline
3510		Project.
3511		
3512	D-66	Salt Spring Island Conservancy
3513		
3514	D-66-1	E-mail dated 7 January 2002 from Andrew Lewis, on behalf of the
3515		Saltspring Conservancy re: 16 January 2002 Saltspring
3516		Consultation Session.
3517		
3518	D-67	Scott, Rowena
3519		
3520	D-67-1	E-mail dated January 4, 2002 from Rowena Scott, re: Request to
3521		participate in session in Vancouver.
3522		
3523	D-68	Sierra Club of British Columbia
3524		

3525 D-68-1 Submission dated 17 January 2002 from the Sierra Club of British
3526 Columbia, Victoria scoping session.
3527
3528 **D-69 Smith, Susan M.**
3529
3530 D-69-1 E-mail dated 8 December 2001 from Susan Smith to CEAA, re:
3531 comments on GSX.
3532
3533 **D-70 Smyth, Susie Washington**
3534
3535 D-70-1 E-mail from Ms. Susie Washington Smyth, re: personal comments
3536 regarding whether the Panel has the authority to consider end use
3537 impacts
3538
3539 **D-71 Sterk, Jane**
3540
3541 D-71-1 Presentation dated 17 January 2002 from Jane Sterk, Victoria
3542 scoping session.
3543
3544 **D-72 Stobbart, Marcia**
3545
3546 D-72-1 Submission from Marcia Stobbart on 14 January 2002 at Cobble
3547 Hill.
3548
3549 **D-73 Storey, Randy**
3550
3551 D-73-1 E-mail from Mr. Randy Storey, re: voicing concerns over GSX PL
3552 and describing its flaws.
3553
3554 **D-74 Taylor, Graeme**
3555
3556 D-74-1 E-mail dated January 18, 2002 from Graeme Taylor, re: Concerns
3557 about GH-4-2001.
3558
3559 **D-75 Taylor, Jill**
3560
3561 D-75-1 Presentation dated 19 January 2002 from Jill Taylor, Pender Island
3562 scoping session.
3563
3564 **D-76 Tebbitt, Jillian**
3565

3566	D-76-1	Presentation notes dated 18 January 2002 from Jillian Tebbitt,
3567		Saturna Island scoping session.
3568		
3569	D-76-2	Letter dated 18 April 2002 from Jillian Tebbitt re: objection to the
3570		project.
3571		
3572	D-77	Thorgeirson, Trudy
3573		
3574	D-77-1	E-mail dated 31 December 2001 from Trudy Thorgeirson, re:
3575		registration at Cobble Hill.
3576		
3577	D-77-2	E-mail dated January 9, 2002 from Trudy Thorgeirson: Follow up
3578		to phone message of January 9, 2002 - will only be able to speak
3579		on January 14, 2002 at the Cobble Hill session in the afternoon.
3580		
3581	D-77-3	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Trudy Thorgeirson at Public
3582		Consultation Sessions.
3583		
3584	D-78	Thornton, Glenn
3585		
3586	D-78-1	E-mail dated January 6, 2002 from Glenn Thornton, re: Letter of
3587		comment for GH-4-2001.
3588		
3589	D-78-2	Letter dated 20 September 2002 from Glenn Thornton, re: Safety
3590		Related Questions to the Joint Review Panel.
3591		
3592	D-79	Truswell, Gordon
3593		
3594	D-79-1	Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Gordon Truswell, of GT
3595		Farms Ltd., at Public Consultation Sessions.
3596		
3597	D-80	Veuger, Fred
3598		
3599	D-80-1	Letter dated 17 January 2002 from Fred Veuger re: Aquatic life,
3600		Environment
3601		
3602	D-81	Vrkic, Damir
3603		
3604	D-81-1	Letter dated 29 July 2002 from Damir Vrkic re: Comments on
3605		economic reliability and security.
3606		
3607	D-82	VWVulcan Energy of Canada, Ltd.

3608
3609 D-82-1 Letter dated 25 January 2002 from David Seymour on behalf of
3610 VWVulcan Energy of Canada, Ltd., re; comments by Beth Gibson.
3611
3612 **D-83 Wadds, Morris**
3613
3614 D-83-1 E-mail dated January 7, 2002 from Morris Wadds, re: Registration
3615 for session at Cobble Hill.
3616
3617 D-83-2 Submission by Morris E. Wadds and Bonnie C. Wadds on 14
3618 January 2002 at Cobble Hill.
3619
3620 D-83-3 E-mail dated January 22, 2002 from Morris Wadds, re: GH-4-2001
3621 Supplementary submission- Jan 14, presentation in Cobble Hill.
3622
3623 D-83-4 E-mail from Mr. M. Wadds dated 2 February 2002, forwarded
3624 from the British Columbia Environmental Assessment Office
3625
3626 D-83-5 Letter from Mr. M. Wadds dated 18 January 2003 re: Piteau &
3627 Associates Hydrological Assessment of the Proposed Georgia
3628 Strait Crossing Report
3629
3630 **D-84 Wightman, W. Esther**
3631
3632 D-84-1 Submission dated 11 January 2002 and from W. Esther Wightman
3633 at 14 January Public Consultation.
3634
3635 **D-85 Williams, J.D.**
3636
3637 D-85-1 Letter dated 29 November 2001 from J.D. Williams, re: comments
3638 on GSX project
3639
3640 **D-86 Wyton, Bernadette**
3641
3642 D-86-1 Presentation by Bernadette Wyton on 14 January 2002 at Cobble
3643 Hill.
3644
3645 **D-87 Wyton, Keith**
3646
3647 D-87-1 Presentation made 14 January 2002 by Keith Wyton at Public
3648 Consultation Session.
3649

3650	D-88	Young, Sonja
3651		
3652	D-88-1	Fax dated 2 January 2002 from Sonja Young, re: registration for
3653		Victoria session.
3654		
3655	D-88-2	Submission dated 17 January 2002 from Sonja Young, Victoria
3656		scoping session.
3657		
3658	D-89	Dimozantos, Michael A.
3659		
3660	D-89-1	Letter of Intervention dated 3 December 2001 from Michael A.
3661		Dimozantos
3662		
3663	D-89-2	Letter dated 15 February 2002 from Michael A. Dimozantos to
3664		Macleod Dixon LLP, counsel for GSX PL attaching questions
3665		
3666	D-89-3	Letter dated 5 March 2002 from M. Dimozantos re: provincial and
3667		federal jurisdiction issues
3668		
3669	D-89-4	Letter dated 31 January 2003 from M. Dimozantos re: withdrawing
3670		as an intervenor
3671		
3672	D-90	LeSeur, Joan
3673		
3674	D-90-1	Submission dated 14 January 2002 by Joan LeSeur at the Public
3675		Consultation Sessions
3676		
3677	D-90-2	Intervention dated 22 January 2002 from Joan LeSeur
3678		
3679	D-90-3	Fax dated 11 February 2002 from Joan LeSeur, re: filing of certain
3680		gas supply information
3681		
3682	D-90-4	Fax dated 3 February 2003 from Joan LeSeur re: withdrawing as an
3683		intervenor
3684		
3685	D-91	Legg, George
3686		
3687	D-91-1	E-mail dated 7 February 2003 from George Legg submitting
3688		comments on the GSX Canada Pipeline Project - VIGP -
3689		Sovereignty
3690		
3691	D-92	Underwater Harvesters Association

3692
3693 D-92-1 Fax dated 6 December 2001 from Grant Dovey re Intervenor Status
3694 in GSX Canada Pipeline Project public hearing
3695
3696 D-92-2 E-mail dated December 19, 2001 from Grant Dovey, on behalf of
3697 the Underwater Harvesters Association, requesting confirmation on
3698 their application for intervenor status
3699
3700 D-92-3 E-mail dated 24 December 2001 from Grant Dovey on behalf of
3701 the Underwater Harvesters Association, re: correction to e-mail
3702 address
3703
3704 D-92-4 Letter dated 16 February 2003 from Grant Dovey on behalf of the
3705 Underwater Harvesters Association, re: withdrawing as an
3706 intervenor
3707
3708 **D-93 Dzugalo, Ed**
3709
3710 D-93-1 Letter dated 5 June 2002 from Ed Dzugalo submitting comments
3711 on the GSX Canada Pipeline Project

3712 **THE CHAIRMAN:** We will begin this morning with the
3713 registration of appearances. Counsel to the Panel will call upon parties in the
3714 order in which their names appear on the Order of Appearances, a copy of which
3715 is available at the back of the room.

3716 When your name is called, please come forward to the microphone
3717 and state your name, if you are appearing on behalf of someone, and be prepared
3718 to answer the following questions:

3719 whether you only wish to give oral comments to the Panel and, if
3720 so, whether you would prefer to do so tomorrow evening or at
3721 another time to be arranged through Panel Counsel;

3722 whether you have additional evidence to file;

3723 whether you plan to cross-examine the Applicant's witness panels;

3724
3725 whether you plan to cross-examine any intervenor witnesses and, if
3726 so, which ones;

3727 whether you plan to present final argument;

3728 whether you have any preliminary matters to raise with the Panel
3729 this morning;

3730 whether you would prefer to be moved down on the Order of
3731 Appearances so that others may cross-examine and argue before
3732 you are called upon.
3733

3734 If your name is not called, please wait until the Panel Counsel has
3735 completed the List of Appearances and come forward at that time.
3736

3737 I would also like to remind parties that all cellphones should be
3738 turned off.
3739

3740 I will now call on Panel Counsel, Ms Judith Hanebury, for the
3741 registration of appearances.
3742

3743 **MS HANEbury:** Thank you, Madam Chair.

3744 Alberta Department of Energy. We received a contact from them
3745 asking that we enter a conditional appearance on their behalf, conditional on their
3746 subsequent appearance at the hearing. I am not able to give you advice in relation
3747 to the questions that you asked.
3748

3749 The Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association.
3750

3751 **MR. WALKER:** Madam Chairman, my name is George Walker.
3752 I'm here representing the Arbutus Ridge Residential Ratepayers Association.
3753 We're a gated community in Cobble Hill.

3754 Would you want me to go through these questions and give my
3755 response to them?
3756

3757 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I could go through the questions with you
3758 individually just to refresh our memories.
3759

3760 **MR. WALKER:** Okay.
3761

3762 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to only present oral argument to
3763 the Panel and, if so, would you prefer to do that tomorrow evening or at another
3764 time arranged through Panel Counsel?
3765

3766 **MR. WALKER:** No.

3767
3768 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have additional evidence to file?
3769

3770 **MR. WALKER:** No.
3771

3772 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to cross-examine the
3773 Applicant's witness panels?
3774

3775 **MR. WALKER:** Yes.
3776

3777 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to present final argument?
3778

3779 **MR. WALKER:** Yes.
3780

3781 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any preliminary matters to raise
3782 with the Panel this morning?
3783

3784 **MR. WALKER:** Yes. Do you want me to provide them now?
3785

3786 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, you could give us an idea. Just a
3787 summary.
3788

3789 **MR. WALKER:** Okay. It has to do with process. Having
3790 attended the meeting yesterday -- much to my consternation, the only way that I
3791 can determine when a Panel that I'm interested in presenting things to is to either
3792 attend this full hearing or spend an inordinate amount of money getting the daily
3793 processes delivered to me, and I find it hard living with an hour and a half drive
3794 as a nearly 80-year-old diabetic who can't drive at night because of my eyesight,
3795 and I am basically computer illiterate -- I would like some type of accommodation
3796 that would allow me to know when at least within a day that I should be here to
3797 attempt to do what I want to do at the hearing.
3798

3799 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, I think we can easily manage that.
3800 Perhaps what I could suggest is that you contact Panel Counsel and indicate to her
3801 which panels you are interested in cross-examining and then we could figure out
3802 how to accommodate that interest you've expressed.
3803

3804 **MR. WALKER:** Thank you.
3805

3806 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you prefer to be moved down in the
3807 order of appearances if where we have placed you is not appropriate?
3808

3809 **MR. WALKER:** No.

3810
3811 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

3812
3813 **MR. WALKER:** Thank you, ma'am.

3814
3815 **MS HANEBURY:** My co-counsel was kind enough to point out
3816 to me that I forgot to call on the Applicant, being the reason we're all here today.
3817 So perhaps I will call him next.

3818
3819 **MR. DAVIES:** We are so easy to overlook.

3820 Good morning, Madam Chair, Panel members. Don Davies and
3821 Bernette Ho appearing for the Applicant.

3822
3823 I will just run down your list of questions, Madam Chair.

3824 In terms of an opinion statement, obviously that is not an
3825 alternative.

3826
3827 Yes, we do have a few additional documents to file. Obviously no
3828 cross-examination for the Applicant's witness panels.

3829
3830 Our plan at this stage is to cross-examine some of the intervenor
3831 witness panels, specifically GSX CCC, the Marine Coalition, SPEC/DSF and the
3832 federal departments. If our plans change, I will certainly be dealing with each of
3833 those intervenors and notifying them accordingly.

3834
3835 Yes, we plan to present final argument; no, we don't have any
3836 preliminary matters; and again, in terms of being moved down in the order of
3837 appearances, that is not an alternative for the Applicant. Thank you.

3838
3839 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Davies.

3840
3841 Excuse me, ma'am, if you come to the microphone and you speak,
3842 we will be able to record what you say. We can't hear you.

3843
3844 **MRS. MILLER:** How very apropos because what I was trying to
3845 say was I couldn't hear Mr. Davies clearly because he wasn't speaking close
3846 enough to the microphone. I'm sorry.
3847

3848 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Well, we will try and encourage
3849 everyone to speak directly into the microphone. That would help. Thanks.

3850
3851 **MS HANEBURY:** The next intervenor is B.C. Aboriginal
3852 Fisheries Commission.

3853 --- No response/Pas de réponse

3854 **MS HANEBURY:** B.C. Gas Utility Limited.

3855 --- No response/Pas de réponse

3856 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. Gordon Bell has asked that I enter a
3857 conditional appearance on his behalf, conditional to his subsequent appearance at
3858 this hearing.

3859
3860 Blue Energy Canada Inc.

3861 --- No response/Pas de réponse

3862 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. Jim Bomford.

3863
3864 **MRS. MILLER:** My name is Dodie Miller. I have been asked by
3865 Mr. Bomford to register a conditional appearance. He will be arriving a little bit
3866 later in this hearing and he is hoping that he can have his name called again when
3867 he arrives and answer the questions that the Panel wishes to pose. Thank you.

3868
3869 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. Samuel Stark Bowes.

3870 --- No response/Pas de réponse

3871 **MS HANEBURY:** Braithwaite Estates Improvement District.

3872
3873 **MR. HUGO:** Madam Chairman, my full name is the initial J.,
3874 Francis Hugo. I am the Chairman of the Board of Trustees of the Braithwaite
3875 Estates Improvement District.

3876
3877 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to present oral argument and, if
3878 so, would you prefer to do that tomorrow morning or at another time arranged
3879 through Panel Counsel?
3880

3881 **MR. HUGO:** No. I will be cross-examining and presenting final
3882 argument.
3883

3884 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have additional evidence to file?
3885

3886 **MR. HUGO:** No, I do not.
3887

3888 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to cross-examine the
3889 Applicant's witnesses?
3890

3891 **MR. HUGO:** Yes, I do.
3892

3893 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Can you give us an indication of which
3894 panels you would like to cross-examine?
3895

3896 **MR. HUGO:** Madam Chairman, tentatively panel number 1,
3897 number 5, number 6 and number 7. I still have a certain amount of hesitancy
3898 about the particular issues that the panels will be capable of addressing, so I may
3899 request a change to add some panels at a later time.
3900

3901 **THE CHAIRMAN:** That is perfectly fine.
3902

3903 Do you plan to present final argument? I believe you have
3904 indicated that already.
3905

3906 **MR. HUGO:** Yes, I do.
3907

3908 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any preliminary matters to raise
3909 with us this morning?
3910

3911 **MR. HUGO:** Yes, I do, Madam Chairman.
3912

3913 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Could you please give us an idea?
3914

3915 **MR. HUGO:** The first one will be a motion on the distribution of
3916 transcripts to make them more accessible to intervenors who are not going to be
3917 attending on a daily basis, such as myself.
3918

3919 The second is some concerns I addressed to the secretary of the
3920 Panel on February 9th by letter, to which I have not had a written response, but
3921 there are some concerns that I had with respect to the process and I'm not sure

3922 how this should be addressed, whether I should be just identifying these as
3923 concerns or whether I should be raising them as motions.
3924

3925 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, we will consider them as a motion.
3926 Okay.
3927

3928 **MR. HUGO:** I have concerns about Information Request No. 36,
3929 which was just issued on February 19th and the response is not due until February
3930 26th. This makes reference to several hydrogeological concerns that I may wish
3931 to question a panel on and I'm not sure how this will fit into the schedule, when I
3932 would be able to do this, because even once they file their response, I will need a
3933 little bit of time following the filing of their response to have a look at this
3934 information before I would want to cross-examine on it.
3935

3936 I have some concerns about the hearing schedule and being able to
3937 accommodate some of my personal commitments. I have a business that I have to
3938 run -- this is not a full-time job for me here -- and I do have to attend to my
3939 business. It does require some out-of-province travel and I can schedule, to a
3940 reasonable extent, around some of the anticipated time frames. However, I would
3941 request that there be some concession to accommodating some hearing times
3942 when I am unable to be here due to travel.
3943

3944 And finally, I have some concerns about some of the pending
3945 changes to the design resulting from negotiations with landowners and it is my
3946 understanding that some of the changes will affect the groundwater regime surface
3947 and subsurface drainage and none of this has been brought forward at this point as
3948 evidence, to my knowledge, and I would like to have access to this before I
3949 complete my cross-examinations.
3950

3951 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Perhaps may I suggest that if you could
3952 discuss with Panel Counsel your scheduling in terms of availability and so on, it
3953 will help us in the planning in terms of how we could accommodate your
3954 schedule.
3955

3956 **MR. HUGO:** Thank you very much, Madam Chairman.
3957

3958 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Just one more question. I'm sorry, Mr.
3959 Hugo. Would you like the order of appearances to change? In other words,
3960 should we move you or should we adjust it in some way?
3961

3962 **MR. HUGO:** At this point in time, Madam Chairman, no, it's
3963 quite satisfactory.

3964
3965 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.
3966

3967 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. Jolyon and Ms Shirley Briggs.
3968

3969 **MR. BRIGGS:** Madam Chairman, my name is Jolyon Briggs. I
3970 am a concerned citizen and I am appearing on my own behalf. My wife will not
3971 be with me.
3972

3973 I only wish to give an opinion statement to the Panel and I would
3974 prefer to do so tomorrow evening. I have no additional documents to file and I do
3975 not plan to cross-examine either the Applicant's witnesses or any intervenors'
3976 witnesses.
3977

3978 I will not, of course, be here for final argument and I have no
3979 preliminary matters to raise at this time.
3980

3981 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I'm sorry, Mr. Briggs. Did you say you
3982 wished to present final argument?
3983

3984 **MR. BRIGGS:** No, I do not.
3985

3986 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.
3987

3988 **MS HANEBURY:** British Columbia Utilities Commission.
3989

3989 --- No response/Pas de réponse
3990

3990 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. Arthur Caldicott.
3991

3992 **MRS. MILLER:** Mrs. Dodie Miller again. I believe that Mr.
3993 Caldicott is wishing to present at some point in this hearing. When I spoke to him
3994 at six o'clock this morning, that was his intention. I would like to conditionally
3995 enter a conditional appearance. He may have been held up. There are apparently
3996 some delays on the Malahat due to construction. Thank you.
3997

3998 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Miller.
3999

4000 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. James Campbell.
4001

4002 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Good morning. Welcome to sunny Sidney.
4003 We tried last year to give you that kind of weather but it didn't happen.

4004
4005 My name is James Campbell. I live here in Sidney. I am a
4006 registered intervenor. I do not wish to give an opinion statement. I do have
4007 additional documents, but if I could just move that down to item number 6, I will
4008 come back to that.

4009
4010 I would like to cross-examine panels 1, 4 and 5, and with your
4011 permission, after hearing various submissions, I wondered if it would be possible
4012 to reserve the right to cross-examine other panels. And I do --

4013
4014 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Campbell, do you mean other
4015 intervenors' panels or the Applicant's panels?

4016
4017 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Both if possible.

4018
4019 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay.

4020
4021 **MR. CAMPBELL:** And I do intend to provide final argument.

4022
4023 I want to thank the Panel for having the pre-hearing conference
4024 yesterday. It was very interesting and there were some items that were brought
4025 up, some suggestions, some concerns that some of the intervenors like myself had,
4026 and that was to do with the fact that I have submitted to the Panel eleven various
4027 written documents that are on the exhibit list and my concern is that it doesn't
4028 seem as though those are going to be recognized as evidence. This is where my
4029 concern is. It is that I don't think that I am allowed to ask the Applicant's panel
4030 witnesses questions that would arise from my exhibits and we just didn't seem to
4031 be able to resolve that yesterday.

4032 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, were these pre-filed?

4033
4034 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Yes, they're all pre-filed.

4035
4036 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well if they are pre-filed, then we don't see
4037 any problem. You should be able to cross-examine on them.

4038
4039 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Okay. Well, the consensus seemed to be
4040 yesterday that we thought that we could not ask questions of the witnesses arising
4041 from the exhibits.

4042
4043 **MS HANEURY:** Perhaps, Madam Chair, I would suggest that
4044 Mr. Campbell speak to one of the counsel. I will be introducing them at the end

4045 of the order of appearances and we can perhaps provide some assistance to him in
4046 this matter.

4047
4048 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Ms Hanebury.

4049
4050 Maybe one more question. Do you want to be moved down in the
4051 order of appearances or is this okay with you?

4052
4053 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Perhaps it would be best if the legal counsel
4054 were ahead of me. So it wouldn't matter to me.

4055
4056 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Thank you.

4057
4058 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Can I broach two new motions? I'm not sure
4059 if you're accepting new motions this morning and I don't have copies of these, but
4060 could I introduce them to you and discuss them?

4061
4062 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, you could discuss them briefly just to
4063 give us an idea.

4064
4065 **MR. CAMPBELL:** What it is?

4066
4067 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Yes.

4068
4069 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Okay. Just recently, an organization called
4070 the NEB came up with a possible policy for Canada and there was a news item in
4071 the *Vancouver Sun* here on the 12th of February, called "Fortress' Energy Policy
4072 Risky: NEB." It has some various pieces of information as to natural gas supplies
4073 and this sort of thing and I wondered if this wouldn't have an impact on what
4074 we're talking about today in this hearing.

4075
4076 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, I'm not sure about the document that
4077 you're talking about myself, so I suppose I can't say yes or no, but I suggest that
4078 you talk to Panel Counsel about it and then, you know, copies will be made
4079 available to the right people to see if there are any objections to it.

4080
4081 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Okay. All right. And I have one more if I
4082 may.

