

February 9, 2015

Re: Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Project

To: Manitoba Hydro

From: Alain & Jacqueline Fournier

To whom it may concern:

We would like to voice our concerns regarding the preferred route for the Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Project. This line will be passing within a mile of our home and we are quite concerned about the health risks involved not to mention how it will deface the landscape, cause noise pollution in our neighborhood and affect the value of our property and our neighbours.

I (Alain) have lived in this area all of my life (53 years). My parents and brothers live and work on the farm ½ mile east of our house. We enjoy living in the country and taking our dogs for walks... how peaceful and tranquil it is, but with this line crossing my dad's 300 head century beef farm that could all change. Although I currently am not employed on the farm, I still help out when needed and am hoping to one day buy back into the farm.

Although our house is in the R.M. of Ste. Anne, I went to school in La Broquerie and have many family and friends in this town. Most of my graduating class still live in La Broquerie, have raised their families here and now their grandchildren are making La Broquerie home. We are very proud of this fact. We are concerned that the transmission line route 208 will threaten the growth of our town as it is within a mile from town, the schools, the golf course and new developments in the area.

According to Manitoba Hydro's announcement in the Dawson Dispatch for February 2015, the preferred route being sent for review was based on environmental assessment and **public feedback**. At the public meeting held at La Broquerie Municipal Office on February 2, 2015 the consensus of the people was to have the route 207 be the preferred route. A motion was even passed at the R.M. of La Broquerie on May 14th, 2014 stating that "the R.M. of La Broquerie, on behalf of its citizens, strongly urge Manitoba Hydro to consider route 207 as the logical alternative for the project". We would like to see what public feedback you received in favor of route 208.

We purchase land, pay taxes on it every year and assume the property belongs to us but in reality the government can decide they need it,...want it,...and you have no choice in the matter, they can take it. I thought Canada was a democratic country but this sounds like the actions of a communist country.

We are Manitoba residents, Canadian citizens and are told how important it is for us to vote and that every vote counts. Hopefully our concerns are just as important and that they count also.

Sincerely,

A handwritten signature in cursive script that reads "Alain Fournier, Jacqueline Fournier". The signature is written in dark ink and is positioned above the printed names.

Alain & Jacqueline Fournier

RM of Ste. Anne
395 Traverse Road
Box 6, Grp 50, RR 1
Ste. Anne, MB R5H 1R1

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2015

February 5, 2015

RM of Ste. Anne council,

Reference to : Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project

We are residents of the RM of Ste. Anne and since we live in the south end of that municipality we are also part of the community of LaBroquerie.

We have received a letter from Manitoba Hydro stating that the # 208 transmission line had been chosen as the preferred route. (reference : included map)

This is unacceptable to us because for the following reasons :

- We live on the land parcel SE 7-7-8E which is along the route 208 and the hydro line will pass less than 300 feet from our house (see included picture Exhibit A)
- We are concerned about this line being unsafe and concerned that it poses a risk to our health being so close to our house (*see exhibit B, SAFESPACE - information supplied to us during a meeting on the subject of the # 208 hydro line, held at the RM of La Broquerie , on February 2, 2015*)
- We are in full support of the resolution # 172-14 (Exhibit C) taken from the minutes of the RM of La Broquerie on May 14, 2014 and we quote from the last paragraph : *" Therefore be it resolved that the council of the Rural Municipality of La Broquerie on behalf of its citizens, strongly urge Manitoba Hydro to consider alternative route #207 as the logical alternative for this project"*
- The route # 207 is a better choice, according to us, for these reasons :
 - o There is very little, if any, interference for people living along this line
 - o Human beings should be put first – Manitoba Hydro tends to consider first the costs of clearing a line in the forested area of route #207, but the # 207 poses no health risks to humans or property damage to owned land, therefore we think it should be strongly preferred by Manitoba Hydro

We have been very stressed by Manitoba Hydro's decision to prefer the #208 line considering it is so close to our home. This parcel of 80 acres that we own has been in the Bédard family since 1949 has been passed on from father to son and it is a treasured property.

We are disappointed and disheartened by the decision that Manitoba Hydro has chosen the preferred route # 208 and hope that the RM of Ste. Anne will support the #207 as many people in both the RM of La Broquerie and the RM of Ste. Anne do.

Best regards,

Albert Bédard Monique Bédard
Box 217 La Broquerie MB R0A 0W0

RECEIVED
FEB 06 2015

February 4, 2015

Re: Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Project

To: Manitoba Hydro

From: Bernard, Marge, Renald & Gilles Fournier

To whom it may concern,

We are writing this letter to voice our serious concerns regarding "The Preferred Route" for the Manitoba/Minnesota Transmission Project. We own a century farm which has been in the family since 1899 (116 years). By the maps we received from Manitoba Hydro the transmission line will be crossing the property not very far from the heritage site (approximately 400 feet). We still have a 300 head beef cattle farm and are quite concerned about the effects that this line will have on us, our health as well as the cattle that we put in that field to pasture in the summer. During the winter the cattle are kept in a fenced-in area close to the house which is the same approximate 400 ft distance to the lines.

From our research on the internet on the "safespaceprotection.com" website under the heading of "Electric fields , Magnetic Fields and power lines are a Health Hazard" it states the side effects of magnetic fields and the pollution from living near these types of power lines increases your risk of cancer and other health problems. "The electric fields can easily be shielded but the magnetic fields can penetrate stone, steel, & human flesh. In fact when it comes to magnetic fields human flesh and bone has the same penetrability as air." We find that quite concerning.

This transmission line will also be going through prime agricultural land, ours as well as our neighbours not to mention how it appears basically in our back yard so to speak.

In reference to "What we heard - round 1" on the MMTP site, one of the concerns voiced, specifically talks to the "Centennial Farm issue and the response was ..." they are avoided where possible." In that same round of concerns it was also stated that the "alternative routes utilize paralleling options where possible";... "location of urban centres and residential areas are a major consideration in refining routes";... "Proximity to individual residences and farmsteads are a major consideration in transmission line routing. Transmission line corridors avoid residences to the greatest extent possible."

Based on your own words, we find that there is absolutely no reason for putting the transmission line on route 208 which is the preferred route instead of having it on route 207 where it would avoid a Centennial Farm as well it would be utilizing paralleling lines and avoid close proximity to many new homes as well as the newer developments to the town of La Broquerie. The 207 is the most logical route where it will affect less people, agricultural land and will be less disruptive on peoples lives.

We hope our concerns are taken seriously.

Sincerely,

Bernard Fournier
on behalf of Marge, Renald and Gilles Fournier

Rachel Fournier

From: Jennifer Blatz <cao@rmofsteanne.com>
Sent: February-13-15 10:34 AM
To: 'Rachel Fournier'
Subject: Transmission Line
Attachments: Ste Anne.pdf

Hi Rachel,

Offhand, our Council feels exactly the same as yours. Manitoba Hydro attended our meeting on the same day and Council was adamant that they go back to the drawing board with the preferred route.

I wish that I had received this email on Wednesday or I would've asked them! Maybe I'll talk to the Reeve and see if they mind if I just write a letter of support anyway, as they obviously do support the RM of La Broquerie on this matter.

I will let you know as soon as possible...

***Jennifer Blatz, CMMA
Chief Administrative Officer
RM of Ste. Anne
395 Traverse Road
Box 6 Grp 50 RR 1
Ste. Anne, MB R5H 1R1
Phone (204)422-5929
Fax (204)422-9723
cao@rmofsteanne.com***

From: Tiana Bohemier [<mailto:info@rmofsteanne.com>]
Sent: February-12-15 3:19 PM
To: cao@rmofsteanne.com
Subject: FW: Transmission Line

From: Rachel Fournier [<mailto:rfournier@rmlabroquerie.ca>]
Sent: February-12-15 3:13 PM
To: info@rmofsteanne.com
Subject: Transmission Line

Please find attached a letter on behalf of the council of La Broquerie.
Original to follow in mail.

Regards,

Rachel Fournier, CMAA

Assistant Chief Administrative Officer
Rural Municipality of La Broquerie
Secretary Treasurer
La Broquerie Arena
123 Simard Street
P.O. Box 130
La Broquerie, MB R0A 0W0
Tel: 204-424-5251
Fax: 204-424-5193

The information contained in this communication is confidential and intended only for the use of those to whom it is addressed. If you have received this communication in error, please notify me and destroy any copies of it.



Home

EMF Protection
ProductsTechnology &
TestingAt-a-Glance
Guide

To Order Call 866-821-8122

What is EMF?

EMF Health Effects

KNOW YOUR EMF HEALTH RISKS

Cell Phones & EMF Health Hazards

Wireless Routers Emit EMF

Cell Towers Are EMF to the Extreme

Computers & Monitor EMF

Geopathic Stress & EMF Fields

Appliances Emit EMF

Microwave Ovens & EMF Radiation

Power Lines, EMF & Cancer

Water Lines Carry EMF

Cars, Travel & EMF on the Road

CHOOSE PRODUCTS TO PROTECT YOU

SafeSpace Solutions

RESOURCES & INFORMATION

Technology & Testing

Understanding Our Technology

Testing Our EMF Products

SafeSpace EMF Research Papers

EMF Q&A

EMF Protection Testimonials

EMF News & Info

Healthy Tips


EMF Pollution from Living Near Power Lines - Solved?

Power lines and electrical poles have become so common on the landscape, they are virtually invisible. But it's worth taking a hard look at them. **Are you living near power lines?** What is that barrel-shaped device on the electric pole and is it harmful? Did you grow up near a high-voltage power line?

Is there a safe living distance from or to power lines?

Experts say as far as possible ... Hundreds of studies worldwide have shown that living next to high voltage power lines and other parts of the power transmission network increases your risk of cancer and other health problems.

We'll examine those risks below, and the recommended SafeSpace solutions designed to minimize and eliminate the health risks.



Safe Living Distance To Power Lines?

Is It Harmful To Sit Under Power Lines?

Absolutely possible. It depends on how powerful are the EMFs coming from your neighborhood power lines? The electrical power grid uses a "step down" system of distribution, highest near the generating station and substations, lowest at the end. The closer you are the more you are bombarded with dangerous EMFs.

Living Under Power Lines? Some Facts You Should Know

High voltage transmission lines (those towering metal power lines you often see usually along highways and across rural landscapes. Some folks, unfortunately are under them.):

- Use high voltage direct current (HVDC) to transmit large amounts of power from the generating station over long distances
- Voltage varies from 138kV to 765kV
- Radiate powerful electromagnetic fields (EMFs)
- Linked to diseases in animals and humans
- There is growing speculation that the values of homes near major power lines will soon begin to decrease because of this threat

Transmission substations, (which often look like a fenced-in thicket of metal structures. Maybe you see one near your home, school or office.):

- Contain circuit breakers, switches and transformers
- Decrease the voltage coming from high voltage transmission lines
- Connect to local, lower voltage distribution lines.
- Reroute power to lines that serve local markets
- Suspected cause of cancer clusters for nearby residents

Reene
Fabroque

Lower voltage distribution lines, (or local power poles, which are everywhere):

- Are smaller than the huge high voltage lines
- More likely to be seen in residential areas
- Sometimes buried
- Risk varies with strength of voltage

Transformers, (those barrel-like metal trashcans mounted on power poles are EMF factories.):

- Reduces the voltage to the 120-/240 current needed by the nearby homes
- The typical power line feeding the transformer is carrying 4000 to 13,000 volts
- Creates a strong field extending up to a 1/4 of a mile
- The strength of this field decreases significantly with distance (the further away you are the better, even if you are still within a quarter mile)
- Health risk depends on strength of incoming power line

Buried lines and transformers (Recognizable by a metal box located on the ground near the street.):

- Some people contend that burying power lines can mitigate EMF dangers.
- Other experts note that while burying power lines will shield the electric component of the electromagnetic field (EMF), the magnetic component can still pass through the earth—and walls and human bodies.

Electric Fields, Magnetic Fields & Power Lines are a Health Hazard!

There is no question that power transmission apparatus emit electromagnetic fields (EMFs).

An EMF is not just one thing, but two kinds of fields:

- **Electrical field:** the part of the EMF that can easily be shielded.
- **Magnetic field:** part of the EMF that can penetrate stone, steel and human flesh. In fact, when it comes to magnetic fields, human flesh and bone has the same penetrability as air!
- **Both fields are invisible and perfectly silent:** if you live in an area with electric power, cell phone service, water pipes and more, some level of artificial EMF is surrounding you.

Living Close to Power Lines and How EMFs Harm Human Health

Your body acts like an energy wave broadcaster and receiver, incorporating and responding to EMFs. In fact, scientific research has demonstrated that every cell in your body may have its own EMF, helping to regulate important functions and keep you healthy.

Strong, artificial EMFs like those from power lines can scramble and interfere with your body's natural EMF, harming everything from your sleep cycles and stress levels to your immune response and DNA!

Studies Show Living Next To Power Lines Increases The Risk Of Cancer

After hundreds of international studies, the evidence linking EMFs to cancers and other health problems is loud and clear. High Voltage power lines are the most obvious and dangerous culprits, but the same EMFs exist in gradually decreasing levels all along the grid, from substations to transformers to homes.

From the British Medical Journal, June, 2005:

Researchers found that children living within 650 feet of power lines had a 70% greater risk for leukemia than children living 2,000 feet away or more.

From Epidemiology, 2003 Jul;14(4):413-9:

"Several studies have identified occupational exposure to extremely low-frequency electromagnetic fields (EMF) as a potential risk factor for neurodegenerative disease."

From Epidemiology, 2002 Jan;13(1):9-20

There is "strong prospective evidence that prenatal maximum magnetic field exposure above a certain level (possibly around 16 mG) may be associated with miscarriage risk."

From the Internal Medicine Journal, 2007

In a study of 850 lymphoma, leukemia and related conditions, researchers from the University of Tasmania and Britain's Bristol University found that living for a prolonged period near high-voltage power lines increased the risk for these conditions later in life.

- People who lived within 328 yards of a power line up to age 5 were five times more likely to develop cancer as an adult.
- People who lived within 328 yards of a power line at any point up to age 15 years were three times more likely to develop cancer as an adult.

Dr. David Carpenter, Dean of the School of Public Health (SUNY), believes that up to 30% of all childhood cancers come from exposure to high voltage power lines.

Even the Environmental Protection Agency (EPA) cautions citizens that "There is reason for concern" and advises "prudent avoidance" of high voltage power lines.

The California Department of Health concluded that EMFs were responsible for an increase in childhood leukemia, adult brain cancer, Lou Gehrig's disease and miscarriage in the 2002 report, "An Evaluation of the Possible Risks From Electric and Magnetic Fields (EMFs) From Power Lines, Internal Wiring, Electrical Occupations and Appliances."

The studies cited above and dozens of other epidemiological studies specifically link high voltage power lines with:

- Brain tumors
- Leukemia
- Birth defects
- Lymphoma

Electromagnetic Radiation and Power Lines. It's a Problem.

According to research and publications put out by the World Health Organization (WHO), EMF such as those from power lines, can also cause:

- Headaches
- Fatigue
- Anxiety
- Insomnia
- Prickling and/or burning skin
- Rashes
- Muscle pain

You Need EMF Shielding From Electrical Power Lines & Transformers!

High voltage power lines, and radiation are something that can affect urban and rural communities alike. In truth, few residential areas escape this threat. For now, it's critical to understand your risk of living close to power lines and how protect the area around you.

SafeSpace: Technologies To Treat And Transform Harmful EMFs

Over years of research and testing, we've developed proven technologies specifically developed to interact with and transform even the more powerful EMFs. (See [independent laboratory testing](#).)

Our devices are imprinted with proprietary patterns (coded information) that literally influence artificial electromagnetic fields. When this information is added to a harmful wavelength, that wavelength is transformed to a benign, even positive influence on biological life it surrounds.

Frequently Asked Questions About This Section

Read our [Frequently Asked Questions](#) about these Products.

[Home](#)[About SafeSpace](#)[Dealers](#)[Policies](#)[Contact Us](#)[Site Map](#)

© Copyright 2013, Dimensional Design Products Inc, All Rights Reserved.



.. OPINIONS PEOPLE YOUR PRACTICE MED STUDENTS ARCHIVE CLASSIFIEDS

Home >> Back to the November 2008 Issue

Is living near power lines bad for our health?

Issue: BCMJ, Vol. 50, No. 9, November 2008, page(s) 494 BC Centre for Disease Control

Ray Copes, MD, FRCPC, Prabjit Barn, MSc

The debate of whether there are adverse effects associated with electromagnetic fields from living close to high-voltage power lines has raged for years. While research indicates that large risks are not present, the possibility of a relatively small risk cannot be conclusively excluded.

Electromagnetic fields (EMFs) are produced by electrical appliances, electrical wiring, and power lines, and everyone is exposed to them at some level. Numerous studies have investigated EMF exposure and health. Although earlier studies did suggest associations between exposure and a variety of health effects including brain cancer, breast cancer, cardiovascular disease, and reproductive and developmental disorders, most of these associations have not been substantiated by more recent research. One notable exception to this is the association with childhood leukemia, which the International Agency for Research on Cancer regards as sufficiently well established to rate extremely low frequency magnetic fields as a "possible" human carcinogen.[1]

The first study to link childhood leukemia with residential EMF exposure was published in 1979[2] and since then, a number of studies have found weak associations to support this original finding. Studies investigating childhood leukemia as a health outcome of EMF exposure have used measured and calculated magnetic fields, as well as distance of homes to power lines, as an exposure measure. Studies using magnetic field strength as an exposure measure have found that exposures greater than the range of 0.3 to 0.4 μ T lead to a doubling risk of leukemia, with very little risk below this level. This exposure range is approximately equal to a distance of 60 m within a high-voltage power line of 500 kV.

However, a more recent study showed an elevated risk of leukemia among children living in homes with distances much greater than 60 m from high voltage power lines.[3] This study involved close to 30000 matched case-control pairs of children living in the United Kingdom. It was found that children living in homes as far as 600 m from power lines had an elevated risk of leukemia. An increased risk of 69% for leukemia was found for children living within 200 m of power lines while an increased risk of 23% was found for children living within 200 to 600 m of the lines.[3] This study was notable in that it found some elevation of risk at much greater distances than previous studies.

Although distance of homes from power lines can be considered a crude measure of exposure, the results of this study do merit attention. A limited understanding exists of how exposure to EMF can affect health. The underlying biological mechanism is unknown, making it difficult to determine which measure of EMF is most appropriate when evaluating health outcomes. Use of residential proximity may be a reasonable surrogate for direct measurements of EMF, but may also reflect other factors that are related to proximity to high voltage lines.

If the association found in the UK study does reflect a causal relationship, what are the potential impacts in BC? Using current BC leukemia rates[4] and assuming similar proportions of the population live near high voltage lines, on a statistical basis, there may be one additional leukemia in BC every 2 years. To eliminate this risk, one would need to achieve a separation distance of 600 m between every high voltage power line and the nearest residence. While this could be done, it would require substantial changes to existing land use patterns and would require significant resources. While it can be argued that this action is consistent with some forms of the precautionary principle, based on best available evidence, one can achieve much greater risk reduction or health benefits if resources are directed to other larger, better established risks.

Appendix E

E1 – Stakeholder Group and
Landowner Meeting Minutes

E2 – Comment Sheet Data

E3 – Online Comment Sheet
Data

E4 – Landowner Form Data

E5 – Mapping Data

E6 – Email and Telephone
Summary

Appendix E2 - Hardcopy Comment Sheet Results

Hardcopy Comment Sheet Data

AECOM Reference ID	1. How did you hear of the Open Houses?										Are you within 1 mile of the PR?	Have you attended previous OH for the Project?	Information Helpful?	Additional information you would like?	Sign up for Email?	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.								Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:		
	Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth						Other	Specify Other:	Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)		Concern ©	Mitigation ©
R3-CS001Z		1										No	Yes	Yes	How Manitoba Hydro will get power back incase of emergency. Lack of water power, etc.What cost if any to Hydro customers.	No												I would like some large area maps if possible.
R3-CS002Z		1										Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	I live there/hunt there/recreation there											
R3-CS003Z				1				1				No	Yes	Yes		Yes	During hunting season - hiking											
R3-CS004Z		1										Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Hunt											
R3-CS005Z		1				1						No	Yes	Yes	How much is it cost for my hydro bill per month? (x2 times) If/when USA gets power will we get our bills refunded? The line is not going through land! My (?) is do you pay out in say 10 years or one sum? My way would be a pay out for 10 years. Old age people should not have to get their OAP cut for 2 years!	No	No	no time on hand.										
R3-CS001P		1					1					Yes	Yes	Yes	EI Statement; would like to participate in Public Reviews	No	Yes	through conservation & (private) land.										
R3-CS002P		1										Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	We farm within 1/4 mile from where the line will be.										
R3-CS003P							1					Yes	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	on the Minnesota side										
R3-CS004P					1							No	No		Information helpful - somewhat; Research info on health effects	Yes	Yes											Why not put line through Crown unpopulated areas instead of populated areas.
R3-CS001W		1										Yes	No	Yes	health issues-headaches? tinitis? cancer? property values? flood protection can we will protect ourselves?	Yes		Property value	how much?	*Address Provided*	Health Concerns	tinitis, headaches, cancer	*Address provided*	preventing vs. from protecting ourselves in flood times	will hydro cover cost?	*Address provided*		
R3-CS002W								1		1		No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	hiking and canoeing	It is too long	draw a straight line from perimeter hwy to Piney. Cut line losses.								
R3-CS003W		1	1									No	Yes	Yes	Excellent presentation	No												
R3-CS004W		1										No	Yes	Yes		Yes		concern regarding additional transmission lines behind our home	keep additional lines as far away from properties as possible	*Address Provided*	additional encroachment of Riel on our property	please notify of us of additional development or construction activity	*Address provided*	removal of trees/natural barriers north of Riel	Please don't remove the trees. They block the substantial amount of light coming form the Riel Station.	*Address provided*	Thanks for providing this open house. We appreciate the opportunity to voice our concerns!	
R3-CS005W			1									No	No	Yes	high level of debate (?)	Yes	No	Looked at on Google Earth	Seine river crossing at floodway; proximity to (siphorn?) inlet	minimize impact on water entering inlet. interested in (river?) spotting. Our impact of 4 lines. Construct effects on WQ, fish habitat. Herbicide use.	importance of (siphor?) inlet. Avoid construction on river banks. Reduce any construction damage including spills. Seine River sensitive to haz mat spills.	Crossing at La Broquerie	riparian protection. Cum effects on riparian.	Emphasizing the sensitivity of site. (can't read) good source of info on Seine River (siphor?)			Represents Save our Seine-Dennis DePape. Winnipeg Urban-Dave Benema (spelling?). Concerned about Seine River crossing at floodway.	
R3-CS006W		1										No	No	Yes	live within 2 miles. be kept up to date as to project status	Yes	Yes											
R3-CS007W			1									No	Yes	Yes	Did NDP demand this! Why are utility users funding this? Why are we selling power to the USA for less than it costs MB to make it? 14 cK to make & selling it for 4 cK?? Not cool!	Yes	No											
R3-CS008W									1			Yes	No	Yes	more construction info, would like to do (can't read) for project if needed.	Yes	Yes	St. Norbert C.C.										A straight line is more efficient than your proposed route. Selling to the states even at cost is still far cheaper than Europe. Dont give it away!!!
R3-CS009W									1			No	No	Yes		Yes	No											
R3-CS010W		1										Yes	No		talked with Mrs. Tisdale	Yes	Yes	own property with existing towers										
R3-CS011W										1		No	Yes		More information needed on the long term effects. A presentation would be nice.	Yes	Yes	I have a daughter buried in Roseau River; visiting her grave is important to me. Being First Nation helps my band in chosing land accordingly. There is Crown land there that can benefit my members who have families and requires land. Hunting, trapping and fishing is our right as First Nation and the coridor is going to cause the animals to become scarce.	Sacred birds are being destroyed such as Eagles	use existing lines; we already lost too many birds.		To close to wildlife management area	Keep energy in Canada	Affect the traditional medicines that we pick and use for healing. They ahve to be natural with out the gas pollution and water pollution	stop it, don't build any new corridors		My daughter's grave is sacred and family gathers to celebrate her life; we need to get to her grave.	
R3-CS012W		1										Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	we live close to the floodway										
R3-CS013W		1										Yes		Yes		Yes	Yes	we live close to the floodway										
R3-CS014W			1									No	Yes			No	No											you must put out more info out in the media and on the web and social media
R3-CS015W				1								No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	various parks and rec. areas										
R3-CS016W		1										Yes	No	Yes	Just bought property. Dawson & Demeyers right by floodway-concern about future 3 more lines and exactly where they would go? Our house is right on that corner under 1/2 mile to top of floodway. The 4th line would be very close or even on our property.	Yes	Yes	public right of way, walking, etc.	route could be moved to north side of floodway away from homes in our area; Dawson/Demeyers	ID. MLO 1002 Map 07								
R3-CS017W									1			No	No	Yes	I would like to have more info on the socio-economic aspects of "the MMTPProject"	No	Yes	walking, ceremonial outings, recreation and passing through										Thank you for your help and courteous answers
R3-CS018W				1								No			a presentation with a question and answer period would be helpful. Where is the draft assessment of the project; it is on teh website but not presented or discussed.	Yes	Yes	I have a daughter buried in Roseau River and visiting her is important to my family. I am First Nation and eligible for the Crown Land in South if my band claims it. Medicines for healing is going to be disturbed and unclear. Wildlife is already affected and may go extinct such as marten or elk.	crossing over wildlife management area	use existing lines such as Jenpeg has energy that can be utilized	crossing over rivers and using flood gates may flood lands where daughter is buried	keep energy in canada	I gather medicine that is clean of pollution once traffic is in the area and corridors are built, the upkeep is needed.	don't build		when producing energy to the line the gates of teh converter stations must come open if the station opens, theirs to soon then it will affect the south this is concerning due to flooding. All rivers run North to Lake Wpg it is already exceeding it's limit the Lake is becoming bigger, wider, pretty soon there will be no land.		
R3-CS001L			1									No	Yes		No info regarding this issue in other provinces which indicates cancerin adults who were children in proximity to these lines as children.	No	Yes	I live 2 miles east of town, cross through the preferred route daily, mail pick up in town	the route is too close to schools	To other route does not affect the agricultural land in the RM.	childrent close to the preferred route (health concerns). Going through agricultural land.	does not affect the expansion of our town. Is far enough away to safeguard our children & people	expansion of town (LaB is the fastest growing community in MB)		Town of La Broquerie	when I went to the council meeting in LaB when MB Hydro was coming to speak, I was not impressed. Two of the three presenters did not show up on time. They were 10 minutes late. To me, this indicates they don't care. They could not answer a lot of teh councils questions.		
R3-CS002L		1			1							Yes	Yes	Yes	Am wondering what the reasons are for not choosing the more eastern route which would affect fewer residential and faming areas.	Yes	No	I live 1/2 mile from preferred route. I am a residential developer and am concerned with the impact on teh growth of the Town of LaB.										
R3-CS003L										1	Flyer	No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	would come across while driving to work; work in LaB										
R3-CS004L								1				No	Yes	Yes		No	No	The route is too close to town if the town expands it will interfare	Move line 1/2 mile further east near LaB. See "X" on attached map.									
R3-CS005L												Yes	Yes	Yes		Yes	Yes	marchand										
R3-CS006L										1		No	No			No	Yes	My daughter goes to school in LaB and the school is only 1 mile (about) from teh route and my mother lives within 1 mile of the route so I frequent the area of the preferred route.	The route is too close to the 2 schools in LaB and is a serious health and safety concern.	The route should be further east of LaB by at least 4-5 miles							LaB is growing and expanding and it's to the east of town where the preferred route is. I'm concerned that this will impact our town growth.	
R3-CS007L							1					No	No	Yes		Yes	No											
R3-CS008L			1		1					1		No	No	Yes		No	Yes	school, arena, parks, family										

Appendix E2 - Hardcopy Comment Sheet Results

Hardcopy Comment Sheet Data

AECOM Reference ID	1. How did you hear of the Open Houses?										Are you within 1 mile of the PR?	Have you attended previous OH for the Project?	Information Helpful?	Additional information you would like?	Sign up for Email?	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.								Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:				
	Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth						Other	Specify Other:	Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)		Concern ©	Mitigation ©	Location ©	
R3-CS009L										1			Yes	No	Yes	Health concerns		Yes	Recreationally-cycling, snow shoeing, ATVing	human safety before wildlife	moved to no inhabited areas 5 miles south									
R3-CS010L								1		1			Yes	No	Yes		No	Yes	My grand children school in LaB; I don't want a power line of this magnitude humming over their heads.	Obvious apparent close proximity to community school & stores									This is a project that needs to be replanned. No one to the east pass the line there.	
R3-CS011L																	No		High wind events are more frequent and volatile. Would make rescue operations more treacherous.			Just in teh LaB area, 4 large dairy barns would be affected by stray - deer can run; dairy/barn 24 hrs.						207 provides a fire break between Sandilands and Marchand. Alain Nadeau, Eastman Mutual Aid Coordinator, Fire Cheif, LaB		
R3-CS012L		1	1				1	1	1	1			Yes	Yes	Yes		No		the route is close to town	the route could be moved 3 miles east		too close to schools	use other routes						Makes good fire line if use #207	
R3-CS013L		1											Yes	No	No	Never got a letter before this one. Why don't we have a say? 15K to expropriate?	No	Yes	golf, home, school (french), friends/family	much too close to community	move it twice or 3 times the distance; 3 miles east		environment	move		asthetics	move		1-Looks awful; how is our land suppose to sell? 2-while I may not be too concerned-environmental risks may pose an issue down the line (no pun intended). 3-a good financial settlement may east the pain.	
R3-CS014L		1	1				1	1		1			Yes	No	No		Yes	Yes	hunting, live, play, work, etc.	The route is too close to our house and our community, our schools, etc. -impact on health	the route could be moved 5 km east of LaB		Impact on property value	the route could be moved 5 km east of LaB		impact on environment and animals	the route could be moved 5 km east of LaB		I have serious concerns about health issues that may come up with the transmission project. You need to take that into your decision making. Please push your route so less people are affected.	
R3-CS015L		1											Yes	No	Yes	Cost between both routes; 207 and 208; impact of the lines of humans, animals, etc. I would like to know which aboriginal claims there are on route #207	Yes	Yes	My family and I reside within 500 m from the preferred route 208	line on route #208 is within 500 m	Route #207 should be used	route #207		cost between 207 and 208		why we were not contacted prior to the preferred route being chosen		Line #207 would provide a very good fire break for the town of Marchand (which was threatened a couple of years ago). It would be a tremenous asset for fire dept. The env. impact of route #207 would likely benefit the Watson P. Davidson Management area, the Peacock Lake eco reserve by providing a fire break between the 2 acres.		
R3-CS016L								1					Yes	Yes	No	Not what I wanted to hear	No	Yes	We are directly affected; the line will pass VERY close to our new home.	my health	you moving the line further away in the field	*QS Provided*							Since 1949 my husbands family has lived on this land and you are robbing us of a peaceful life because we purchased this land after 30 years of wanting to live on it. Yes, I am angry, I am hurt and I am also scared of the side effects i will be exposed to living on this land, now that this line will pass very close to us.	
R3-CS017L										1			Yes	No	No	Have more info on how if affects the ecological side of it. why pass 1/2 mile from town instead of 10 miles east because of "wildlife." Know the actual cost difference of both lines.	Yes	Yes	The golf course, parents live 1/2 mile away	Wildlife is apparently more important than human life	go back to route 207!							USE 207!		
R3-CS018L								1					Yes	No	No	Concrete evidence and research from private groupd about ecological impacts for using route 207. Actual cost of using 207 vs. 208	Yes	Yes	I live in LaB, a lot of friend/families live that the 208 line is passing directly in their properties.	ecological life less important is than human life JEMF)	use route 207									
R3-CS019L		1	1	1				1					Yes	No	No		No	Yes										Route 207 would serve a good fire route protection. Route 208 is affecting to much for dairy farms. The animals such as cattle and hog. Route 208 is taking away to much of peoples property and life. Route 207 deer can walk away from the voltage. Route 208 cattle and hogs can't walk away.		
R3-CS020L										1			Yes	No	No		No	Yes	drive under it every day 6 to 10 times										Bottom line-why choose to be within 750 m from 2 schools, local arena and next door to a world renoun golf course. This is a no brainer-choose alternate route	
R3-CS021L			1				1	1		1			No	No	No		No	Yes	my children attend L'Ecole St-Joachim	The route is too close to my children's school	move the route to any location that is not within such a close proximity to the school	route 207							I would like MB Hydro to guarantee to all of the current students and future students of L'Ecole St-Joachim and Arborgate that not one of them will suffer any negative health effects of Route 208.	
R3-CS022L		1						1					Yes	Yes	No	What makes this the preferred route when majority of the people do not consider this the preferred route	Yes	Yes	Live in the area, snowmobile/ATV, farming	route crossing my property	route would be moved 6 miles east to fire guard #13	*QS Provided*	Health issues, quality of life, cell service/wireless, EMF	route would be moved 6 miles east to fire guard #13	*QS Provided*	2 schools in town close to the line "LaB"	route would be moved 6 miles east to fire guard #13	*QS provided*	Are you willing to compensate anyone that lives near the preferred route? How about rebates to MB Hydro users from the profit form the export of hydro. Since we will all have to pay for this infrastructure.	
R3-CS023L		1								1			Yes	Yes			Yes	on my farmland	The route is funning on my farmland-this will affect my family	move it	*QS Provided*	Worried about the health risk	move it	*QS Provided*						
R3-CS024L					1								Yes	Yes	No		Yes	Yes	my fathers land-farming	The land is crossing in front of land we own-on my dad farm land. T unfortunately this will be an eye sore as it is in front of all my windows.	move it away	*QS Provided*	I'm more concerned of the health risk of these lines have. Also extremely worried about EMF-lack of cell, wireless internet service	move it away	*QS Provided*			Why build lines in a growing town.		
R3-CS025L			1				1			1			Yes	No	No		No	Yes	We live, work, play, visit and pray in this area-it is MY community	It is too close to farms, schools, homes, businesses.	use the other route that was approved twice by the municipality								We love animals-my family hunt and fish but...humans and their livelihood are more important than wildlife.	
R3-CS026L			1	1				1	1				Yes	Yes	Yes	I own property on the preferred route! My property would be worth \$0 if line would cross!	No	Yes	I own property on the preferred route! My property would be worth \$0 if line would cross!	route is on my property!	move the line 6 miles east away from LaB	*Address Provided*	Affect our schools.	Not built line at all!	*Address Provided*	Cause growth disorders in the livestock industry	If USA change their lighting to LED they will require 40% less hydro. Will purchase Hydro from MB be a priority for them???	*Address provided*	If any compensation should be on a yearly payout and not just one time deal! If line crosses on my property, Hydro will purchase my complete piece of property!!!	
R3-CS027L			1										No	No	Yes		No	Yes	During hunting and also might in the town of LaB where I visit my relatives.	The proposed line is located across town property	Why don't the line close to fireguard 13 use.									
R3-CS028L		1											Yes	Yes		I would like a signed letter from Hydro guaranteeing no ill effect from EMF's. Also don't understand how reliability and cutting trees down (207) is more of an issue than taking people's land (208)	Yes	Yes	I live, children attend school and golf right beside the line.	children's health (2 schools near 208)	change preferred route to 207 over 208									
R3-CS001S					1								No	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	all of south east area do lots of hunting, fishing and quading.										I'm for this project; we need hydro for our growing province.	
R3-CS002S		1											No	Yes	Yes	Proposed route re; Ste. Anne Feb 24/15 open house is acceptable. However our surprise that new proposal runs just east of the town of LaB	No	Yes	Friends live in the area										I understand the business source of selling MB electricity to the USA market. However, I do object being charged increased user fees as a MB hydro customer and thereby funding the project cost without benefitting from the revenue of the sale of MB electricity. When can I expect a decrease or rebate in my monthly hydro cost?	
R3-CS003S		1											No	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	The line crosses my friends property. I will always have to travel underneath the line to visit my friends.										I am concerned that my tax payer money is spend on a hydro project that will benefit MB Hydro and the residents of Minnesota. My hydro is increasing in price. Where is my rebate cheque? Which Minnesota household will help pay fo myr hydro bill?	
R3-CS004S			1										No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Our children live near Marchand and our granddaughter goes to LaB school (St. Joachim)	My conern that the route is very close to LaB specifically the schools.										
R3-CS005S						1						in mail	No	No	Yes			Yes												
R3-CS006S							1							Yes	No	How do you fight expropriation? What are teh landowners rights? is this contract economically sound?	No	Yes												Why not use Route 207?

Appendix E2 - Hardcopy Comment Sheet Results

Hardcopy Comment Sheet Data

AECOM Reference ID	1. How did you hear of the Open Houses?										Specify Other:	Are you within 1 mile of the PR?	Have you attended previous OH for the Project?	Information Helpful?	Additional information you would like?	Sign up for Email?	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.								Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:			
	Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth							Other	Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)	Concern ©		Mitigation ©	Location ©	
R3-CS001OB		1										Yes	No	Yes		No	No													
R3-CS002OB			1									No	No	Yes		No	country road (travel) access													
R3-CS003OB		1										Yes	No	Yes	what are the tower offsets and the impact on ag. weed control	No	Yes	live near the tower and farm around them	impact of having 4 sets of towers on the ROW	proper spacing	"QS Provided"									
R3-CS004OB		1										No	No	Yes		No	Yes	own property on the proposed route. also farm on the proposed route.												
R3-CS005OB			1									No	No	Yes		No	No													
R3-CS006OB			1									No	No	Yes		No	Yes	Occasionally in the Richer, LaB area.												
R3-CS007OB			1									No	No	Yes	Initial findings of studies conducted thus far (socio-economic, wildlife, veg., heritage)	No	Yes	Family has property near Richer and I camp in the Sandilands												
R3-CS008OB										1		No	No	Yes	Possibly have the electric cables underground.	No	No													
R3-CS001H		1										Yes	No	Yes	long term safety information analyzed from an independent consultant.	Yes	Yes	Roads and trails for walking/outdoor activities	Risk for childhood illness-specifically leukemia	use a different route-away from all residential buildings										
R3-CS002H				1				1		1		Yes	No	Yes		No	Yes	yes, we live right beside it.	Our property is beside the existing line.											we have concerns regarding health issues.
R3-CS003H		1										Yes	Yes	Yes	How much additional bush has to be removed for new lines	Yes	Yes	we live right beside it.	Our property is on west side of existing line		"Address Provided"								Would like bush left as is. There is about 150 feet of bush between our house and hydro lines. This gives us a bit of a buffer and protection from EMF. Our house is approx. 250 feet from power lines. Out of four of us living here, 2 have cancer.	
R3-CS004H		1								1		Yes	No	Yes	I really had no concerns	No	No													
R3-CS005H		1										Yes	No	Yes	Selected "Yes" and "No" for information helpful. ALso indicated they were unaware - previous mail correspondence.	No	Yes	Open fields and forestry adjacent for school aged childrent to play and perform outdoor activities during the year. Walking dogs along Roblin Blvd. which requires residents to frequently pass within the 250 mile line of the MV output on a daily if not multiple times/day in order to walk along a paved route.	Amount of energy within an additive area from which power mG is going to be increasing having a corridor at 2 x 230 kV + 1x500 Kv transmission lines.		forested/wooded areas adjacent (east) to the current 2x230kV lines will be cleared which will: decrease sound barrier to noise pollution, aesthetically affect the scenery of the landscape, and be more intrusive to the neighbouring households.						1. we are concerned that "other routes" presented were not made public knowledge to household after moving to/acquiring our land title on Roblin Blvd. prior to a "preferred route" being decided upon. 2. Health concerns are a major factor and an ongoing concern asresearch on many different levels through various sources argues against/for detrimental affects on human/environmental health. 3. Property values from a potential buyers market cannot be properly captured as the # of people whom may not consider a property within proximity of transmission lines is not captured by the reality correspondant at this info. evening. Would a potential buys be willing to pay top dollar property value for a home within 1 mile of a transmission line.			
R3-CS006H		1						1		1		Yes	Yes	Yes		No	Yes	Driving #1 Hwy. mostly driving by to and from destinations like work and recreation (Beardy Park; Grand Truck Trail)											Thank you for explaining clearly the project and purpose.	
R3-CS007H		1										Yes	Yes	Yes	Questions were answered today here.	No	Yes													I have no concerns.
R3-CS008H		1										Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Headingley Grand Trunk Trail-for walking (dog) and biking	health concern for children and dog in back yard	ensure EMF is regulated and safety checked.	"Address Provided"	View obstruction with extra poles and wires	line up pole and match height of existing poles/wires	"Address provided"					Keep us informed of status through build and safety regulations being followed after build.	
R3-CS009H	1	1	1							1		No	No	Yes		No	Yes	Beardy Park for walking												
R3-CS010H			1									No	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	own land in St. Norbert												
R3-CS011H				1								Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	we farm land in St. Norbert												
R3-CS012H		1										Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	we farm land under the route towers												No concerns at this time. Thanks for the information.
R3-CS013H		1										Yes	No	Yes		No	No													
R3-CS014H		1										Yes	No	Yes		No	Yes	we live within 1/2 km from proposed line												
R3-CS015H		1										Yes	No	Yes	updates regarding timelines (once approved)	Yes	Yes	quad, walk, snowmobile, hunt												
R3-CS016H								1				No	No	Yes		No	No													
R3-CS007S		1										Yes	No	Yes	Health impacts of electric and magnetic fields, chemical treatment of Hydro transmission line area (leaching into groundwater), reduction in property value, additional noise/traffic during and after construction	Yes														Is government owned land being used as much as possible?
R3-CS001D		1				1						No	Yes	Yes	Do they plan to use the floodway bank or the land outside of the floodway		Yes	Recreation in Sandilands near 210												Please use land further east into Sandilands
R3-CS002D			1									Yes	No	Yes		Yes	Yes	Golf course/ LaBroquerie												Movement of the line further east of La Broquerie would help to elevate the concerns of La Broquerie residents and for the future inhabitants of a fast growing community
R3-CS003D			1									Yes	No	Yes			Yes	Golf course and forestry roads												The community of La Broquerie is an actively growing area and the proximity of the "preferred route" will have serious impacts on this and future growth
R3-CS004D						1						No	Yes	Yes			No													
R3-CS005D				1								No	No	Yes			Yes	I snowmobile through about 1 mile section of the line by Highway #12 to where it turns south												
R3-CS006D																														Individual has spoken with the RM of Tache, Ste Anne, La Broquerie and discussed their preference towards R1 easterly routes. Discussed the route selection process and how public feedback is incorporated into decision with MH representative. Landowner form filled out during discussion.
R3-CS001A		1		1						1	1	Yes	No	Yes	I want to understand the 1) health concerns including noise emissions 2) wildlife impacts including the best locations for creating/worst places for cutting off habitat while considering recreational use		Yes	I live there. I also gather fruit where the line goes. We swim, snowshoe, hike, camp, play, bike, hike. We enjoy a huge diversity of wildlife, trees, natural plants. We enjoy the quiet, open sky.	White noise within a rural residential area	move it to the bush	"Address Provided"	The swath cut along Gosselin Rd will be too wide a disconnect for many mammals to continue to cross from the riverway	Move the line into the bush- away from the road, thus creating habitat/clearing instead of just widening the roadway (also maintains shelterbelt)		"Address provided"	The small, secluded new development of 9 properties will be dominated by the transmission line affecting property values.	Move it 2 miles east where no properties are developed.	"Address provided"	We use the area around our home, outdoors every single day. We live in the country because it's quiet. We refused street lights because of the noise they make. Your consultants assure us that the transmission line will never be so quiet as to be inaudible. That is a health concern to me. Email attached for additional comments: concern regarding the noise emitted by the lines. We walk, snowshoe, gather apples and berries (among other activities and daily use) exactly where the line is proposed along Gosselin Road. Your EMF expert has assured us that the line is never inaudible. I am familiar with the disturbing white noise emitted from these lines and the consequences of incessant noise on our health and well being is a huge concern. MLO 700	
R3-CS002A		1	1							1	1	Yes	Yes	No	Whats in it for Manitoba Hydro? Why do we have to pay the price with our livelihoods as it seems we have no say? We own the land by Hydro can just come in and do whatever they want. Not Right.	Yes	Yes	We farm the land. It is used for pasture land, to grow forage for our 300 head of beef cattle. This is also a centennial farm established in 1899. It is very sad to see that Manitoba Hydro will not recognize that.	The route is approximately 400 feet from the farm buildings	Route 207 would be the most logical route with hardly any human contact	as per map (no map attached)	The route is crossing a Centennial Farm Site which Hydro was suppose to take in consideration	Route 207 would be a perfect solution	as per map (no map attached)	The route is going right through developments and good agricultural land	Route 207	as per map (no map attached)	We are also very concerned about health hazards of magnetic fields. Mitigation: we would really like route 207 as the preferred route, but moving 1/4 mile east would help a lot by avoiding Century Farms. We do not see the benefits of this project after we have done some research. It will supply power to Minnesota at a time where the demand is decreasing. At a cost of 350 million dollars and all the impact on people's lives, it will take a long time for the project to be profitable. Manitoba Hydro has nothing invested in all our agricultural land that we worked hard to bring them to the production they have today. We invested a lot of time and money to bring our land to the state it is today and we feel we are being bullied by Hydro. Thankyou for your attention. See attached letter. Summary points of letter:-concerned about health risks, individual has lived in the area his whole life (53 years), family works on a farm 1/2 mile east of our house, enjoy the peacefulness and the line is proposed to cross father's 300 head century beef farm. Friends and family live in La Broquerie and Alain lives in Ste Anne. Concerned route 208 will threaten the growth of our town (La Broquerie) as it is within a mile from town, the schools, the golf course and new developments. At public meeting held at the LaBroquerie Municipal Office on Feb 2, the RM urged MH to consider route 207. We would like to see the feedback you've received in favour of 208. I thought Canada was a democratic country.		