4083
4084 Again, just recently, Centra Gas has applied to the B.C. Utility
4085 Commission for a rate increase and there has been a fair amount of discussion as
4086 to the supply of natural gas to Vancouver Island on the existing system. I realize

4087 that you had ruled that we weren't going to talk about Centra Gas but I thought
4088 that this was quite relevant in that there is going to be some additional charges
4089 charged apparently to BC Hydro, which would impact on the generation of
4090 electricity, using natural gas here on the Island. And I wondered if that was
4091 something that I could also discuss with counsel to see if I could bring this
4092 forward?

4093
4094 I did talk to Rob Pellet, who is a secretary at BCUC, this morning
4095 and apparently the Panel will be resuming on the 3rd of March and he anticipates
4096 it will be finished by the 6th of March, and I thought that that information perhaps
4097 would be relevant to this Panel as well.

4098
4099 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I have to admit that off the top of my head I
4100 am having trouble seeing how the rate increase could have an impact relative to
4101 this hearing, but certainly I would also suggest that Panel Counsel can look at the
4102 material and then we will see if there's any objections to it.

4103
4104 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Fine. Thank you. And again, I would like to
4105 reiterate that I thank you for having the staff have that pre-hearing conference. I
4106 think it was most helpful to most of us. Thank you.

4107
4108 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Campbell.

4109 **MS HANEBURY:** Canadian Association of Petroleum Producers.

4110 --- No response/Pas de réponse

4111 **MS HANEBURY:** Centra Gas British Columbia, Inc.

4112 **MR. BENNETT:** My name is David Bennett and Centra does not
4113 plan to take an active part in this hearing. We just plan to monitor.

4114 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Bennett.

4115 **MS HANEBURY:** Ms A Marie Christofferson.

4116 --- No response/Pas de réponse

4117 **MS HANEBURY:** Consumers Association of Canada *et al.*

4118 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Campbell?

4119 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Could you just excuse me for one minute? I
4120 am sure that Marie Christofferson wanted to appear and could we ask for a
4121 conditional request?

4122 **THE CHAIRMAN:** By all means.

4123 **MR. CAMPBELL:** Thank you.

4124 **MS HANEBURY:** Cowichan Tribes.

4125 --- No response/Pas de réponse

4126 **MR. ANDREWS:** I am not here for the Cowichan Tribes.

4127 My name is William Andrews. I am speaking to the Consumers
4128 Association of Canada intervenor, if I may assist the panel.

4129 I understand CAC has counsel, and roughly speaking, their
4130 intention is certainly to file final argument. I don't have specific instructions to
4131 enter an appearance on their behalf but perhaps it could be recorded as a
4132 conditional appearance.

4133 **MS HANEBURY:** Thank you.

4134 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Andrews, is this something on behalf of
4135 Mr. Doherty?

4136 **MR. ANDREWS:** That's correct.

4137 **THE CHAIRMAN:** You don't have any idea whether he wishes
4138 to file additional evidence or any of those other questions that I asked earlier?

4139 **MR. ANDREWS:** No, I have no answers to those questions.

4140 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4141 **MS HANEBURY:** Department of Justice on behalf of several
4142 federal government departments.

4143 **MR. CLARK:** Thank you, Madam Chair. My name is John
4144 Clark. I am from the Department of Justice and I am representing the three

4145 remaining federal intervenors: Parks Canada, Fisheries and Oceans Canada, and
4146 Environment Canada.

4147 With respect to your questions, the federal intervenors do plan to
4148 present evidence and witnesses and I will seek leave to file further evidence. We
4149 will not be making a statement to the Panel. We don't presently intend to
4150 cross-examine the Applicant but we would like to reserve that right if we might.
4151 Again, we don't presently intend to make final argument, but again I would like to
4152 reserve that right if I might.

4153 There is that. Two additional matters, Madam Chair. Three of the
4154 federal intervenors, Parks Canada -- sorry -- Fisheries and Oceans Canada,
4155 Environment Canada and NRCan have additional updates with respect to their
4156 evidence. The reason that the material was not filed earlier was that it relates to
4157 matters that have taken place since they filed their original evidence. So I would
4158 like to seek your leave to file that today if I might.

4159 And finally, with respect to the availability of the federal
4160 witnesses, I believe we have got 19 federal witnesses and I would ask that if it's
4161 convenient for the Joint Panel, you might consider scheduling the federal
4162 intervenors for the week of -- I think it's the third week -- March 10th to 14th. We
4163 of course will do our best to accommodate and bring all our witnesses for
4164 whenever they arise, but we have a number of witnesses and it appeared most
4165 logical they would probably appear that week. We have asked them all to ensure
4166 that that week is free in their schedules, so we believe we can bring all 19
4167 witnesses that week.

4168 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Clark, we will do our best to coordinate
4169 that schedule. I can't make any promises.

4170 Do you wish to cross-examine other intervenors' witness panels?

4171 **MR. CLARK:** Again, that is not our current plan but if I might I
4172 would like to reserve that right. And we will do everything we can to
4173 accommodate your schedule.

4174 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4175 **MR. CLARK:** Thank you.

4176 **MS HANEBURY:** Ms Joanna Dudley.

4177 **MS DUDLEY:** Good morning. My name is Joanna Dudley.

4178 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I am sorry, could you speak into the
4179 microphone?

4180 **MS DUDLEY:** I am sorry, I didn't notice it.

4181 My name is Joanna Dudley. I registered as an intervenor prior to
4182 the informal panel hearing at Arbutus Ridge on the 14th of January last year. My
4183 regular address in Cowichan Station close to Koksilah Road is approximately one
4184 kilometre as the crow flies from the chosen route of the proposed pipeline
4185 crossing and I feel that I would be directly affected as a result, which is why I am
4186 here.

4187 Until later this year, for the purposes of study, my temporary
4188 address is in Vancouver and as I just cannot be here tomorrow evening as well as
4189 today, I would respectfully request the Panel's permission to allow my oral
4190 statement to be read on my behalf tomorrow evening by a fellow intervenor, Mr.
4191 Jolyon Briggs, who is also a neighbour of mine. This will be less than ten minutes
4192 in length. I don't have evidence or arguments but I would like to have an
4193 opportunity to make a statement and I can't come back tomorrow evening.

4194 **THE CHAIRMAN:** That will be fine, Mrs. Dudley. We will
4195 accept Mr. Briggs to read your statement on your behalf.

4196 **MS DUDLEY:** Thank you very much. Thank you.

4197 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4198 **MS HANEURY:** Duke Energy Trading & Marketing L.L.C.

4199 --- No response/Pas de réponse

4200 **MS HANEURY:** Mr. Richard Dwyer has asked that we register
4201 a conditional appearance on his behalf.

4202 Mr. Anthony D. Fisher.

4203 **MR. FISHER:** My name is Anthony D. Fisher. I live in Cobble
4204 Hill right off Aros Road, where the pipeline -- one of the alternative pipeline
4205 routes is scheduled to be.

4206 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to give oral comments, and if
4207 so, would you prefer to do that tomorrow evening or make another arrangement
4208 through Panel Counsel?

4209 **MR. FISHER:** No, I don't wish to give an opinion statement.

4210 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have additional evidence to file?

4211 **MR. FISHER:** Yes, I do. On February 10th, I faxed a document
4212 to the Panel. It was my answer to the Panel's Information Request 21 of May, and
4213 it doesn't appear on the list of documents that was sent to me more recently. So I
4214 have the whole thing here, including all the attachments. So it was 30 bucks
4215 wasted on my part, I am afraid.

4216 I have another document, which is an Environment Canada news
4217 release which talks about the Georgia Basin ecosystem initiative, and I have
4218 mentioned this in two of my correspondences with the Panel and I haven't seen or
4219 heard any more about it. So I thought I would put in a request for it to be put into
4220 evidence for me.

4221 **THE CHAIRMAN:** So that was pre-filed? That news release
4222 was pre-filed?

4223 **MR. FISHER:** I referred to the news release in one of my -- I
4224 think it was on November 28th when I was again requesting information from the
4225 Applicant through the Panel and I mentioned this document

4226 **THE CHAIRMAN:** So you made reference to it but you didn't
4227 attach the document?

4228 **MR. FISHER:** I didn't attach it.

4229 **THE CHAIRMAN:** All right, I understand. Perhaps you can pass
4230 that over to Counsel as well.

4231 **MR. FISHER:** Okay.

4232 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to cross-examine the
4233 Applicant's witness panels?

4234 **MR. FISHER:** Yes and no. Because I just got the information on
4235 how the Applicant's witness panels were organized at the back of the room here,

4236 and as the witness panels don't actually match up at all with the List of Issues that
4237 the Panel agreed on, I think I would like to ask questions of all the panels except
4238 number 4; but I am not sure. So if you would allow me to sort of reserve on that.

4239 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to cross-examine any
4240 intervenors' witness panels?

4241 **MR. FISHER:** No.

4242 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to present final argument?

4243 **MR. FISHER:** Yes, I do.

4244 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Outside of the two issues you raised this
4245 morning, do you have any other preliminary matters to raise with the Panel this
4246 morning?

4247 **MR. FISHER:** Well, I am concerned about the length of time that
4248 the Panel will be sitting. I am a retired university professor and two weeks ago, I
4249 had to fly back to Edmonton for a PhD exam with one of my students who was a
4250 little slow. I have to go back to Edmonton again on March 10th. I have a Masters
4251 degree student who is even slower. So it's important that this lady make it through
4252 to her degree. She has been struggling with it for over a dozen years. So I don't
4253 want to miss out on making a final argument if the time for final arguments comes
4254 up at that same time.

4255 I also would like it, if possible, if the Panel could somehow
4256 through the e-mail communicate to the intervenors who don't live here on the
4257 Saanich Peninsula but live up in the Cowichan Valley what has happened on the
4258 previous day and the best guess of what would happen on the next day, because it
4259 burns a lot of gas, and even in the Cowichan Valley it's 81.9 per litre, and running
4260 back and forth across the Malahat is just not the way I would like to spend the
4261 next month's time.

4262 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well we will try and make it apparent each
4263 day where we are in the proceedings so people who are watching the webcast can
4264 determine where we are, for that matter. Excuse me for a moment.

4265 Now regarding the schedule, if you could, if you could give us an
4266 indication, provide an indication to the Panel Counsel as to the time period that
4267 you are not available, that would absolutely not work for you, I think that would
4268 help us in the planning.

4269 **MR. FISHER:** All right, I can do that.

4270 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4271 **MR. FISHER:** And I don't wish to have my place in the order
4272 changed. That's fine

4273 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you very much.

4274 **MS HANEBURY:** I am wondering, Mr. Fisher, if the document
4275 that you have already filed with the National Energy Board could be given an
4276 exhibit number now by the clerk. Not the one that you are seeking to file but the
4277 one that hasn't already received a number.

4278 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit C-16-13.

4279 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-16-13:**

4280 *Response to Information Request No. 21 from A.D. Fisher, dated*
4281 *January 20, 2003.*

4282 **MS HANEBURY:** Thank you.

4283 GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition.

4284 **MR. ANDREWS:** Madam Chair, William Andrews representing
4285 the GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition. With me is Mr. Thomson Hackney who
4286 will also be speaking for the Coalition at points.

4287 I would like to briefly, for the record, describe the GSX Concerned
4288 Citizens' Coalition as it has grown somewhat since the original description, and I
4289 will be brief with this. There are about 80 individual members of the Coalition
4290 and its organizational members include the Sierra Club of Canada (B.C. Chapter),
4291 the Georgia Strait Alliance, the Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (B.C.
4292 Regional Office), the Council of Canadians (Cowichan Chapter), the Council of
4293 Canadians (Victoria Chapter), Saturna Community Club, Pender Island
4294 Conservancy Association, and Shawnigan Lake Watershed Watch.

4295 The brief purpose of the Coalition is to ensure a fair and rigorous
4296 assessment of the GSX proposal. The Coalition intends to present evidence. I
4297 will not be making an oral opinion statement tomorrow night. I do have

4298 additional evidence to file and I have a notice of motion and copies which I could
4299 submit now if that is your preference.

4300 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I'm sorry, Mr. Andrews, did you say you
4301 have a motion?

4302 **MR. ANDREWS:** Yes, I do

4303 **THE CHAIRMAN:** We will consider the motions later. I am
4304 sure we will have a number of motions to entertain, so it will be good to group
4305 them all together and consider them at the same time.

4306 **MR. ANDREWS:** So that everyone is aware, copies of the
4307 documents which we seek to introduce are on the back table and I have provided a
4308 set of copies to the Applicant.

4309 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Perhaps you could just give us a flavour of
4310 what the motion is about?

4311 **MR. ANDREWS:** It's to introduce three documents.

4312 One is excerpts from the 2003 National Energy Board Energy
4313 Outlook Public Consultation Draft which is the follow-up document to the 1999
4314 National Energy Board Outlook which was relied upon, among other documents,
4315 by the Applicant.

4316 The second is a table produced by Mr. Rob Woronuk
4317 (W-O-R-O-N-U-K) who will be one of the Coalition's witnesses. These drafts
4318 merely use the same data as are in the NEB 2003 document, but remove from the
4319 graph sources of gas that are not relevant to the GSX pipeline such as east coast.

4320 Thirdly, we are introducing or we seek to have introduced
4321 overheads in the presentation by B.C. Hydro in January of 2003 regarding the
4322 merits of the proposal.

4323 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4324 **MR. ANDREWS:** The GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition
4325 intends to at least consider cross-examining each of the Applicant's panels. The
4326 panel certainly of most interest will be panel number 2.

4327 The Coalition would like to reserve the right to cross-examine
4328 panel witnesses by intervenors, bearing in mind that sweetheart cross-examination
4329 is not permitted.

4330 The Coalition does intend to make a final argument.

4331 No, the Coalition does not seek to be moved down the list of
4332 appearances.

4333 I have one preliminary matter to raise, which is that in the list of
4334 exhibits under Exhibit Number C-32-56, this is the GSX Concerned Citizens'
4335 Coalition's evidence filed on November 28, 2002, there is a list of subdocuments
4336 under that exhibit number. Document 5, document 6 and document 7 themselves
4337 attach appendices which are not listed in the exhibit list and I would ask either
4338 simply that that be noted and confirmed that the appendices are indeed included in
4339 the exhibit, or if it would be necessary for the record, I would read the appendices
4340 that ought to be listed under documents 5, 6 and 7.

4341 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Are those included or do we have to provide
4342 a different exhibit list for those?

4343 **MS HANEBURY:** Perhaps what we could do is just check our
4344 records and confirm that they are filed and then we will advise you after the break,
4345 Madam Chair.

4346 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4347 **MS HANEBURY:** Would that be sufficient?

4348 **MR. ANDREWS:** One additional matter under the new evidence
4349 heading is Steve Miller's evidence on load forecasting on Vancouver Island. We
4350 may be able to have him update some of the graphs presented based on the
4351 information concerning the Norske Skog energy proposals.

4352 The last preliminary matter is that we have asked the Applicant if it
4353 would be convenient for our witness on gas supply, Mr. Rob Woronuk, to make
4354 himself available for cross-examination immediately following panel 2, and I
4355 understand that the Applicant does not have a problem with that and we are in the
4356 Board's hands on that. Mr. Woronuk comes from Calgary and it would be much
4357 simpler and easier for him to be present for the panel for cross-examination and
4358 then to give his own evidence on the same topics.

4359 **THE CHAIRMAN:** So the materials that are not pre-filed,
4360 perhaps you could also make copies available to Panel Counsel and others so it
4361 will help us make a decision if there are any arguments on it.

4362 **MR. ANDREWS:** Yes, thank you.

4363 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4364 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Mr. Andrews, I don't think I have ever seen a
4365 list of the groups that are represented by the Concerned Citizens such as the Sierra
4366 Club and CPWS. Can we have a copy of that? There may be one somewhere but
4367 I have not seen it.

4368 **MR. ANDREWS:** We will make one available.

4369 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Would you?

4370 **MR. ANDREWS:** Yes.

4371 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Thank you.

4372 **MS HANEURY:** Perhaps, Madam Chair, I can make a
4373 suggestion. A number of parties have late materials that they would like to file.
4374 When you take your break, perhaps the thing to do is to have parties who have late
4375 materials come forward to the counsel table -- and any parties who think that they
4376 might be objecting to those filings -- and we can look through them. If there are
4377 no objections, they can just go in by consent and we can handle that fairly quickly
4378 and then if there are objections, we can sort out those where there are and hear
4379 argument later.

4380 So if anyone who has late materials could come to the table, come
4381 up here at the break, maybe we can sort it out and try and shorten the proceedings
4382 for you afterwards

4383 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Ms Hanebury.

4384 **MS HANEURY:** Gulf Crab Fishers Association.

4385 **MR. DOUGLASS:** My name is William Douglass representing
4386 Gulf Crab Fishers Association. I am their intervenor.

4387 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Douglass. Do you wish to
4388 give oral comments to the Panel and, if so, would you like to do that tomorrow or
4389 would you like to arrange another time?

4390 **MR. DOUGLASS:** No.

4391 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have additional evidence to file?

4392 **MR. DOUGLASS:** No.

4393 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to cross-examine the
4394 Applicant's witness panels?

4395 **MR. DOUGLASS:** Yes, I do.

4396 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Can you give us an indication of which
4397 panels you would be interested in cross-examining?

4398 **MR. DOUGLASS:** Number 5 on your list which has to do with
4399 the engineering and socioeconomic.

4400 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to cross-examine other
4401 intervenors' witness panels?

4402 **MR. DOUGLASS:** Possibly, yes.

4403 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any preliminary matters to raise
4404 with us this morning?

4405 **MR. DOUGLASS:** No, I don't.

4406 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you like to be moved down in the
4407 order of appearances?

4408 **MR. DOUGLASS:** I would like to appear with the Marine
4409 Coalition when panel number 5 occurs, whenever that is.

4410 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. We will do our best to accommodate
4411 that. Thank you very much.

4412 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. David Haywood.

4413 **MRS. MILLER:** It's Mrs. Miller again. Sorry to be popping up
4414 and down so often. If I could just give an explanation, that might help the Panel
4415 to understand why I keep appearing here entering conditional appearances for
4416 people who don't seem to have done it themselves.

4417 A group of 16 to 18 individually registered intervenors from the
4418 Cowichan Valley and Nanaimo held a meeting on Thursday last week in order to
4419 try and coordinate our intervention strategies so that we would not needlessly take
4420 up the Panel's time and not be repeating our questions and so on. At that meeting,
4421 a number of the people whose names are being called indicated that they were
4422 planning on making some sort of intervention. Some of them are not here this
4423 morning as Mr. Haywood is not. I would ask your indulgence in entering a
4424 conditional appearance notice for them, and if indeed they have changed their
4425 mind, I apologize for doing so, but I am feeling that perhaps it's better to enter the
4426 conditional appearance and then have it be stricken rather than have them lose
4427 their opportunity to participate. Is that okay? Am I on the right track?

4428 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, we don't mind, Mrs. Miller, if you
4429 make conditional appearances for other parties. That is not our problem. That is
4430 fine.

4431 **MRS. MILLER:** Thank you very much.

4432 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. Richard Hughes.

4433 **MR. CALDICOTT:** Good morning. Thank you, Mrs. Miller.

4434 My name is Arthur Caldicott. Mrs. Miller entered a conditional
4435 registration for me earlier and if you want to deal with me now, that's fine, we can
4436 do that. I would like to -- Mr. Richard Hughes asked me to file a conditional
4437 appearance for him.

4438 **MR. CHAIRMAN:** That's fine. Thank you very much

4439 **MS HANEBURY:** Since we have you, Mr. Caldicott, perhaps we
4440 could get answers to the questions. We will not let you escape so quickly.

4441 **MR. CALDICOTT:** I would be happy to.

4442 **MS HANEBURY:** Thank you.

4443 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you like to make an oral comment to
4444 the Panel and, if so, would you like to do so tomorrow or through a different time
4445 arranged with Panel Counsel?

4446 **MR. CALDICOTT:** I would prefer to make final argument.
4447 Thank you.

4448 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have additional evidence to file?

4449 **MR. CALDICOTT:** No, I do not.

4450 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to cross-examine the
4451 Applicant's witness panel?

4452 **MR. CALDICOTT:** Most likely not but I would like to reserve
4453 the right to do that.

4454 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you plan to present final argument?

4455 **MR. CALDICOTT:** Yes, I do.

4456 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any preliminary matters to raise
4457 this morning?

4458 **MR. CALDICOTT:** None, thank you.

4459 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you prefer to be moved down in the
4460 order of appearances?

4461 **MR. CALDICOTT:** No, that is okay. I will stay where I am,
4462 thanks.

4463 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

4464 **MS HANEbury:** Imperial Oil Resources.

4465 --- No response/Pas de réponse

4466 **MS HANEbury:** Islands Trust Council.

4467 **MR. ESSIG:** Good morning, Madam Chair. My name is David
4468 Essig. I am the Chair of Islands Trust Council, which is the local government

4469 with respect to land use in the Southern Gulf Islands and Howe Sound. With
4470 respect to these questions, I can work through them if you would like.

4471 No, we do not wish to give an opinion statement to the Panel. Yes,
4472 we have additional documents to file. We would like to reserve the right to
4473 cross-examine witnesses in panels number 4 and 5. We do not plan to
4474 cross-examine intervenors. Yes, we plan to present a final argument. We have no
4475 preliminary matters to raise with the panel this morning and we would prefer not
4476 to be moved in the order of appearance.

4477 **THE CHAIRMAN:** The additional documents you plan to file,
4478 have those been pre-filed?

4479 **MR. ESSIG:** We did file pre-filed documents but there were two
4480 others that we need to file. One is my cv and the other is attachments to document
4481 C-55-6, which is supporting evidence, and we were requested by counsel to file
4482 extracts of some of the documents that we filed in their entirety.

4483 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Essig.

4484 **MS HANEBURY:** Perhaps we could give the ones that you have
4485 already pre-filed an exhibit number now.

4486 **MR. ESSIG:** Fine.

4487 **MS HANEBURY:** If we can check with the clerk.

4488 **THE CLERK:** C-55-9.

4489 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-55-9:**

4490 *(No document presented.)*

4491 **MS HANEBURY:** Ms Diana Knowles.

4492
4493 **MRS. MILLER:** Mrs. Dodie Miller again. I'm unfortunately in
4494 the position -- I know Mrs. Knowles wishes to participate. I cannot recall at this
4495 time if she was wanting to make an opening statement or participate in
4496 cross-examination.

4497
4498 Do I have to specify that, or do I just enter a conditional for her at
4499 this time?

4500
4501
4502
4503
4504
4505
4506
4507
4508
4509
4510
4511
4512
4513
4514
4515
4516
4517
4518
4519
4520
4521
4522
4523
4524
4525
4526
4527
4528
4529
4530
4531
4532
4533
4534
4535
4536
4537
4538
4539
4540
4541

THE CHAIRMAN: I think a conditional entry will be fine, and we could crosscheck that when we get to that phase.

MRS. MILLER: Thank you very much.

MS HANEBURY: Mr. Philip Marchant.

MR. MARCHANT: Madam Chair, my name is Philip Marchant. I'm appearing as an individual intervenor, but I am also a director of the Concerned Citizens Coalition.

On the first question, the answer is no. Second question is no. The third question is I would like to reserve the right to question. Now, just exactly who I'm questioning, I have a fairly close idea, but I don't see a list of names together with the issues, but I'm sure it will all be contained within the panel too. And then number five is yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: You plan to cross-examine other intervenors' panels?

MR. MARCHANT: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Yes.

MS HANEBURY: Could you tell us which ones, Mr. Marchant, that you think at this time you might be cross-examining?

MR. MARCHANT: My interest lies in a question to Powerex and one or two questions to hydro. And when I say I reserve the right, I know that directors of the coalition have been asked not to repeat questioning. And so I sort of have to wait to see how things unfold.

THE CHAIRMAN: That's fine. Do you wish to present final argument?

MR. MARCHANT: Yes.

THE CHAIRMAN: Do you have any preliminary matters this morning?

MR. MARCHANT: No.

4542
4543 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to be moved down in the order
4544 of appearances?
4545

4546 **MR. MARCHANT:** I would prefer to come after Powerex.
4547

4548 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Marchant.
4549

4550 **MR. MARCHANT:** Thank you.
4551

4552 **MS HANEBURY:** Ms Màiri McLennan.
4553

4554 **MRS. MILLER:** Mrs. Miller again. I would like to register a
4555 conditional appearance for Ms McLennan. I believe she has discussed this with
4556 the people who were offering the session yesterday. There's a little bit of a
4557 problem. Ms McLennan is employed Monday to Friday, but she has asked Mr.
4558 Steve Miller and myself to pose certain questions and answer some of the
4559 questions on the appearance not as a conditional appearance. Like, to act on her
4560 behalf for some of these things, particularly the preliminary matters. Particularly
4561 in reference to preliminary matters which she has given us and asked us to present
4562 those today because by the time she can put in an appearance, they'll no longer be
4563 preliminary. Would you like us to address those questions now or ---
4564

4565 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, please give us a flavour for it.
4566

4567 **MRS. MILLER:** Is it all right if Mr. Miller takes over that part
4568 of this discussion?
4569

4570 **THE CHAIRMAN:** That's perfectly fine.
4571

4572 **MRS. MILLER:** Thank you.

4573 **MR. MILLER:** Mary has prepared a motion and I have five
4574 copies of it here. The motion contains five subsections. Each of them pertains to
4575 scheduling and if I can tell you something about them, you'll see why these
4576 particularly affect her situation because they concern the timing of these sessions.
4577

4578 So if you would like, I can tell you the essence of these five
4579 components.
4580

4581 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please go ahead.
4582

4583 **MR. MILLER:** Màiri McLennan moves that at least one evening
4584 cross-examination session be scheduled for each of the Applicants' panel. That
4585 some sessions for final argument include Saturday or evening times, that some
4586 sessions for final argument include venues in Cobble Hill, or other regional
4587 locations. That the following days expected schedule be published each evening
4588 on the National Energy Board or Applicants' Web site, and finally that telephone
4589 and fax contact information for any of the staff in Sidney be provided to
4590 intervenors who are unable to attend daily.

4591
4592 She has also provided some rationale for each of these but perhaps
4593 we can go over that should you hold a debate on these topics.
4594

4595 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I'm sorry, Mr. Miller, I missed the last point
4596 so maybe you can repeat that again.
4597

4598 **MR. MILLER:** The last one was that telephone and fax contact
4599 information for any of the staff in Sidney be provided.
4600

4601 **THE CHAIRMAN:** That's perfectly fine. We'll be more than
4602 delighted to do the last one. We'll consider the other ones.
4603

4604 Are you in a position to answer the rest of the questions on her
4605 behalf?
4606

4607 **MRS. MILLER:** Tentatively, yes. I know what she would like
4608 to do. Whether it's going to prove to be possible, I'm not so sure. But I'll certainly
4609 make every effort to answer them.
4610

4611 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you feel more comfortable deferring
4612 those until she's here?
4613

4614 **MRS. MILLER:** No, I think I would like to answer the questions
4615 with the understanding that at the first appearance she's able to make, she may
4616 revise them slightly, if that's okay.
4617

4618 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Would you have additional evidence
4619 to file?
4620

4621 **MRS. MILLER:** I do not believe so.
4622

4623 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Do you plan to cross-examine the
4624 Applicant's witness panels?

4625
4626 **MRS. MILLER:** A lot of this will depend on the Panel's
4627 response to one of the motions that Ms McLennan entered, but in the best of all
4628 possible worlds, she does have several cross-examination questions she would
4629 like to pose.

4630
4631 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any idea which of the witness
4632 panels she'll be interested in cross-examining?
4633

4634 **MRS. MILLER:** I believe that panels 1 and 2 are of primary
4635 interest, but she would like to reserve the right to question any of the others as
4636 well.

4637
4638 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Do you plan to present final
4639 argument?
4640

4641 **MRS. MILLER:** Yes, definitely.
4642

4643 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Then outside of the preliminary matters that
4644 you've raised, are there any additional matters that you wish to bring forward to
4645 the Panel?
4646

4647 **MRS. MILLER:** I would just like to mention that
4648 Ms McLennan's current employment provides her what's called a flex day every
4649 second Friday. Her last flex day was this Friday past. So the next first time she
4650 could attend a daytime session would be Friday two weeks from now. March the
4651 5th, I believe it is. I'm not sure. But the last Friday in March. And then it would
4652 be two weeks following that. So assuming that the hearing would be in the final
4653 argument stage in four weeks precisely, then she would be able to easily be
4654 present on that Friday.
4655

4656 If the timing goes somewhat awry, she will need to be in contact
4657 with Panel counsel and try to make some other arrangements, unless the Panel
4658 rules favourably on some of the motions she's presented regarding alternate
4659 scheduling.
4660

4661 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would she like to be moved down in the
4662 order of appearances?
4663

4664 **MRS. MILLER:** I don't think that that would be of any
4665 assistance to her, so I would say no, but she is requesting some degree of latitude
4666 in scheduling her out of the order of appearances depending on her availability.

4667
4668
4669
4670
4671
4672
4673
4674
4675
4676
4677
4678
4679
4680
4681
4682
4683
4684
4685
4686
4687
4688
4689
4690
4691
4692
4693
4694
4695
4696
4697
4698
4699
4700
4701
4702
4703
4704
4705
4706
4707
4708

THE CHAIRMAN: And would she like to cross-examine other intervenor witness panels?

MRS. MILLER: She would like to reserve the right to do so. At the moment it's not clear which intervenors are going to be putting up witness panels, and so she finds herself unable to answer that.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mrs. Miller.

MRS. MILLER: Thank you, very much.

MS HANEBURY: Mr. Steve and Dodie Miller.

MRS. MILLER: My name is Dodie Miller. I represent on the list, finally, I'm listed in the order of appearances under "S", for Shady Brook Farm, which is the name of the small mixed farm that my husband and I operate just off the intersection of Telegraph and Fisher Road, which is within one kilometer of the current pipeline route as it passes near to Aros Road.

THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like to provide oral comment to the Panel and, if so -- or would you prefer to do that tomorrow evening or at another time?

MRS. MILLER: No, thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Would you like to file additional evidence?

MRS. MILLER: At this time, yes, and I would also like to reserve the right to file additional evidence, should it become available during the course of the hearings. Like some dated information that isn't available now, but could be reasonably anticipated to be made available later.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, I think we will have to cross that bridge when we get to it. It's premature.

MRS. MILLER: Okay. I'm sorry, I don't understand the process too well, so I figure it's better to ask now rather than miss the chance by not asking.

THE CHAIRMAN: I understand.

4709 **MRS. MILLER:** The evidence that I would like to enter right
4710 now is an article from this morning's Vancouver Sun, the business section, section
4711 "C". The article begins on page C-1 and conditions on page C-3. I did purchase
4712 one copy of the newspaper this morning in order to have the authenticity of the
4713 article available. It has been circulated over an E-mail list that we are partners to.
4714

4715 And so I can tomorrow, if the Panel wishes, bring additional copies
4716 printed off my computer, but I didn't want to start printing them and find that it
4717 wasn't necessary or wasn't required. So I have this one page -- I mean the original
4718 document, and I can provide copies once the Panel is satisfied that the providence
4719 of the article is genuine.
4720

4721 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, all right. I think we'll have to -- it
4722 makes it a little difficult because if there are other intervenors in here who wish to
4723 argue about that then there wouldn't be enough copies available, that makes it a
4724 little difficult.
4725

4726 **MRS. MILLER:** I actually do have one additional printed out
4727 copy from the computer that I printed out for my own reference this morning.
4728

4729 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Maybe I will just refer you to talk to Ms
4730 Hanebury about that at the break.
4731

4732 **MRS. MILLER:** At the break?
4733

4734 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Yes.
4735

4736 **MRS. MILLER:** Okay. Thank you. I'm not sure where we got
4737 to. I think we got out of order.
4738

4739 **THE CHAIRMAN:** We're on additional evidence. Sorry.
4740

4741 **MRS. MILLER:** It was that and then you answered my question
4742 about whether I could present materials that come out after this point in time.
4743 And obviously that's something I understand that we can only address at the time.
4744

4745 **THE CHAIRMAN:** That's correct.
4746

4747 **MRS. MILLER:** Thank you.
4748

4749 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Is there any additional evidence that you
4750 wish to bring forward?

4751
4752 **MRS. MILLER:** None further than what I've already mentioned.
4753

4754 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Do you wish to cross-examine the
4755 Applicant's witness panels?
4756

4757 **MRS. MILLER:** Yes, I do. In particular, I have an interest in
4758 cross-examining panels 1, 2, 5 and 8 but I would like to reserve the right to
4759 cross-examine additional panels as they come forward.
4760

4761 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you like to cross-examine other
4762 intervenors' panels?
4763

4764 **MRS. MILLER:** I would like to reserve the right to
4765 cross-examine intervenors' panels. At the moment I'm not sure which intervenors
4766 are actually going to be coming forward with witness panels, so I find myself
4767 unable to give you anything specific.
4768

4769 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay.
4770

4771 **MRS. MILLER:** And I understand that I am only entitled to
4772 cross-examine intervenors whose interests are ---
4773

4774 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Adverse.
4775

4776 **MRS. MILLER:** Thank you. Adverse to mine. Sorry, excuse
4777 me. You say that part.
4778

4779 **MR. MILLER:** We would also like to notify you of the
4780 possibility that we would address questions to the Intervenor of the Province of
4781 B.C.
4782

4783 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, provided it is not a "sweetheart"
4784 cross-examination, yes.
4785

4786 **MR. MILLER:** That's a difficult question since we're all citizens
4787 of the province, but you will have to determine that at the time.
4788

4789 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay.
4790

4791 **MRS. MILLER:** Okay. Sorry.
4792

4793 **THE CHAIRMAN:** No problem. Do you wish to present final
4794 argument?
4795

4796 **MRS. MILLER:** Yes, please.
4797

4798 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Are there additional preliminary matters that
4799 you wish to raise this morning?
4800

4801 **MRS. MILLER:** Yes. The first one is I'd just like to mention
4802 that at various times during cross-examination I'm hoping that I may call upon
4803 others to assist me with that cross-examination who are not listed under Shady
4804 Brook Farm as being the intervenors. In particular, I anticipate calling Mr. Kevin
4805 Maher, and others, and Maher is M-A-H-E-R. I believe he has appeared before
4806 this Panel on several other occasions previously.
4807

4808 Another preliminary matter. I would like at this time, with your
4809 indulgence, to thank Mr. Davies for his prompt reply to a request that we sent out
4810 fairly late in the day Calgary time on Friday to provide a matching of issues with
4811 Panel numbers. It's much appreciated. Thank you so much.
4812

4813 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you like to be moved down in the
4814 order of appearances? I see you use Shady Brook, and of course we're using your
4815 name, Mrs. Miller. Would you prefer to be in the "M" category or the "S"
4816 category?
4817

4818 **MRS. MILLER:** We would prefer to be in the "Ss", please, to
4819 provide us with some guidance beforehand in how things are happening.
4820

4821 And if I can just back up a little bit. We also have several motions
4822 that we would like to bring forward at the appropriate time. I have several copies
4823 for each member of the Panel and a few extra copies for Panel staff, which I can
4824 distribute at the correct time. Would you like me to give you the basic overview of
4825 what these motions would entail?
4826

4827 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please do.
4828

4829 **MRS. MILLER:** Okay. I'm asking that each GSX PL witness
4830 panel be deferred to the beginning of a new day. That each panel would start on
4831 its own day. That the hours -- I have a motion regarding the hours of the hearing
4832 sessions. Specifically that they begin no earlier than 10:00 a.m.
4833

4834 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Sorry, no later than 10:00 a.m.?

4835
4836 **MRS. MILLER:** No, earlier than 10:00 a.m. I have a motion
4837 relating to the JRP IR 36, and regarding scheduling of adequate time for
4838 intervenors to review the responses to that IR.
4839

4840 I have a motion that the Applicant's panel number 1 not be called
4841 prior to Wednesday, February 26th at the earliest.
4842

4843 I am also having a motion that any intervenor who has
4844 inadvertently not appeared when called to register today, this morning, be afforded
4845 an opportunity to do so at the end of the registration hearings -- the registration
4846 proceedings, I'm sorry. So if someone got held up in traffic, for example, that we
4847 cut them a bit of slack.
4848

4849 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, I think, as we indicated in the opening
4850 statement, if prior arrangements are made then we will make phone numbers
4851 available, or if a person phones, we can try to accommodate that. But if those
4852 things are not done and you miss a witness panel, we would have a hard time
4853 recalling the witness panel just for that session. That makes it very difficult.
4854

4855 **MRS. MILLER:** I'm sorry. We're not quite on the same page
4856 here.
4857

4858 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Oh, okay.
4859

4860 **MRS. MILLER:** What I'm referring to is registering for the order
4861 of appearances.
4862

4863 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Oh, okay.
4864

4865 **MRS. MILLER:** That if someone got held up in traffic and they
4866 were a "C" and we're now at the "Ms" or "Ss", or whatever we're at, that they be
4867 permitted, if they arrive late today, to register, even though they didn't have a
4868 conditional or didn't respond when their name was called.
4869

4870 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I understand. Thank you for the
4871 clarification.
4872

4873 **MRS. MILLER:** And as well, and I'm not sure -- I'm not sure
4874 where this would come into the proceedings. I do have some comments I would
4875 like to make on -- not comments. Express some concerns that a number of
4876 intervenors have shared regarding public access to this hearing process to date.

4877
4878
4879
4880
4881
4882
4883
4884
4885
4886
4887
4888
4889
4890
4891
4892
4893
4894
4895
4896
4897
4898
4899
4900
4901
4902
4903
4904
4905
4906
4907
4908
4909
4910
4911
4912
4913
4914
4915
4916
4917
4918

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, maybe we could consider it as a motion. Since you're on the motions, we might as well keep going.

MRS. MILLER: Okay. So I should present that as a motion that the Panel accept these comments in the spirit in which -- because we're not particularly asking for any action.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh.

MRS. MILLER: It's more to have it entered into the record some of the concerns.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay. So if you don't want an action from the Panel, then it's not a motion because we don't have to rule.

MRS. MILLER: That's right.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MRS. MILLER: What we're asking is rather than let you rule -- oh, I'm sorry. Apparently, I'm sorry. Apparently there is a "we ask that." So I guess that could be put in terms of a motion. I mean, it could be perceived as a motion if we're asking for something.

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, do you want a ruling from the Panel or not? If you do, it has to be a motion.

MRS. MILLER: Okay. It's not so much a ruling as a request. I don't think it's a motion, do you?

THE CHAIRMAN: Well, okay. Why don't you start, present it and then we'll see where it goes.

MRS. MILLER: Okay.

THE CHAIRMAN: Okay.

MRS. MILLER: Where is the reference to this, Steve?

THE CHAIRMAN: I hope it's not a very long presentation. It's just to give us a flavour of what the motion is about.

4919
4920 **MRS. MILLER:** I'm going to ask Mr. Miller to do it because I'm
4921 beginning to lose my place and I think he can do it more effectively.
4922

4923 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay.
4924

4925 **MR. MILLER:** This seems to be my only chance to be called
4926 Mr. Miller by Mrs. Miller. This comment references the agreement between the
4927 National Energy Board and CEAA, which established this Panel and calls your
4928 attention in that agreement to section 4.3.2(c), which indicates that the Panel shall
4929 conduct its review in a manner which would promote and facilitate public
4930 participation.
4931

4932 You've already heard in some of the motions such as that from
4933 Màiri McLennan some weaknesses in the promotion of public participation that
4934 have cropped up so far. At a meeting of intervenors in Cobble Hill last week of
4935 approximately 16 at the Cobble Hill intervenors, and intervenors extending as far
4936 north as Ladysmith -- Nanaimo, 23 actions of this Panel over the past months that
4937 hinder public participation were listed. I probably should not read you these four
4938 pages of such actions.
4939

4940 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I think you can file that, so we don't have to
4941 hear.
4942

4943 **MR. MILLER:** Yes. I haven't really tried to summarize them
4944 too well, but read you one or two to give you the flavour.
4945

4946 There is the difficulties that have been thrown up concerning the
4947 transmission of documents to the Panel and the receipt of documents by the
4948 public. There is the abandonment virtually of the system of public registries, for
4949 lack of shelf space. There's the refusal to accept filing of documents through
4950 E-mail.
4951

4952 There is the weakness of the NEB Web site in which many times
4953 we confront placeholders rather than actual documents and the invitation to
4954 contact a library during office hours in Edmonton. There is an extreme tightening
4955 of schedules for comment and a failure to recognize that not all the public have
4956 computers, not all the public have fax machines, not all the public even live near a
4957 public fax machine.
4958

4959 So there's a general perception that there's been a speed up in this
4960 process. There's a perception that holding it here in Sidney, which is possibly

three and a half hours away from someone who might have a concern for this, for example on the panel on VIATeC, which is in Nanaimo, a very long drive to reach here, and there is a change from what the Panel did a year ago when they travelled around the region. That is severely hindering public input. This is felt very strongly by the intervenors who are not represented by counsel.

And the final statement is that we are disturbed by the perception of procedural unfairness that has been created to date. We ask that the Panel undertake to pay more than lip service to the value placed on public participation in this hearing. Please take properly and fully into account that not all intervenors are able to have legal representation throughout this process and do not discount the value of their input on complex, legal or technical grounds.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Miller. I'm sure you will file that with the Panel counsel.

MRS. MILLER: Are we through?

THE CHAIRMAN: Are we done?

MRS. MILLER: Are we finished for ourselves or do you have any other questions for us?

THE CHAIRMAN: I think the only other question I have is what I asked you about being moved, and you indicated you wished to be moved to the "S" category.

MRS. MILLER: To the "S" category.

MS HANEBURY: Mrs. Miller, if I could clarify for our records. We have the intervention under your personal name. Are you saying it should be under the name of Shady Brook Farms?

MRS. MILLER: I'm now confused because in the list of parties that was sent and in all the documents that have been sent to us from the National Energy Board, they've been addressed to Shady Brook Farms.

MS HANEBURY: That's fine. It's just an error in our records then.

MRS. MILLER: I just assumed that you had us registered under Shady Brook Farms. I don't think it really matters. If it's easier for Panel staff and

for transcription to list us under "M", but slot us at the end, that presents no problem.

MS HANEBURY: We'll call you under the "Ss" and I'll just call you as Shady Brook Farm.

MRS. MILLER: Thank you very much.

MS HANEBURY: Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of British Columbia.

MR. JONES: Good morning, members of the Panel. My name is Christopher Jones. I act for the Province of British Columbia in this matter.

We do not wish to make an opinion statement. We do not have additional documents to file at this time, although may in aid of cross-examination.

We do not intend to cross-examine the Applicant's panels. We do intend to cross-examine at this time an intervenor panel, specifically GSX CCC. That's our current plan and I will let the Panel know if that changes in any way.

And we do plan on presenting final argument. I have no preliminary matters to raise with you this morning. And I have no difficulty with my placement on the list of parties. Thank you.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Jones.

MS HANEBURY: Mirant Canada Energy Marketing, Limited. Northern Pipeline Projects Ltd.

MR. ANGUISH: Good morning, Madam Chair and Panel members. My name is Doug Anguish and I'm here today representing Northern Pipeline Projects.

In regard to the questions that you've given to us, we do not intend to have an opinion statement. On the second question, we do not intend to file additional documents. On the third question whether we plan to cross-examine the applicant's witness panel, the answer would be yes. We're particularly interested in panel number five, engineering matters, environment and socio-economic assessment, and panel seven, operations and safety.

5045 In regard to the fourth question, whether we plan to cross-examine
5046 intervenors, the answer would be no.

5047
5048 Whether we plan to present final argument, the answer would be
5049 yes.

5050
5051 In regard to preliminary matters to raise today, there are four of a
5052 procedural nature and they're very short and it may not be appropriate to ask the
5053 Panel. I may be able to clear that up with some of the staff, but you can direct me
5054 on that.

5055
5056 I notice that the panels are specific in order by number. Intervenor
5057 can change the order of appearance. Can panel change the order of appearance as
5058 well or is the order of panels as listed locked in?

5059
5060 **THE CHAIRMAN:** You mean the panel of the Applicant?

5061
5062 **MR. ANGUISH:** The panels of the Applicant. The witness
5063 panels is what I'm referring to.

5064
5065 **THE CHAIRMAN:** M'hm.

5066
5067 **MR. ANGUISH:** And I'm wondering if their orders change from
5068 time to time or can the intervenors be assured that that's the order in which the
5069 witness panels would appear?

5070
5071 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I think we've got several requests this
5072 morning to make some changes and we really have to sit back and see how we
5073 could accommodate those changes. But, I mean, at the moment the listing as
5074 indicated is how we had planned to do it. If there are any changes, that will be
5075 announced later.

5076
5077 **MR. ANGUISH:** Okay.

5078
5079 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay.

5080
5081 **MR. ANGUISH:** The second item is the notice of panel times. It
5082 would be very convenient for some intervenors, including ourselves, if panels
5083 would start on their own day. It would make for ease in terms of travel
5084 arrangements.

5086 I notice there was one motion in regard to that that was placed
5087 before you. For example, if a witness panel concludes at three o'clock in the
5088 afternoon, and you go on to the next panel, it would be very difficult to come any
5089 distance at all to arrive here. Whereas, if it was to start the next morning, I think
5090 that most intervenors could find a way to get here on time for that. So I encourage
5091 the motion that's there.

5092
5093 The third item under preliminary matters in regard to procedure is
5094 if an intervenor like myself cannot attend, is there a process to designate a person
5095 to appear on your behalf?

5096
5097 **MS HANEBURY:** I could speak to that. Actually, I was going to
5098 in my remarks at the end, Mr. Anguish.