Hardcopy Comment Sheet Data

AECOM Reference ID	1. How did you hear of the Open Houses?											Are you within 1 mile of the PR?	Have you attended previous OH for the Project?	Information Helpful?	Additional information you would like?	Sign up for Email?	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.								Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:			
	Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth	Other						Specify Other:	Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)	Concern ©		Mitigation ©	Location ©	
R3-CS003A		1	1							1	1		Yes	Yes	Yes	I would like to know the level of the electric and magnetic fields around the transmission line. The residence will be close to the preferred route.		Yes	All the land near and under the preferred route is used for agriculture. It is used to grow corn and grains such as oats and barley and hay. When the land is in hay it is also used to pasture our 300 head beef herd.	the route is crossing land that is used for agriculture from one end to the other	The route could be moved 1/8 of a mile east, half the land is pasture, the rest is used for agriculture	*QS Provided*	Whatever impact route 207 would have on environment, route 208 will have the same impact. Magnetic fields will affect the cattle.	Wildlife can move away from the route, but cattle are in a fenced in area. They cannot move away from the route.						The route should be moved further east because of the impact it will have on our cattle. The cattle pasture that land around the route all summer and will be exposed to magnetic fields all year round. See attached letter. Own a century farm and has been in the family since 1899 (116 years). The maps show the line will cross our property not very far from the heritage site (approx 400 feet). Still have a 300 head beef cattle farm and are concerned about health affects. "safespaceprotection.com"- health affects. We heard in Round 1 that "Centennial Farms are avoided where possible". Based on your own words, we find that there is absolutely no reason for putting the transmission line on route 208 which is the preferred route instead of having it on 207 where it would avoid a centennial farm and would be utilizing paralleling lines and avoid close proximity to many new homes as well as new developments in La Broquerie.
R3-CS017H																												Lives in Grande Pointe approximately 500 m from the line. Concern regarding viewshed. Concern regarding EMF. Had to take a buy-out from flooding 12 years ago and now these lines are affecting Land purchased from our family for 500 kv line which runs to Vivian. Recorded when gusts to 120 mph at Ostenfeld. Concern over crossing city of Winnipeg aqueduct, built in 1914, must be replaced by 2040. Concern over underground Hydro distribution along PR 302, approximately 2 miles south of number 15 hwy. 25 customers would be without power. This installation done in 2000. Concern over damage that may occur from wild hogs recorded site at Ostenfeld. Concern overflowing wells at Richland Road west of Monominto. Concern installing towers on peatland if ROW, brush and debris burned, it may cause underground fires. We had to put them out ourselves. Thanks for card, building.		
R3-CS001R	1		1										No	Yes	Yes		Yes													
R3-CS001T																Yes													suggest pre/post construction monitoring project with selected interested trappers; as previously suggested a trapper workshop in fall 2015 in conjunction with Manitoba Trappers Association and local (southeastern) wildlife federation affiliates. suggest routing/construction activity notification to possibly Roger Toews of Fur Harvesters, north american fur auctions wpg and 4 wildlife federation locals in area of route. supplied Trappers Log Book developed by MH.	

Appendix E

E1 – Stakeholder Group and
Landowner Meeting Minutes

E2 – Comment Sheet Data

E3 – Online Comment Sheet
Data

E4 – Landowner Form Data

E5 – Mapping Data

E6 – Email and Telephone
Summary

Online Comment Sheet Data

	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.						
	1. Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	2. Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)
1	Yes					No			
2	Yes	Route crossing road 30N cuts through our land, which we use for harvesting landscape trees and firewood for the winter. We also use the land for recreational purposes such as hunting, and sightseeing.							
3	Yes	We do a daily walk along the wooded area by the river from my place of residence. Also looks like it could be an eye sore from my place of residence.	Lines are running close to my neighbours property and would be an eye sore to our natural view. May also affect my property value.	Move the route approx. 1000 feet southeast of proposed route towards flood way dike.	7-20097 / A-21429	No			
4	Yes	live next to it, within half a mile	Is it true that these lines could cause health issues?		*QS Provided*	Yes	Is there noise issues		ne-4-10-4-e
5	Yes	La Broquerie	Cancer/ EMF pollution	The route need to be moved east more into non populated area	*QS Provided*	No			
6	Yes	South floodway gates bicycling, hiking, walks	The route is beside land that is public space	The route could be moved 200 ft further south					
7	Yes	recreational use of the floodway			duff roblin prov. park	No			
8	Yes	living location is near proposed line	line is crossing in front of house	move to the east side of the propvince	south winnipeg	Yes	health for people and environment	move to east side	south winnipeg
9	Yes	south of La Broquerie, cabin, camping, tree farm on so called preferred route	the route is crossing through our tree farm, a managed woodlot	use route 208.	*QS Provided*	Yes	concern for the town of La Broquerie, route practically passes through town	find alternate route	Village of La Broquerie
10	Yes	i live less than 800m from the proposed line	the route is crossing right through current and residential and future development areas less than 1 mile form the town of La Broquerie. This impacts 3 schools and the most densely populated community in the entire proposed route. While the alternative route was proposed through uninhabited crownland for the most part. Where the impact to humans would be minimal.	the route could be on the proposed segment 207 instead of segment 208. this would circumvent residences, agricultural operations and reduce the risks, as low as they may be, to human health.	proposed segments 207/208	No			
11	Yes	I live next door	The line will be right beside my house. I'm concerned for my child's health due to these lines. I'm also concerned these lines will take away from my property's value. I am also concern it will affect our cell service. The constant buzzing will also take away from the serenity of living in the countrv.	The other route could affect less people. It's not necessary to go trough the town of La Broquerie.	*Address Provided*	No			
12	Yes	Nature walks every day. Great scenery and wildlife.	Impact wildlife migration and scenery with the huge cut line of trees for the project. Also the noise from the lines given the weather conditions.	You could minimize the impact by moving the corridor approx. 300 meters southeast of original location.	C-20097, 7-20097 , -9349, A-1811	Yes	Because of the amount of tree line being cut it would affect bird activity and wildlife. Bald eagles,hawks,falcons,humming birds,orioles,blue jays, owls not to mention bears,coyotes,deer, minks,beavers,foxes,bobcat,rabbits, raccoons,etc.	Relocate the corridor to minimize amount of trees to cut for project.	Area beside City of Winnipeg tree nursery.
13	Yes	daughter's school in town of LaBroquerie							
14	Yes	Two of my sons and their families live on Quintro Road. Spend a lot of time visiting, sitting outside when it's nice, playing games outside. Enjoying family time out of town in a nice quiet area.	The towers will be in the backyards of two of my sons and their families. Who wants to live with towers, the constant noise, possibility of health hazards, seeing that whenever you look out the window, etc. in their yards. How can you impose this on any landowner?	Choosing the alternate more easterly route 207	Quintro Road	Yes	HEALTH - radiation from power lines for residents living nearby the towers, children and employees in the two schools . There is insufficient evidence that there are no health issues. I have read that radiation from power lines is dangerous for humans up to 2 kms in range. Humans are being impacted.	Don't put up towers nearby residents' homes and schools. Don't go through residents' yards. Choosing the more easterly route 207, I believe that there will be less human impact.	Along route 208 in the R.M. of La Broquerie, backyards of residents, farmers' agricultural fields.
15	Yes	I own the top right quarter section of NE 2-9-7 W (right where the line will be going!) and intend to build a house in the next year. There is numerous wildlife in this area, including families of deer, beaver and birds.	The buit up plot on the property is facing right where the proposed line will go and looks to be located less then 200 yards from the building site. It will also be going right through a marsh on the property which will affect the whole delicate ecosystem in that area.	This line should not be going this land as it will disrupt the ecosystem and force numerous species to relocate. This needs to be move much further away from the property line where there may also be livestock and farming.	*QS Provided*	Yes	Large numbers of white tailed deer live and bed in the that marshland and this affect their population incredibly. I have numerous trail cam pics and video's of these animals. Also there are huge health effects that these poles have on humans. These poles will be less then 200 ft from my bedroom.	Do NOT BUILD ON THIS LAND!	NE 2-9-7W (NE corner)

Online Comment Sheet Data

		Do you visit or use areas near PR?	Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.							
		1. Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	2. Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)
16	Yes		I am a resident of La broquerie, and I have family & freinds that live in the areas near the preferred route. I also am a member at the local Golf course and play golf on a regular basis near the preferred route.	The towers will be in the backyards of some of my family members. Who have expressed that they do not which to live with towers, the constant noise, possibility of health hazards, seeing that whenever you look out the window, etc. in their yards?	I feel 200 ft further east is not an acceptable solution. I would rather think that a second alternate route #	The stretch of line from north of La broquerie to south of La Broquerie	Yes	HEALTH – radiation from power lines for residents living nearby the tower, children and employees from the two schools. There is insufficient evidence that there are no health issues. I have read that radiation from power lines is dangerous for humans up to 2 kms in range. I believe that the property value of any resident that have towers in their yard will definitely go down. Resale of their property will be almost impossible. Who wants to live with towers, the constant noise, possible interruption of cellular and internet service, possibility of health hazards, seeing that whenever you look out the window, etc. in their yards. How can you impose this on any landowner?	Chose alternate route #207. Don't go through residents' yards. Choosing the more easterly route 207, I believe that there will be less human impact.	Estearn edge of the Town of La Broquerie
17	Yes		Our issue (there are 5 of us in this household) is with the LaBroquerie area. There are schools and countless houses and farms that will be effected by this line. The 207 line could have been easily chosen instead and would not have effected nearly as many people's health and private properties and livestock. Wild animals have the opportunity to walk away from harmful EMF's and make new homes for themselves while livestock are stuck in barns 24/7, children have to attend schools 8 hours a day and people live in their homes that are unmovable. All of these will be getting radiation from your towers constantly. Comparing the EMF's to a microwave is laughable. Microwaves are not in constant use. You can shut them off. YOU CANNOT SHUT OFF AN ELECTRICAL TOWER. It is CONSTANTLY radiating powerful EMF's wether your going to acknowledge it or not.	The route will be crossing many peoples private properties and countless farms that will all be negatively effected by this line, not only by creating a dangerous living environment but also will ruin property value. We all moved to the country in order to get AWAY from such huge metal structures and to have only nature and pastures surrounding us.	Bring back the 207 line so that it is on crown land, not private property. Away from schools, further away from private properties. It would benefit everyone living here if there was a cut line through the forest to aid as a forest fire prevention. It would save all houses in marchand and protect the ecological reserve if there were another forest fire like we had 3 years ago that nearly destroyed everything.	The entire surrounding area of LaBroquerie and Marchand	Yes	I am concerned about the fact that you spray herbicides (such as agent orange which is known to cause cancer) surrounding the line which will be going through peoples private properties, another adverse health effect on humans.	Construct the line AWAY from peoples properties and on crown land so the dangerous chemicals that you use to kill the plants surrounding the line are only used in non-dwelling areas. Such as line 207 would have been.	All of LaBroquerie and Marchand
18	Yes		I live within 100 m of route							
19	Yes		Medicinal, Traditional hunting grounds, Golfing, Hiking, Canoeing, Kayaking, Cell phone, Farming, Bio Security areas, Flying, Crop Dusting, Kite Flying, etc.							
20	Yes		my daughter's school is located in the town of LaBroquerie	The route is too close to the schools in LaBroquerie	Please move the route further from the town and the school, for the route to be at least 2 miles from the school.	town of LaBroquerie	Yes	I am concerned that this will impact the town of LaBroquerie's economic growth	Move the route further from the town.	town of LaBroquerie
21	Yes		it runs through my property							
22	Yes		Hlking, Hunting, Biking, Running	The route is destroying natural forest areas, home to many deer. There is not a lot of trees and the river section of this route will remove a large swath of the remaining treed areas along the river where people hunt, fish and geocache.	Erect towers without destroying or clearing trees. Move the route to a more western route that is already open.	Crossing at Roblin Blvd in Headingley	No			
23	No			Stay off of farm land!						
24	Yes		I live within 160m and my children go to school with a mile of the new line! We do all of our activities and day to day things, banking, shopping, work, etc. in La Broquerie							
25	Yes		on my land							
26	Yes		live here	route is crossing land very close to our house	use the other route that was supposed to be used down fire guard 13	near la broquerie golf course	No			
27	Yes		Residence							
28	No						No			
29	Yes		property owner,property adjacent to affected properties. 36-8-7e lots 1and3	the route is crossing land that is open and in full view of lot 3/ concern is deflated property value	compensate affected owners more fairly. The affected properties will lose as much as 30%of their current value	*QS Provided*	No			

Online Comment Sheet Data

	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.						
	1. Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	2. Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)
30	Yes	We live right down main street in Ste. Genevieve, in the vicinity of both your proposed lines going around our town. We travel to all the other towns along the route, for work and to visit family and friends.	The route is crossing land just south of our town, and just west of town as well. We'd be boxed in, between both lines. We live about one km north, and 2 kms east of the respective lines. VERY concerned about how these will impact our landscape, our health, our property values, the community spirit and the viability of our community.	Find a more direct north-south route to the States, instead of circumventing all of our beautiful, historical little towns in eastern Manitoba. Go far, far, away from human settlements, please!	The town area of Ste. Genevieve, all of the Eastern Highland area of southeastern Manitoba, all of the beautiful, historic towns located along the route in southeastern Manitoba.	Yes	I am concerned about the health of people and wildlife. If these lines can have a negative impact on pipelines in the ground, there is no doubt that above-ground impacts are undeniable.	Bigger and more are not necessarily the best thing. Why not size things according to the way it impacts living beings. The preferred measure is the human scale.	Anywhere there is human life, or life that could be hurt by corporate ambition.
31	Yes	I live very close to YOUR preferred route	The view from many of my house windows will be transmission lines and towers	move it to an area away form the city of Winnipeg	South end of City Of Winnipeg	Yes	I am concerned about my childrens health	A transmission line of this size should not be constructed near large citiies	Winnipeg south
32	Yes	live nearby	less land is available in the RM to subdivide; tax base does not increase as much as possible; value of neighbours land decreases and will affect our values	move route to crown land to the east and not on private landowners properties	*QS Provided*	Yes	trespassers and vandalism in the area; fire could start and could affect all the forest and swamp areas around our neighbours and ourselves	move on to crown land to the east and off private landowners properties	SE 2 9 7 E
33	Yes	home is located very very close by	the route 208 is passing less than 500 feet from my house	you can choose the 207 route instead	*QS Provided*	Yes	we are very concerned for our health being so close to the line	simply chose the 207 route	SE 7-7-8E
34	Yes	landowner	destroying conservation land & threatening wildlife	the route could be moved further east to avoid excessive destruction of treed property i.e. off the ridge	*QS Provided*	Yes	the are protectcted species i.e porcupines resident in the forest under threat	move line east to marsh area, off of ridge & out of forest	NW 25-2-9E
35	Yes	LIVE on the prefferred route							
36	No					No			
37	Yes	LaVerandrye Golf/Hwy. 210 to Marchand	Cheaper route using existing public land and following an existing route	See Above		Yes			
38	Yes	I visit the property to get away from the City to enjoy nature and what is has to offer.							
39	Yes	recreation							
40	Yes	I have family that live right were it is to be set up	The route is right where my family lives.						
41	No					Yes			
42	Yes	Visiting friends							
43	Yes	My family and I live 160 meters from the suggested lined. Live and breath! It's crazy to think that we would have ever chosen to build this close to the lines. We built our dream home on the golf course, close to the river and Town and Now we have this to deal with.	160 meters away	move it on the other proposed line that isn't next door to houses or don't build it!	*Address Provided*	No			
44	No					No			
45	Yes	My land parcel that I live on is being crossed by the line	Potential health concerns. Subdivision concerns. Decrease value of property. Ugly. Disturbing a natural feeding ground for the deer and other wildlife as the line will be passing near a natural pond in the bush	By moving it with the other line on crown land or better yet don't build this line at all so us manitoba hydro customers that live in manitoba do not have to suffer rate increases	*QS Provided*	Yes			

Online Comment Sheet Data

	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.						
	1. Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	2. Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)
46	Yes	We run and cycle along the roads	My concern is that there is a hydro line already running almost beside the proposed route. Land is already cleared for that existing route. Why does Hydro have to clear and build yet another route?why not build beside the exisiting route or increase the " power " of the existing route? Then you would not be having upset land and home owners.	Construct the proposed line to go south along Hwy 12, already farm land, no clear cutting required. The run it along hwy # 1 , on more farm field, no one would care if hydro lines run along the hwy, then at SE24 87E, it can bend as you have currently shown, if no one else is objecting. Use exisiting cleared land, As you do when the proposed line meets up with existing at SW 25 6 7 E. Hydro cannot state it wants a more direct route since Hydro's bipole 3 's consists of great and wide m eanderings.	The area where the new proposed route diverges from the existing hydro line up to the point where it meets and runs along the existing power line	Yes	I object to Hydro ramping up the power supply in Minnesota beyond what they want and then charging the Manitoba rate payers on the idea that perhaps, maybe , in the future other states will want to buy Hydro from us.	Build along existing power lines so you don't disrupt existing ecosystems in the proposed areas.	As stated prior, where the proposed line diverges from the existing line, up to the point where the proposed line DOES converge and then follow the existing line
47	Yes	live and drove past	many- will devalue our property, wildlife concerns, other environmental and personal impacts with no real benefits for those in our province or area	Its cost and impact should be considered as its a very stupid, shortsighted idea	All of it	Yes	Wildlife, plants and people	Stop the thing	All of it
48	Yes	I live here	The route is less than one mile away from my property.	The route can be moved a 5 miles farther east of La Broquerie.		No			
49	Yes	travel							
50	Yes	we live within 1/2 mile. We also use our land to generate vegetable crop and hunt on to help sustain ourselves. There is wildlife and wild berries on the property as well as the seine river. Our children attend school, and play sports in town which is also less than a mile from the line.							
51	Yes	We will pass under the proposed line every day							
52	Yes	my house is located 600 meters to preferred route	the route is coming into our community, close to two schools a care home a golf course and my property	this lline should be moved away from our community	*QS Provided*	Yes	I am concerned for the community of La Broquerie	move the line out of our community	La Broquerie
53	Yes	Ingolf Ontario							
54	Yes		cuts through south west corner of my property	I'd prefer to see route follow the west property line	49.092-96.168	Yes	consumes untouched wooded acres	re route to west side of property line	49.092-96.168
55	Yes	We reside within 5 miles of the route near Ste Genevieve	Impact is low	Continue open and transparent communication		No			
56	Yes	We live a few milles from the route and would cross it very often.				No			
57	Yes	Too many residents from Richer south, all the way to Marchand. There is an existing hydro line going through that area, West yet. Why could this not be added to existing? Few to nil residents in Reynolds & Pinev area: could this not be looked into further?	Close to my area; am concerned for other residents as well.	Should be in Reynolds & Piney RM instead.	Too close to town in La Broquerie.	No			
58	Yes	Farming	Line is crossing land rented for cropping and also passes very close to home	Line could be moved further east to Reynolds RM and crown land	*QS Provided*	Yes	Your concerned more with wild life than humans	Move line	NW 32-9-7E
59	Yes	Town of Ste. Anne resident							
60	No					No			