5099
5100 The normal practice is if someone is going to represent you, either
5101 you orally in front of the Panel advise the name of the person, so that when they
5102 come forward on your behalf, we know they have the authority to speak on your
5103 behalf.

5104
5105 Alternatively, if that's not possible, that you should be sending a
5106 letter in to the Panel, or have someone file a letter here that you've signed just
5107 saying who will be speaking on your behalf. And that way we know that the
5108 proper authority has been given to the person who's going to speak on your behalf.

5109
5110 **MR. ANGUISH:** Thank you. The final item, Panel, that I want
5111 to ask is that whether or not audiovisual assistance can be used in final argument?
5112 I'm not asking that you provide anything, but I notice the room isn't set up, and I'm
5113 wondering if it's been practiced in the past to use either a CD presentation or a
5114 Power Point presentation to assist in doing final argument, and my question is
5115 whether or not that's acceptable to do that.

5116
5117 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, I think currently we're not really set
5118 up for that, but I would suggest that you discuss that with Panel counsel and we'll
5119 see what recommendations we can make, if any.

5120
5121 **MR. ANGUISH:** Okay. In regard to the order of appearance, we
5122 do not wish to change the order of appearance. Thank you very much for your
5123 time today.

5124
5125 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Anguish.

5126
5127 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. John L. Parker.

5128 --- No response/Pas de réponse

5129 **MS HANEbury:** Pender Islands GSX Coalition.

5130
5131 **MS STEIL:** Good morning. My name is Sara Steil. We will not
5132 be cross-examining or presenting any argument. Our support lies with the Marine
5133 Coalition concerns in evidence.

5134
5135 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you wish to present additional
5136 evidence?

5137
5138 **MS STEIL:** No, we do not.

5139
5140 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any preliminary motions or
5141 issues?

5142
5143 **MS STEIL:** No.

5144
5145 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Do you wish to interview other
5146 intervenors' witness panels?

5147
5148 **MS STEIL:** No, we do not.

5149
5150 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Would you like to change the order of
5151 appearances?

5152
5153 **MS STEIL:** No, we're just fine. Thanks.

5154
5155 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

5156
5157 **MS STEIL:** Thank you.

5158
5159 **MS HANEbury:** Powerex Corporation.

5160
5161 **MRS. MILLER:** I'm really sorry. When we had -- it's Mrs.
5162 Miller again. When we had that meeting of 16 intervenors, because there were so
5163 many people, it's difficult for me to keep track of who's here and who isn't, and
5164 one name went by a little bit too quickly for me. I wonder if I can ask your
5165 indulgence. Mr. John Parker was at the meeting last Thursday. I am not certain if
5166 he is wishing to participate in any way further. I would like to, with your
5167 permission, enter a conditional appearance for him. I will telephone him this

5168 evening and clarify and inform the Panel tomorrow if he actually does wish to
5169 participate and in which manner, if I might.

5170
5171 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Miller.

5172
5173 **MRS. MILLER:** Thank you.

5174
5175 **MS HANEBURY:** Powerex Corporation.

5176
5177 **MR. DAVIES:** Madam Chair, if I could enter an appearance on
5178 behalf of Brian Moghadam. Mr. Moghadam, I can guarantee you, will be in
5179 attendance because he's a witness on our panel too.

5180
5181 Powerex does not intend to be active in this proceeding, but does
5182 reserve the right to present a final argument.

5183
5184 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Davies.

5185
5186 **MS HANEBURY:** Saturna Community Club.

5187
5188 **MR. SMYTH:** Good morning, Madam Chair. Is it still morning?
5189 Good morning, Mr. Justice Williams, Mr. Harrison, Mr. Davies.

5190
5191 To go through your questions seriatum, we do not wish to give an
5192 opinion statement to the Panel. Do not have additional documents to file. Plan to
5193 examine -- cross-examine the Applicant's witness panels 2, 3 and 5. Will wish to
5194 present final argument. Do have a preliminary matter to raise with the Panel this
5195 morning and having said all that, my name is Ian Smyth (S-M-Y-T-H) and I
5196 appear for the Saturna Community Club.

5197
5198 Let me address the issue of preliminary matters to raise with the
5199 Panel, if I may, for a moment. The hours that you have selected for a business are
5200 9:30 to 12:30 and 2:00 to 4:00 p.m. as I understand it.

5201
5202 In order for a person from Saturna Island to be present for those
5203 hours, we would have to get up at 4:45 a.m. and we would get home at 10:00
5204 p.m. at night. Those are the vicissitudes of travel for those who live in what are
5205 called the outer Gulf Islands.

5206
5207 It would greatly inconvenience us, since we don't have the option
5208 of driving, we are the prisoners of the BC ferry system and they raise the
5209 drawbridge or lower it when and if the ferries come and go.

5210
5211 It would convenience us if we were able to meet between 9:00 and
5212 12:00 and 1:00 and 3:00 and notwithstanding the fact that that might
5213 inconvenience some other people slightly, perhaps you could vary the hours from
5214 day-to-day, I'm not entirely sure, but in any event it would suit us a lot better if
5215 those five hours that you sit during the day were 9:00 to 12:00 and 1:00 to 3:00.
5216

5217 The remaining point that I wish to make is with respect to being
5218 moved on the order of appearances. I have reason to believe that the person who
5219 will appear next will tell you that the title of the organization is the Marine
5220 Coalition and not the Saturna Marine Coalition. So we would like to be present
5221 with the Marine Coalition or during the same time on your list, if that's
5222 acceptable.
5223

5224 **THE CHAIRMAN:** You've raised a tricky point with respect to
5225 the hours and I'm not quite sure what to make of it, because the evening hours
5226 don't seem to be suitable for you as well I would imagine.
5227

5228 **MR. SMYTH:** Well, if you finish after 3:00, our next ferry
5229 leaves around 7:00 and gets home about 9:45. If you start before 1 p.m., we get
5230 up to catch a ferry which leaves Saturna at 6:30 a.m.
5231

5232 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Mr. Smyth, I'm just wondering with respect to
5233 the other islands, in case we found that somebody else wanted to start at 8:00 and
5234 someone else wanted to start at 12:00, does the Saturna ferry stop at Pender or
5235 anywhere else, or is that just Saturna?
5236

5237 **MR. SMYTH:** We change at Mayne and stop at Pender. That's
5238 the 6:30 a.m.
5239

5240 **MR. WILLIAMS:** So in other words, people from Pender and
5241 Mayne might also be happy to side with your idea of 9:00 to 12:00 and 1:00 to
5242 3:00. Is that correct?
5243

5244 **MR. SMYTH:** Well, I think that the ferry service from those
5245 places to Swartz Bay is rather more frequent than it is from Saturna. We have one
5246 choice in the morning. I think they have a couple more each. But it might be
5247 prudent for someone on staff to have a quick look through the BC ferry schedule
5248 -- sorry, to have a look through the BC ferry schedule to see whether in point of
5249 fact those logistics are feasible. My guess is that there isn't a computer big enough
5250 to deal with all the permeations and combinations.
5251

5252 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Okay, thanks.

5253
5254 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Maybe we'll think about your suggestion
5255 and we'll go through the rest of the questions.

5256
5257 Do you wish to cross-examine other intervenors' witnesses?

5258
5259 **MR. SMYTH:** No.

5260
5261 **THE CHAIRMAN:** And do you wish to change the order of
5262 appearances?

5263
5264 **MR. SMYTH:** Yes.

5265
5266 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Could you give us an indication of
5267 where you would like to be?

5268
5269 **MR. SMYTH:** I'm sorry, would you repeat that question?

5270
5271 **THE CHAIRMAN:** In the order of appearances, can you give us
5272 an indication of where you would prefer to be?

5273
5274 **MR. SMYTH:** Yes, Madam Chair, I would like to be in the same
5275 place as the Marine Coalition, which I think it will be renamed after the
5276 appearance of the next person.

5277
5278 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Thank you.

5279
5280 **MS HANEURY:** I say with some hesitation, the Saturna Marine
Coalition?

5281
5282 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** I can understand the confusion,
Ms Hanebury.

5283 Madam Chairman, Mr. Williams and Mr. Harrison, welcome. My
5284 name is Susie Washington Smyth. I am the coordinator of The Marine Coalition
5285 and I will get to that in a moment. Before we do that, we have -- The Marine
5286 Coalition -- that is, have been concerned about acoustics, and not surprisingly we
5287 have a concern with the acoustics in this room. I think part of the problem is that
5288 as people are standing and speaking towards you, it's great for you, it's the pits for
5289 those of us who are sitting in the back of the room. And I just think it's the
5290 manner. So perhaps for the future, we could have another place where people

5291 who are making a presentation could speak both to you and to the audience so that
5292 we can hear more of what is being said. It's easier with men, it's much harder with
5293 women.

5294 There is a name change and it is The Marine Coalition as opposed
5295 to the Saturna Marine Coalition. The Marine Coalition represents the Saturna
5296 Community Club, the Pender Island Conservancy, the Saltspring Island
5297 Conservancy, the Saanich Inlet Protection Society, the Georgia Strait Alliance and
5298 Canadian Parks and Wilderness Society (British Columbia Chapter).

5299 For the purposes of the intervention with respect to
5300 cross-examination and final argument, the Gulf Crab Fishers Association has
5301 requested to participate with The Marine Coalition, both in cross-examining and
5302 in making final argument.

5303 With respect to the questions, we do not wish to give an opinion
5304 statement to the Panel. We do not at this time have any additional documents to
5305 file. We do plan to cross-examine the Applicant's witness panels, specifically
5306 panel 5 and panel 7. I believe I filed a letter on February 19th with respect to
5307 these matters. There is a copy -- in case you guys didn't get a copy there is a copy
5308 in the back room and I know that legal counsel has access to that -- and in that we
5309 outline the witnesses that we are both -- that will be available for
5310 cross-examination and also those individuals who will be cross-examining the
5311 Applicant.

5312 Do we plan to cross-examine any intervenors? I would like to say
5313 possibly. It is a federal intervenor and we have one question and if perhaps Mr.
5314 Clark, who I could not see because my eyes are so bad at the moment, could come
5315 and find me at the back of the room we may be able to resolve that one question.

5316 Yes, we do plan to present final argument and in terms of the order
5317 of appearance, I have two requests with respect to that. The first is that we are,
5318 our name change will automatically put us after the Society for Promoting
5319 Environmental Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation and we would
5320 like to be placed there or after the First Nations and before UBC and that the
5321 Saturna Community Club also be put at the same place.

5322 Mr. Davies has kindly agreed to the suggestion that the Applicant
5323 cross-examine The Marine Coalition and the Gulf Crab Fishers immediately
5324 following panel 5. That is a change to the sort of procedure, but Mr. Davies has
5325 agreed, and that's because we are bringing in experts from various parts of the

5326 Pacific Northwest and it would be very helpful to do that and we have requested,
5327 in our February 19th letter, leave of the Panel to allow that to happen.

5328 Just one further comment with respect to preliminary matters.
5329 Perhaps the Panel could be a little creative. Those of us from The Marine
5330 Coalition have two panels, panel 5 and panel 7, and I believe the Saturna
5331 Community Club had a couple of others. Perhaps the times could change to allow
5332 for individuals from Saturna to go back and forth without having to get up at 4:45
5333 and get home at 10:00 P.M., and move from the proposal from, that Ian made with
5334 respect to 9:00 to 12:00, 1:00 to 3:00 to enable some movement back and forth
5335 for those of us in the Gulf Islands.

5336 I also have a question to ask, because I wasn't quite certain -- again,
5337 I can deal with Mr. Clark after.

5338 That's all, unless you have any further questions to ask me.

5339 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Ms Smyth, you mentioned -- and I didn't have
5340 a chance to write them all down -- the groups that you represent. Would you mind
5341 providing us with a list of that?

5342 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** Yes, you have a list. You do.
5343 Every letter I have written has ---

5344 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Has all the names on it?

5345 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** On the top.

5346 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Okay.

5347 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** I can understand that the Panel is
5348 confused because we were asked by the secretary for the Panel at the beginning of
5349 this process, or two years ago, to clarify why The Marine Coalition was different
5350 from the GSX Citizens' Coalition and why there was the interrelationship. So let
5351 me once again clarify this for you and for the audience.

5352 The Marine Coalition -- and I have mentioned the groups, Saturna
5353 Community Club, Pender Island Conservancy, Saltspring Island Conservancy,
5354 Saanich Inlet Protection Society, Georgia Strait Alliance and CPWS (B.C.), are
5355 dealing with just the marine portion of the Environmental Impact Assessment.
5356 With respect to the other issues that the GSX Citizens' Coalition have queries
5357 about, we are having them represent our interests.

5358 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Where I am confused is I notice that CPWS is
5359 also represented by the Coalition as well as yourselves.

5360 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** That's correct.

5361 **MR. WILLIAMS:** And that's because they are different issues?

5362 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** That's right.

5363 **MR. WILLIAMS:** As long as I get the list from both of you, I
5364 will be happy.

5365 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** I will provide that. Any further
5366 questions?

5367 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Smyth.

5368 **MS HANEBURY:** Sencot'en Alliance.

5369 **MS GAERTNER:** Madam Chair, chairpersons, Brenda Gaertner,
5370 G-A-E-R-T-N-E-R, for the Sencot'en Alliance.

5371 With respect to the questions that you have posed, we are intending
5372 to cross-examine the Applicant and cross-examine some of the intervenors. At
5373 this point we don't have any additional evidence. It's quite likely if we need to
5374 with respect to the consultation issue I will be submitting a notice of motion
5375 seeking leave to file further evidence bringing the Panel up-to-date with the events
5376 that have occurred since we filed our previous information.

5377 We intend to make final argument. We do have one preliminary
5378 matter, the notice of motion, that was filed earlier and it is before you. And with
5379 respect to the order we would like to follow, with respect to all of the panels
5380 except panel 8, we would like to follow the Society Promoting Environmental
5381 Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation.

5382 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Ms Gaertner, can you give us an idea, which
5383 of the panels you would like to cross-examine, if possible?

5384 **MS GAERTNER:** Panel 2, 3, 4, 5, we reserve on 6 and 7 and 8.

5385 **THE CHAIRMAN:** And the intervenor's panels?

5386 **MS GAERTNER:** The Province of British Columbia. We may
5387 have some questions of the federal government's panel.

5388 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you very much.

5389 **MS GAERTNER:** I expect you will want to hear from me on the
5390 preliminary matter. You may have gotten the letter that was sent to the Panel on
5391 Friday with regard to my notice of motion, and I was expecting to at least give you
5392 some preliminary comments with respect to that this morning. I am assuming that
5393 you want to deal with that through the Notice of Motion or I can deal with that
5394 now?

5395 **MS HANEBURY:** We just received the letter this morning, Mrs.
5396 Gaertner. My understanding is that perhaps you are not going to be speaking to
5397 your motion today. If that's correct maybe you can address your comments now.
5398 If you are going to speak to your motion, the merits of it, then we will just deal
5399 with I guess in the normal course of the motions.

5400 **MS GAERTNER:** I am not intending to speak to the merits of the
5401 motion today. I am expecting that at the earliest I want to bring it back to the
5402 Panel Wednesday afternoon or Thursday morning. There are a lot of last minute
5403 efforts being made by the proponent and the Crown. As late as Thursday of last
5404 week we received a letter from the provincial Crown. We need to take these into
5405 consideration and consider what our position is with respect to those what will be.

5406 It's very difficult, as we have always said, for the Sencot'en to
5407 participate in both a Panel of this magnitude and complexity and try to address the
5408 consultation issues with both the proponent and the Crown. And so it's our wish
5409 to see whether or not the efforts that have been made at the last minute by both the
5410 proponent and the Crown will serve our purposes or not. If they will, then we will
5411 advise the Panel. If they will not, we will be back before the Panel.

5412 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please proceed with your preliminary
5413 indications then. Or is that what you have just indicated to us. Okay.

5414 **MS GAERTNER:** I think that lets you know what we are
5415 intending to do. I hope there are no questions with respect to that.

5416 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Ms Gaertner, I take it if I can put it this way,
5417 the consultation is taking place now?

5418 **MS GAERTNER:** To be fair, the comments that have been made
5419 by both the provincial and the federal Crown throughout this hearing have been
5420 that the consultation process is an iterative process. I have heard that a number of
5421 times. And the Sencot'en have been cleared that they don't have the resources to
5422 participate both before the Panel and in a consultation process. So what has
5423 occurred as late as last week is that we have received a letter from the provincial
5424 Crown which on its face acknowledges that there hasn't been consultation with the
5425 provincial Crown, but they wish to engage in one now. So we need to know what
5426 that means. We need to have some discussions with the provincial Crown as to
5427 what their intentions behind that letter are.

5428 **MR. WILLIAMS:** So do I take it you will be talking with them
5429 between now and Wednesday?

5430 **MS GAERTNER:** Exactly.

5431 **MR. WILLIAMS:** And then you will let us know Wednesday
5432 where that all stands?

5433 **MS GAERTNER:** That's right.

5434 **MR. WILLIAMS:** That's good. Thanks.

5435 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Ms Gaertner.

5436 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. George G.P. Shephard.

5437 **MRS. MILLER:** Mrs. Miller yet again. Mr. Shephard was also
5438 present at the meeting of Cowichan Valley and other outlying area on the island
5439 intervenors on Thursday last. I believe that he indicated that he was planning on
5440 some level of participation. I do not recall what it was. I would like to enter a
5441 conditional appearance on his behalf. I will undertake to telephone his this
5442 evening and report back tomorrow to Panel Counsel and advise of his wishes.

5443 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Miller.

5444 **MRS. MILLER:** Thank you.

5445 **MS HANEBURY:** Mr. Don Skerik.

5446 **MR. SKERIK:** My name is Don Skerik. I am from Duncan,
5447 British Columbia.

5448 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I'm sorry, we can't hear you. Can you try to
5449 speak closer to the microphone?

5450 **MR. SKERIK:** My name is Don Skerik and I am from Duncan,
5451 British Columbia. With respect to the questions, number 1, I certainly would like
5452 to give you an opinion, but you probably won't let me give an opinion and have
5453 final argument. So I am choosing final arguments.

5454 I don't have any additional documents to file. I request to reserve
5455 the right to cross-examine the Applicant's witness panels. I don't intend to but I
5456 want to have the right to in case something comes up. And the same with
5457 intervenors. Again, I am not sure what is going to go on with other intervenors. I
5458 do plan on filing final argument.

5459 Preliminary matters. I, like a lot of other individual intervenors,
5460 have a life outside of this room here today and I work and do other things with my
5461 life, but I find the schedule is just so. There is no sort of set pattern to the
5462 schedule. We don't know when we have to be here and all that sort of stuff. So I
5463 would like to see some sort of structure on what days, what is going to happen on
5464 what day. So it makes it easier for me to decide what day I have to be here. I
5465 would like to see some meetings on a Saturday. Like for when my turn comes to
5466 make final argument, if my day happens to be a day I am working, how do I make
5467 my final argument? So maybe the best thing is to try to set some Saturdays up for
5468 something like that. It is a suggestion on preliminary matters.

5469 The other preliminary matter I would like to address is in the
5470 revised List of Issues. It still states on there that there is a broad thing that says
5471 "alternatives to the project." I, in my mind, am still not convinced or not decided
5472 what that alternatives to the project means. I know there has been some talk about
5473 only alternatives, but I would like you to clarify that for me, exactly what you
5474 mean by "alternatives to the project."

5475 Whether I would want to be moved down any further, I am pretty
5476 close to the bottom as it is. I don't mind if lawyers go in front of me. I would
5477 really like to fit in Saturdays and that sort of stuff. So whatever works out for you
5478 to fit me in, that fits my needs also.

5479 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any indication at this time
5480 which of the panels you would like to cross-examine, both for the Applicant and
5481 the intervenors?

5482 **MR. SKERIK:** I think 2 and 5, I believe.

5483 **THE CHAIRMAN:** And the intervenors?

5484 **MR. SKERIK:** Probably the Province of B.C. possibly.

5485 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Skerik.

5486 **MS HANEbury:** Snuneymuxw First Nation?

5487 --- No response/Pas de réponse

5488 **MS HANEbury:** Society Promoting Environmental
5489 Conservation and the David Suzuki Foundation?

5490 **TIM HOWARD:** Tim Howard appearing on behalf of SPEC and
5491 the David Suzuki Foundation. It is our intention to participate in this hearing by
5492 cross-examination of the proponent's panels in particular. I have an interest in
5493 panels 1 through 5 at present and subject to discussion with Mr. Davies to try to
5494 focus on which of the panels are most relevant to my clients I suspect that I will
5495 have a particular interest in panels 2, 3, 4 and 5.

5496
5497 We also intend to examine the federal intervenor panels and I say
5498 this is all subject to my further review of the materials that are filed. I have
5499 reviewed the other intervenors' written evidence and I do not at present see
5500 anything in it that would engage my client's interest, but I will see how things
5501 unfold.

5502 With respect to additional matters, preliminary matters or
5503 additional documents, I will be seeking leave to file updated evidence of Dan
5504 Hrebenyk, who is the consultant retained to provide a critique of the air quality
5505 modelling done for the Duke Point power plant. Mr. Hrebenyk's evidence
5506 essentially narrows the issues between the parties. Since the date of the filing of
5507 his evidence there have been considerable exchange of views and materials
5508 between Mr. Hrebenyk and the proponent's consultant and Mr. Hrebenyk's revised
5509 evidence reflects the results of that exchange. I have raised this with Mr. Davies
5510 previously and he advises me that subject to examining Mr. Hrebenyk's revised
5511 evidence to determine whether he wishes to have his expert address anything
5512 arising, he has no objection to my filing and I will bring those materials to
5513 Ms Hanebury during the break.

5514 I do intend to present final argument, both written and oral. I
5515 believe I have captured the issues arising from your list.

5516 One further matter is that I have been asked by The Marine
5517 Coalition to assist them in the presentation of their evidence and the preparation
5518 of their cross-examination. I will not be entering an appearance for The Marine
5519 Coalition and their contact information and service, address for service from
5520 receipt of materials appear the same, but you may see me working with them and
5521 assisting them in their work in this hearing.

5522 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Howard.

5523 **MS HANEBURY:** I received a letter from the Tseycum First
5524 Nation and I am therefore entering a conditional appearance on their behalf.

5525 I also wish to register a conditional appearance for the University
5526 of British Columbia.

5527 Vancouver Island Advanced Technology Centre.

5528 **MR. LENNOX:** Madam Chair. I am Colin Lennox. I am a
5529 professional engineer and I am representing the Vancouver Island Advanced
5530 Technology Centre. This Centre is a non-profit association representing all the
5531 advanced technologies companies on the island, of which there are about 500, and
5532 that represents about 10,000 technology workers.

5533 I would like to give an opinion statement to the Panel and if that's
5534 possible early tomorrow evening would be good or at any other time that the Panel
5535 requires, providing you let me know in advance. Because of the nature of my
5536 work I can not attend all of the sessions, but if there was a need to attend a session
5537 and be questioned, I am quite prepared to make those arrangements.