Online Comment Sheet Data

	Do you visit or use areas near PR?		Do you have any concerns or recommendations about the Preferred Route? If so, please fill in the following table using the example below.						
	1. Yes/No	If yes, please describe:	2. Concern (A)	Mitigation (A)	Location (A)	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (B)	Mitigation (B)	Location (B)
61						Yes			
62	Yes	reck & gamen management area							
63	Yes	My house is approx. 200 metres away	The route is too close to my home. I am concerned for the health of my family including 3 kids	You could use the other suggested route not as near the town of La Broquerie	La Broquerie	Yes			
64	Yes	La Broquerie - my parents' residence							
65	Yes	I live less than 400 yards from the line	It goes across the back of my property. I'm not convinced that the exposure is safe for families. The lines are 24 hour exposure and the numbers and comparisons given are hair driers which are used for twenty minutes a day. It also will further impede or view out of our property.	The route should be underground. It's costing a lot of money to complete this project and a bit more wouldn't impact it as much. If hydro offered to purchase property from folks impacted (exposure, view, depreciation of property)	*Address Provided*	Yes	The project is already in the works and I have been told nothing I say will change the plan. I was told I would receive a phone call regarding my concerns my the representative at the forum and I have received no such call.	Phone me when I'm told I will be phoned. Also provide a signed letter stating there are zero effects of our exposure.	West of wescana.
66	No					Yes			
67	Yes	area where line is proposed to cross the border			move it to the east as far as possible , in the woods !!	Yes			
68	Yes	i hunt, trap and live on the prefered route	the route is on the property i live on	make sure that access to the public is denied with physical barriers at every property live	*QS Provided*	Yes	i am concered that the access created onto private property with be utilized by ORV's and snowmobiles causing trespassing concerns. i also have planted trees all over my property that may be in the path of the new line.	give me exact location of the line for my property so i can relocate trees,	every where the line crosses privately owned land
69	No	we live right next to a previously contemplated route				No			
70			the route crosses land that is open, and in clear view of my kitchen/dining room windows, and in close proximity to my firepit area	stay off of my land/go across public land					
71	No					No			
72	Yes	yes i live...	The route is opening up land to trespassing, fires, quads, hunting, herbicides	The route can be moved further East where it does not run right through a community or moved west down the #1 hwy where it minimizes the impact on property owners.	Hwy 501	Yes	The burden of billions of dollars in construction costs for all these power lines when they are not needed for Manitobans. If it was to keep our electrical costs minimal i could understand better but having our rates almost double in the next 10 years does not make sense if you are selling all this amazing power to pay for this. Originally we were not on the hook for any \$ and now millions-billions in hydro projects.	don't build it	
73	Yes	I own property , no home there yet	More quads will come through and tresspass	move the line miles to the east	by Ridgeland Hutterite Colony	Yes			
74	Yes	The line runs through my property that is my work place, living place and recreational area: *QS Provided*	The route is crossing prime farmland, the line would hinder aerial crop spraying and drag hose manure application.	Choose the route 207	*QS Provided*	Yes	The liability burden from the hydro line could sky rocked the insurance of my farm in the future. Therefore a payment of only 150% of the land value is a joke.	Choose the route 207	*QS Provided*

					3. Have you attended or plan to attend an open house for the Project?	How did you hear of the Open Houses?										4. Website Information Helpful?
	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (C)	Mitigation (C)	Location (C)		Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth	
1																
2																
3					Unsure		1									Somewhat.
4	No				Unsure		1									
5					Yes		1									YES but it needs to be re routed!
6					Yes	1										yes
7																
8	Yes	health, property value	move to east side	winnipeg south	Yes		1									yes and I feel stronger with my oppositon to the project
9	Yes	camping area	use alternate route	*QS Provided*	Yes		1									helpful but need more information
10					Yes		1					1			1	helpful, yet uncomplete.
11					Yes								1			Yes
12	No															
13					Yes		1									Yes
14	Yes	I believe that the property value of any resident that have towers in their yard will definitely go down. Resale of their property will be almost impossible. Who wants to live with towers, the constant noise, possible interruption of cellular and internet service, possibility of health hazards, seeing that whenever you look out the window, etc. in their yards. How can you impose this on any landowner.	Choosing the more easterly route 207, I believe that there will be less human impact. Don't go through residents' yards.	Along route 208 in the R.M. of La Broquerie, backyards of residents, farmers' agricultural fields.	Yes			1		1		1	1		1	Somewhat.
15	Yes	I believe there is a very delicate ecosystem that lives there and this line would be destroying it in it's entirety	Do not go through this block. This entire section is a marshland.	*QS Provided*	Yes											I have not yet attended an open house as I was not aware this was taking place until a friend who also lives in the RM of Tache recieved a letter in the mail.

					3. Have you attended or plan to attend an open house for the Project?	How did you hear of the Open Houses?										4. Website Information Helpful?
	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (C)	Mitigation (C)	Location (C)		Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth	
16	Yes	The towers will be in the backyards of some of my family members. Who have expressed that they do not which to live with towers, the constant noise, possibility of health hazards, seeing that whenever you look out the window, etc. in their yards?	See previous reply	Eastern edge of the town of La Broquerie & the Lavérendrye Golf Course	Yes			1	1		1		1		1	The only thing that I believe I have come to understand, is that this the decison is made and this is not really a consultation process, It is rahter a information process and a preprartion for the negetive public backlash once the final decission is announced.
17	Yes	Using the 207 path instead of 208 would be creating the perfect cut line in the forest to prevent wildfire from spreading to Marchand and the ecological reserves	Move the transmission line east of Marchand like it was before - 207	Marchand	Yes			1			1				1	No. The way we were treated was a "Divide and conquer" strategy. There was a tiny pamphlet on how EMF's are not harmful with little to no hard evidence other then because the world health organization says so. There is a lot of information out there disputing the fact and it is only a growing known fact that EMF's have many health effects on humans and animals and should be taken much more seriously. The fact that all you can give us is one small pamphlet on a table lined table full of other information is horrifying. It seems as if Hydro is more concerned with showing us why they shouldn't use crown land then how safe these EMF's really are.
18																
19																
20	No				Yes			1							1	it has offered interesting and useful information
21																
22					Yes		1									Mostly the person I spoke with. Did not read the rest due to time constraints.
23																
24																
25																
26					No		1	1	1		1	1	1	1	1	no
27																
28					Yes			1	1						1	
29					No							1				yes

					3. Have you attended or plan to attend an open house for the Project?	How did you hear of the Open Houses?										4. Website Information Helpful?
	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (C)	Mitigation (C)	Location (C)		Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth	
	No															
30					Yes		1	1						1	1	NO
31	Yes	I am concerned about the value of my property plummeting	I have bought a home within the city of Winnipeg to avoid projects like this one and now hysro will be building as close to the city as they can without being considered the city	Winnipeg south	Yes		1									I understand the project
32	No				Yes		1					1			1	yes project is well understood
33	Yes	people should not be forced to live so close to a line such as this	chosing once again the 207 line instead of the 208	*QS Provided*	Yes		1									yes, I understand that MB Hydro is trying to convince people that this is ok but it is not. We do not want this line on our land. The reps tried to convince us at the open house but we are not convinced that this is safe.
34	Yes	many forest varieties of orchids & ginseng,	moving the line into the marsh, off of the ridge & avaioding the forest	*QS Provided*	Yes		1	1				1				somewhat
35																
36					Yes										1	
37																
38																
39																
40																
41	Yes				Yes			1								partly
42																
43					Yes			1	1		1		1	1	1	Yes. Doesn't mean I approve of it
44					Unsure										1	
45	No				Yes		1					1			1	All the information provided does is make hydro look good and like they care. Not enough facts.

					3. Have you attended or plan to attend an open house for the Project?	How did you hear of the Open Houses?										4. Website Information Helpful?
	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (C)	Mitigation (C)	Location (C)		Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth	
	Yes	MAKE YOUR SURVEY IPAD FRIENDLY! So difficult to check my answers when clearly survey is made for desktop.														
46					Yes	1		1	1					1		Some of the information on the website is useful, info at open house- not so useful. The people there were doing their best but did not have persuasive arguments to make me think any of this is in the best interests of the ratepayers and land owners
47	Yes	There are rare plants and animals though out the proposed route as well as historical sites	Stop it or use low impact lines (by the way your staff didn't know this technology existed- wtf?) so it can be built along existing roadways if it really needs to be built at all	All of it	Yes		1	1			1					Not at all, most of the employees at Hydro are insulting, and bias
48					Yes			1	1			1		1		No
49																
50																
51																
52	Yes	the line is too close to the town of La Broquerie	move it away from our population	La Broquerie	Yes		1									no
53																
54	No				Unsure									1		yes
55					Yes			1								Yes, thank you
56					Yes											
57					No		1	1	1			1		1	1	Yes
58	Yes		Move line	*QS Provided*	Yes		1	1	1			1	1		1	No
59																
60					Unsure		1		1						1	

					3. Have you attended or plan to attend an open house for the Project?	How did you hear of the Open Houses?										4. Website Information Helpful?
	Additional Concerns/Impacts?	Concern (C)	Mitigation (C)	Location (C)		Postcard	Letter	Newspaper	Website	Phone	Poster	Email	Social Media	Radio	Word of Mouth	
61	No															
62																
63	No				Yes				1			1			1	Yes, but i don't understand how the preferred route would be so close to the town of La Broquerie vs. the other route option that has way less human habitat
64																
65	Yes	The rates of exposure are only shown for the new line. Not taking the two other existing lines that already emit levels that would also impact my family and developing children.	Give us accurate numbers. With all lines considered	West of wescana	Yes		1						1			No. I view it as skewed
66	Yes				Yes			1							1	
67	No				Yes				1			1			1	somewhat
68	No				Yes		1									no
69					Yes			1				1			1	yes it was nice to discuss potential impacts with Hydro personnel at the open houses
70																
71					Unsure										1	
72	No				Yes											no. the people working the open house had no information and wer e not able to answer any questions. The maps they had were outdated and didn't even show some peoples houses.
73																
74	Yes	There are studies that show that the magnetic fields and stray voltage have much greater negative effect on humans and especially domestic animals than currently assumed by the WHO. Going with the route 207 would greatly reduce the number of people and domestic animals that are affected by the line.	Choose the route 207	*QS Provided*	Yes		1	1				1				No. Not at all

	5.Additional information you would like?	6.Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:
1		
2		
3	What other proposed route were on the table?	None at this time.
4	Are properties within 1 mile be bought out	
5	Real health hazards	I do not want the lines under my property! My life and kids are in risk for cancer no matter what some one doing to water down!
6	science is unable to prove a negative, including whether low-level EMFs are completely risk free	
7		
8	My understanding is hydro and government will do wahtever they want	will hydro consider anything besides there profits???
9	i would like to understand how the routes were chosen (real reasons)	The line is a mistake, MB hydro will never make back the money this will cost. The US does not need our power, taxpayers will be on the hook for the incurred debt
10	i'd like to know the environmental impacts at stake. I'd also like to understand the reasoning for the selections made regarding the route as based on the selection criteria explained in Round 2, these were not the principal factors taken into account in choosing the route in La Broquerie area (minimal disruption/risk... to human activity and health...	no one wants this in their backyard so why not avoid as many backyards as possible everywhere you can.
11		
12	How is this going to affect the value of my property?	
13		
14	That you are listening and open to the concerns of the council and residents of the R.M. of La Broquerie. We have clearly stated that we oppose your preferred route. That you consider and choose the alternate route. If that can't be worked out, look at other alternatives. Once the towers are in place, if there are health hazards to humans, it will be too late. Imagine, this is in your yard, near your loved ones, what would you do??	I am a resident of the R.M. of La Broquerie. I went to the last meeting on February 17, 2015. I am very concerned that you, Hydro, have already made your decision on the preferred route. You state that you want local feedback. Well you are getting feedback. The council from the R.M. of La Broquerie are opposed. The residents of the R.M. of La Broquerie are opposed. You have an alternate route. Look more into that one. If that can't be worked out, start looking at other alternatives. We have clearly stated that we are opposed to your preferred route. Once the towers are up, it will be too late. You also state that you want to minimize potential impacts to people and the environment. WOW... If this is true, how can you put up towers in people's backyards, middle of farmers' fields? This is highly disruptive for all of these people. Two of my sons and their families live on Quintro Road. They each have three children. One of the homes is approximately 175 meters from where you propose to put the towers. The play structure is approximately 100 meters. The other home is approximately 315 meters. They put in gardens, grow vegetables, eat healthy, exercise, etc. Imagine wanting to enjoy your yard and not putting yourself and your children at risk. HEALTH is a very big concern. Some studies say that radiation from Power Lines are dangerous for humans up to 2 kms in range. They and others are 175 meters away. As you know there are two schools in La Broquerie, one is approximately 1.4 km and the other one is approximately 1.5 km. away from your proposed route. In your studies, there is no conclusive evidence that there are no health issues. BE HONEST... Would you want towers in your backyard? Would you want your children, grandchildren playing there? I asked this question to one of your representatives and this person answered NO, I wouldn't. I asked what they would do. This person said that they would do the same as the residents of La Broquerie and oppose the project. Another big concern is property value, resale of these homes. It will be very difficult for them to sell their homes with towers in their backyards. They have all built nice homes on nice lots. They want the right to enjoy their home, their yard, peace and quiet. It is not right to impose these towers on the residents of La Broquerie. I also asked if the alternate route cost more money and was told that the cost was about the same. Human impact should be top priority. I sincerely hope that you respect our wishes and choose an alternative route. Imagine, this is your back yard, your loved ones in danger. BE HONEST! What would you do? I believe you would oppose this as we are.
15	I would like to know where the poles will be located on the actual property.	I believe that the risk on the environment outweighs the benefit of this project tenfold. Building up this area would be more expensive then it is worth.

	5.Additional information you would like?	6.Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:
16	The real reason why Route 208 was chosen rather than route 207?	I am a resident of the R.M. of La Broquerie. I went to the last meeting on February 17, 2015. I am very concerned Manitoba Hydro, has already made its' decision on the preferred route. It seems with complete disregard for the R.M. of La Broquerie expressed and officially noted opposition to route 208. The residents of the R.M. of La Broquerie are opposed. The residents not only of adjoining land but also the residents of close to an entire community (La Broquerie) have clearly stated their opposition to your preferred route. Once the towers are up, it will be too late. It is Hydro's intent to minimize potential impacts to people and the environment. I fail to comprehend how putting up towers in people's backyards, middle of farmers' fields achieves these goals? This is highly disruptive for all of these people. I have family members and friends and relatives that own homes situated approximately 175 meters from where you propose to put the towers. Children play structures gardens, other play activities are also very close. They put in gardens, grow vegetables, eat healthy, exercise, etc. Imagine wanting to enjoy your yard and not putting yourself and your children at risk. HEALTH is a very big concern. Some studies say that radiation from Power Lines are dangerous for humans up to 2 kms in range. They and others are 175 meters away. Both La Broquerie schools are less than 1.5 km away from your proposed route. Although your studies state that there is no conclusive evidence that there are no health issues. I would argue that for every study you can produce stating no or a minimum of negative effects, one can find one stating the very opposite. I believe none of the decision makers in this process actually would want your children, grandchildren living and playing there? If so, I am certainly certain that many existing residents are willing to sell NOW!!!! Another big concern is property value, resale of these homes. It will be very difficult for them to sell their homes with towers in their backyards. They have all built nice homes on nice lots. They want the right to enjoy their home, their yard, peace and quiet. It is not right to impose these towers on the residents of La Broquerie. I was told that the cost was approximately the same for Route 208 as for route 207, and that a primary concern is environmental impact. I trust Human impact should not be neglected as a top priority. I sincerely hope that you respect our wishes and choose an alternative route. Imagine, this is your back yard, your loved ones in danger. BE HONEST! What would you do? I believe you would oppose this as we are.
17	I would like to see the research that has been done by people who have NOT been paid by hydro to do research on the health effects of EMF's on humans and animals. Or how about you guys actually do more research than blindly listening to old information. But then again you'd maybe grow a conscience and realize how many peoples and animals lives would be at risk... which would obviously not be in your favour.	The bottom line is that this line is a useless waste of money that is only going to cost Manitobans more money. Solar energy is on the rise and the costs will be matching Hydro by 2024 which will decrease the number on users of hydro. Manitobans Hydro is going to keep going up for the next 30 years and will be lining your bosses pockets instead of helping us pay less for our energy. The US is able to create their own energy cleaner and cheaper than they can buy it off us so how long do you really think they will be paying to use the line. In the end of course you guys will do what you want and it's a horrifying thought that you all can sit at your desks and hear our concerns and do ABSOLUTELY NOTHING to change the outcome of this line. Who cares if children die, humans get sick and eventually die and livestock get sick and go crazy due to constant bombardment of EMF's. It's not effecting you so why should you care? As long as you get a pay check right? Do a favour for the citizens that will be effected by this and do a little more research before you blindly except the low standards that have been put in place for EMF's. www.safespaceprotection.com should get you started.
18		
19		
20		
21		
22		
23		
24		
25		
26		
27		
28		
29	none	my only concern is of reduced property value because this transmission line is in full view

	5.Additional information you would like?	6.Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:
30	Clearer drawings, exact information	Find other solutions, other more environmentally- and humanly-friendly ways to provide a service and to do business.
31	I understand the project but do NOT agree with the project	I do not believe this project is beneficial to any Manitoban
32	no explanation given as to why the route on crown land was dropped after round 1; no good reason why; explain to me why we suffer so exports can be done; we do not gain anything	Placing the route on private land makes no sense when crown land is nearby; can be minimal affect on private landowners. YOU HAVE ANOTHER OPTION!
33	You telling us that the eastern line 207 will be preffered over the 208.	Please consider the lives you will be affecting by choosing the 208 line. The 207 will have a minimul impact on people therefore it should be seriously considered the best one.
34	more specfic locations i.e square footage of damage to the forest - I would appreciate being mailed detailed maps	
35		
36		
37		
38		
39		
40		
41	Information on why the route was identified through farm/residential area and not parallelling other utility lines through the RM of Reynolds	I support moving the line farther East to avoid farming and residential properties and paralleling utility and road allowances in the RM of Reynolds
42		
43	Feedback, facts about what disk occur for people who are currently living by lines. My assumptions are that no one in their right mind would purchase homes within 200 meters from the lines. Only low income people would take advantage, not that it makes it right.	IM very disappointed to see this option being the preferred route. This will definitely have a negative impact on my family.
44		
45	The only information that will make a difference is the news that they are no longer going to build this line.	

	5.Additional information you would like?	6.Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:
46	I would like to know the revenue that will be guaranteed upon completion of this project and I would like to know why I as a ratepayer have to have continuous increases in my hydro rates if this project is so profitable. If Hydro's USA clients Re going to pay, then shouldn't the expenses be borne by them and wouldn't the profits come from them and not us subsidizing them??	We like hydro power and do believe it can be a relatively " green" option, but i do not trust Manitoba Hydro,(nor the Selinger government) not after reading Graham Lane's paper on the subject of all of this.
47	I just wish that citizens that pay for this are given fair voice, so much for elected governments and bureaucrats	We have chosen to move out of the province due to the bad mojo created by Hydro
48	The employee needed to have a better access of where the road I live on is located on their map.	Please move it farther away. Or add extra hydro lines to current hydro towers that exist.
49		
50		
51		
52	you have no concern about EMF pollution	We have a power line going through La Broquerie with 20 milligauss of pollution and 5 milligauss is considered safe and now you want to add another massive line through our community it is insane
53		
54	how many power line structures will be on the property of concern	what tpe of power line towers are intended to be used, and is there compensation, how much for the property of concern?
55	continue the communication	I don't 100% agree with the project but I am okay with the route hydro has taken as long as the environmental and conservation concerns have been met
56		I am in opposition to the project.
57	Not at this time, thank you.	
58	You people do not listen to the public of the country	Listen
59		
60		

	5.Additional information you would like?	6.Please provide any additional comments/concerns/issues you have regarding the project:
61		
62		
63	I would like to know that the project will be moved further East from La Broquerie	I'm very concerned for the health of my family and the resale value of my current house
64		
65	Accurate numbers based on my exposure and the long term effects. And if there is nine then give me a letter guaranteeing that	Send people to the forum that are from hydro. Not people representing hydro. It's viewed as impersonal. Also the people you sent couldn't explain the information given to them. When asked what things meant they could not provide me with an answer. And the professional was not in attendance.
66		
67	reason for the route , why not follow all the other lines	projects like this need to be run in areas whre it does not effect the land owners, plenty of waste land and wooded areas to put these !!
68	the consultant was uninformed about the real world, no concept of rural property size and what ownership of land meant to land owners. she just spit up info from the brouchers that i could read myself.	do not negatively impact private land. landowners main concern is trespassing by ORV's and hunters.
69	confirmation of the route	it was very nice to be so well informed especially to discuss the route selection and effects with Pat and Trevor
70		
71		
72		it is not right to destroy a community when there are plenty of other options for the route with minimal impact on homes. Go east and down and you only go through bush...
73		
74	More detailed information why route 208 was chosen over route 207. Liability issue, how it might affect my insurance in the years ahead.	It concerns me the lack of information we were given at the open houses. There was no information why the route 208 was chosen over 207. It seems to me that the dead people and the environment are more important than the wellbeing of humans that have to live close to the line.