5538 The answers to questions 2 to 7 are no.

5539 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I am sorry, we didn't catch your name.

5540 **MR. LENNOX:** Colin (C-O-L-I-N) Lennox (L-E-N-N-O-X).

5541 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Lennox.

5542 **MS HANEBURY:** I have been asked to enter a conditional
5543 appearance on behalf of the Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association.

5544 **MS HANEBURY:** Vassilopoulos & Williamson LC.

5545 **MR. VASSILOPOULOS:** Madam Chair, thank you for allowing
5546 us to appear before you to day. I am Spiro Vassilopoulos of the firm of
5547 Vassilopoulos & Williamson of Midland, Texas. I would like to answer these
5548 questions here.

5549 On whether we would like to provide an opinion statement, the
5550 answer is yes, for 15 or 30 minutes tomorrow evening or at any time it would be
5551 convenient to you.

5552 Do we have additional documents to file? The answer is no but we
5553 would like to reserve that right.

5554 Do we plan to cross-examine the Applicant's witness panels? The
5555 answer is yes, we would like to cross-examine the panel that treasurer Valerie
5556 Lambert is on -- I believe it is panel 1 -- and reserve on the remainder.

5557 Do we plan to cross-examine any intervenors? The answer is no
5558 but we would like to reserve that right.

5559 Final argument, the answer is no, but we would like to reserve that
5560 right.

5561 Do I have any preliminary matters this morning? The answer is no.

5562 **MS HANEURY:** Mr. Vassilopoulos, just so I have the listing
5563 correct, could you tell us who your clients are or are you here on your own behalf?

5564 **MR. VASSILOPOULOS:** We are here on our own behalf. We
5565 are the principals.

5566 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Vassilopoulos, I beg your pardon if I am
5567 not pronouncing this appropriately, but you did indicate that you wished to
5568 provide an opinion statement.

5569 **MR. VASSILOPOULOS:** Yes, ma'am. M'hm.

5570 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well you can't provide an opinion statement
5571 and also participate in crossing the Applicant's witnesses or the intervenors. You
5572 know, that is reserved for parties who do not wish to cross-examine and who do
5573 not wish to present final argument and that type of thing. So if you wish to
5574 change it, probably this is the best time to do it.

5575 **MR. VASSILOPOULOS:** My apologies. I was not aware of it.
5576 An opinion statement will suffice.

5577 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

5578 **MS HANEBURY:** Westcoast Energy Inc.

5579 --- No response/Pas de réponse

5580 **MS HANEBURY:** Ms Anne Irene Wright.

5581 **MS WRIGHT:** Thank you, Madam Chair, Panel members. The
5582 answer to the first question is yes and the answers to all the others is no. My
5583 name is pronounced Irene [phonetic], very classical and Greek.

5584 If there is any possibility of finding a time other than tomorrow
5585 evening, it will be very helpful. I also have to depend on the ferries and I have a
5586 life.

5587 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I understand. So tomorrow is not convenient
5588 for you?

5589 **MS WRIGHT:** Yes, but it will be short. Five to ten minutes at
5590 the outside. Maybe you have time this afternoon?

5591 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Perhaps you could confer with Panel
5592 Counsel and see how we could accommodate that.

5593 **MS WRIGHT:** Thank you.

5594 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thanks.

5595 **MS HANEBURY:** The last party is the National Energy Board. I
5596 am Judith Hanebury appearing as Panel Counsel. Also appearing as co-counsel to
5597 my immediate left is Claire McKinnon and also at the very end of this table is
5598 Michelle Haug.

5599 I have a number of brief matters this morning. We have a number
5600 of outstanding letters that need to receive exhibit numbers. They have already
5601 been sent in but have not made it on to the exhibit list and I will read through
5602 them. They are already at the back of the room for parties who don't have a copy
5603 and have been provided to the Panel.

5604 As I read through them perhaps, the Clerk can give each of them an
5605 exhibit number. The first is a letter dated 10th of February 2003 from Michael P.
5606 Doherty on behalf of CAC (BC), re the portion of the transcript from a BCUC
5607 hearing dated 7th February 2003.

5608 **THE CLERK:** The number will be C-31-22.

5609 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-31-22:**

5610 *Letter dated February 10, 2003 from M.P. Doherty on behalf of*
5611 *CAC (BC) re portion of the transcript from a BCUC hearing dated*
5612 *February 7, 2003.*

5613 **MS HANEbury:** The next is a letter dated 18th February 2003
5614 from Bull Housser & Tupper on behalf of Norske Canada re requesting late
5615 intervenor status.

5616 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit Number C-57-1.

5617 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-57-1:**

5618 *Letter dated February 18, 2003 from Bull Housser & Tupper on*
5619 *behalf of Norske Canada re requesting late intervenor status.*

5620 **MS HANEbury:** A letter dated the 20th of February 2003 from
5621 Cohen Highley on behalf of Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association.
5622 We request that their appearance be entered for 24th of February, 2003.

5623 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit Number C-39-19.

5624 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-39-19:**

5625 *Letter dated February 20, 2003 from Cohen Highley on behalf of*
5626 *VIPLA.*

5627 **MS HANEbury:** Letter dated 20th of February 2003 from the
5628 Department of Justice Canada re federal intervenor's list of witnesses.

5629 **THE CLERK:** C-54-36.

5630 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-54-36:**

5631 *Letter dated February 20, 2003 from Department of Justice*
5632 *Canada re federal intervenor's list of witnesses.*

5633 **THE CHAIRMAN:** A letter dated 20th of February 2003 from
5634 Mr. Christopher Jones on behalf of the Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of
5635 British Columbia, re relevance of information respecting other ways to produce
5636 electricity.

5637 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit Number C-56-28.

5638 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-56-28:**

5639 *Letter dated February 20, 2003 from C. Jones on behalf of*
5640 *Ministry of Energy and Mines, Province of British Columbia re*
5641 *relevance of information respecting other ways to produce*
5642 *electricity.*

5643 **MS HANEbury:** A letter dated 21st of February 2003 from
5644 Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association in relation to Mr. George Walker
5645 as the representative at the hearing.

5646 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit C-28-4.

5647 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-28-4:**

5648 *Letter dated February 21, 2003 from Arbutus Ridge Resident*
5649 *Ratepayers Association in relation to G. Walker as the*
5650 *representative at the hearing.*

5651 **MS HANEbury:** A letter of dated 21st of February, 2003, from
5652 Arbutus Ridge Resident Ratepayers Association re change of address for e-mail.

5653 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit Number C-28-5.

5654 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-28-5:**

5655 *Letter dated February 21, 2003 from Arbutus Ridge Resident*
5656 *Ratepayers Association re change of address for e-mail.*

5657 **MS HANEbury:** And a letter of comment dated 21st February
5658 2003 from Hillsborough Resources Limited re observation of the hearing.

5659 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit Number D-94-1.

5660 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° D-94-1:**

5661 *Letter dated February 21, 2003 from Hillsborough Resources*
5662 *Limited re observation of the hearing.*

5663 **MS HANEbury:** Then we have a letter dated 23 February 2003
5664 from Diana Knowles, addressed to the Panel, asking that her registration be
5665 amended.

5666 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit number C-19-8.

5668 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-19-8:**

5669 *A letter dated 23 February 2003 from Diana Knowles, addressed*
5670 *to the Panel, asking that her registration be amended.*

5671 **MS HANEbury:** A letter from Mandell Pinder on behalf of the
5672 Sencot'en Alliance dated February 21st, 2003 advising of discussions presently
5673 being undertaken.

5674 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit number C-3-30.

5677 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-3-30:**

5678 *A letter from Mandell Pinder on behalf of hte Sencot'en Alliance*
5679 *dated February 21st, 2003 advising of discussions presently being*
5680 *undertaken.*

5681 **MS HANEbury:** Letter received this morning dated February
5682 24th, 2003 from the Tseycum First Nation advising of discussions they are
5683 presently undertaking with the Proponent. This letter has not yet been provided to
5684 the Panel. I believe there are copies, though, at the back of the room and I'll now
5685 provide them to the clerk.

5686 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit number C-5-2.

5687 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-5-2:**

5690 *Letter dated February 24th, 2003 from the Tseycum First Nation*
5691 *advising of discussions they are presently undertaking with the*
5692 *Proponent.*

5693 **MS HANEURY:** The last exhibit is a letter addressed from the
5694 Joint Panel to the Proponent dated 24th February 2003 in relation to proposed
5695 conditions.
5696

5697 Now, I can advise parties and the Panel that some time ago the
5698 Federal Court of Canada advised the National Energy Board, who is one of the
5699 two joint representatives on this Panel, that when conditions were going to be
5700 placed upon a certificate the Panel was under an obligation to ensure that those
5701 conditions were commented on by the Proponent and made available to the
5702 parties.
5703

5704 As a result of this, the normal procedure in a hearing is that
5705 possible conditions that may be attached to a certificate, if one is issued, are given
5706 to the Proponent during the course of the proceeding.
5707

5708 In this case there are a couple of possible conditions that are
5709 technical in nature and, as a result, a decision has been made to provide these
5710 conditions for written comment to the Proponent at this time.
5711

5712 So I would ask that this letter, which will be placed at the back of
5713 the room, be made an exhibit.
5714

5715 **THE CLERK:** Would be Exhibit A-205.

5716 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° A-205:**

5717 *Letter addressed from the Joint Panel to the Proponent dated 24th*
5718 *February 2003 in relation to proposed conditions.*
5719

5720 **MS HANEURY:** And I will provide a copy to Mr. Davies.
5721

5722 I would ask, on behalf of the Court Reporters, if there are any
5723 parties that have not made arrangements in relation to the receipt of the transcripts
5724 with the Court Reporters, that they speak to the Court Reporter who will direct
5725 them to the person who is arranging for the provision of transcripts.
5726

5727 In relation to Panel counsel, there are a number of matters that have
5728 come up this morning. If you wish to schedule the making of an opinion

5729 statement, the person who's handling that is the lawyer at the end of the table,
5730 Michelle Haug, and if during the break you could contact her and speak with her,
5731 she can try and arrange a time that is convenient to you.
5732

5733 As has been mentioned, the schedule time is tomorrow evening
5734 between 7:00 and 10:00, but if that doesn't work, we're going to see what we can
5735 find that would fit with your schedule.
5736

5737 We've also heard this morning a number of matters in relation to
5738 timing issues. Claire McKinnon, who is directly to my left -- if during the break,
5739 perhaps at the start of the break this afternoon, we're almost into now, you could
5740 touch base with Claire if you are one of these parties that has timing issues. What
5741 we'd like you to do is have you meet with Claire and possibly Mr. Davies, or one
5742 of his representatives, to see what we can sort out amongst ourselves before the
5743 matter is actually considered by the Panel.
5744

5745 As well, some issues have been raised in relation to the filing of
5746 late evidence. Anyone who has late filings, I'm wondering if you could meet with
5747 me at the break and again a representative of Mr. Davies, and anyone who thinks
5748 that they might be objecting to the filing of late evidence, we can look through
5749 what parties are proposing to file. Perhaps we can sort out that some of it is going
5750 to be filed by consent, or can be filed by consent, in which case I can just enter it
5751 into the proceedings at the start after the lunch break today.
5752

5753 Those matters that are being contested, we'll set those to one side
5754 and then we can hear argument in relation to those specific matters and that might
5755 serve to speed the process along this afternoon.
5756

5757 Finally, some intervenors have filed written evidence. It is
5758 normally expected that when an intervenor has filed written evidence, they will be
5759 putting a panel forth to speak to that evidence.
5760

5761 Now, there may be instances where there is no party who is
5762 interested in examining that intervenor in the panel. To accommodate that, what
5763 the Joint Panel had sent out about a week or so ago was an affidavit that can be
5764 sworn to adopt your evidence and just filed in the proceeding, and then you would
5765 not need to attend at the time when you are called in the order of appearances to
5766 adopt your evidence before the Panel.
5767

5768 If there is any intervenor here who had filed written evidence, who
5769 from the proceedings this morning thinks it likely that there will be no party
5770 interested in cross-examining him or her on that evidence, if they could also meet

5771 with her -- with me, rather, perhaps at the end of the break, because I think I'm
5772 going to be tied up at the beginning of the break, and I can talk to you about what
5773 the options are that are available for you that might result in your not having to
5774 come back and adopt your evidence, unless, of course, you wish to do so.

5775
5776 So I think those are all my preliminary matters.

5777 Thank you.

5778 Oh, sorry, one last thing, just to speak to the question of who we
5779 will be examining.

5780 In light of the number of information requests that we have already
5781 asked of parties, including the Applicant, at this time I can advise the Panel that
5782 we do not intend -- we do not intend to have extensive examination of either
5783 intervenors or the Applicant. However, we do reserve our right to examine all
5784 panels.

5785
5786 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Ms Hanebury. I would like to
5787 ask if there's anyone here to speak on behalf of Norske.

5788
5789 **MR. WALLACE:** Thank you, Madam Chair. Brian Wallace here
5790 on behalf of Norske Skog Canada Limited, which I will refer to as Norske Canada
5791 from now on.

5792
5793 Norske Canada has requested an intervenor status, as was
5794 mentioned by Board Counsel, and they are letters -- Exhibit C-571 I believe was
5795 the number I heard.

5796
5797 Norske Canada is the largest user of electricity on Vancouver
5798 Island and the second largest user of natural gas on Vancouver Island and, as such,
5799 may well be affected by this application.

5800
5801 It does not intend to file evidence or call witnesses in this
5802 proceeding and, accordingly, granting intervenor status to Norske Canada will not
5803 cause delay or prejudice to any parties, and we request that status be granted.

5804
5805 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Perhaps to expedite the process, let's just
5806 have a show of hands if anyone objects to the late intervenor status.

5807

5808 **MR. DAVIES:** I don't necessarily have an objection. I do have
5809 some comments, though, Madam Chair, and they are as follows: It is, of course,
5810 within the discretion of the Panel whether to permit a late intervention.
5811

5812 Normally the National Energy Board and then, hence, this Panel,
5813 would base its decision on whether there is justification for the lateness and based
5814 on whether prejudice would result.
5815

5816 From our perspective, we don't allege that we would be prejudiced
5817 by Norske Canada's participation in this proceeding, and we leave it to the Board
5818 -- or the Panel to decide whether Mr. Wallace and his client has provided
5819 sufficient justification for the late intervention.
5820

5821 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Any parties who would object to the late
5822 intervenor status being requested?
5823

5824 The Panel will reserve its decision on the intervenor status until
5825 after lunch this afternoon.
5826

5827 Thank you.
5828

5829 **MS WASHINGTON SMYTH:** Pardon me for interrupting, this is
5830 a logistics question. I'm assuming that this room will be locked during lunch and
5831 in the evening?
5832

5833 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Chantal?
5834

5835 **THE CLERK:** Yes, it will be.
5836

5837 **MRS. MILLER:** Since the Panel has reserved its decision, is there
5838 going to be an opportunity for parties who wish to speak in favour of having
5839 Norske be accepted as an intervenor to make such comment prior to the Panel
5840 making its decision? Just because you don't object doesn't mean that the reverse
5841 holds.
5842

5843 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, that is correct, but if you didn't object,
5844 then we assume you're in favour.
5845

5846 **MRS. MILLER:** Okay. That's fine. I just wanted to be sure that I
5847 didn't miss a chance that was necessary. Thank you.
5848

5849 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay.

5850
5851 **MR. WALLACE:** Thank you, Madam Chair. Would it be useful
5852 for me to answer your questions before the break or would you prefer to do that
5853 after?
5854

5855 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, perhaps it will be better to give you
5856 time during the break that you could think about justification for the lateness of
5857 your intervenor status and you could address it at that time.
5858

5859 **MR. WALLACE:** If you wish me to do so after the break, that
5860 would be fine. If not, I can do it now.
5861

5862 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, I think we would prefer to take a break
5863 now.
5864

5865 **MR. WALLACE:** Thank you.
5866

5867 **THE CHAIRMAN:** So we are adjourned until 2:00 p.m.
5868

5869 --- Upon recessing at 12:30 p.m./L'audience est reprise à 12h30

5870 --- Upon resuming at 2:20 p.m./L'audience est reprise à 14h20

5871 **THE CLERK:** Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.

5872 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Good afternoon, ladies and gentlemen.
5873 Bonjour, mesdames et messieurs. All the parties have mentioned concerns about
5874 timing.

5875 The Panel considered the needs of parties when it decided upon the
5876 location for the hearing and the hearing hours. These needs are sometimes at odds
5877 depending on where the parties live. There is no way to accommodate the needs
5878 of all participants, but we have endeavoured to reach the best compromise. The
5879 Panel will not at this time change the hearing hours or sit on the weekends. It
5880 will, however, take into consideration constraints of parties who are actually
5881 cross-examining on a given date. For example, if a party from the Gulf Islands
5882 has to leave earlier in the day when they are cross-examining, the Panel will
5883 permit that and can schedule another party for the balance of the day. We want to
5884 make efficient use of hearing time and we will not agree to the proposal to have
5885 witness panels all begin on a new day.

5886 To assist parties to know when they shall attend, the Panel will
5887 take a moment to sum up where we are at at the end of each hearing day. This
5888 will assist those who are listening over the Internet. The Web site will be updated
5889 daily as well. In addition, there will be a phone line opened where people can call
5890 in to receive a voice mail update of where we are in the hearing process.
5891 Messages can be left, but calls will not be returned. This number will be made
5892 available to the parties as soon as it is installed.

5893 Now if I may ask, Ms Hanebury, if there are any more filings?

5894 **MS HANEbury:** Yes, there are a number of filings, Madam
5895 Chair. If I could enter them now on behalf of the parties and they can obtain an
5896 Exhibit Number.

5897 The first is a Notice of Motion filed today by the GSX Concerned
5898 Citizens' Coalition. I understand copies are made available for parties at the back
5899 of the room.

5900 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit C-32-73.

5901 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-32-73:**

5902 *Notice of Motion filed February 24, 2003 on behalf of Intervenor*
5903 *GSX CCC.*

5904 **MS HANEbury:** Perhaps the clerk can provide copies
5905 immediately to the Panel, as I believe they may be argued this afternoon.

5906 The next is a Notice of Motion filed today of the intervenor Mairi
5907 McLennan.

5908 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit C-22-26.

5909 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-22-26:**

5910 *Notice of Motion filed February 24, 2003 on behalf of the*
5911 *Intervenor Mairi McLennan.*

5912 **MS HANEbury:** The next is a Notice of Motion of the
5913 intervenor Shady Brook Farm.

5914 **THE CLERK:** C-24-13.

5915 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-24-13:**

5916 *Notice of Motion on behalf of the Intervenor Shady Brook Farm.*

5917 **MS HANEbury:** The next is a letter from Cohen Highley on
5918 behalf of the Vancouver Island Pipeline Landowners Association dated 24th
5919 February, 2003 advising of a settlement of landowner issues.

5920 **THE CLERK:** That will be Exhibit C-39-20.

5921 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-39-20:**

5922 *Letter from Cohen Highley on behalf of VIPLA dated February 24,*
5923 *2003.*

5924 **MS HANEbury:** This letter also indicates that they are
5925 withdrawing their intervention.

5926 The next is the updated evidence of the Department of Fisheries
5927 and Oceans on the GSX Pipeline. I understand copies have already been provided
5928 at the back of the room and to the Panel. Do you have an Exhibit Number? No?

5929 **THE CLERK:** C-54-37.

5930 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-54-37:**

5931 *Updated evidence of the Department of Fisheries and Oceans on*
5932 *the GSX Pipeline.*

5933 **MS HANEbury:** The next is a series of documents from
5934 counsel for GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition. I understand to the best of my
5935 knowledge there are no objections to the filing of these documents. If there is,
5936 anyone who does object, they should let me know because I am entering them at
5937 this time.

5938 The first is a document entitled "Canada's Energy Future Scenarios for Supply and
5939 Demand to 2025" from the National Energy Board Draft for Public Consultation.

5940 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit C-32-74.

5941 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-32-74:**

5942 *Document entitled "Canada's Energy Future Scenarios for Supply*
5943 *and Demand to 2025" from NEB Draft for Public Consultation.*

5944 **MS HANEbury:** The next is a document entitled "Gas
5945 Production Projections" prepared by GasEnergy Strategies Inc. february 19, 2003.
5946 Again, this document is from GSX Concerned Citizens' Coalition.

5947 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit Number C-32-75.

5948 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-32-75:**

5949 *Document entitled "Gas Production Projections" prepared by*
5950 *GasEnergy Strategies Inc. dated February 19, 2003.*

5951 **MS HANEbury:** The next document is entitled "Vancouver
5952 Island Electricity Supply", by B.C. Hydro dated January 30, 2003, again filed by
5953 Georgia Strait Crossing Concerned Citizens' Coalition.

5954 **THE CLERK:** Document C-32-76.

5955 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-32-76:**

5956 *Document entitled "Gas Production Projections" prepared by*
5957 *GasEnergy Strategies Inc. dated February 19, 2003.*

5958 **MS HANEbury:** Those are all the filings I have at this time,
5959 Madam Chair, but the counsel for the federal government intervenors asked me to
5960 let parties know that if -- there has not been too much interest apparently
5961 expressed in a number of his witnesses that he is intending to put up on his
5962 witness panel so he has asked if you could speak with him directly if you are
5963 interested in cross-examining particular witnesses from the federal government so
5964 he makes sure that he has the proper parties available. Maybe you could stand up
5965 stand up, Mr. Clark, in case anyone has forgot. Thank you.

5966 Those are all my matters, Madam Chair.

5967 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Ms Hanebury. I believe we
5968 should start with Norske and pick up where we left off.

5969 Before you begin, Mr. Wallace, in light of the comments made by
5970 Mr. Davis, I wonder if you would have any comments on the justification for the
5971 late intervenors' status?

5972 **MR. WALLACE:** Yes, I think I can be very brief on that. The
5973 decision to intervene was taken by Norske Canada as a result of changes in
5974 provincial energy policy and in energy markets over the last few months. My
5975 submission would be that the important issue before you is not whether there is
5976 justification, but really is there prejudice to anybody? And in our submission in
5977 this circumstance there is not. My experience is that in an open process generally
5978 justification does not become an issue unless there is prejudice, in which case the
5979 Board, as the case may be, needs to balance the prejudice against the reason why a
5980 party might be coming late. But given that there is no prejudice alleged by any
5981 party to this proceeding, it is my submission that the intervention should be
5982 allowed and that Norske should be able to participate in the proceeding as it finds
5983 it at this time. Thank you.

5984 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Wallace. Mr. Bryan
5985 Williams has a question.

5986 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Mr. Wallace, I take it from documents filed
5987 that you do propose to present argument?

5988 **MR. WALLACE:** We would like to reserve the right to present
5989 argument. A final decision has not been made on that and will not be until the
5990 evidence is complete.