Appendix E

E1 – Stakeholder Group and
Landowner Meeting Minutes

E2 – Comment Sheet Data

E3 – Online Comment Sheet
Data

E4 – Landowner Form Data

E5 – Mapping Data

E6 – Email and Telephone
Summary

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF001Z	Zhoda	ALO 097	18			Y	Y	Y	Y	100-400m	Y	electric fencing- open with trees	N		N		N
R3-LF002Z	Zhoda	ALO 141	18						N		N						
R3-LF003Z	Zhoda	ALO 098, MLO 459, MLO 041	20				Y	Y	N		Y	gravel, aggregate deposits, government tested	N		N		N
R3-LF004Z	Zhoda	MLO 233 & ALO 037	18			Y			Y	100-400m	Y	Pond for watering cattle close to #12 on the east side of property	N		N		N
R3-LF005Z	Zhoda	MLO 693	18/19			Y			Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF006Z	Zhoda	ALO 106	18	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y		Y	A lot of fences. Residence on SW corner(?).	N		N		N
R3-LF001P	Piney	ALO 035	23		Y	Y	Y		N		N	wide open land, formerly cropland	N		N		N
R3-LF002P	Piney	ALO 084	20	Y	Y	Y			N	>400m		Mostly conservation land	N		N		N
R3-LF001W	Winnipeg	MLO 1929	5				Y		Y	100-400m	Y	woodlot to Nrth	N		N		N
R3-LF002W	Winnipeg	MLO 1934		Y		Y			Y	100-400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF003W	Winnipeg	MLO 1962	6														
R3-LF004W	Winnipeg	MLO 1459							N		N		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF005W	Winnipeg	MLO 880	5		Y	Y			Y	100-400m	Y	Shelterbelt	N		N		N
R3-LF006W	Winnipeg	MLO 1901	5		Y	Y			Y		N		N		N		N
R3-LF007W	Winnipeg	MLO 072 and MLO 658	8	Y		Y			N			Along MH owned ROW near Dugald	N		N		N
R3-LF003P	Piney	ALO 134				Y			N			Plan to have a traditional medicine training centre on the property	N		N		N
R3-LF008W	Winnipeg	MLO 1609	5														
R3-LF009W	Winnipeg	MLO 1002	7	Y		Y			Y	75-100m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF010W	Winnipeg	MLO 791	6						Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF011W	Winnipeg	MLO 160					Y		N			Wooded, used to have a mobile home					
R3-LF012W	Winnipeg	MLO 1943	5			Y	Y		Y	>400m	Y	Shelterbelt (20 ft)	N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF013W	Winnipeg	MLO 2146		Y		Y	Y		N		N	Residence planned for 2016. 1.55 km away. East of Cottonwood, on 46 N, east of 42 (just east of existing)	N		N		Y
R3-LF014W	Winnipeg	MLO 2106	5		Y	Y			Y	75-100m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF001L	La Broquerie	MLO 758							Y	>400m							
R3-LF002L	La Broquerie	MLO 028	13			Y			Y	75-100m	Y	home, shed, garage	N		N		N
R3-LF003L	La Broquerie	MLO 494	15					Y	Y				N		N		N
R3-LF004L	La Broquerie	ALO 023	12	Y	Y		Y	Y	Y	100-400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF005L	La Broquerie	ALO 109	14			Y			N			treed-in lots, wooded lots in the past	N		N		N
R3-LF007L	LaBroquerie	MLO 298	12						Y	>400m	Y	NE-1-9-7 home quarter (res); fenced on NE-36-8-7E	N		N		N
R3-LF008L	LaBroquerie	MLO 360	14						Y		Y	treed area	N		N		N
R3-LF010L	LaBroquerie	MLO 170 (Darren Dundas)	14														
R3-LF011L	LaBroquerie	MLO 243 (Enterprise LaVerendrye Golf Course)	14				Y		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF009L	LaBroquerie	MLO 264	14														

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF012L	LaBroquerie	MLO 108	14		Y				Y		N		N		N		N
R3-LF013L	LaBroquerie	MLO 055	14		Y				Y		N		N				N
R3-LF014L	LaBroquerie	ALO 048; MLO 369	15			Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	2 residences on property; building lots in future; green zone of RM development	N		N		N
R3-LF024L	La Broquerie	MLO 623	13						Y	75-100m	Y	20 acre property mostly woodlot (18 acres)					
R3-LF015L	LaBroquerie	ALO 029	16						Y	>400m		tree farm	N		N		N
R3-LF025L	La Broquerie	MLO 231 and MLO 571	15	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	75-100m	N	Land is open along road	N		N		N
R3-LF016L	LaBroquerie	MLO 297	14					Y	Y	>400m							
R3-LF017L	LaBroquerie	MLO 611	14				Y										
R3-LF018L	LaBroquerie	MLO 022	14						Y		N		N		N		N
R3-LF019L	LaBroquerie	MLO 700	14		Y	Y			Y	100-400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF026L	La Broquerie	MLO 255, MLO 343 and ALO 059	15	Y	Y	Y			Y	100-400m	Y	W 1/2 5-6-8E wooded area (planning on clearing for agriculture. N guy wires. shelter belt.	N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF020L	LaBroquerie	MLO 283	14		Y	Y			Y	100-400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF021L	LaBroquerie	ALO 111	17				Y		Y		Y	trees and retention pond	N		N		N
R3-LF027L	LaBroquerie	MLO 740	14						Y		N		N		N		N
R3-LF022L	La Broquerie	MLO 614	14			Y			Y		N		N		N		N
R3-LF028L	LaBroquerie	ALO 018	16		Y	Y	Y	Y	N		N	line going through some bush and open land	N		N		N
R3-LF023L	La Broquerie	MLO 2147	16		Y	Y			Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF029L	LaBroquerie	ALO 079	14	Y	Y	Y			N		Y	trees at Nrtheast corner and wetland on west side of property	N		N		N
R3-LF030L	Phone Call	ALO 054	19					Y	N					uncertain		uncertain	
R3-LF031L	LaBroquerie	MLO 202	14			Y			Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF032L	LaBroquerie	MLO 130	14		Y	Y			Y	100-400m							
R3-LF034L	LaBroquerie	ALO 078	14		Y	Y			N				N		N		N
R3-LF035L	LaBroquerie	MLO 189	14			Y				>400m							
R3-LF036L	LaBroquerie	MLO 267	14		Y				Y	>400m	N		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF037L	LaBroquerie	MLO 165	14						Y	75-100m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF038L	LaBroquerie	MLO 534	14				Y		Y	100-400m		lot setback from road west of ROW (approx 300m)	N		N		N
R3-LF039L	LaBroquerie	MLO 611	14						Y	>400m		tree stand approx 100m away (NW 32-6-8E1)					
R3-LF040L	LaBroquerie	ALO 034, ALO 014, ALO 058	15				Y		Y		Y	shelterbelt along half-mile (evergreens), between #3 on map; rusted fence line	N		N		N
R3-LF041L	LaBroquerie	MLO 673	14														
R3-LF042L	LaBroquerie	ALO 62; MLO 359	14			Y			N		Y	trees over where house would be but Nt going to build Nw; plan to build home and shop on ALO 062	N		N		N
R3-LF043L	LaBroquerie	MLO 394	14														
R3-LF044L	LaBroquerie	ALO 030	16						N		N	NW 10-5-8E1	N		N		N
R3-LF045L	LaBroquerie	ALO 126	16		Y	Y			N		N	warmup shack adjacent to ROW, approx 50m from line	N		N		N
R3-LF046L	La Broquerie	ALO 113	16	Y		Y			Y	>400m			N		N		N
R3-LF054L	LaBroquerie	MLO 016	14						Y								
R3-LF055L	LaBroquerie	ALO 008	14						Y	100-400m	Y	woodstand and creek	N		N		Y

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF056L	LaBroquerie	MLO 018	15		Y	Y			Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF047L	La Broquerie	MLO 594	14/15			Y			Y	>400m		Pond on PR 210 land	N		N		Y
R3-LF057L	LaBroquerie	ALO 131	16	Y	Y	Y			Y	>400m	N	2 homes on parcel, approx 650m from route	N		N		N
R3-LF048L	La Broquerie	MLO 622	14						Y	>400m			N		N		N
R3-LF058L	LaBroquerie	MLO 491	15														
R3-LF049L	La Broquerie	MLO 676	14						Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF059L	LaBroquerie	MLO 757	14						Y	100-400m							
R3-LF060L	LaBroquerie	MLO 416	15		Y	Y			Y	100-400m							
R3-LF050L	La Broquerie	MLO 019	14						Y				N		N		N
R3-LF061L	LaBroquerie	ALO 031	19	Y	Y	Y			Y		Y	shelter from trees around river used for recreation	N		N		N
R3-LF062L	LaBroquerie	ALO 114	14		Y	Y			N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF051L	La Broquerie	ALO 065, MLO 397	15				Y		Y	>400m	Y	fence on property line and cross fence	N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF063L	LaBroquerie	ALO 016	14		Y	Y			Y	100-400m		all cultivated land	N		N		N
R3-LF052L	La Broquerie	MLO 184	15				Y		Y	100-400m	Y		N		N		N
R3-LF064L	LaBroquerie	MLO 010	14						Y	>400m			N		N		N
R3-LF053L	La Broquerie	ALO 071					Y		Y	>400m	N		N		N		Y
R3-LF033L	LaBroquerie	ALO 088	14			Y			Y	>400m	N	2 homes on parcel of land	N		N		Y
R3-LF001S	Ste. Anne	ALO 067			Y	Y	Y	Y	Y	75-100m			N		N		N
R3-LF002S	Ste Anne	MLO 185							Y		N		N		N		N
R3-LF003S	Ste Anne	ALO 044	14						N			treed area - entire parcel	Y	adjacent to property. NE 20-7-6 E	N		N
R3-LF004S	Ste Anne	ALO 077					Y		Y		Y		N		N		
R3-LF005S	Ste Anne	3 km from home	11														
R3-LF006S	Ste Anne	MLO 1386			Y	Y				>400m	N						
R3-LF007S	Ste Anne	ALO 061, MLO 337								100-400m		swamp land in the corner of the land	N		N		

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF008S	Ste Anne	ALO 087		Y					N		N	all cultivated lands	N		N		N
R3-LF009S	Ste Anne	MLO 625	8				Y										
R3-LF010S	Ste Anne	ALO 102								100-400m			N		N		
R3-LF011S	Ste Anne	ALO 072 and MLO 254 (same property)	12		Y	Y			Y	75-100m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF012S	Ste Anne	ALO 115, MLO 590				Y			Y	>400m							
R3-LF013S	Ste Anne	ALO 133	12	Y	Y	Y	Y		Y	>400m			N		N	Richer is the closest	N
R3-LF014S	Ste Anne	ALO 038							Y	100-400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF015S	Ste Anne	MLO 065							Y	>400m							
R3-LF016S	Ste Anne	ALO 049	13				Y		Y		N		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF017S	Ste Anne	ALO 100	11		Y				Y	100-400m	Y	woods, ponds	N		N		N
R3-LF018S	Ste Anne	ALO 045							N				N		N		N
R3-LF019S	Ste Anne	ALO 032, MLO 177	12		Y	Y	Y		Y		N	All bush right Nw	N		N		N
R3-LF020S	Ste Anne	ALO 140	11			Y			Y		Y	gravel pit (surface)- more than 14 ft under hard pan- identified on map. Clear cut 10 years ago (young poplar Nw)	N		N		N
R3-LF021S	Ste. Anne	MLO 692	11					Y	Y	100-400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF036S	Ste Anne	ALO 107		Y	Y	Y			Y	100-400m			N		N		N
R3-LF022S	Ste. Anne	MLO 517	11						Y	>400m							
R3-LF037S	Ste Anne	ALO 118	12			Y			Y				N		N		N
R3-LF023S	Ste. Anne	MLO 471	11			Y			Y	>400m		home is in St. Genevieve, 1.58km from line					
R3-LF024S	Ste. Anne	MLO 539	12						Y	>400m		1.2 km from preferred route					
R3-LF025S	Ste. Anne	MLO 729	12						Y	>400m		house about 600m from line					
R3-LF026S	Ste. Anne	MLO 717	12			Y			Y	>400m		residence 1.2km from line					

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF038S	Ste Anne	MLO 508, ALO 094	11						Y	>400m	N		N		N		Y
R3-LF027S	Ste. Anne	MLO 185	12														
R3-LF028S	Ste. Anne	MLO 259	11			Y						home 1.3km from line					
R3-LF039S	Ste Anne	MLO 097	9					Y									
R3-LF040S	Ste Anne	ALO 076, ALO 093	14		Y	Y			Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF029S	Ste. Anne	MLO 714, MLO 713	11														
R3-LF030S	Ste. Anne	MLO 546	12						Y	>400m		0.913km from residence	N		N		
R3-LF031S	Ste. Anne	MLO 280	11														
R3-LF032S	Ste. Anne	ALO 115	11		Y												
R3-LF033S	Ste. Anne	ALO 015	12		Y				Y								
R3-LF034S	Ste. Anne	ALO 007	11		Y				Y	100-400m							
R3-LF035S	Ste. Anne	ALO 073	11						N				N		N		N
R3-LF041S	Ste Anne	MLO 653															

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF042S	Ste Anne	ALO 103	10			Y	Y		Y		Y	yard site, machine shed on edge within ROW. Mobile and house are both rented	Y	approximately 345 m Nrth of ROW - since 1976. Bended airstrip	N		
R3-LF044S	Ste. Anne	ALO 028, MLO 306	12						Y		Y	woodlot (heating, fires); residence on ALO property	N		N		N
R3-LF045S	Ste. Anne	MLO 1686	7		Y				Y	100-400m	N		N		N		Y
R3-LF046S	Ste. Anne	ALO 046, ALO 050	10						N		Y	woodlot, pasture	N		N		N
R3-LF043S	Ste Anne	ALO 121			Y				Y	>400m	Y	fences	N		N		N
R3-LF047S	Ste. Anne	ALO 139	12						Y	>400m			N		N		N
R3-LF048S	Ste. Anne	ALO 127	12					Y									
R3-LF049S	Ste. Anne	ALO 025, MLO 143	12	Y		Y			Y	100-400m	Y	fenced on both sides of property; standing water in swamp	N		N		N
R3-LF050S	Ste. Anne	MLO 446	10														
R3-LF051S	Ste. Anne	MLO 587	11														
R3-LF052S	Ste. Anne	MLO 076, ALO 108 (Nt on property)	10						Y	>400m		residence about 1/2mile	N		N		N
R3-LF001H	Headingley	MLO 145	2				Y		Y	100-400m		residence 380m from line					
R3-LF002H	Headingley	MLO 1240	2			Y											

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF003H	Headingley	MLO 1357	1			Y			Y	>400m	N						
R3-LF005H	Headingley	MLO 1739	2					Y									
R3-LF006H	Headingley	MLO 1441	3			Y		Y	Y	100-400m		residence approx 380m away					
R3-LF002OB	Oak Bluff	MLO 2068	6				Y		Y	>400m			N		N		N
R3-LF001R	Richer	n/a	12			Y											
R3-LF002R	Richer	ALO 100	11														
R3-LF003R	Richer	ALO 140	11			Y	Y										
R3-LF001OB	Oak Bluff	MLO 2149		Y				Y	N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF003OB	Oak Bluff	MLO 1396	4			Y			Y	>400m			N		N		Y
R3-LF004OB	Oak Bluff	MLO 1532	3		Y	Y			Y	100-400m							
R3-LF004R	Richer	MLO 2148					Y		N	100-400m	N		N		N		Y
R3-LF005OB	Oak Bluff	MLO 925	4						Y	>400m		residence 500m from line on 12acre lot	N		N		N
R3-LF006OB	Oak Bluff	MLO 1312	4						N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF005R	Richer	ALO 032	1				Y										
R3-LF007R	Richer	ALO 080	15				Y		Y	>400m	N		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF006R	Richer	ALO 107	10		Y	Y											
R3-LF008R	Richer	ALO 092	12										N		N		
R3-LF009R	Richer	ALO 099	13						N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF001D	Dugald	ALO 120	11		Y	Y			Y	100-400m							
R3-LF002D	Dugald	MLO 145						Y									
R3-LF004D	Dugald	MLO 518	9	Y			Y		Y		N	residence Nt owned	N		N		N
R3-LF005D	Dugald	ALO 038	11		Y				Y	100-400m	Y	trees	N		N		N
R3-LF006D	Dugald	MLO 429	10						Y	100-400m	N		Y	SW 29-10-7E	N		
R3-LF001A	landowner home	ALO 052	17		Y	Y			N		Y	trees; recreational trail, hunting; wildlife mgt	N		N		N
R3-LF002A	Winnipeg	MLO 940	5	Y	Y	Y		Y	Y	>400m	N		N		N		N
R3-LF001ST	Hyllife office	ALO 057	16/17			Y	Y										
R3-LF004A	Phone Call	ALO 036	13			Y			N								

AECOM Reference Number	Venue	ALO/MLO #	Map ID	Tower Spotting	Route Modification	Mapping	Follow Up	Other	Is there a residence on the parcel?	If so, how close is it to an Alternative Route Segment?	Are their potential obstructions (such as shelterbelts, trees (woodlot), structures, retention ponds) along the Preferred Route through your property?	Explain	Is there an airstrip, on or adjacent to this property?	Air strip details	Is there a communication tower on or adjacent to this property?	Communication tower details	Are there approved subdivision applications on this property?
R3-LF003A	Ste. Anne/Letter	ALO 086 and ALO 074			Y	N	Y	Y	Y	100-400m	Y	trees, a valued ecosystem, selectively used as firewood	N		N		N
R3-LF001T	Steinbach	ALO 036	14		Y	Y			N								N

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF001Z		Own	One parcel in estate with son	Annual Cropping	also includes hayland/forage, pasture/grazing and livestock production. Automotive repair and sales and wrecking yard.	cereal rotation, sorqum, corn, rotation of hay	cattle	N	N		N	Y
R3-LF002Z		Own	rent out for hayland	Hayland/Forage	bushed fenceline along other property							
R3-LF003Z		Own		Annual Cropping	hayland/forage, feed	feed	cattle	N	N		N	Y
R3-LF004Z		Own		Pasture/Grazing	Landowner prefer to see lattice steel structure as the land could be cultivated		beef cattle	N	N		N	N
R3-LF005Z		Own		Pasture/Grazing	Livestock production		beef cattle. Cow/calf operation	N	N		N	N
R3-LF006Z		Own		Pasture/Grazing	Rural residential	pasture	cow/calf operation - 60 animals					
R3-LF001P		Own	sole owners	Hayland/Forage				N	N		N	N
R3-LF002P	cemetery on section (but Nt on property). NW corner of section. Lisa lives on adjacent parcel, but Nt on affected parcel.	Own		Woodlot	conservation, selectively take wood, gravel, horses							
R3-LF001W		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF002W		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF003W												
R3-LF004W		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF005W		Own		Other	City of Winnipeg Residential							
R3-LF006W		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF007W		Own	Own- partner in Corporation SE 27 and SW 26. Lease SE 26-10 5E	Annual Cropping		canola, sunflower, corn, wheat, barley, oats, soyabean	hogs	Y	Y		N	Y
R3-LF003P		Own		Other	Harvest medicines: cedar etc.-personal and some people go with her. Harvest medicines and package them.			N	N		N	N
R3-LF008W												
R3-LF009W		Own		Rural Residential				N	N			N
R3-LF010W		Own	Home on Forbes	Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF011W		Own	Would like to and is currently trying to sell property. Only has 1 title which splits the property in two. He anticipates additional width necessary or are corridors here? Makes it difficult to sell, municipality won't approve subdivision. Difficult position.		Bush land, farmable							N
R3-LF012W		Own		Annual Cropping		Canola or wheat, flax		N	Y		N	N

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF013W		Own		Other	bush							
R3-LF014W		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF001L												
R3-LF002L		Own		Commercial/Industrial	parking for truck, bobcat, etc.			N	N		N	N
R3-LF003L		Own	and lease to neighbours for agricultural use	Annual Cropping	and hayland/forage	corn, hay		Y	Y		N	N
R3-LF004L		Own		Rural Residential	and other: potential orchid development (organic)			N	N		N	N
R3-LF005L	Plans have been discussed with RM & Steinbach developers	Own	sole owners	Other	potential for development, current zoning Nt identified			N	N		N	N
R3-LF007L		Own		Pasture/Grazing	approximately 60 cattle (NE-36-8-7E1)		cattle	N	N		N	N
R3-LF008L		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF010L												
R3-LF011L		Own	own in partnership	Other	golf course							
R3-LF009L												

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF012L		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF013L				Rural Residential								
R3-LF014L	potential in future	Own	subdivided lot on quarter section	Annual Cropping	annual cropping; hayland/forage; pasture/grazing; livestock production; farmstead; rural residential	forage, grain	beef	N	Y		N	Y
R3-LF024L												
R3-LF015L		Own		Other	tree farm: scotch pine, red pine, jack pine, spruce (managed woodlot)							
R3-LF025L		Own		Annual Cropping	rural residential and commercial/industrial	corn, etc.						
R3-LF016L		Own	with wife	Other	20 acres residential							
R3-LF017L												
R3-LF018L		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF019L		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF026L		Own		Annual Cropping	Hayland/forage, woodlot, farmstead, rural residential	alfalfa, corn, soyabeans	dairy	Y	Y		N	Y

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF020L		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF021L		Own		Rural Residential	future livestock use on property (Nt currently)							
R3-LF027L		Own		Rural Residential	2 acres							
R3-LF022L		Own	rented out	Annual Cropping	Rural residential, 44 acres							
R3-LF028L		Own		Other	Nt using land right Nw, plan to have cattle on property			N	N		N	N
R3-LF023L		Own		Livestock	rural residential		horses and cattle beginning in spring of 2015	N	N		N	N
R3-LF029L		Own		Annual Cropping		alfalfa, corn, peas, caNla		Y	N		Y	N
R3-LF030L		Own		Other	bought property for investment, currently Nt used agriculturally			N	N		N	N
R3-LF031L		Own		Other	residential, live in the village of LaBroquerie			N	N		N	N
R3-LF032L												
R3-LF034L		Own		Annual Cropping	10 acres of park; 15 acres of seeding	corn, soybean, caNla		Y	N		N	N
R3-LF035L												
R3-LF036L		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF037L		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF038L		Own		Rural Residential	property is close to river							
R3-LF039L		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF040L		Own		Annual Cropping	also hayland/forage	hay, corns, caNla, winter wheat, barley; ALO058: seeded alfalfa and companion crops (2015); ALO 014: soybeans (2015)		Y	Y	depends on weather	N	N
R3-LF041L												
R3-LF042L		Own		Hayland/Forage								
R3-LF043L												
R3-LF044L		Own		Pasture/Grazing		seeded hay	planned cattle	N	N		N	N
R3-LF045L		Own	7 Oaks Game & Fish Assn.	Other	Recreational			N	N		N	N
R3-LF046L		Own	owned by Porcherie Gauthier Ltd.	Annual Cropping	Hayland forage, pasture/grazing, livestock production, rural residential	mostly hay, corn	hogs and cattle	Y	N	Nt currently	N	Y
R3-LF054L												
R3-LF055L	5 acre cut out for home	Own		Hayland/Forage		seeded for hay and barley (rotation)			N		N	N

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF056L	possible split, probably 5 acre parcel (NW 20-6-8 EPM, SW 4-6-8 EPM)	Own		Annual Cropping		alfalfa (3 year), corn, soy, sunflowers	dairy cattle on NW	Y	Y	1 in 10 years	N	Y
R3-LF047L	Subdivision application for 3 story condos	Own		Rural Residential	Second property - plans to develop for rural residential.							
R3-LF057L		Own		Annual Cropping	annual cropping, hayland/forage, pasture/grazing, livestock production, rural residential	hay and corn	cattle and calves	Y	N		N	Y
R3-LF048L		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF058L		Own	whole quarter section	Hayland/Forage	rents out for agriculture	hay, grain			N		N	N
R3-LF049L	Plans to subdivide this year	Own		Rural Residential	other: hobby farm		for own consumption	N	N		N	N
R3-LF059L		Own		Hayland/Forage	large garden, lets neighbour farmer set hay in exchange for manure on the garden; goats and chickens this summer		goats and chickens this summer for personal meat, milk and eggs					N
R3-LF060L		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF050L		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF061L		Own	Dave and Monica Theissen	Hayland/Forage	also recreational	hay			N			N
R3-LF062L		Own		Annual Cropping	row crop	corn, beans	N	Y	Y		N	N
R3-LF051L		Own		Hayland/Forage	Pasture/grazing, hayland/forage, rural residential	alfalfa	beef and horses	N	N		N	N

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF063L		Own		Annual Cropping	hay, grain, pasture (300 cattle in the field where the line is going through)	corn, oats, hay	cattle, a couple of horses	N	Y	if too wet to do ground spraying	N	
R3-LF052L		Own		Rural Residential	35 acres, other: fish pond							
R3-LF064L		Own										
R3-LF053L	2 x 40 acre parcels	Own		Pasture/Grazing		seeded hay	cattle - 20 head	N	N		N	N
R3-LF033L	approved 2 parcels	Own	Anita and Luc Tetrault	Annual Cropping	and rural residential	corn, soy beans, barley		N	N		N	N
R3-LF001S		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF002S		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF003S		Own		Woodlot	recreational area			N	N		N	N
R3-LF004S		Own		Rural Residential	80 acres							
R3-LF005S												
R3-LF006S												
R3-LF007S	Nt on the section. We already moved the line off the subdivision on his property. Just clipping corner.	Own		Woodlot	cut wood off property, affected corner is low lying swamp - N trees.							

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF008S		Own		Hayland/Forage	Hayland that gets cultivated every 4-5 years	hayland		N	N		N	N
R3-LF009S												
R3-LF010S		Own			residence. Potential for hobby farm							
R3-LF011S		Own		Hayland/Forage	Rural residential	Hay		N	N		N	N
R3-LF012S												
R3-LF013S		Own		Rural Residential	trout production (zoned as aquaculture with fruit trees on property)		produce trout	Y	N		N	N
R3-LF014S		Own		Annual Cropping	Rural residential	barley, wheat		Y	N		N	N
R3-LF015S		Own		Pasture/Grazing	woodlot- firewood	pasture	horses and cattle					N
R3-LF016S		Own		Rural Residential	82 acres							

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF017S		Own		Woodlot	Rural residential. The property has protected aggregate - natural resource. Mines Branch has identified this property with a special designation.			N	N		N	N
R3-LF018S		Own		Pasture/Grazing		Was pasture land before capability of natural hay		N	N		N	N
R3-LF019S	Pending right Nw - discussed with TJ	Own		Other	zoned agricultural							
R3-LF020S		Own		Pasture/Grazing							N	Y
R3-LF021S		Own		Rural Residential		N	N	N	N		N	N
R3-LF036S		Own		Hayland/Forage		was seeded hay prior to 1997 flood						
R3-LF022S												
R3-LF037S	N plans to subdivide, N plans for easement	Own		Rural Residential	commercial/industrial: back 35 recreational							
R3-LF023S												
R3-LF024S												
R3-LF025S												
R3-LF026S												

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF038S	homestead subdivided out and approved (5 acres). RM of Tache is re-zoning frontage.	Own		Pasture/Grazing	Rural residential			N	N		N	N
R3-LF027S		Own		Rural Residential		Nne		N	N		N	N
R3-LF028S												
R3-LF039S												
R3-LF040S		Own		Annual Cropping	livestock production, rural residential	hay, corn, soyabean	dairy cattle (500 animals)	Y	N	Nt right Nw, but uses once every 10 years.	N	Y
R3-LF029S												
R3-LF030S		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF031S												
R3-LF032S												
R3-LF033S		Own		Pasture/Grazing	also rural residential		about 45 cattle	N	N		N	N
R3-LF034S		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF035S												
R3-LF041S												

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF042S	Originally planned to subdivide 5 acre parcels on the south portion, but did Nt go through (did Nt subdivide)	Own		Hayland/Forage	Rural residential, oats for deer is proposed ROW, alfalfa (approx. 30 acres) and alfalfa garden areas on property as well.	oats, alfalfa, hay						
R3-LF044S	but plans to subdivide in the future	Own		Rural Residential	and woodlot							
R3-LF045S		Own				N	N	N	N		N	N
R3-LF046S		Own	joint owners (wife and sister)	Pasture/Grazing	and woodlot							
R3-LF043S	-future plan for retirement, 3 x 5 acre lots along Nrth side of property	Own		Pasture/Grazing	Rural residential		40 head of cattle	N	N		N	N
R3-LF047S		Own		Rural Residential				N	N		N	N
R3-LF048S												
R3-LF049S		Own		Pasture/Grazing	rural residential; plans for small hobby farm		potential for smal hobby farm					
R3-LF050S												
R3-LF051S		Own		Rural Residential	and woodlot							
R3-LF052S		Own		Hayland/Forage	and rural residential	hay, seeded alfalfa, hay						
R3-LF001H												
R3-LF002H												

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF003H						alfalfa, corn, barely, soya bean		Y	N		N	N
R3-LF005H												
R3-LF006H					residential use							
R3-LF002OB				Rural Residential			2 horses	N	N		N	N
R3-LF001R												
R3-LF002R												
R3-LF003R												
R3-LF001OB		Own		Annual Cropping		wheat, oats, caNla, soy bean		Y	N	but existing towers have stopped him from using aerial application	N	N
R3-LF003OB	subdivided triangle of parcel, divided by railroad track (shown on map)	Own	renting to aNther party	Annual Cropping		grain			N		N	N
R3-LF004OB												
R3-LF004R	SE of property a subdivision is pending. RM of Ste Anne.	Own		Rural Residential	Intention to build a residence. 5 acres. Decision pending this project.							
R3-LF005OB		Own		Rural Residential								
R3-LF006OB		Own		Annual Cropping		wheat, soy, caNla, corn, sunflower	N	Y	Y	last resort	N	N
R3-LF005R												
R3-LF007R		Own		Annual Cropping		alfalfa, soy, sunflowers	dairy	Y	Y		N	Y

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF006R												
R3-LF008R		Own		Hayland/Forage		seeded hay about 4-5 years ago						
R3-LF009R		Own		Pasture/Grazing		N	N	N	N		N	N
R3-LF001D												
R3-LF002D												
R3-LF004D		Own		Annual Cropping		corn, sunflowers, wheat, soybeans		Y	Y		N	N
R3-LF005D	future subdivision potential	Own	owned by Ed Rak (father); son (Tom Rak) will likely own	Hayland/Forage	and woodlot, rural residential and hunting land			N	N		N	N
R3-LF006D		Own		Other	hobby farm; hayland/forage; pasture/grazing	alfalfa, sweet grass, barley	chickens, pigs (hobby farm)	N	N		N	N
R3-LF001A		Own		Other	recreational, wildlife mgt, hunting	n/a	n/a	N	N		N	N
R3-LF002A		Own		Other	Residential	na	na					
R3-LF001ST				Livestock	and pasture/grazing		hogs, cattle					Y
R3-LF004A												

AECOM Reference Number	Subdivision details	Are you the sole owner or do you lease the property in question?	Ownership details	How is the land currently being used?	Land use details	If crop production, what types of crops are you growing?	If livestock production, what types of animals are you raising?	Do you use GPS guidance systems in your operation?	Are any of your crops dependent on aerial application?	Aerial application details	Are your farming practices on the property in question organically certified?	Is this an Intensive Livestock Operation?
R3-LF003A		Own		Rural Residential	other: trees selectively used for firewood	usually have a large vegetable garden for family use (organically grown)		N	N		N	N
R3-LF001T	Nthing formally submitted but planning to subdivide, concerned regarding resale value											

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF001Z		Y	solid spreading	N	N		Low		N	N	Nt on section in question	N
R3-LF002Z									N	N		N
R3-LF003Z		Y	solid spreading- above ground	N	N		Low	wildlife Nise, a mile from any road. N road access, so very quiet.	N			N
R3-LF004Z	approx 40 cattle every year	Y	Liquid-drag line	N	N	gardens close to the home both east and west of the home, Nt in ROW	Low	Little from highway	Y	Y	near home	N
R3-LF005Z		Y	Solid spreading	N	N		Medium	Trucks from highway	Y	Y		N
R3-LF006Z		Y	solid spreading and liquid- tank				Low		Y	Y	3 wells	N
R3-LF001P		N		N	N	N	Low		N			N
R3-LF002P							Low		N		water table very high	Y
R3-LF001W							Low	ambient like	N			N
R3-LF002W		N		N	N		Medium	Highway, railway	N	N		N
R3-LF003W												
R3-LF004W		N		N	N		Low	PTH 75	N	N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF005W							Medium	Trains and Highway	N	N	Use cistern	N
R3-LF006W		N		N	N		Low	Train and traffic	N			N
R3-LF007W		Y	Liquid - drag line injection	N	N		Low	Hog barns approx 3/4 mile away	Y	Y		N
R3-LF003P		N		N	N		Low	serene- N Nise except highway	Y	N	old residence	N
R3-LF008W												
R3-LF009W		N		N	N		Low	Nne. concern with proximity and potential increase in Nise	Y	Y		N
R3-LF010W		N		N	N		Low	cars				N
R3-LF011W		N		N					Y	N		N
R3-LF012W		N		N	N		Low	road and farm equipment, geese	N	N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF013W											Future well planned	
R3-LF014W		N		N	N		Low	train and traffic	N			N
R3-LF001L												
R3-LF002L		N		N	N		Low		Y	Y	Also have septic	N
R3-LF003L		N		Y			Low		Y	Y	artesian well from 1958	Y
R3-LF004L		N		N	N	berries, saskatoons	Low		Y	Y		Y
R3-LF005L		N		N	N	N			N			
R3-LF007L		N		N	N		Low		N	N		N
R3-LF008L							Low		Y	Y	Shared with neighbours	N
R3-LF010L												
R3-LF011L							Low	peaceful	Y	Y		N
R3-LF009L												

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF012L								N Nise	Y	Y		N
R3-LF013L												
R3-LF014L		Y	solid spreading	N	N		Low		Y	Y	two on property	Y
R3-LF024L												
R3-LF015L							Low	N Nise except for odd car	Y	Y		N
R3-LF025L							Low	Railway and highway 210	Y	Y	artesian well	
R3-LF016L							Low	however, trains pass by sometimes and feedmill.	Y	Y		
R3-LF017L												
R3-LF018L							Low		Y	Y		Y
R3-LF019L		N		N	N		Low	train on a clear day	N		shared well - street has two wells	Y
R3-LF026L	dairy	Y	solid spreading, liquid- tank and liquid-drag line	N	N		Low	barn, equipment, train tracks	Y	Y	2 wells in yard at NE 17- 6-8 E and 2 wells on yard at SW 20-6-8 E. Identified on map.	

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF020L		N		N	N		Low	zero	Y	Y	two wells	N
R3-LF021L							Low		Y	Y	1	Y
R3-LF027L							Low	very low	Y	Y	shared well for all properties on cul-de-sac	Y
R3-LF022L								Nne	Y	Y		Y
R3-LF028L		N		N	N		Low	Nise from gun range in fall	N			N
R3-LF023L		N		N	N		Low	zero Nise	Y	Y		Y
R3-LF029L		Y	solid spreading	N	N		Low	cars	N			N
R3-LF030L		N		N	N			unsure			uncertain	
R3-LF031L		N		N	N	N	Medium	lawn mowers from golf course, air conditioners from adjacent homes.	Y	Y	feeds other 2 neighbours	N
R3-LF032L												
R3-LF034L		N		Y	N		Low	golf course Nise	N			Y
R3-LF035L												
R3-LF036L		N		N	N		Medium	rail, ATV and sNwmobiles, bikes, traffic	Y	Y		Y

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF037L		N		N	N		Low		Y	Y	next/close to house	N
R3-LF038L							Low		Y	Y	shared well	Y
R3-LF039L		N		N	N		Low		N	N		N
R3-LF040L		Y	solid spreading or liquid (tank)	N	N		Low	highway Nise (low)	Y	Y	capped artesian well on ALO 034; both ALO 058 and ALO 014 are active	Y
R3-LF041L												
R3-LF042L							Low	very low	Y	Y		Y
R3-LF043L												
R3-LF044L		N		N	N		Low		N	N		N
R3-LF045L		N		N	N	N	Low	club functions and farm equipment	N	N		N
R3-LF046L		Y	Liquid-dragline	N	N		Low	tractors	Y	Y	9 wells are active- identified on map	N
R3-LF054L												
R3-LF055L		Y	solid spreading	N	N		Low	Nne	Y	Y	next to home	Y

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF056L		Y	solid spreading and liquid (tank)	N	N		High		Y	Y		N
R3-LF047L							Low	traffic, farm operations, railroad	Y	Y	artesian	N
R3-LF057L	320 head of cattle	Y	liquid (drag line)	N	N		Medium	trucks, wildlife, agriculture, cattle/calf	Y	Y	1 active, 1 Nt active	N
R3-LF048L		N		N	N				Y	Y		N
R3-LF058L							Low		Y	Y	by the house	N
R3-LF049L		N		N	N	N	Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF059L		N					Low	very quiet	N		share well with the neighbours; natural spring that water comes out of the ground; usually doesn't freeze in the winter; deer come to drink	N
R3-LF060L							Low	very quiet, wants to keep it that way	N	Y	N well, on neighbours property	N
R3-LF050L							Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF061L		N		N			Low		N	N		Y
R3-LF062L		Y	solid spreading, liquid (tank and drag line)	N	N	N	Low		N	N		N
R3-LF051L		Y	solid spreading	N	N		Low		Y	Y		N

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF063L	300 cattle	Y	solid spreading	N	N		Low	quiet	Y	Y		N
R3-LF052L							Low		Y	Y	artesian	Y
R3-LF064L							Low		Y	Y		
R3-LF053L		N		N	N		Low		Y	Y	Located next to home	N
R3-LF033L		N		Y	N	N	Low	train, plane, traffic	Y	Y	Nt near ROW	Y
R3-LF001S		N		N	N		Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF002S							Low		Y	Y		Y
R3-LF003S		N		N	N		Low	very quiet	Y	N	hand dug (Nt used for drinking)	N
R3-LF004S								zero	Y		wells Nt active yet	N
R3-LF005S												
R3-LF006S												
R3-LF007S							Medium	trucks from Reimer Soil operation travelling down road	Y	Y	2 wells, 1/4 mile away from route. 1 drinking water, 1 return well for geothermal.	N

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF008S		Y	Solid spreading	N	N		Low		N	N		N
R3-LF009S												
R3-LF010S							Low		Y	Y	2 wells	N
R3-LF011S		N		N	N			Highway Nise	Y	Y		Y
R3-LF012S												
R3-LF013S		Y	solid spreading	N	N	2 trout ponds and 1000's of fruit trees and vegetables	Low	traffic	Y	Y	near home	Y
R3-LF014S		N		N	N		High		N	N		Y
R3-LF015S	small operation		solid spreading	Y	Y		Low		Y	Y	used for drinking water	N
R3-LF016S									Y	Y		N

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF017S		N		N	N		Low	the existing Hydro line on same piece of property, on other side of house	Y	Y		N
R3-LF018S		N		N	N		Low		N	N		
R3-LF019S							Low	very low	Y		3 wells on property	Y
R3-LF020S	purebred (limousine cattle)	N		N			Low	N Nise. Gravel pit Nrth doesn produce Nise.	Y	Y	1 well, 248 ft deep	N
R3-LF021S		N		N	N	N	Low		Y	Y		Y
R3-LF036S												
R3-LF022S												
R3-LF037S							Low		Y	Y		Y
R3-LF023S												
R3-LF024S												
R3-LF025S												
R3-LF026S												

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF038S		N		N	N		Low	Usually only a larger vehicle	Y	Y		N
R3-LF027S		N		N	N	N			Y	Y		
R3-LF028S												
R3-LF039S												
R3-LF040S		Y		N	N		Low	farm machinery	Y	Y	Near homes	N
R3-LF029S												
R3-LF030S		N		N	N	N			Y			
R3-LF031S												
R3-LF032S												
R3-LF033S		N		N	N	N	Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF034S		N		N	N	N	Low		Y	Y		
R3-LF035S												Y
R3-LF041S												

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF042S							Low		Y		Nrth of house, 3 wells on property - one behind workshop which is Nt active and 2 wells are active	Y
R3-LF044S							High	from Trans Canada Highway, especially loud since Hydro cleared along R49R; Reimer soils 24/7 constant Nise.	Y	Y	2 wells, one active one inactive from 1950s	N
R3-LF045S		N		N	N	N	Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF046S							Low	N Nise	N		just a dugout	N
R3-LF043S		N		N	N		Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF047S		N		N	N		Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF048S												
R3-LF049S							Low		Y	Y	1 well	N
R3-LF050S												
R3-LF051S							Low		Y	Y		Y
R3-LF052S		Y	solid spreading (2 years ago)	N	N		Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF001H												
R3-LF002H												

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF003H		Y	solid spreading and liquid drag line	N	N	N						
R3-LF005H												
R3-LF006H												
R3-LF002OB		N		N	N	N	Low		Y	Y		N
R3-LF001R												
R3-LF002R												
R3-LF003R												
R3-LF001OB		N		N	N		Low	highway traffic Nise, wind, nature	N			N
R3-LF003OB		N		N	N		Low		N			N
R3-LF004OB												
R3-LF004R								Nne	N	N		N
R3-LF005OB							Low	gun club across field	N	N		Y
R3-LF006OB		N		N	N	N	Low		Y	N		N
R3-LF005R												
R3-LF007R		Y	liquid tank	N	N	N	Medium	rail line	Y	Y		N