5991 **MR. WILLIAMS:** You would propose to cross-examine?

5992 **MR. WALLACE:** It's our intent at this time, yes, that we will
5993 probably cross-examine several of the Applicant panels, probably not the
5994 intervenor panels and we will be prepared to advise Board counsel in advance if
5995 we did make a decision to cross-examine.

5996 **MR. WILLIAMS:** I certainly -- I understand your position on the
5997 intervention, the need for prejudice, but we have received a lot of correspondence
5998 at the time when this issue first came out a few weeks ago, or months ago now, I
5999 suppose, about the delaying of the hearings in Nanaimo and Norske's proposal, I
6000 thought there were several proposals mentioned, and I am just saying that what
6001 came out in the press and what was sent to us by others, that Norske would be
6002 looking at certain alternatives and they used up to 25 per cent of the energy, I
6003 think it is, on Vancouver Island, or would be users of that much, and all of this
6004 came out.

6005 Now one of the things that is a mystery is what -- from these
6006 people writing in and saying, "Well, what are they going to do?" And the

6007 problem I have as a member of this Panel, and I only speak for myself here, I don't
6008 speak for the Panel; the problem that I have is we are going ahead with these
6009 hearings with respect to the issue of purpose and need of this pipeline when we
6010 don't have any idea so far exactly what it is that Norske is proposing to do.

6011 We did send out a letter, an IR, and we got a response back from
6012 the Applicant, Proponent, we got a response back from them, but to me that wasn't
6013 complete. It doesn't tell us what Norske is doing. Are they putting up 300
6014 windmills? Are they going to use the gas that is brought over by this proposal?
6015 Are they going to -- we don't know. And I would like to suggest to
6016 you that in light of that, and because we had this problem from a lot of people
6017 wanting to know and because of the fact that you do want to be cross-examining, I
6018 am hoping you at least will be prepared to put in a witness to give us some
6019 information.

6020 **MR. WALLACE:** I can take that back to my client. At this point
6021 their intent was not to bring a witness to this proceeding. If I can clarify, Norske
6022 does have some proposals which are being discussed with Hydro that could be
6023 co-generation projects, could be demand-side management. There are a number
6024 of alternatives there. B.C. Hydro also on Vancouver Island has another, variety of
6025 other alternatives, and I think it would be our view that at this point Hydro is the
6026 best party to speak to what are the various alternatives and how they fit within
6027 their needs.

6028 **MR. WILLIAMS:** Well, they didn't tell us what Norske did and I
6029 don't suppose they could.

6030 **MR. WALLACE:** I think they have some idea and I think they
6031 have responded in the intervention that they are aware of this, the potential for
6032 projects, and looking at it, but I can again take it back to my client and they can
6033 consider whether they would be in a position to provide useful evidence.

6034 **MR. WILLIAMS:** I am not asking you to change your whole
6035 mind and present evidence of all kinds of nature, I am asking to clarify, have a
6036 witness perhaps clarify where we are at. Because we can't answer the questions or
6037 deal with it if we don't know.

6038 **MR. WALLACE:** I am hopeful, Mr. Williams, that B.C. Hydro
6039 will be able to address that, and address that appropriately. If after Hydro's panel
6040 has not been on -- or has been on -- and has been questioned and there is still a
6041 feeling of a need to hear something from Norske, I would be quite prepared to
6042 take that to my client and see what could be done.

6043 **MR. WILLIAMS:** You will take it to your client anyway?

6044 **MR. WALLACE:** Yes, I will.

6045 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Wallace.

6046 Your intervenor status has been granted and approved, so you are
6047 now a full-fledged intervenor.

6048 **MR. WALLACE:** Thank you, Madam Chair. Would you like me
6049 to answer the other questions that you put to the other intervenors at this point?

6050 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please do.

6051 **MR. WALLACE:** Very quickly, I think I have indicated already,
6052 we do not plan to introduce evidence, but we will review that. We would expect
6053 to cross-examine the Applicant panels 1 to 4 in particular, not panels 5 to 8. We
6054 do not plan on cross-examining intervenors, but if that does change we will advise
6055 Board counsel in advance. Again we would like to reserve the right to present
6056 argument, and with respect to moving down the list I expect I am at the bottom of
6057 the list already and we can stay there. That is fine.

6058 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you very much.

6059 **MS HANEBURY:** Madam Chair, it appears that we have one
6060 more Notice of Motion. I have provided a copy to the clerk and there are copies at
6061 the back. It's from the Braithwaite Estates Improvement District, which they had
6062 mentioned it this morning; it is now in written form.

6063 **THE CLERK:** It would be Exhibit C-29-7.

6064 --- **EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° C-29-7:**

6065 *Notice of Motion filed by Intervenor Braithwaite Estates*
6066 *Improvement District*

6067 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Jones. We have heard from a number
6068 of intervenors today who have indicated an interest in questioning witnesses for
6069 the Province of British Columbia. In light of this interest, can you advise this
6070 Panel if you will be putting up a witness and who will be on that witness panel?
6071 And if you need more time until tomorrow to make that decision, that will be fine
6072 also.

6073 **MR. JONES:** I think my instructions -- thank you Madam Chair,
6074 I think my instructions have been clear that we have no intention of putting
6075 together a witness panel. Thank you.

6076 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

6077 We will now hear motions in the order of appearances as set out.
6078 If your motion has been dealt with by the logistical arrangements we have set out
6079 this afternoon, please advise the Panel accordingly when your name is called and
6080 there will be no need to speak to it further.

6081 We will start with Braithwaite Estates.

6082 **MR. HUGO:** The Notice of Motion is before you. Did you want
6083 me to read it through or add supplementary comments or just respond to
6084 questions?

6085 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well you could add supplementary
6086 comments if you wish, or if there is anything further you want to add to what is
6087 written. You don't need to read it out because we have the document.

6088 **MR. HUGO:** Madam Chairman, I believe that the Notice of
6089 Motion is pretty well self-explanatory as it stands. Our concern is having a
6090 convenient access to the -- and prompt access -- to the transcripts so that we know
6091 when we have to be back here; and secondly, this is representing sort of a
6092 cumulative effect on our district and on other intervenors as well as far as the
6093 costs that have been kind of growing and growing and growing that were not
6094 anticipated at all towards this hearing when we originally applied. We did not get
6095 into any intervenor funding. I do not intend to be driving from Cobble Hill over
6096 here every day, but I do have to make sure that I am up to date on what is
6097 happening. With a dial-up connection on computer, the downloading of
6098 transcripts on a daily basis becomes just an horrendous task by the time I can log
6099 into the site, have it downloaded and then printed, it becomes a very difficult task
6100 as well. So what I am asking, Madam Chairman, is if we can be accommodated
6101 by having copies of the transcript delivered to us at no extra cost.

6102 As it stands now the transcripts are available but we would have to
6103 pay the delivery cost, and over the duration of the hearing that could be quite
6104 substantial.

6105 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you Mr. Hugo. Are there any parties
6106 opposed to this motion? Then I am assuming that all parties are in support of the
6107 motion. That is quite an assumption, I have to admit. Just a moment, please.

6108 **MR. DAVIES:** If you are looking either for support or opposition,
6109 we are on the fence.

6110 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Davies.

6111 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Hugo, in just the opening statement this
6112 afternoon, we did say that we will establish a phone line where you can phone in
6113 and you can get an update in terms of where you are relative to the proceeding.
6114 We also said that we will update the Web site, which obviously you indicated is
6115 not very convenient for you at this point in time. How would the phone line
6116 facilitate your concern that you have just raised?

6117 **MR. HUGO:** Madam Chairman, this would help to some extent,
6118 but I believe with recorded messages it will be quite limited on what information
6119 you could have on that telephone line, and in order for me to be then properly
6120 briefed and avoid duplication of cross-examination when I do come back at the
6121 appropriate time, it would be convenient -- it would be far better for me if I could
6122 have those transcripts promptly in order that I could prepare. If I am two or three
6123 days behind on the transcripts, I am going to get lost.

6124 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I understand; but it strikes me as the most
6125 efficient way to really get current transcript is really to go to the Web site because
6126 the Web site is updated faster than the copies that we get. In other words, they
6127 post it on the Web site before they start to make copies for the Panel and everyone
6128 else for that matter.

6129 **MR. HUGO:** I understand, Madam Chairman. The difficulty,
6130 though, with a dial-up connection is you get timed out and lose the connection
6131 before you can get a major document like that downloaded.

6132 **THE CHAIRMAN:** The Panel will reserve its decision until
6133 tomorrow. Thank you.

6134 **MR. HUGO:** Madam Chairman, there were some other issues that
6135 I had raised this morning. Are these to be brought up now or will these come
6136 later? There were some verbal motions that I was going to raise.

6137 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please go ahead.

6138 **MR. HUGO:** The first one refers back to actually a letter that I
6139 submitted to the secretary on February 9th and raising concern about a few things,
6140 one of them being the cumulative effect that the changes in the process have had
6141 on us. This has included both a substantial, additional time, but a financial
6142 imposition on us as well that was never, ever anticipated. One of the things of
6143 concern, and I go back to the October 1991, I believe it was October 22, 1991
6144 briefing session, pre-briefing session, on the process for the hearings, and at that
6145 point I specifically raised the question about where the hearings would be; and
6146 although there is no transcript of the proceedings at that session, I was assured that
6147 every effort would be made to try and have a local component held in each of the
6148 major areas that would be affected and that Cobble Hill will likely have a local
6149 component.

6150 This was one factor that I took into account in not applying for
6151 intervenor funding at the time. Not that the funding would have been available, I
6152 am not sure if it would have in any event. At that time it appeared that the process
6153 would entail a review of the application and then the submission of a presentation
6154 on our part afterwards and that there would be very little need for costs; but this
6155 has changed substantially. As everybody is well aware, the whole magnitude of
6156 this hearing has totally changed from what anybody I think envisaged a year and a
6157 half ago.

6158 Therefore, Madam Chairman, I would like to put forward a motion
6159 that vehicle mileage allowance be provided for intervenors travelling to and from
6160 these hearings based on current government rates.

6161 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Hugo, are you talking about vehicle
6162 mileage will be paid by who? I am not quite sure if I understand. Is it the Panel?
6163 Is it the Proponent? I mean, the intervenors? Who are we talking about?

6164 **MR. HUGO:** Madam Chairman, to be paid to the intervenors.
6165 Now who would pay this bill? I am not certain whether it would be the NEB, the
6166 federal government, or whether it would be GSX, the Applicant.

6167 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I am really sorry to advise you, but we don't
6168 have any allowance for funding to pay any of those things that you are talking
6169 about. As you very well know, the Board has no jurisdiction at all on
6170 compensation in any way, shape or form, so unfortunately we are not able to
6171 entertain this motion.

6172 **MR. HUGO:** Okay.

6173 Madam Chairman, the next concern was about Information
6174 Request No. 36 which was issued by the Joint Review Panel, by the secretary, on
6175 February 19th. The response is due on the 26th. Several sections in that
6176 Information Request pertain to the hydrogeology which is of major concern to
6177 Braithwaite. This is the primary concern, as a matter of fact, of our intervention.
6178 Until this response is filed we won't know what information is in that and whether
6179 or not we will have questions for cross-examination on that, on that information.

6180 So I am not sure at this point, Madam Chairman, whether it would
6181 be appropriate to make a motion asking that the cross-examination be deferred
6182 until a period of approximately five days after that information is provided.
6183 Would that be in order?

6184 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I think, Mr. Hugo, my suggestion is we don't
6185 know the response, and we haven't seen it of course, so you are not in a position to
6186 determine at this point whether the response satisfies you in any way, shape or
6187 form. Wouldn't it maybe be more prudent to see the response first before you file
6188 a motion as to what you are going to go next?

6189 **MR. HUGO:** As a matter of procedure, Madam Chairman, is it
6190 permissible to enter a motion during the proceedings later on in the proceedings?

6191 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Certainly you could bring a motion forward
6192 any time during the proceedings if it is relevant, of course.

6193 **MR. HUGO:** If that is the case, Madam Chairman, then that
6194 would resolve my concern at this point for that information request and if I could
6195 introduce it later on.

6196 Similarly, the new design provisions that have come out of the
6197 agreements that GSX has made with the landowners have just been made
6198 available. So after I have had a chance to review those I may wish to come back
6199 and ask for a little additional time on those.

6200 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Hugo.

6201 **MR. HUGO:** Thank you, Madam Chairman.

6202 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Mr. Campbell?

6203 **MR. CAMPBELL:** I have made an arrangement with Mr. Davies
6204 that I can bring my motion for an exhibit tomorrow and also I am bringing an

6205 exhibit tomorrow for the -- the NEB materials that Mr. Andrews is submitting as
6206 a motion. Thank you.

6207 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Campbell.

6208 Dr. Fisher?

6209 Mr. Miller, I see you are up. Perhaps you could wait until we hear
6210 from Dr. Fisher and then you could present us with your ---

6211 **MR. MILLER:** I might be able to negotiate access with Mr.
6212 Fisher.

6213 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Speak into the microphone, please.

6214 **MR. MILLER:** I guess I am rising on a point of privilege, or
6215 whatever you call that, but I am only a very new student of this procedure, having
6216 taken the course yesterday. During that course we were informed that in the
6217 process of hearing argument about motions that those who supported a motion
6218 would have the chance to speak and those opposed would have the chance to
6219 speak. You have established a procedure of sort of a negative check- off. You
6220 have asked whether anyone is opposed. And you have only entertained argument
6221 from the proponent of the motion. So that means that if there is any further
6222 argument in support, you haven't left yourself the opportunity to hear it.

6223 So with respect to the motion of Mr. Hugo, I do have a point that I
6224 would like to say in support, I am just wondering where in your procedure I would
6225 be invited to give that comment rather than stand up in the way I have.

6226 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Yes, is that comment relative to the ruling
6227 we have already made?

6228 **MR. MILLER:** I think you said you were deferring the decision
6229 on Mr. Hugo's question about transcripts.

6230 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. So we have reserved that until
6231 tomorrow and that's what you wish to speak to? Okay.

6232 **MR. MILLER:** So in general, if I would like to speak in support
6233 of a motion, can you advise me as to when I could do that? If I am not the
6234 Proponent of the motion?

6235 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well I think we have a long list, so I am
6236 trying to go through the list as quickly as we can. So typically parties who are
6237 opposed, I say, well, okay let's hear from parties who are opposed? And
6238 presumably if you are in support of the motion, if you wish to speak, maybe you
6239 could advise us accordingly. Otherwise, we will have to go through the whole list
6240 and that takes quite a long time.

6241 **MR. MILLER:** I will. So then I would stand up as I did?

6242 **THE CHAIRMAN:** You will raise your hand and I will
6243 acknowledge you. Yes, thank you.

6244 **MR. MILLER:** I have got something to say in support of that
6245 motion, but I will leave it to you to decide when you can hear that.

6246 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

6247 Well, since I see that Mrs. Miller has her hand up, maybe I could
6248 get the two of you to do it together. We might as well.

6249 **MRS. MILLER:** Thank you. I only raised my hand because you
6250 just indicated that that is your preferred method of asking a question.

6251 I am confused as to how a ruling could be made on the motions
6252 that were only briefly given an overview this morning without the proposers of
6253 those motions having had an opportunity to even address them fully and I am just
6254 wondering how this -- I am really confused, because the process that was
6255 explained to us yesterday afternoon, not 24 hours ago, was that when a motion
6256 was proposed, the person proposing the motion had an opportunity to address the
6257 facts, factual basis, and reasons for that motion. Any parties in support of that
6258 motion were able to come forward in the order of appearances and make their
6259 comments in support, or in opposition, and that the Panel would then take all that
6260 information into account prior to making their ruling.

6261 And yet this morning when we presented just the brief topic
6262 headings of those motions, it appears that the Panel was able to come back after
6263 lunch and, without even hearing the detail of our motions, rule adversely upon
6264 those motions; and unless I misunderstood what you said, we now no longer have
6265 any opportunity to further raise those concerns. And pardon me, but this raises a
6266 very serious concern in my mind as to how I am going to be able to participate in
6267 a process where the decisions are made before I had a chance to participate.
6268

6269 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Miller. I believe that the
6270 decision we made this afternoon is mostly regarding scheduling and how to
6271 accommodate this hearing and get it to move forward. And I believe that
6272 scheduling ruling is really at the discretion of the Panel.
6273

6274 In other words, we've had conflicting suggestions to have different
6275 times, and the Panel has to be able to make a decision to keep things moving. So
6276 that's what we've done.
6277

6278 With respect to the transcripts, we've reserved that decision. And
6279 so certainly you are welcome to argue on that particular issue.
6280

6281 **MRS. MILLER:** Again, with respect, I don't think you are
6282 grasping the essence of what I'm trying to say.
6283

6284 I, in all innocence, was following the procedure that had been
6285 outlined to me in the session yesterday and I found when I returned from the lunch
6286 hour that the rules had been changed, and this is very disconcerting and I don't
6287 believe that it is fair for the information, and maybe it will encourage the Panel to
6288 revisit its decision.
6289

6290 When I brought forward my motion regarding scheduling, I was
6291 unaware of the fact that Susie and Ian Washington Smyth were bringing forward a
6292 somewhat contradictory motion as, of course, there was no way that I could have
6293 known that because they were both brought forward in the course of registering.
6294

6295 Susie, Ian and myself took the opportunity before the
6296 commencement of the afternoon session to confer to try and reach an agreeable
6297 compromise that would facilitate the -- I can't even remember what word you
6298 used. -- the flow of the hearing, I guess. And not only did we confer amongst
6299 ourselves, but we spoke to Mr. Davies and, amongst all of us, we had reached
6300 what we all felt was a very reasonable compromise.
6301

6302 I am now at a loss as to how all the parties who have reached an agreement can
6303 put this agreement to the Panel who have made a decision without knowing what
6304 the parties have agreed to, and which will be a much more satisfactory solution to
6305 those of us who are involved than the solution the Panel has brought forward.
6306 And I really would appreciate your assistance in helping me understand this
6307 logical cleft stick which you have placed me in.
6308

6309 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Miller. I think I understand
6310 better who you are. Certainly, I think, if we didn't make a decision, the process

would be stopped because we couldn't commence tomorrow morning. So a decision had to be made quickly as you, I'm sure, appreciate. However, in light of what you've said, you've reached a compromise; I think we would be interested in knowing what the compromise is and we'll reconsider that decision. Thank you.

MRS. MILLER: So I will come and explain that when it comes up in the order of appearances?

THE CHAIRMAN: Why don't you do that right now since you have the podium any way?

MRS. MILLER: Okay. May I just go and get the notes?

THE CHAIRMAN: Certainly.

MRS. MILLER: I wonder if Mr. Davies can assist me in this?

MR. DAVIES: Maybe I can assist, Madam Chair, because I think to some extent we're talking at cross-purposes here.

As I understood the Panel's ruling, it was, in essence, that we can't make everybody happy all the time. We have to have some hours but, having said that, the Panel is prepared to be flexible such that if we were to find tomorrow that we needed to start at 8:30 to accommodate an intervenor, we might do that. If the following day it wouldn't work to start until ten o'clock, we would do that. Maybe there's some days we would sit a little bit later.

And what I had said to Mrs. Miller and Ms Washington Smyth is, as the Applicant, we're certainly prepared to be flexible to try to accommodate people. We know the Panel is as well. And so we're trying to balance the accommodation with the need to be efficient.

And my suggestion was that as we move forward here between the Applicant, Panel and its staff and the intervenors, I'm sure we can manage this process on a go-forward basis. And, as I say, we're certainly prepared to do what we can to assist. So I hope that helps and I'd invite you to add anything that you like.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Davies. Mrs. Miller, please proceed.

MRS. MILLER: Thank you very much. And thank you.

6353
6354 What Ms Washington Smyth and myself agreed would probably
6355 work most expeditiously would be to have a flexible scheduling, perhaps to
6356 alternate the start of hearing times to accommodate the needs of intervenors who
6357 are coming from the outer Gulf Islands and have ferry constraints, and the needs
6358 of intervenors who are coming from the Cobble Hill and north area and who have
6359 driving distance constraints.

6360
6361 For those of us on island, a ten o'clock start time is preferable
6362 because it allows us to avoid the heavy traffic, which sometimes ends up
6363 gridlocked in Victoria at commuter rush hour, or to -- and also to avoid having to
6364 leave even earlier than otherwise might be necessary so that we can get ahead of
6365 the rush. It would allow us to slip into a window where we could drive straight
6366 through.

6367
6368 It seems to me that on a day-by-day or case-by-case basis we could
6369 look at -- or the Panel could look at whether the Marine Coalition, which is the --
6370 are the primary people involved from the Gulf Islands, are going to be coming up
6371 in either cross-examination or presentation of witness panels and make an
6372 announcement on the preceding day that the following day the hearing will begin
6373 at nine o'clock as they requested, and perhaps that on all other days the hearing
6374 begin at ten o'clock, unless someone comes forward with another reasonable
6375 request on a case-by-case basis. And there doesn't seem to be any disagreement
6376 on this as a method of accommodating the needs of everyone instead of no one.

6377
6378 Which, with respect, the Panel's initial ruling was: if you can't
6379 make everyone happy, make everyone equally unhappy. And, you know, as long
6380 as -- I would request that the Panel staff coordinate the flow of start times and
6381 publish them in advance on the Web site, or in -- and also make an announcement
6382 at the end of each day, or put it on the message machine, or whatever works best,
6383 so that interested parties can arrange their schedules in accordance with the
6384 floating start and stop times.

6385
6386 And I think that would probably address most of the scheduling
6387 concerns, except for perhaps what was brought up in Mairi McLennan's motion,
6388 which was that at least one cross-examination session be scheduled -- for each
6389 Applicant panel be scheduled during evening hours. And that could be -- as I see
6390 it, that could be accommodated and more fully facilitate the participation of
6391 members of the public by having the hearing on one day per panel. For example,
6392 begin in the afternoon session and continue over into an evening session as
6393 opposed to starting in the morning and ending in the afternoon. And in the
6394 motions there were some additional accommodations that were requested.