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF006R												
R3-LF008R												
R3-LF009R		N		N	N	N	Low	existing 320kv	N	N		N
R3-LF001D												
R3-LF002D												
R3-LF004D		N		N	N	N		N Nise	N	N		Y
R3-LF005D		N		N	N	N	Medium	230kV buzzing Nise	Y	N		Y
R3-LF006D		N		N	N		Low	quiet	Y	Y		N
R3-LF001A		N		N	N	N	Low	dd car passing, mostly Nthing	N	N		N
R3-LF002A							Medium	highway 75	Y	N	old wells, Nw sealed	N
R3-LF001ST												
R3-LF004A												

AECOM Reference Number	Intensive livestock operation details	Are you spreading manure on the property?	If Y, what method of application?	Is your land irrigated?	Is your land tile drained?	If applicable, please describe any speciality production on your farm.	How would you describe the existing Nise on your property?	Nise details	Are there existing wells on your property?	Are they active?	Well details	Are there fish habitats on your property (e.g., stream, creek pond)?
R3-LF003A		N		N	N		Low	very low- occasional distant cars	Y	Y	One artesian well	N
R3-LF001T												

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF001Z				Y	crocus, lady slippers (all colours)	Y		N		Y		geese, deer, coyotes, wolves, badgers
R3-LF002Z				Y	lady slippers, some flower species	Y	Nxious weeds	N			40 acres of bush and bluffs for cover area	most of the birds
R3-LF003Z				N	Part of Sandilands watershed. Rare species in the area- Lady Slippers	Y		Y	2 ponds	Y		Salamaders, deer, waterfowl, wolves, coyote, bear
R3-LF004Z				N		N		N		Y		deer, wolf, coyotes, lots of birds, rabbits, prairie chickens
R3-LF005Z				N		Y		Y		Y		coyotes, fox, deer, skunk, wolves, and bears
R3-LF006Z	2 ponds, N fish			N	Have apple and plum trees and wild orchids	Y		Y	Nt wet all the time-wet in spring and dry by fall	Y		deer, rabbits, chickens, geese, foxes, wolf, coyote
R3-LF001P				N		N		Y	east high clay, lower toward 89 (west)	Y		chickens, deer
R3-LF002P	fish, shellfish, snail. Sundown lake floods and expands to property.	catch minNws to go fishing elsewhere	gives permission to friends to catch minNws for bait	Y	orchids, alot of different kinds of plant species. Lady slipper along roadside, ginsing in the treed area. Dense bush.			Y	Turtles in the marsh	Y		deer, turtles, rabbits, salamanders (2 kinds), toads, moose just east of the property, finds moose track, martens, lynx
R3-LF001W				Y	yellow lady slippers	Y		N		Y		deer, fox, raccoons, wild turkeys, birds
R3-LF002W				N		N		N		Y		deer, fox, frogs, woodchuk, birds, wild turkeys
R3-LF003W												
R3-LF004W				N		N		N		N		

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF005W					Probably - yellow lillies	N		N	the bush is wet	Y		deer, bear, racoon, butterflies, humming birds, squirrel, fox, geese, martens
R3-LF006W				Y		Y		N		Y		fox, deer, rabbits, squirrels, raccoons, bald eagles, falcons, migrating birds, blue heron
R3-LF007W				N		Y	Nrml farming weeds	N		N		
R3-LF003P					Nt sure	N		N		Y		deer
R3-LF008W												
R3-LF009W				N		N		N		Y		deer come through
R3-LF010W												
R3-LF011W	creek located to the Nrth					Y			wet in spring	Y		bear, deer, ducks
R3-LF012W				N		N		N		Y		deer, coyote, fox, racoon, skunks, geese, mallards, birds

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF013W										Y		deer, bears, raccoons
R3-LF014W	pond with goldfish			Y	wildflowers	Y		N		Y		foxes, deer, rabbits, squirrels, raccoons, bald eagles, falcons, migratory bird route, ducks and geese and blue heron
R3-LF001L												
R3-LF002L				Y	all oak trees	Y	poison ivy	N		Y		deer, birds, bear
R3-LF003L	regular	Y	Y, if asked/ approved by owner	Y	60 acres of bush - pine, birch, poplar (white/black), oak, ash	Y	common	Y	low spots near river	Y		coyote, fox, martens, deer, beaver
R3-LF004L	otters			N		N		N		Y		deer, ducks
R3-LF005L		Y- along Seine River - Jackfish used to be caught there		Y	more than 10 species of trees/plants. Ladyslippers (blue, yellow)					Y		
R3-LF007L				N		N		Y		N	sandhill cranes nesting	deer, coyotes (common), bears
R3-LF008L				N		N		N		N		bear, deer, skunks, coyotes
R3-LF010L												
R3-LF011L				N		Y		Y	Seine River Lowland	Y	millions and multiple species (frog spawning)	fox, beaver, cougar, coyote, wolf, deer
R3-LF009L												

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF012L				N		N		N		N		
R3-LF013L												
R3-LF014L	jackfish, crayfish, turtle; river and natural drain in land	Y	N	Y	flowers: lady slippers	N		Y	river drain (temp)	Y		coyotes, skunk, deer, eagles
R3-LF024L												
R3-LF015L								Y	creek on property, almost a swamp for good part of year	Y		beavers, deer, rabbits, coyotes, skunks, bear, coyotes; lots of frogs
R3-LF025L				N		N		Y		Y		deer, coyotes
R3-LF016L									creek on property that drains into ditch	Y		deer. fox, rabbit, skunk
R3-LF017L												
R3-LF018L	pond			N		N		N		Y		deer, coyotes, wolves
R3-LF019L	seine river is on property	Y	n/a	N		Y	naturally occuring	Y	around river	Y		bear, deer, cougar, rabbit, fox, coyote, beaver, owls
R3-LF026L				N	unaware of any at present	Y		Y	SE 20-6-8 E	N		coyotes, deer, bear

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF020L				N		Y		Y		Y		birds, deer, bear, wolves
R3-LF021L	ponds	n/a	N	N			mostly bush			Y		beaver, squirrel, deer
R3-LF027L	ditch			N		N		Y	ditch	Y		coyotes, deer, birds, geese
R3-LF022L	Nrthern Pike	Fish	Nt voluntarily	N		Y		Y		Y		bear, fox, coyote, fox, deer, muskrat, beaver, leopard frogs, toads (lots of frogs, Nisy)
R3-LF028L				Y	lady slippers near road on west side of property	N		Y		Y		beaver, deer, bears, fox, coyote, wolves, pine martin
R3-LF023L	in river: Jackfish	N	N	N		Y		Y	17 acres of marsh	Y	bear, coyote, deer, beaver, porcupine, gofers, snakes	
R3-LF029L				N		Y		Y		Y		deer, fox, wolf, rabbits, etc
R3-LF030L	uncertain if fish habitat is on property				uncertain of rare plant species on property						uncertain if wildlife habitat on property	
R3-LF031L				N		N		Y		Y		rabbit, deer, frogs, birds
R3-LF032L										Y	attached map with wildlife habitat/sightings near home	bald eagle, burrowing owls, deer, black bear, fox
R3-LF034L	Seine River	Y	N but they do	N		Y		Y	during flood season	Y		deer, bear, coyote, beaver
R3-LF035L												
R3-LF036L	minNw species	N	N			N		Y	at SE corner	Y		american bittern, geese, eagles, green heron, muskrat, beavers, fox, coyotes, deer

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF037L				N		N		N		N		
R3-LF038L	pond, N species	N	N	Y	tiger lily, goldenrod flower, white lady slippers	N		N		Y		redheaded woodpecker, bald eagle, bears, deer
R3-LF039L		N		Y	tiger lily, crocus, appears to be a goldenrod, and lady slipper (in ditch)	Y	white cap mushrooms	Y		Y		pileated woodpecker (viewed on fence); bald eagle, robins, whipporwill, grey or shorteared owl, burrowing owl (he believes they exist on property NW 32-6-8), baird sparrow
R3-LF040L	ponw on SW side of property, Nt currently maintained			N		N		Y	sloughs ID'd on map (on ALO 058 and ALO 014), avoided when tractoring	Y		whipperwill (last spring), woodpecker, deer, rabbits, sandhill crane (crop damage), bears dens, cougar (tracks), geese
R3-LF041L												
R3-LF042L	common species in creek, river and pond	N	Y, fish	N		N		Y	towards river	Y		bear, deer, fox
R3-LF043L												
R3-LF044L				N		N		N		N		
R3-LF045L				N		N		Y		Y		deer, bear, grouse, wild turkeys
R3-LF046L				N		N		Y	wetter areas-peat type- marked on map	Y		deer, bear, wolf, coyote, eagles, geese
R3-LF054L												
R3-LF055L	jacks, crayfish, turtles	N	N	Y	ladyslippers	N		Y	further east of home	Y		muskrat

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF056L				N		N		Y	spring	Y		deer, bear
R3-LF047L				N		N		Y	on property near PR 210	Y		river otter, white tailed deer, bear, fox, weasels
R3-LF057L				N		N		N		Y		coyotes, wolves, bears, etc, weasel
R3-LF048L				N		N		N		N		
R3-LF058L				N		Y		Y	low lying area that acts as a natural drain, swampy	Y		deer, bears
R3-LF049L				N		N		N		Y		bear, deer, lynx, coyotes, cougar, skunk
R3-LF059L				N				Y	natural spring	Y		deer, birds, bear, coyotes, lots of snakes
R3-LF060L				N		N			two ponds on property	Y		porcupine
R3-LF050L				N		N		N		Y		bear, deer, lynx, coyote, cougar, skunk
R3-LF061L	pike	Y	N	N		N		Y		Y		deer, muskrat, beaver, fox, fisher or marten
R3-LF062L		N	N	N		N		N		N		
R3-LF051L				N		N		Y		Y	white tailed deer, wolves, coyote, bear, ducks, geese, herons	

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF063L				Y	ladyslippers	N			dugout for cattle	Y	lots of bush surrounding parcel	wolves, lots of deer, foxes, bear, cougar a couple of years ago (still see tracks)
R3-LF052L	Rainbow Trout in pond	Y	N	N		N		Y	15-20 acres natural standing water	Y		otter, mink, muskrat, cormorants, herons, bear, white tailed deer, coyote
R3-LF064L				Y	ladyslippers			N		Y		wolves, deer, foxes, bear, cougar (lots of frogs on driveway)
R3-LF053L				N		N		N		Y		deer, coyotes, birds
R3-LF033L	jackfish (Seine River)	Y	N	N		Y		N		Y		coyote, fox, bear, deer, beaver
R3-LF001S										Y		fawn, cranes, birds (oriole, grosbeak)
R3-LF002S		pond for recreational use only		Y	yellow and pink lady slippers	N		Y	large marsh	Y		deer, coyotes, fox, weasels, porcupine, skunk, birds
R3-LF003S				Y	Lady Slipper	N		Y	wetlands	Y		birds, lady slipper
R3-LF004S				Y	orhids, ladyslippers	N		Y		Y		white tailed deer, bear, coyote, wolf, muskrat, beaver, waterfowl, yellow rail
R3-LF005S												
R3-LF006S												
R3-LF007S								Y		Y		bear, deer, coyote, eagles, wolves, fox

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF008S				N		Y	probably		there is a drainage ditch	Y		deer, bear, wolves, everthing, etc
R3-LF009S												
R3-LF010S	N fish habitats yet, but are interested in stocking the pond			N					pond	Y		deer, bear, coyote
R3-LF011S	rainbow trout	Y	N	N		Y		Y	located under the current 230	Y		deer, coyotes
R3-LF012S												
R3-LF013S	trout	Y	N			Y		Y		Y		deer, bear, turkeys, cougar, wild boar, elk, geese, ducks
R3-LF014S	carp, suckers, few Nrthern pike	N	N	N		Y		Y	adjacent to creek	Y		white tailed deer, bears, coyote, wolves, muskrat, beaver, mink
R3-LF015S				Y		Y		Y	ponds for animals	Y		wolves, coyotes, fox, racoons, beavers
R3-LF016S				N		N		Y	wetland piece	N		deer, bears, coyote, skunks

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF017S				Y	potentially	Y		Y	property is low lying, with substantial wet areas	Y		deer, fishers, martens, moose (2 yrs ago), wolves, coyotes, foxes, bear (25 in 1 yr), Leopard frogs
R3-LF018S												
R3-LF019S	pond			N				N		Y		deer, coyotes, fox, bear
R3-LF020S	duck pond- geese			N		N		Y	only when its high moisture	Y		blue heron, whooping crane, turkey, deer, bears, coyotes, fox, porcupine
R3-LF021S		N	N	Y		N		Y		Y		deer, bear, fishers, coyotes
R3-LF036S												
R3-LF022S												
R3-LF037S	minNws in spring	N	N	Y	orchids, similar plants to tall grass prairie reserve			Y	50% of property	Y		deer, coyotes, pine marten, squirrels, turtles, frog
R3-LF023S												
R3-LF024S												
R3-LF025S												
R3-LF026S												sandhill cranes, whipporwill, eagles, lots of wildlife

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF038S				N		N				N		deer mostly, partridge, sandhill crane
R3-LF027S												
R3-LF028S												
R3-LF039S												
R3-LF040S				N		Y			Hope Creek runs through the property	Y		deer, coyotes, rabbit, eagle
R3-LF029S												
R3-LF030S												
R3-LF031S												
R3-LF032S												
R3-LF033S										Y		
R3-LF034S												
R3-LF035S	stream				unsure			Y		Y		coyotes, wolf, deer, owl
R3-LF041S												

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF042S	n/a			N				Y	low near potential corner tower placement	Y		beaver, 25 deer (live in treed area on NE corner of quarter section), prairie chickens, coyotes, skunk
R3-LF044S					unkNwn but may be ladyslippers				one pond	Y		deer, rabbits, snakes
R3-LF045S				N		N		N		N		
R3-LF046S	watershed from the east			Y	ladyslippers occasionally			Y		Y		coyotes, fox, bear, deer, chicken, herons
R3-LF043S				N		N		Y		Y		deer
R3-LF047S				N		N		N				beaver, fox, deer, snakes, frogs
R3-LF048S												
R3-LF049S				N				Y	under the proposed ROW	Y		deer, coyotes, rabbits, bluejays, eagles
R3-LF050S												
R3-LF051S	minNws, sticklebacks in pond	N	N	Y	lots; designated as a significant ecological area in 1989 (entire quarter-section)			Y	boggy area	Y		shrew, deer, blackbear, everything in between, martins, weasels, mink, turtles, snakes; Nt as many frogs as before
R3-LF052S				Y	ladyslippers, MB orchid			Y	lots of wetlands (swamp)	Y		deer, coyotes, bears, wolf, cougar, snakes
R3-LF001H												
R3-LF002H												

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF003H												
R3-LF005H												
R3-LF006H												
R3-LF002OB				N		N		N		N		deer, skunks, fox, wolf scat found
R3-LF001R												
R3-LF002R												
R3-LF003R												
R3-LF001OB				N		Y		N		N		deer every Nw and then, geese on way south
R3-LF003OB				N		Y	Nrmal dandelions	N		N		deer, birds
R3-LF004OB												
R3-LF004R				Y	potentially	N		Y	near peatlands to the west	Y		deer, bear, coyotes, wolves, fox
R3-LF005OB	pond but Nt stocked			N		N		Y	120'x50'	Y		deer, rabbits, fox, coyotes, skunk, racoons, ducks
R3-LF006OB				N		Y	under control	N				gophers, rabbit, racoons, groundhogs
R3-LF005R												
R3-LF007R				N		N		Y		Y		swan, geese, coyotes, bear, deer, rabbits

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF006R												
R3-LF008R												
R3-LF009R				N		N		Y	peat wetland	Y		bears, deer, coyote, wolf, fishers, porcupines, skunk, beaver, muskrat, bald eagle, turtles
R3-LF001D												
R3-LF002D												
R3-LF004D	stocked pond, Cooks Creek runs through SE quarter-section, old gravel pit seam	Y	Y	N		N		Y	pond	Y		geese, deer, bears
R3-LF005D	suckers	N	N	Y	likely	N		N		Y		deer, coyotes, fox, bear, wolves, sNwy owl, bald eagle
R3-LF006D				N		N		N		Y		deer, bears, coyotes, fox, skunks, bald eagle
R3-LF001A				N		N		Y	slough N1/2 27-4-8E1	Y		whitetailed deer, moose, elk (rare), abundance of bear; grouse, eagles (nesting), sandhill cranes
R3-LF002A				N				N	N wetland/sloughs, just ditches	Y		deer, racoons, squirrels, skunks, fox, groundhog, turkey, various bird species
R3-LF001ST												
R3-LF004A												

AECOM Reference Number	Which species of fish are found on your property?	Do you fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you allow members of the public to fish or bait trap on your property?	Do you know of any rare plant species on your property?	Rare plant details	Do you know of any weeds on your property?	Weed details	Are there wetlands/sloughs on your property?	Wetland/slough details	Does your property support wildlife habitat (i.e., uncultivated lands)?	Wildlife habitat details	What kinds of animals do you see or hear on your property?
R3-LF003A	Nt on property, but there is a creek - English River- that runs east/west, very close to our property line. It does contain fish and is used as a water source for many wild animals.			Y	pink lady slippers, culvers root, prairie crocus, mixed wood and bog/wetland, a rare willow used for weaving baskets	Y		Y	many- used by waterfowl	Y		woodchucks, squirrels, rabbits, salamanders, toads, snakes, bats, geese, ducks, bear,cougar, fox, wolves, wild boar, ground hog, frogs. Birds: piliated wood pecker, blue birds, whip- poor-wills (designated as a Threatened species), Red-headed woodpecker (designated as a threatened species), crows, ravens, chickadees, nuthatcher, wrens, robins, catbirds, thrushes, cedar waxwings, warblers, sparrows, juncos, grosbeaks, red-winged blackbirds, western meadowlarks, grackles, orioles, pine grosbeaks, purple finches, redpolls, evening grosbeaks, pine siskins, goldfinches, grouse, ducks, sandhill cranes, bald eagles, great grey owls, great horned owl, screech owl, morning doves, hummingbirds, gray jays and blue jays
R3-LF001T												bear, deer, wolves, grouse

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF001Z	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyotes, wolves		Y	birds	N		N	
R3-LF002Z	Y			Y	deer, elk, wolves, coyotes, grouse, other birds, fox		N		N			
R3-LF003Z	Y	N	N	Y	wolves, coyotes,deer	year round and nesting geese, ducks, beaver	N	feed themselves in garden	Y	Nt a designated trap line. Set out traps on property	Y	Increased, beaver increased
R3-LF004Z	Y	N	N	Y	wolves and coyotes, N specific time		N		N			
R3-LF005Z	Y	Y	Y	Y		summer	N		N			
R3-LF006Z	Y	Y	Y	Y	wolves, coyotes	Year round. In winter, right in buildings.	N	they help themselves	N			
R3-LF001P	Y	N	N	N			N		N			
R3-LF002P	Y	Y	Y	Y	moose, bear, wolves, coyotes	year round	N	except songbirds	N			
R3-LF001W	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyotes		N		N			
R3-LF002W	Y	N	N	Y	coyote- rare		N		N			
R3-LF003W												
R3-LF004W	N	N	N	N			N		N		N	

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF005W	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyote and bear		Y	birds	N			
R3-LF006W	Y	N	N	Y	coyotes	fall and winter	Y	birds	Y			
R3-LF007W	Y			Y	coyotes	mostly in spring	N		N			
R3-LF003P				Y	wolves		N		N			
R3-LF008W												
R3-LF009W	N	N	N	N			Y	birds	N		N	
R3-LF010W												
R3-LF011W				Y			N		N			
R3-LF012W	Y			Y	coyotes	late summer and fall	Y	song birds	N			

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF013W				Y	bear, coyotes							
R3-LF014W	Y	N	N	Y	coyotes	winter/fall	Y	birds	N			
R3-LF001L												
R3-LF002L	N	N	N	Y	Bear		Y	birds	N		N	
R3-LF003L	Y	Y	Y			seasonal trapping	N		N	trap and hunt allowed by friend/coworker	Y	depends on year- last year higher
R3-LF004L	N	N	N	Y	coyotes	hear them fall and winter	N		N		N	
R3-LF005L	Y	N	N	Y	wolves, foxes	year-round		deer	N		N	
R3-LF007L	N			Y	bears, coyotes	Bear (spr/sum); coyotes (all year)	N		N		N	
R3-LF008L	Y	N	N	Y	bears, coyotes	esp spring/summer/fall	Y	birds	N		N	
R3-LF010L												
R3-LF011L	Y			Y			N		N		N	too many beaver
R3-LF009L												

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF012L	N	N	N	N			N		N		N	
R3-LF013L												
R3-LF014L	Y	Y	N	Y	bear, coyotes, moose; occasionally deer		N		N			
R3-LF024L												
R3-LF015L	Y			Y			N		N			
R3-LF025L	Y	N	N	Y		anytime	N		N		N	
R3-LF016L	Y			Y	rabbits, martin (3 years ago)	Rabbits: year round	N					
R3-LF017L												
R3-LF018L	Y	N	N	Y	wolves, coyotes	annually	N		N			
R3-LF019L	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyote, bear	coyote year round, mostly fall; bear in spring	N		N			
R3-LF026L	Y	N	N	Y		spring, summer, fall, winter	N		N		N	