6395
6396 I really would encourage the Panel to look at the spirit rather than
6397 the letter of -- the spirit of what was referred to earlier, the agreement between the
6398 National Energy Board and the Minister of the Environment of August 15th, 2001
6399 in 4.3.2(c):
6400

6401 *"The Panel shall conduct its review in a manner which will*
6402 *promote and facilitate public participation."*
6403

6404 I think if the public is meaningfully consulted about how their
6405 participation can be facilitated, we would avoid a lot of delay by people such as
6406 myself who find themselves backed up against the wall metaphorically.
6407

6408 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Miller. I believe Mr. Miller
6409 had something to say. So is that covered off? Oh, thank you. Thank you, Mrs.
6410 Miller, for your presentation.
6411

6412 I think in a way your presentation is not really that dissimilar from
6413 what we are trying to do probably, except the early morning start. I think the
6414 Panel is trying very hard to be flexible and to try and accommodate parties to the
6415 best extent that we can. And tomorrow for sure we'll have to start at 9:30 because
6416 that is the schedule that we said we will start with, and that will be the schedule
6417 we'll go with.
6418

6419 However, on a going-forward basis, if Saturna Community Club,
6420 or something or the other, they have to be here to present their evidence, and their
6421 argument, they need to start at 9:00, then we have to consider that and we have to
6422 make allowances for that.
6423

6424 So it will be very difficult to make a ruling -- a blanket ruling that
6425 we're going to start at this time, but we're certainly willing to accommodate parties
6426 and take those into consideration when the issue comes up. But tomorrow we will
6427 start at 9:30.
6428

6429 **MRS. MILLER:** Has there been any consideration to the request
6430 of accommodating intervenors who are driving over the Malahat to attend this
6431 hearing in Sidney of moving the start time for other days to ten o'clock instead of
6432 9:30 on a regular basis, unless someone needs a special dispensation?
6433

6434 **THE CHAIRMAN:** We're not able to do this every day, but we're
6435 able to accommodate it on certain days when parties are appearing from that area.
6436 In other words, we'll accommodate the schedule to the extent possible.

6437
6438 If you are presenting your evidence and you can't start till 10:00,
6439 we are willing to consider that, but we would not make a ruling that we'll start
6440 every day at 10:00.

6441
6442 **MRS. MILLER:** Okay. But you're also not making a ruling that
6443 every day we will start at 9:30, except if the Marine Coalition needs to come
6444 earlier; it will be done on a case-by-case basis?
6445

6446 **THE CHAIRMAN:** It will be done on almost a daily basis,
6447 except tomorrow we'll start in at 9:30.
6448

6449 **MRS. MILLER:** Oh, fair enough, because it's short notice. And
6450 to whom should intervenors who have a particular start time request address this
6451 question on the day-to-day basis?
6452

6453 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well, I suggest you address that to your
6454 favourite counsel, Ms Hanebury.
6455

6456 **MRS. MILLER:** They're all my favourites. I don't play
6457 favourites.
6458

6459 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Thank you. Dr. Fisher?
6460

6461 **MR. DAVIES:** Are calls after midnight accepted?
6462

6463 **THE CHAIRMAN:** She's ignoring that.
6464

6465 **MR. FISHER:** Yes.
6466

6467 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please proceed.
6468

6469 **MR. FISHER:** Professors are notoriously absentminded and I'm a
6470 retired professor and also burdened with senior moments, so what are we doing?
6471

6472 **MR. WILLIAMS:** What was your full name again, sir?
6473

6474 **MR. FISHER:** I can remember my name but --
6475

6476 **THE CHAIRMAN:** If I recollect what you said, you had
6477 something -- you had a list of documents, which I believe those have been
6478 included on our exhibit list, so I think we've addressed those. Correct me if I'm

wrong, Madame Painchaud, but I think you also had something about Revenue Canada news release, which I'm not sure has already been included, and I don't know if Mr. Davies has seen that or other intervenors.

MR. FISHER: I got the news release back and I have to make 15 copies for the Panel here and some sufficient copies to go in the back there. But that's been taken care of.

THE CHAIRMAN: That has been taken care of?

MR. FISHER: Well, I've got to do the photocopying.

THE CHAIRMAN: Oh, okay.

MR. FISHER: Somehow I have to find a photocopier that's open in time for me to drive from Cobble Hill to here tomorrow.

MR. DAVIES: If Dr. Fisher would like to give me the document, we'll photocopy it before tomorrow morning.

MR. FISHER: Thank you very much. I will.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you Mr. Davies. Thank you, Dr. Fisher. GSX CCC?

MR. ANDREWS: Our motion was dealt with.

THE CHAIRMAN: Thank you, Mr. Andrews. Shady Brook Farms?

I think before you start you had a prefiled motion. So I just want to -- give me a moment here and we'll address that first.

Mr. Miller, the pre-filed motion was Mrs. Miller and Ms McLennan I believe. That's the pre-filed motion that I'm talking about which references a list of document numbers from the Web site as opposed to exhibit numbers.

Before we get to that motion from Shady Brook Farm and Ms McLennan, which is dated 17 February 2003, I'd like to say something about documents in this proceeding.

6521 Are you ready to proceed, Mr. Miller?
6522

6523 **MR. MILLER:** I am.
6524

6525 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Oh, okay. In the Shady Brook Farm motion
6526 there is a listing of some documents filed by GSX PL with reference to the
6527 identification numbers on the electronic filing system of the Board.
6528

6529 For example, the motion refers to document numbers A05193,
6530 A05201, A05252, A05314, A05323 and A05342. This is not the correct method
6531 for referring to documents in this hearing.
6532

6533 As in most hearings before courts and tribunals, it's our practice to
6534 refer to documents on the record by exhibit number. This way all parties can
6535 readily know what document is being referred to and can literally be reading from
6536 the same page. Not all parties have access to the Livelink document filing system
6537 and it is not readily accessible in the hearing room.
6538

6539 There have been thousands of pages of material filed so far in this
6540 proceeding and it's important that, when a witness refers to a specific document,
6541 the witness and all other parties can find that document quickly.
6542

6543 Exhibits are generally filed in chronological order with the letter
6544 identifying who filed the document. "A" exhibits are documents for the Joint
6545 Panel; "B" documents are those for the Applicant; and "C" exhibits are those for
6546 the intervenors.
6547

6548 The documents referred to in the Shady Brook Farm motion were
6549 numbered by the electronic filing document. The proper reference numbers --
6550 their proper reference numbers are Exhibit B-101, Exhibit B-102, Exhibit B-103,
6551 Exhibit B-106, Exhibit B-107, and Exhibit B-109.
6552

6553 The Panel did a cross-reference to identify the exhibit numbers in
6554 this case; however, in the future the Panel would ask that you refer to documents
6555 by the appropriate exhibit number.
6556

6557 This is an exhibit number list -- there is an exhibit number list in
6558 the back of the room and a description of the documents on that list should be
6559 enough to help you to cross-reference the documents.

6560 Thank you. You may proceed, please.
6561

6562 **MR. MILLER:** First of all, thank you for that information. I
6563 should point out that that information does not correspond to what we were told
6564 yesterday. So I'm not adverse to learning about your procedure. I am adverse to
6565 learning about it on very short notice.
6566

6567 As we pointed out, I spared you the list of 23 things that inhibited
6568 public input, but this is one of them. Correlation to your exhibit numbers is a
6569 chore. We don't have secretarial assistance. We're quite willing, however,
6570 to do it, but we do require proper notice and we haven't received it. So I would
6571 like to see an avoidance of, first of all, this type of miscue and this type of late
6572 notice for the rest of the hearing, please.
6573

6574 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I understand and I appreciate that. That's
6575 why we took the lead to search this out for you so you didn't have to do that today.
6576

6577 **MR. MILLER:** Okay. The principle of this motion is similar to
6578 the general theme that you've been hearing so far today, and it's the notion of
6579 overloading intervenors with information at late date, and not to mention the
6580 provision of a level playing field.
6581

6582 So we have a situation on the one hand in which the Proponent is
6583 both providing and being asked for a flood of evidence that is coming just prior to
6584 this hearing and that will, as you've heard from Mr. Hugo, will also arrive during
6585 the hearing.
6586

6587 At the same time as permitting that, we have a situation where
6588 evidence attempted to be filed by intervenors is rejected from the record on the
6589 grounds that it is too late. That is not, in our view, a level playing field and that's
6590 why we asked for redress and a levelling of that field either by accepting the
6591 intervenor evidence that had been sent in or by rejecting the superfluous
6592 Proponent information that had also been sent in.
6593

6594 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Is there anything else? I want you to go
6595 through all your motions and then, you know, we'll make a decision accordingly.
6596

6597 **MR. MILLER:** Are you talking about other motions from Shady
6598 Brook?
6599

6600 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Sorry. If you're finished with the pre-filed
6601 motions, then you could go to the other motions filed by Shady Brook.
6602

6603 **MR. MILLER:** Okay. Also, at the same time, the motion from
6604 Mairi McLennan, she has asked me to speak to it as well. And both the Shady
6605 Brook motion and the Mairi McLennan motions are basically on the same issue,
6606 which is the one that Dodie Miller spoke about, which is the scheduling issue.
6607 And I just have two more things to add about that.

6608
6609 One is that with respect to the motion from Shady Brook Farm
6610 action sought, number one, that the commencement of each GSX PL witness
6611 panel be deferred to the beginning of the new day. I believe that we reached
6612 agreement, not only with a selection of intervenors here, but also with Mr. Davies,
6613 and you could confirm that, that that might not be a bad idea.

6614
6615 **MR. DAVIES:** No, we hadn't discussed that.

6616
6617 **MR. MILLER:** Okay. So in that case I will give my reasons in
6618 favour of just that one point since we've already dealt with a lot of this in
6619 principle.

6620
6621 And in this -- once again I want to call your attention to the basis
6622 upon which this Panel was established and the element of your agreement that has
6623 already been read to you concerning promoting the public participation.

6624
6625 With the panels in principle -- with no further comment from this
6626 Panel, with the witness panels in principle capable of all running in a single day,
6627 because there's nothing that has been said by this Panel that would preclude that, a
6628 single day -- it could happen in two days, three days; this could be over by
6629 Thursday for all we know. It means -- on the other hand, we also have an
6630 estimate. I'm not sure quite where this was published or mentioned -- could have
6631 been in our course yesterday -- that this proceeding could take four weeks.

6632
6633 Now, you could satisfy yourself that among the population of
6634 Vancouver Island what the proportion of that population is that has four weeks
6635 available free from work to sit in this hearing room. It is patently unreasonable to
6636 expect that the public, or the intervenors that are from the public and are not
6637 represented by counsel would sit here for four consecutive weeks free from any
6638 work obligations. If you look at what the demographics is of this Island, or the
6639 demographics of Canada, you will see that actually that's an impossible request.
6640 And if you make an impossible request contrary to your terms of reference, then
6641 that is something you should consider really seriously.

6642
6643 However, the proposal that is in our motion would mitigate that
6644 significantly; that in that way people could see on which day they need to attend.

6645 And there would be no possibility that their appearance or their interest would
6646 pass them by and be gone while they were waiting for a ferry from some outer
6647 Island or caught in a traffic jam as they attempted a three-and-a-half-hour
6648 commute from Nanaimo.

6649
6650 So if you -- we've been sort of hammering the scheduling topic
6651 quite a lot. So far I haven't been able to detect, really, much accommodation.
6652 Mairi McLennan -- the reason I'm speaking on her behalf, she is working today,
6653 she works every day, and she wants to participate in this proceeding. She is being
6654 sealed out, and so far I have heard nothing that would give her even the slightest
6655 glimmer. She might apply to you for a chance to address you in the evening, that
6656 would be a test, but that would be quite an unusual situation as opposed to
6657 scheduling some evening sessions. It is not unreasonable in the Canadian context
6658 of the work force for that request to be made.

6659
6660 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Miller. I think the Panel --
6661 with respect to deferring the witnesses till a new day, the Panel has really made a
6662 ruling on that, unless there is new information that we're not aware of, certainly
6663 then we're willing to reconsider.

6664
6665 The logistics of it makes it really difficult. In other words, if we
6666 have a new panel and we don't have many questions for that panel, and the panel
6667 is only questioned for two hours, let's say for example, then we'll have to shut
6668 down the rest of the hearing till the following day. That's not very efficient use of
6669 time. We are willing to accommodate parties to the extent possible.

6670
6671 For example, I'm not sure if this is possible, but if Ms McLennan
6672 wanted to have you or someone do their cross-examination for them, that could be
6673 done and probably we could schedule an evening session or some other session
6674 that could accommodate her schedule to present final argument, or something to
6675 that effect in person, because that will be her views in terms of what she wants to
6676 say.

6677
6678 So we are trying very hard to work with parties, even though it
6679 doesn't seem that way from where you are, but we are doing our best to
6680 accommodate, you know, the different schedules that you've talked about. You
6681 know, we've looked at it. We've tried to look at how efficient it is to run this
6682 hearing. And, from an efficiency point of view, it's very difficult to run one panel
6683 a day.

6684
6685 If the questions only last for half an hour, we have to adjourn for
6686 the rest of the day. That seems to be a little problematic.

6687
6688 Well, if a party wanted to cross-examine that particular panel, you
6689 know, we are willing to make arrangements to see how we could accommodate
6690 them to come in and do a cross-examination, for example, if there's a particular
6691 panel you're interested in, or have something else do the cross for them.

6692
6693 qSo I think your concern is well noted. And I think your concern is partly
6694 addressed, if not all.

6695
6696 **MR. MILLER:** I have a suggestion, and I see that Dodie has one,
6697 too, prior comment about efficiency. You are obtaining tremendous efficiency by
6698 holding this hearing in only one location and in this location. That means if you
6699 have available to you some benefits of that efficiency that you could share. That
6700 is to say, if you had to take this structure down and reset it up at Arbutus Ridge,
6701 there would be a delay of some days. You are having efficiencies and we're only
6702 asking that you share a bit of that.

6703
6704 One of the possibilities, and I don't know if this is what Dodie has
6705 in mind, but even if you do not schedule each of the eight panels at the beginning
6706 of a new day, you could at least publish a schedule for them in a pair-wise
6707 manner, or indicate the near-term scheduling that this panel might be held
6708 tomorrow or the next day. But -- let's say the next day or the day after, but not
6709 tomorrow, or any guidance of this type that would allow working people to make
6710 arrangements to be here.

6711
6712 **MRS. MILLER:** What I was going to suggest as a reasonable
6713 approach, I feel a reasonable approach -- sorry, I shouldn't assume -- would be to
6714 perhaps halfway through the list of parties -- is that what it's called? -- the order
6715 of appearances. Sorry. It would be reasonably evident if this was going to be
6716 zooming along, or if there were going to be -- if there was going to be another day
6717 or perhaps two days, I mean if we're at "B" in the alphabet it's unlikely that we're
6718 going to get through the entire panel by the end of the sitting of one day. And
6719 perhaps once the halfway mark of the alphabet had been reached, or of the
6720 intervenor list, people could indicate to Panel counsel if they were intending to
6721 question that panel. And once you had -- closer to the time of that panel being --
6722 what's the word? Called? Thank you. You could inquire for a list -- rather than
6723 having to call everybody by name and have them not be here because they didn't
6724 realize that their attendance was required, perhaps they could be pulled, or they
6725 could make a phone call and say, "I'm going to have questions. I'm going to have
6726 questions," and so on, and then it would give the Panel -- it would give the
6727 Review Panel, it would give the intervenors, it would give Mr. Davies and his
6728 witnesses all a much better idea as to how the thing was going to flow. And so it

6729 might be evident that two panels were going to overlap on one day, and that could
6730 be notified in advance and then people could plan accordingly.
6731

6732 What we are humbly requesting is that some additional effort be
6733 put into accommodating the lives of the intervenors, some of whom have put in
6734 thousands of hours over a three year time span, and now when it comes down to
6735 the payoff we are feeling increasingly closed out of a process that we have been
6736 repeatedly assured is designed to promote and facilitate public participation and
6737 that at the end of which a decision will be made about a certificate of public
6738 convenience and necessity.
6739

6740 I think the public is being lost in the process.
6741

6742 Thank you.
6743

6744 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Miller. Actually, I think
6745 your suggestion -- if we go back to the opening statements, some of that
6746 suggestion has already been captured. I mean, we're setting up a phone line where
6747 you can phone in and you can find out in the proceedings where we are. We are
6748 updating the Web site every day to tell you where we are and what panels will be
6749 called next. So we're doing our best to accommodate you. As I said earlier, it
6750 may not be evident, but we are doing our best.
6751

6752 Thank you.
6753

6754 **MRS. MILLER:** And it is much appreciated. I think a lot of
6755 times, unfortunately, the level of frustration and the perceived feeling of being left
6756 out of the process are going to spill over and you will be the unwilling and
6757 unintended recipients of that frustration, and I do apologize for that.
6758

6759 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.
6760

6761 **MS HANEBURY:** Perhaps I can just interject at this point,
6762 Madam Chair, to advise that I already have a schedule set-up, and I will be
6763 meeting with people throughout the hearing process to assess where we
6764 stand/what the logistics are. It's a normal part of the Panel process that I sort of
6765 huddle before at the start of each day over lunch and again at the end of each day
6766 to sort of scope out what's going to happen for the next day. So you're welcome to
6767 -- and I'm sure you'll join in in that process and I'm ready to go.
6768

6769 **MRS. MILLER:** And that is much appreciated. But, again, this
6770 is new information that's coming out now instead of information that could have

6771 been made available. And I hate to carp on this, but if there had been some
6772 additional effort made to include the public in the planning of this process, if even
6773 the accommodation had been made to the request to hold the information session
6774 a week or two earlier, a lot of this delay would have definitely been avoided.
6775

6776 We are playing catch-up and we are really struggling and we have
6777 to ask the indulgence of the Panel and of counsel and of Mr. Davies, and of all the
6778 other intervenors who are lawyers, because we, without -- in spite of everyone's
6779 best intentions, the fact remains that we are not on a level playing field. We are in
6780 the middle of the game and we're still learning the rules. And, through no fault of
6781 our own, we are disadvantaged. And I'm not sure what the legal definition of
6782 prejudice is. I've heard it said, but the perception amongst significant number of
6783 intervenors is that we are in a situation where we are being prejudiced because we
6784 are unable to participate fully because of a lack of knowledge and there is a real
6785 fear that, through our lack of knowledge, we will find out what we should have
6786 done after it's too late to have done it.
6787

6788 And I think Steve has one more part of the motion that he wanted
6789 to address.
6790

6791 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Actually, before you leave, I just
6792 realized that you had a motion, but I don't know if you had enough copies
6793 available or not for parties to look at.
6794

6795 **MRS. MILLER:** They're at the back of the room and they've been
6796 distributed.
6797

6798 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Back of the room and they've been
6799 distributed. Thank you.
6800

6801 **MRS. MILLER:** Yes.
6802

6803 **MR. MILLER:** I don't want to address another part. I guess I'm
6804 still standing here to see if we are talking about the pre-filed motion still.
6805

6806 **MRS. MILLER:** No, we've moved on.
6807

6808 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Your pre-filed motion is the one that Mrs.
6809 Miller and Ms McLennan filed about the rejection of documents. Okay. And
6810 we're just going to go back and see if other parties have comments on those.
6811

6812 Unless there is anything else.

6813
6814 Okay.

6815 **MR. ANDREWS:** William Andrews for the GSX CCC.

6816 What I would like to say relates to the comment of The Chair on
6817 the references to documents, and I certainly accept that we operate on the basis of
6818 exhibit numbers in this hearing. I want to check out, however, that these
6819 documents have been in circulation and in use for many, many, many months, but
6820 have not had exhibit numbers assigned until very, very recently, and it's extremely
6821 difficult for people who have trouble enough dealing with the paperwork to then,
6822 within days of the hearing and having to file pre-filed evidence, some of which
6823 was written before the exhibit list even was produced, to then impose on their
6824 entire system of cataloguing this vast array of information an entirely new
6825 numbering system. With respect, I think, perhaps the Panel might want to
6826 comment at the end on the advisability of assigning documents, exhibit numbers,
6827 at least for identification purposes as they are received and put on the Web site so
6828 that we don't have to re-invent the wheel a few days before the hearing.

6829 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Andrews. I think certainly I
6830 do understand the frustration you have expressed. The exhibit numbers were sent
6831 out I think maybe a week or so ago, I don't recall exactly the date. But it's just for
6832 ease of reference. When we had the Web site those numbers were adequate
6833 because we didn't have to worry about exhibit numbers and people could go and
6834 look up those numbers. Here in this hearing room we don't have access to those.

6835 For example, you know, Mrs. Miller's motion about the Web site
6836 numbers, we had one of our staff members practically physically look up the
6837 numbers and go through the exhibit list to try to cross-reference that, and they
6838 were doing that until midnight and I realized, yeah, okay, that is very difficult, but
6839 it's better than asking them to do it today. So we have to make accommodations
6840 for things like that. So we tried to do that. But parties have time from now until
6841 you present your evidence and your argument, you could go through that exhibit
6842 list because it is constantly being updated. I don't think it is going to be too
6843 onerous because you have the date and you have the party whose letter you are
6844 referring to. So hopefully it should be relatively easy to do that, I hope.

6845 **MR. ANDREWS:** I don't think, with respect, it is not going to be
6846 any easier than it took the staff to go through until late at night, so anybody who
6847 had prepared any cross-examination before the exhibit list came out then has to go
6848 through that same late night process. My point is just that it is unnecessary. If the

6849 exhibit numbers were put on as the documents came in we would eliminate that
6850 entire additional loop.

6851 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Your point is well taken. Thank you.

6852 Mrs. Miller we haven't asked for comments on your motion yet.

6853 **MRS. MILLER:** [Off microphone]

6854 .
6855 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Could we deal with your motion first?

6856
6857 **MRS. MILLER:** I might have a senior moment -- I forget what I
6858 am trying to say.

6859 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please come to the microphone because we
6860 can't hear a word that you are saying.

6861 **MRS. MILLER:** I was saying that I may not be chronologically a
6862 senior, but I am suffering from occasional senior moments also and I am afraid I
6863 might forget what I wanted to say, and it was as a follow-up to what Mr. Andrews
6864 just presented to you. And again, I am really sorry for taking up so much time, but
6865 I feel that it's really critical that these issues get dealt with at the beginning rather
6866 than halfway through.

6867 In the information session yesterday, we were specifically told --
6868 and I think this can be confirmed by the people who presented the session, that it
6869 was not necessary for us to reference documents in any particular fashion, that it
6870 was adequate for us to reference by exhibit number, it would be okay to reference
6871 by date of filing, or by whatever the number is on the website, which, believe me,
6872 I am not computer literate enough to even be able to get on the darned thing let
6873 alone -- navigate? Navigate. I am just learning the terminology.

6874 I find it really a subject of much concern -- I am sorry -- we were
6875 also told that it didn't matter which form of reference we used, because there was
6876 ample Panel staff available and if we made a reference under any one of those
6877 systems, the Panel staff would very rapidly cross-reference it and locate the
6878 document in whichever format was most appropriate.

6879 Again, with respect, I feel that the rules are being changed as we
6880 are in the middle of playing the game, and this is not fair.

6881 And I would like to put forward a motion that references to
6882 materials filed as exhibits or in evidence be accepted using whatever reference
6883 format the person referring to them finds most comfortable. Some of us who are
6884 not of the new computer age fully will most likely find it most convenient to refer
6885 to the letter from the Panel dated September 21, 2001 and we can give the heading
6886 of the letter, and let the people who understand the system and who have access to
6887 the exhibit list and understand how it works and don't have to spend 20 minutes
6888 trying to read through the entire list to find everything and who are being paid to
6889 do this, let them do their jobs.

6890 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Well you just introduced another motion, so
6891 we will just have to go through and deal with your motions. Let's deal with what
6892 we started with first.

6893 **MRS. MILLER:** I can't remember what it is. I hope you can.

6894 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Is there any -- well, maybe a show of
6895 hands will speed this up, but is there any party who wishes to speak with respect
6896 to the motions filed by Mrs. Miller and Mrs. McLennan and the motion about
6897 having the witness panel be deferred to a new day each day. We could reconsider
6898 our new material if necessary.

6899 I mean if you wish to speak of it in support or against it, it would
6900 be nice to see hands and see how many people.

6901 **MRS. MILLER:** Are you asking if anyone opposes it or supports
6902 it?

6903 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Both.

6904 **MRS. MILLER:** These are answers to a double question.

6905 **THE CHAIRMAN:** No, just show hands to see the number and
6906 then we will sort out the for and the against. Okay. Thank you. So I have seen
6907 three hands.

6908 Perhaps we will listen to those in support of the motion first. Mr.
6909 Campbell?

6910 **MR. CAMPBELL:** I would very much like to see the Panel
6911 accommodate one evening's sitting, if that's at all possible. I can guarantee that
6912 the amount of material that Mairi McLennan has produced is just incredible when

6913 I had an opportunity to talk to her the other night and, you know, she is up until
6914 12:00 and 1:00 in the morning and she is very concerned about this whole
6915 application, and I really think that if you can accommodate an evening session,
6916 one or two, or even more, I think that would be well in order. Thank you.

6917 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I think I saw another hand somewhere else. I
6918 am not sure. Mr. Jones?

6919 **MR. JONES:** Sorry, Madam Chair, I may be slightly confused
6920 myself. I was going to make some comments with respect to the motion brought
6921 by Ms McLennan and Shady Brook Farm referencing material that CAC (B.C.) *et*
6922 *al.* and VIPLA had to put in. I think that Mr. Davies, as I understand it, may be
6923 speaking to that, so I am happy to wait in that respect. Thank you.

6924 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Jones.

6925 Mr. Davies?

6926 **MR. DAVIES:** Sorry, are we on the new panel-new day motion,
6927 or are we on the McLennan Shady Brook motion or both?

6928 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Both.

6929 **MR. DAVIES:** Let me speak to both then.

6930 In terms of the new panel-new day motion, Madam Chair, I think
6931 this is just another example of how parties working together with a common
6932 objective can seek to accommodate each other. I mean to me it's largely a matter
6933 of common sense. As Ms Hanebury indicated, we need to all get together and see
6934 if we can come to some arrangement on timing. We don't know as we stand here
6935 right now whether our panel 1 is going to be up for two hours or two days. The
6936 only way we are going to find that out is to have that discussion among the parties
6937 in this room, and I would certainly hope that we could do that and I think if we do
6938 that, do that, a lot of these scheduling concerns will disappear.

6939 In terms of the new panel-new day, you know if it's a 2:30 or three
6940 o'clock in the afternoon and we are going to start a new panel it may make some
6941 sense to defer to the next day. On the other hand, if we finish cross-examination
6942 of panel 3 at ten o'clock or 10:30 in the morning, it would be a shame to waste the
6943 hearing time by deferring panel 4 to the next day. As I say, I think if we all get
6944 together and try to accommodate each other, these concerns will be taken care of.

6945 On the issue of the McLennan/Shady Brook motion, that motion
6946 really relates to two documents. The first is the transcript from the BCUC hearing
6947 that was sent in by Mr. Doherty. The second document relates to some evidence
6948 that, additional evidence that VIPLA wanted to put in. Now, we are not *carte*
6949 *blanche* opposed to late filings. There are some that have occurred today. I think
6950 the objective of all of us should be to get in front of the Panel the best and most
6951 recent information, subject of course to procedural fairness.

6952 With regard to these two particular documents, I think the VIPLA
6953 document has been somewhat overtaken by time. VIPLA, as we now know, has
6954 settled with GSX and will not be presenting evidence.

6955 With regard to the CAC document, I frankly don't think that Mr.
6956 Doherty ever intended to proffer that transcript as evidence. If you read his cover
6957 letter, which is Exhibit C-31-22, he said he was providing it for the assistance of
6958 the Panel. He never intended to put up a witness to speak to the document, and in
6959 those circumstances it would have been inappropriate to have it adduced as
6960 evidence.

6961 So I guess, in sum, the VIPLA document shouldn't go in. VIPLA
6962 is not presenting a witness panel anymore, nor should the transcript go in. Mr.
6963 Doherty, who was the one who was proffering it, isn't here speaking to it. If
6964 anybody had the ability to feel aggrieved it would have been Mr. Doherty and he
6965 is not here. So my suggestion is that that motion be denied.

6966 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay. Mrs. Miller? A reply?

6967 **MRS. MILLER:** I really feel like I am going to begin to
6968 aggravate you and this is not my intention. I am starting off badly on the first day.

6969 I can't even remember anymore what that document was, but I
6970 believe -- do you have the motion in front of you?

6971 Okay. I think our problem was that in the letter sent out that we
6972 received very late in the game, we received the document literally moments before
6973 the deadline for filing a response on it, and we stayed up -- Ms McLennan was
6974 over at our house yet again until two o'clock in the morning yet again, in order for
6975 us to try and play catch up. And we felt that the Panel -- it seemed that the Panel
6976 had sent a letter denying acceptance of some documents because they had been
6977 received after a specified deadline. And we felt that, the deadlines
6978 notwithstanding, the purpose of the hearing was to bring forward everything that

6979 would be important to inform the Panel's decision-making process. That is why
6980 we wrote that letter.

6981 Mr. Doherty is not here today because I believe that he had made
6982 -- he has extreme difficulty in getting over and finding a place to stay, or
6983 commuting back and forth, because his client does not have any funding to sustain
6984 that level of intervention. If my memory serves me correctly, a conditional
6985 appearance was entered for him this morning and that is why he is not here. It is
6986 not because he has no interest in this issue; it's because he has registered a
6987 conditional appearance. Perhaps it would be most expeditious if when Mr.
6988 Doherty appears an inquiry was made as to whether or not he still wishes to have
6989 this document placed as an exhibit, or what whatever the proper *pro forma* is.

6990 If I can just make one more very brief comment. Every time the
6991 words "exhibit" and "evidence" are used I get more and more confused. I made,
6992 or my husband actually, Mr. Miller, made an inquiry of Panel staff last Thursday
6993 as to whether all items on the list of exhibits were considered evidence or whether
6994 they were two different things or whether there existed a list of what the evidence
6995 was, because we are having incredible difficulty disentangling in our minds the
6996 distinction, if there is any, between those two items or words.

6997 We were told by Panel staff that they could not answer this
6998 question because that was legal advice, which leaves me even more confused.
6999 And I -- when we were at -- again, my favourite -- at the session yesterday I
7000 thought I understood that all items listed on the list of exhibits were evidence
7001 which could be either adopted in person or affirmed by affidavit. And there was
7002 something, which I really didn't catch, which had something to do with if you -- if
7003 you are not questioned on it, it is on the list, but you don't have to affirm it unless
7004 you are being cross-examined. I still really have no clear sense, and I do not
7005 believe I am alone in this amongst the intervenors not represented by counsel, I
7006 still have no clear sense of what is an exhibit, what is evidence, what is the
7007 relationship between the two, and have I filed any evidence? I don't even know
7008 the answer to that. I am listed as Shady Brook Farm. Shady Brook Farm has
7009 things on the list of exhibits, but I myself don't even know if I have evidence or
7010 just exhibits.

7011 And I don't know -- I don't feel that having me bouncing up out of
7012 my seat and delaying the proceedings is helpful to anyone. On the other hand,
7013 having me not understand what is going on is definitely not helpful for me. What
7014 a solution could be, I have no idea. I throw myself on your mercy.

7015 **MS HANEURY:** Perhaps, Madam Chair, I could propose a
7016 solution. If Ms Miller wanted to talk to one of the counsel after the hearing, that
7017 would be quite agreeable to us.

7018 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Ms Hanebury.

7019 **MRS. MILLER:** I think that will be wonderful. And could that
7020 invitation be expanded to include any other intervenors in the room, like we could
7021 have sort of a -- so I am not the only one getting the information and then it's
7022 broken telephone. Would that be -- like for all of us to ask the question at the
7023 same time? I mean in the interests of --

7024 **THE CHAIRMAN:** I think if any intervenor has the same
7025 question, of course then they can talk to Ms Hanebury about it. But so far you are
7026 the only one who has raised it. But if other parties have the same issue, of course,
7027 yes.

7028 **MRS. MILLER:** Other people in the meeting that happened last
7029 Thursday where 18 people were sitting in the room, there was general confusion
7030 amongst all 18. I am just the one that happens to have the biggest mouth.

7031 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you for raising that. I think I will
7032 make two quick comments so we can move on.

7033 Regarding the documents filed by CAC from the BCUC, the Panel
7034 made a ruling that there was no motion brought forward when that document was
7035 filed. Essentially, if I recall correctly, the document was filed and it was, "Here
7036 you go, Panel; if you are interested, here is material for you." There is no
7037 indication that he, that he wished to rely on that document with respect to his own
7038 evidence and argument, so we couldn't accept it. If he wishes to bring a motion
7039 forward, we will reconsider it. So that was the essence of that decision. I think as
7040 Mr. Davies put it nicely, the VIPLA document is really a non-issue now, so there
7041 is no need talking about it.

7042 We have already made a decision regarding the new panel-new day
7043 and I would like to summarize that again very briefly. We haven't said we will
7044 not consider other sitting times. We have said that, for example, Mrs. McLennan
7045 may wish to have her questions, cross-examination, done by someone else and we
7046 are willing to accommodate her when she presents a final argument by
7047 considering an evening session. At this point in time she is the only one who has
7048 made that request to us to have panels available in the evening; but that doesn't
7049 mean that we won't consider. I think this is an alternative I put forward. So that

7050 decision is not a no. In other words, at least you should have a discussion with her
7051 and consider what we are proposing and we are quite willing to accommodate the
7052 final argument component of it.

7053 Thank you.

7054 Ms Hanebury?

7055 **MS HANEBURY:** Before you -- I would just advise you, Madam
7056 Chair, that before you adjourn today, Mr. Bomford, who had a conditional
7057 appearance registered on his behalf, is here and would like to register his
7058 appearance.

7059 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Please step forward.

7060 Please state your name for the record, then we will go through our
7061 list of questions for you.

7062 **MR. BOMFORD:** Jim Bomford.

7063 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Are you representing yourself?

7064 **MR. BOMFORD:** I am.

7065 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to -- do you wish to have an
7066 opening statement and, if so, tomorrow, or on a different day, and when you do
7067 that you lose your ability to cross-examine and present final argument.

7068 **MR. BOMFORD:** No.

7069 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to cross-examine the
7070 Proponent's panel, witness panels?

7071 **MR. BOMFORD:** Yes.

7072 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to cross-examine any other
7073 intervenors' panel?

7074 **MR. BOMFORD:** Yes.

7075 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Can you give us an idea about the issues that
7076 you wish to cross-examine on both for the Proponent and for the other intervenors
7077 on the List of Issues, if you can?

7078 **MR. BOMFORD:** If I can. I am just not sure how much depth
7079 you would like me to go into on that.

7080 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Just list the numbers, like Issue 1, Issue 2, or
7081 according to the List of Issues that we sent out quite a while ago.

7082 **MR. BOMFORD:** I don't remember which of the issues is 1, 2, 3,
7083 to be quite honest with you, at this point.

7084 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Sorry, I think I didn't make that clear. What
7085 I wanted to ask you was: Which panel do you want to cross-examine? Because
7086 there are eight different panels.

7087 **MR. BOMFORD:** I am not sure. I don't know at this point in
7088 time.

7089 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Okay.

7090 When you are cross-examining the Proponent or the other
7091 intervenors, just a reminder that sweetheart cross-examination is not allowed. In
7092 other words, cross-examination that you could use the opportunity to bolster one's
7093 position. In other words, we allow cross-examination that is adverse in interest,
7094 but not one that will bolster the other party's position.

7095 **MR. BOMFORD:** I understand that.

7096 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to present final argument?

7097 **MR. BOMFORD:** Yes.

7098 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you wish to move down, be moved down
7099 from the list of -- from the list of parties that we have issued, where you were in
7100 terms of where we have placed you?

7101 **MR. BOMFORD:** Yes.

7102 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Where would you like to be?

7103 **MR. BOMFORD:** It doesn't necessarily matter. It can be
7104 virtually anywhere. I am one of these people that is going to try and catch as
7105 much as I can on the Internet. I won't be able to attend most of the hearings, most
7106 days. So you are going to perhaps be calling my name and I am not going to be
7107 here often.

7108 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Do you have any preliminary matters?

7109 **MR. BOMFORD:** No.

7110 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Bomford.

7111 Mr. Skerik. I think you raised an issue and I particularly didn't
7112 consider it a motion, but you raised an issue on the clarification of alternatives,
7113 and I would just like to refer you to the Panel's letter of 20th January 2003 where
7114 we clarified the Panel's view relative to alternatives to the project and what we
7115 would consider. And I think, after looking at that, if you have additional
7116 concerns, then I would invite you to speak to one of the Panel counsel.

7117 **MR. SKERIK:** It was May 28th, did you say? What was the date
7118 again?

7119 **THE CHAIRMAN:** January 20, 2003.

7120 **MR. SKERIK:** Okay. Thank you.

7121 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you.

7122 I think we have Mrs. Wright, I believe, who just wishes to make a
7123 Letter of Comment.

7124 **MS WRIGHT:** Madam Chair, Justice Holmes, Mr. Williams.
7125 Thank you for the opportunity to speak. This is my oral statement to the Joint
7126 Review Panel because I will not be able to come tomorrow evening.

7127 In your message January 20th you note that the Panel is to
7128 determine whether or not the pipeline is or will be required by present and future
7129 public convenience and necessity. You have the right, subject to
7130 Governor-in-Council approval, to issue a section 52 certificate with appropriate
7131 terms and conditions or refuse to issue a certificate.

7132 I have read and understand that the Georgia Strait Crossing
7133 Pipeline Project is not required to answer questions related to alternatives.
7134 However, it is appropriate for members of the public to comment on these matters
7135 and to ask you to consider them when you make your decision.

7136 The responsibilities of governments are many and may require
7137 some balance in order to meet or moderate certain competing needs and desires.
7138 The classic argument of the last decade or two has been between the principles of
7139 conservation, preserving and protecting the environment for future generations,
7140 and the principles of an economy which provides a livelihood for its citizens, and
7141 important for some, creates well for corporations and certain individuals.

7142 Sometimes there is no apparent effort to find a balance. It might
7143 even be argued that, in finding a balance, no one's interests are served. The rate of
7144 environmental degradation and the ability to create wealth are both simply slowed.

7145 As a Crown corporation, B.C. Hydro belongs to the people of this
7146 province. It exists to serve the people, not just as a source of energy; it also
7147 should be required to serve British Columbia's communities' broader interests.

7148 What are these community interests and in particular as they apply
7149 to Vancouver Island Region of B.C.? Short-term interests include sustaining the
7150 level of energy that is now being consumed. Also in the short term, the cost of
7151 energy is a consideration. In the longer term, the need to reduce our use of energy,
7152 particularly from non-renewable or dangerous sources, is paramount. The burning
7153 of hydrocarbons is responsible for greenhouse gases causing global warming and
7154 frightening climate change. For this reason, Canada signed the Kyoto agreement a
7155 few months ago.

7156 Less dependence upon sources of energy from outside the
7157 community would enhance security of supply while developing new
7158 environmental friendly technologies. As Vancouver Island's communities evolve,
7159 better small to medium business cooperative and individual employment
7160 opportunities are needed.

7161 So what is to be achieved by carrying out the GSX PL project?
7162 The amount of available gas for domestic and industrial use will be enhanced.
7163 The ability to generate electricity by burning natural gas will be enhanced. The
7164 enhanceability of natural gas and electricity will encourage greater use by
7165 industry, businesses and individuals, and this will be good for sales of petroleum
7166 products and electricity made from them.

7167 What are the likely effects of this choice? With no encouragement
7168 to be more economical the consumption of natural gas will increase leading to
7169 higher pollution and an increased ecological footprint. While admittedly the
7170 pollution rate of natural gas is not as great as that of oil, natural gas clearly
7171 furthers the development of greenhouse gasses. Higher prices and greater
7172 dependence on hydrocarbons will follow. B.C. Hydro and the suppliers of natural
7173 gas will experience increasing profits. Unsustainable growth of both industry and
7174 population are a distinct possibility.

7175 What is likely to happen if the project is turned down? The supply
7176 of gas will remain the same while the amount of electricity currently available will
7177 continue until at least 2007, according to B.C. Hydro's own estimates. In my
7178 opinion the alleged need for more natural gas has not been demonstrated or
7179 justified. The arguments are largely circular.

7180 Alternatives to natural gas for electrical generation will have to be
7181 considered in the longer run. These include the usual list of wind, tide, wave,
7182 micro hydro and so on, and other ideas may well emerge. Conservation and
7183 reduced consumption will become important. Techniques for conservation
7184 already in place in some communities around the globe will become much more
7185 familiar in this region. Education will play an important part in promoting this, a
7186 task that reasonably would be shared with B.C. Hydro.

7187 While initial costs to modify building as an infrastructure may be
7188 relatively high, long-term relative costs of energy will certainly diminish for users,
7189 producing savings.

7190 With development of alternatives to major electrical generation
7191 methods now used, community-based independent systems will have an
7192 opportunity to develop. Long distance transmission lines, which are less efficient
7193 than community-based short-distance lines, will become increasingly unimportant.
7194 Many communities will be unable to avoid or contribute to the grid.

7195 What are the advantages to be realized from turning down the
7196 project and for whom? Businesses, industry and individuals within communities
7197 will develop their abilities to be more independent and creative. They will have
7198 the possibility of living in a healthier environment, of having to stabilize global
7199 warming and climate change, and of playing a part in ensuring a decent world for
7200 future generations. Communities will become more democratic and self-reliant,
7201 not only in their development of energy for their own purposes, but in their sense
7202 of community and mutual dependence. Governments need not use highly
7203 questionable carbon credits as a way around their global responsibilities.

7204 What are the liabilities and for whom? Corporations and
7205 governments seeking to put profit before community and the environment may not
7206 be satisfied with returns on investment.

7207 In my own community of Saltspring Island many of our people are
7208 beginning to examine seriously alternative locally-produced energy coming from
7209 wave action and current action and the wind. The use of solar energy is also
7210 increasing slowly. Many of us look forward to being free of electrical outages not
7211 of local origin. Fixing our own problems will be good for us as we work together
7212 to build a self-reliant but mutually dependent community. Other communities
7213 across the country are showing interest in similar projects.

7214 Toronto, for example, has recently placed a large wind turbine just
7215 offshore to provide power and this is a side benefit to cool the downtown during
7216 the worst summer heat.

7217 I believe that B.C. Hydro's request and the support for the GSX PL
7218 Project is speculative in nature. There appears to be an adequate proof of need
7219 and distinct disadvantages in the long term. I encourage the Panel to deny support
7220 to this project.

7221 I thank you for the opportunity to share my concerns and position
7222 with you. While I speak for myself only in this matter, I have discussed the matter
7223 with many friends and associates who hold the same position. Thank you.

7224 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mrs. Wright. Thank you. We
7225 don't have any questions.

7226 Thank you very much.

7227 I believe we have come to the end of our proceeding today. We
7228 will adjourn until 9:30 a.m. tomorrow.

7229 Mr. Davies?

7230 **MR. DAVIES:** I said at the outset, Madam Chair, that I had a few
7231 filings to make. I could do those now or first thing tomorrow morning, whichever
7232 you would prefer. If it saves me carrying them back in my bag I would like to do
7233 them now.

7234 **THE CHAIRMAN:** In that case we will go through it. Okay.

7235 **MR. DAVIES:** There are just four of them. The first is a letter
7236 that I sent to the Panel on February 20, 2003, attaching the direct evidence of
7237 Valerie Lambert.

7238 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit B-112.

7239 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° B-112:**

7240 *Letter dated February 20, 2003 from D. Davies attaching direct*
7241 *evidence of Valerie Lambert.*

7242 **MR. DAVIES:** Madam Chair, as observed in my letter, Ms
7243 Lambert will be replacing Chris O'Reilly on the first GSX PL witness panel, so
7244 Mr. O'Reilly's evidence can be removed from B-105. The second document is
7245 entitled "Considerations of Evidence for Noise Generation by Underwater Gas
7246 Pipelines and Presentation of Laboratory Data Relevant to the Acoustic Insulation
7247 Properties of Concrete Pipeline Cladding." This will make interesting reading for
7248 intervenors tonight. That is prepared by ASL Environmental Sciences Inc. dated
7249 February 20, 2003. It's a report, Madam Chair, that was requested in the Panel's
7250 Information Request 36.20 which is due Wednesday. We are filing it today so
7251 people have an opportunity to read it.

7252 **THE CLERK:** That will be Exhibit B-113.

7253 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° B-113:**

7254 *Document entitled "Considerations of Evidence for Noise*
7255 *Generation by Underwater Gas Pipelines and Presentation of*
7256 *Laboratory Data Relevant to the Acoustic Insulation Properties of*
7257 *Concrete Pipeline Cladding"*

7258 **MR. DAVIES:** The next is a document entitled "Georgia Strait
7259 Crossing Pipeline Project, Dungeness Crab Maternity Site, Reconnaissance
7260 Survey Boatswain Bank and Cape Keppel". And it is a report that is requested in
7261 the Panel's Information Request, 36.21.

7262 **THE CLERK:** Exhibit Number B-114.

7263 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° B-114:**

7264 *Document entitled "Georgia Strait Crossing Pipeline Project,*
7265 *Dungeness Crab Maternity Site, Reconnaissance Survey Boatswain*
7266 *Bank and Cape Keppel".*

7267 **MR. DAVIES:** Finally, Madam Chair, we have a correction to a
7268 table that appears in the response to the Panel's Information Request 15.12. So
7269 this corrected table reads at the top "Revision to JRP 15.12 Attachment C -
7270 Singleton Report Table E-01A."

7271 **THE CLERK:** That will be Exhibit B-115.

7272 **--- EXHIBIT NO./PIÈCE N° B-115:**

7273 *Revised table entitled "Revision to JRP 15.12 Attachment C -*
7274 *Singleton Report Table E-01A".*

7275 **MR. DAVIES:** Thank you very much.

7276 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you, Mr. Davies. Ms Hanebury?

7277 **MS HANEBURY:** I would just ask that any parties that think they
7278 may be cross-examining panel 1 tomorrow, if they can touch base with me tonight
7279 we are just trying to start working on the schedule.

7280 Thank you.

7281 **THE CHAIRMAN:** Thank you very much. We adjourn until
7282 9:30 a.m. tomorrow.

7283 **---** Upon adjourning at 4:15 p.m./L'audience est ajournée à 16h15