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF020L	Y	Y	N	Y		fall and spring	N		N		N	
R3-LF021L	Y	Y	Y	Y	wolves	annually	N		N			
R3-LF027L	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coyotes	N bears this winter but Y previously	Y	birds	N			
R3-LF022L	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, wolves, coyotes	spring more common	N		N		N	
R3-LF028L	Y	Y	Y	Y		trail cameras on property; all year long	N		N			
R3-LF023L		Y	Y	Y	blueberry season, and coyotes year round		N		N			
R3-LF029L	Y	Y	Y	Y		fall and spring	N		N			
R3-LF030L							N		N			
R3-LF031L	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyotes	coyotes in fall	Y	birds	N			
R3-LF032L												
R3-LF034L	Y	N	N	Y	coyotes	coyotes all year-round	N		N			
R3-LF035L												
R3-LF036L	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyotes		Y	orioles, hummingbirds	N		N	

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF037L	N	N	N	Y	deer, black bear, coyotes, fox, lynx	black bear (spring)	N		N		N	
R3-LF038L	Y	N	N	Y	bear, wolves, coyotes	all year round	N		N			
R3-LF039L	N	N	N	Y	bear den (NW 32-6-8)	bear in spring	N		N		N	
R3-LF040L	Y	Y	Y	Y	moose (over 10 years ago), bear, coyotes	year round; two dens west of property ALO 014.	Y	occasionally birds, left dead cow for timberwolves	N			
R3-LF041L												
R3-LF042L	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coyotes	summer	N		N			
R3-LF043L												
R3-LF044L	N	N	N	N			N		N		N	
R3-LF045L	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coyotes	fall and spring	N		N			
R3-LF046L	Y	Y	Y	Y	wolves, coyotes	spring and fall	N		N			
R3-LF054L												
R3-LF055L	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coyote	bear (jun-oct), coyotes (year-round)	N		N		N	

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF056L	Y	Y	Y	Y			N		N		N	
R3-LF047L	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear	spring and fall	N		N		N	
R3-LF057L	Y	N	N	Y		year-round except bears	N		N			
R3-LF048L	N	N	N	N			N		N		N	
R3-LF058L	Y			Y			N		N			
R3-LF049L	Y	N	N	Y	bear, coyotes		N		N			
R3-LF059L	Y			Y			Y	just birds	N			
R3-LF060L	Y								N			
R3-LF050L	Y	N	N	Y	bear, coyotes		N		N			
R3-LF061L		Y	Y	Y		fall (Nvember)	N		N			
R3-LF062L	Y	N	N	Y			Y		Y		N	
R3-LF051L	Y	N	N	Y	bear, wolves, coyotes	bear- fall	N		Y	on property		Looks like a good year for furbearers

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF063L	Y			Y			N		N			
R3-LF052L	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, wolves, coyotes	bear- fall, coyotes regularly, wolves rare	Y	fish	N			
R3-LF064L	Y						N		N			
R3-LF053L	N	N	N	Y	bear, coyotes eating at feeders		Y	birds- woodpecker (pileated, downey, hairy)	N		N	
R3-LF033L	Y	N	N	Y	coyote, bear	coyote year-round; bear summer and fall	N		N			
R3-LF001S	Y	N	N	Y	bear	springtime/summer	N		N		N	
R3-LF002S	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coyotes	bear- spring/fall, coyotes- year round	Y	deer, birds	N			
R3-LF003S	Y	Y	Y	Y	wolves	springtime	N		N		N	
R3-LF004S	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, wolves, coyotes		N		Y			
R3-LF005S												
R3-LF006S												
R3-LF007S	Y			Y		year- round, except for bears	N		N			

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF008S	Y			Y		year round	N		N			
R3-LF009S												
R3-LF010S	Y					year round	N		N			
R3-LF011S	Y	Y	Y	Y			N		N			
R3-LF012S												
R3-LF013S	Y		possibly	Y		all year	Y		Y			Cycled system. Too many carnivores in the system currently
R3-LF014S	Y	N		Y	bear, wolves, coyotes	fall, spring	N		Y		N	
R3-LF015S	Y			Y	wolves, coyotes	year- round	N		N			
R3-LF016S	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coyotes		N		N		N	

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF017S	Y	N		Y		all seasons	Y	deer, birds	N			
R3-LF018S												
R3-LF019S	Y	N	N	Y	elk, bear, coyotes and wolves nearby		N		N			
R3-LF020S	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coytoes, elk, wolves, cougars- occasionally		N					
R3-LF021S	Y	Y	Y	Y			Y		N		N	
R3-LF036S												
R3-LF022S												
R3-LF037S	Y	Y	N	Y	bear, coyotes	all year	N		Y	n/a		
R3-LF023S												
R3-LF024S												
R3-LF025S												
R3-LF026S			Y				Y	deer				

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF038S	N	N	N	Y	elk years ago, bear, coyotes-odd	bear- summer	N		N		N	
R3-LF027S												
R3-LF028S												
R3-LF039S												
R3-LF040S	Y	N					Y		N			
R3-LF029S												
R3-LF030S												
R3-LF031S												
R3-LF032S												
R3-LF033S									N		N	
R3-LF034S												
R3-LF035S	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear	in fall	N		N		N	
R3-LF041S												

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF042S	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear and coyotes	bears during summer	Y		N			
R3-LF044S	Y			Y		year round	N		N			
R3-LF045S	N	N	N	N			N		N		N	
R3-LF046S	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyotes, chickn, fox, bear, deer, herons		N		N			
R3-LF043S		Y	Y	Y	coyotes	year round	N		N		N	
R3-LF047S	Y	Y	Y	Y	moose, bear	moose 10 years ago	N		N		N	
R3-LF048S												
R3-LF049S				Y	hear coyotes		Y	regularly feed deer	N			
R3-LF050S												
R3-LF051S	Y			Y	coyotes	year round	Y	just the birds and squirrels	N			
R3-LF052S	Y						Y	sometimes deer	N			
R3-LF001H												
R3-LF002H												

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF003H												
R3-LF005H												
R3-LF006H												
R3-LF002OB	Y	Y	Y	N			N		N		N	
R3-LF001R												
R3-LF002R												
R3-LF003R												
R3-LF001OB	Y			Y	coyotes	winter, spring	N		N		N	
R3-LF003OB	Y			N			Y	chickadees, nuthatch, woodpeckers	N			
R3-LF004OB												
R3-LF004R	Y	Y	Y	N			N		Y	crown lands		
R3-LF005OB	Y	Y	Y	Y	coyotes		Y		N			
R3-LF006OB	N	N	N	N			N		N		N	
R3-LF005R												
R3-LF007R	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, coyotes		N		N		N	

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF006R												
R3-LF008R												
R3-LF009R	Y	Y	Y	Y	moose, bear, wolves, coyotes	fall for moose	N		N			
R3-LF001D												
R3-LF002D												
R3-LF004D	N	Y	Y	Y	bear, wolves	bear (fall), coyotes (yearround)	N		N			
R3-LF005D	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, wolves, coyotes		Y	sometimes	Y		Y	since first 230kv line came in
R3-LF006D	Y	N	N	Y	bear, coyotes		Y	rabbits, deer, ruffed grouse	N			
R3-LF001A	N		N	Y	all	4 years (elk)	N		N		Y	wolves and coyotes have increased
R3-LF002A	Y			N			Y	deer, birds, racoons, skunks	N		N	
R3-LF001ST												
R3-LF004A												

AECOM Reference Number	Do frogs breed on your property in the spring?	Wetland/Slough	If you have a wetland or slough on your land, would you be willing to have it surveyed to understand what wildlife are using it?	Have you see moose, elk, bear, wolves, or coyotes on your property?	Which species?	If so, what time of year?	Do you feed wildlife on your property?	If so, which animals do you attract (deer, elk, birds)?	Are you a trapper?	If so, where is your trapline?	Have you noticed any change in furbearer abundance over the last 10 years?	Furbearer abundance details
R3-LF003A	Y	Y	Y	Y	bear, wolves, coyotes	all seasons	N		N		N	
R3-LF001T	Y						Y		N			

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF001Z	N	N		N		N		Y	mushroom, berries, morels, orange capped, fall ones	N		N
R3-LF002Z	Y	Y	People he kNws	Y	firewood	N		Y	occasional berry picking	N	Originally a homestead with foundations	N
R3-LF003Z	Y	N		Y	personal use	Y	ATVing	Y	mushroom picking	N		N
R3-LF004Z	Y	N		Y		N		Y		N		N
R3-LF005Z	Y	Y		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF006Z	N	N		Y	Collect firewood	Y	check fences to cattle and back	N		N		N
R3-LF001P	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF002P	Y	Y	only friends, supervised	Y		Y	walking/hiking	Y	mushrooms, berries, blue flag (iris)	N		
R3-LF001W	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF002W	N	N		N		Y		Y	limited	Y	more modern items- glass plates	N
R3-LF003W												
R3-LF004W	N	N		N		N		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF005W	N	N		N		Y		Y		Y		N
R3-LF006W	N	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF007W	N	N		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF003P	N	N		N		Y		Y			unsure	N
R3-LF008W												
R3-LF009W	N	N		N		Y	hiking	N		N		N
R3-LF010W												
R3-LF011W	N	N		N		N		N		N		
R3-LF012W	N	N		Y		Y		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF013W	N	N		N		Y	back end			N		N
R3-LF014W	N	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF001L												
R3-LF002L	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF003L	Y	N		Y	cleanup fall for personal use	Y		Y	berries/ hazelnuts	N		N
R3-LF004L	N	N		Y	personal	N		N		N		N
R3-LF005L	Y	N		Y	dead fall	Y	family use	Y	Y- berries, especially along river, chokecherries, cranberries, walnut, mushrooms	N		N
R3-LF007L	N			Y	firewood	Y	ATV	N	Nt much	N		N
R3-LF008L	N	N		Y	dead	N		N		N		N
R3-LF010L												
R3-LF011L	N	N		N		Y	golf course	N		N		N
R3-LF009L												

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF012L	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF013L												
R3-LF014L	N	N		N		Y	access for land - maintenance	N		N		N
R3-LF024L												
R3-LF015L	N	Y	family members	Y	wood for fire	Y	skiing, hiking	Y	berry picking			
R3-LF025L	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF016L	N	N			cuts out deadfall	Y	ATVing	Y	garden	Y	found a very old glove on property	N
R3-LF017L												
R3-LF018L	N	N		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF019L	N	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF026L	Y	Y		N		N		Y		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF020L	N	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF021L	Y	N		Y		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF027L	N	N		N		Y	soon	Y	strawberries, raspberries, fruit trees to be planted.	N		N
R3-LF022L	N	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF028L	Y	N		Y		Y		N			may be an old homestead n the property	N
R3-LF023L	Y	N		Y		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF029L	Y	Y		N		Y		N		Y		N
R3-LF030L	N		uncertain	N		N		N			uncertain	
R3-LF031L	N	N		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF032L												
R3-LF034L	Y	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF035L												
R3-LF036L	N	N		N		N		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF037L	N	N		N		Y	ATV	N		N		N
R3-LF038L	N	N		N		Y		Y	between property and golf course	N		N
R3-LF039L	Y	N		Y	fuel wood/timber sale	Y	ATV	Y	saskatoons, blackberries, plantain			N
R3-LF040L	N	N		Y	firewood >100qt/yr future	N		N	blueberries and saskatoon in the future	N		Y
R3-LF041L												
R3-LF042L	Y	N		N		Y	ATV	Y	berry picking	N		N
R3-LF043L												
R3-LF044L	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF045L	N	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF046L	Y	N		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF054L												
R3-LF055L	N	N		N		Y	hiking, sNwmobiling, ATV	Y	saskatoon, plum	N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF056L	N	Y		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF047L	N	N		N		Y	ATV	N		N		N
R3-LF057L	N	Y		N		Y		N		Y	pocketwatch	N
R3-LF048L												
R3-LF058L	N	N		N		Y	ATV	N			found old hydro equipment possible from old homestead	N
R3-LF049L	Y	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF059L	N	N		N		Y	hiking	N	thinks there might be blackberries	N		N
R3-LF060L	N	N		Y		Y	ATV	Y	saskatoon berries on property	N		N
R3-LF050L	Y	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF061L	Y	N		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF062L	Y	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF051L	Y	Y		Y	fuel wood	Y		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF063L	N	N		Y		Y	small sNwmobile trail in the back	Y	saskatoon, chokecherries	Y	bison bones and elk horns pretty much below the route	N
R3-LF052L	N	N		N		Y	personal	Y	berry picking	Y	bottles, metal fragments	N
R3-LF064L	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF053L	N	N		Y	wood	N		N		N		N
R3-LF033L	Y	N		Y		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF001S										N		N
R3-LF002S	N	N		Y	firewood	Y	walking trails throughout all acreage (year round)	N		N		N
R3-LF003S	Y	N		Y		Y	camping	Y		N		N
R3-LF004S	Y	N		Y		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF005S												
R3-LF006S												
R3-LF007S	Y	Y		Y	sell wood as well as personal use	Y		Y		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF008S	Y	Y		N		Y	some ATVing, sNwmobiling	N		N		N
R3-LF009S												
R3-LF010S	N	N		N		Y		N	will plant a garden	N		N
R3-LF011S	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF012S												
R3-LF013S	Y	N		Y		Y		Y		Y	pottery and bone fragments from swamp	N
R3-LF014S	Y	N		N		Y		Y		Y	dug up old tools	N
R3-LF015S	N	Y		Y		Y	hiking	Y	berry picking			N
R3-LF016S	N	N		Y		Y		Y		Y	Old settlement stuff	N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF017S	Y	Y	Family members only	Y		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF018S						Y	hiking					
R3-LF019S	Y	N		Y	as required	Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF020S	Y	Y	Private use and allow 1 friend to hunt	Y	house use	Y	private use	Y	saskatoons, cherries, wild plums	N		N
R3-LF021S	N	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF036S												
R3-LF022S												
R3-LF037S	Y	Y	target range on property, friends for general access	Y	firewood	N		Y	saskatoons	Y	old camp fire ring	N
R3-LF023S												
R3-LF024S												
R3-LF025S												
R3-LF026S												

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF038S	Y	N		N		Y	ATV	Y	saskatoons	N		N
R3-LF027S												
R3-LF028S												
R3-LF039S												
R3-LF040S	N	Y	friends	Y		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF029S												
R3-LF030S												
R3-LF031S												
R3-LF032S												
R3-LF033S	Y	N		Y	fuel wood	Y	sNwmobiling, ATV	Y		N		N
R3-LF034S												
R3-LF035S	Y	Y		Y	fuel wood	Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF041S												

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF042S	N	N		Y	firewood Nrtheast on quarter section. Took when cleared before.	N		Y		N		N
R3-LF044S	N	N		Y		N		N		N		N
R3-LF045S	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF046S	N	Y	sisters nephew uses for hunting	Y	firewood	Y	hiking	Y	cranberries, saskatoons	Y	found fossil, round similar to a sanddollar	N
R3-LF043S	Y	N		N		N		Y	saskatoons, chokecherries, wild plum	N		N
R3-LF047S		N		N		Y	hiking on trail system	Y	saskatoon, chokecherries (minimal)	N		N
R3-LF048S												
R3-LF049S	Y	N	plans for hunting	N		Y	ATV, hiking, sNwmobile	Y	saskatoons	N		N
R3-LF050S												
R3-LF051S	N	N		Y		Y	cross-country skiing, biking, some sNwmobiling but Nt alot	Y	some berry picking, saskatoon, high bush cranberry	N		N
R3-LF052S	Y	Y		Y		Y	hikinH, ATV	Y		Y	old farming equipment, horse drawn equipment	N
R3-LF001H												
R3-LF002H												

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF003H												
R3-LF005H												
R3-LF006H												
R3-LF002OB	N	N		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF001R												
R3-LF002R												
R3-LF003R												
R3-LF001OB	N	Y		N		Y		N		N		N
R3-LF003OB	N		used to hunt rabbits long time ago, >10yrs	N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF004OB												
R3-LF004R	Y	N		Y		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF005OB	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF006OB	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF005R												
R3-LF007R	N	Y		N		Y		N		N		N

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF006R												
R3-LF008R												
R3-LF009R	Y	N		Y	fuel wood	Y	hores trails	N		N		N
R3-LF001D												
R3-LF002D												
R3-LF004D	N	Y		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF005D	Y	N		Y		Y		Y		Y		N
R3-LF006D	Y	N		N		Y		Y		N		N
R3-LF001A	Y	N		N		Y	ATV, sNwmobiling	N		N		N
R3-LF002A	N	N		N		N		N		N		N
R3-LF001ST												
R3-LF004A												

AECOM Reference Number	Do you use your land for hunting or trapping?	Do you allow members of the public to use your land for hunting?	Hunting access details	Do you use your land for private woodlot purposes (e.g., fule wood/timber sale, harvesting)?	Woodlot use details	Is your land used for outdoor recreational activities (e.g., hiking, snowmobiling, ATV)?	Outdoor recreation details	Do you use your land for local resource gathering purposes?	Resource gathering details	Have you ever found artifacts such as arrowheads, hammer stones, broken dishes, metal fragments, etc. on your property?	Artifact details	Have you ever heard of historic grave locations relating to early homestead settlers in the immediate area of your property?
R3-LF003A	N	N		Y		Y	hiking, N machines allowed	Y		N		N
R3-LF001T	Y	N				Y						

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF001Z		Environmental concern (proximity to line, impact to lifestyle- property value). health concerns- EMF , interference), yard site on 80 acres for future development, but zoning prohibited plans for development. Livestock operation (fenced, pasture, crop land)., rotational crop (cereal), time of construction?, preference to self-supporting structure.
R3-LF002Z		Minimal concern regarding route on his property
R3-LF003Z	was a homestead on the property	main concerns around aggregate deposit and cattle operation. Doesn't want to move deposit. Wants hydro to buy section. Sand (surface and subsurface), gravel, clay, government tested and is approx 3 metres deep. bluff of spruce.
R3-LF004Z		Worried cattle won't cross under the tower
R3-LF005Z		
R3-LF006Z		too close to buildings and house, interested in routing through WM Watson Davidson, how long will it take to pay off the line? approx 2020/2025, goes right over pond and main source of water, routing suggestion- see attached map. Concerned about resale value of property, interested in routing near D602F, concerned about proximity to home.
R3-LF001P		Currently has private approach along Hwy 89. Interested in whether MH would use their access for construction and whether they would be compensated. Modifications brought forward and discussed with T.J. Wishes to maintain communications and continue to be updated on decisions related to his property.
R3-LF002P		Ox cart track. Indian road from the first settlers. Maintains the track, has Nt let it grow in. Treeline is the end of the ridge. Would prefer if we moved the line off the ridge. Less acute angle. If a tower were to be placed along the current preferred route, would liek it in the marsh area, after the forested ridge. Owns mineral rights on the property. Uses gravel on the property. Does Nt sell, but trades the gravel for labor. Wants little impact to the biophysical environement of the property. Conservation property. Concerned about herbicide application especially near water. Previous experience with distribution line maintenance- Herbicide running down driveway and killing garden. Keep line off the ridge- won't have to go through heavy bush.
R3-LF001W		wet meadow on property. N mailout in earlier rounds. Tonitis - adult-Nise concern. Concern about interference with floodway crossing during severe flood at Red River crossing. Property value, ROW goes over the local ring dike. Impact ability to protect property during flood situation. Culvert and flood gate in ROW - definitely. Worried about tower foundation taking up flood storage. TCPL mainline less than 1 km to south.
R3-LF002W		Main concerns- property value, health- EMF. Tower spotting- would like to see tower on the west side of Turnbull Road and east side of river.
R3-LF003W		Route process, EMF, power sales with Minnesota, revenue
R3-LF004W		property value. Planning development of the home on parcel.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF005W		Very disappointed in Ntification process. Would like to see someone go door to door to be sure Ntification occurs. Want Manitoba Hydro to understand they use all the area for recreation purposes in all seasons. Health concerns-white Nise, potential health effects due to EMF, concern regarding safety of power lines malfunctioning close to home. Concern related to the proximity of TCPL to MMTP. Because there is N water source in the area (cistern only), fire hazards/ risk are high. The area behind the property along the river is protected river bottom forest. Route adjustment (on attached map) has much less impact to the property. Concern regarding resale property.
R3-LF006W		Cut to follow on existing corridor vs cleraing existing trees. Identified concerns related to aesthetics and presented modification to increase distance from property.
R3-LF007W		Land in ROW is being leased from MH for farming purposes. Would like to see towers placed beside D602F in ROW.
R3-LF003P		See letter submitted from landowner
R3-LF008W		valuation of property, Nise from powerlines, health concerns related to EMF
R3-LF009W		TV/ internet/ health addressed through brochures.
R3-LF010W		Satellite interference, health, separation, N concerns if they only see the tops of towers due to floodway berms.
R3-LF011W		Property purchased from an original homesteader. Map supplied by the RM of Springfield. Shows drain plan and Trans Line Plan.
R3-LF012W		Uncertain of property ownership. Double check and get back to landowner.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF013W		Concerned with visibility. Home will face SW. would like visual rendering when available.
R3-LF014W		Formerly a barge to cross the river at the end of the existing street. Conerns over clearing of trees close to property lines and proposed modification on map.
R3-LF001L		Effects of 500 kv line, heights of line, property value, lives out there because of nature, creek through property, ski trails, camp sites, golf course (personal). People got up and left and did Nt complete landowner form.
R3-LF002L		Landowner has already been approached by Mark Wankling to discuss. The home to the east of him will be bought out. N concern regarding the transmission line near his property. Home identified on map.
R3-LF003L	maybe historically along river; past landowners may have been homestead settlers.	visual (aesthetics fr. property), what happens if landowner maintain ROW, impacts to farm equipment, health concerns - affect on wildlife vs human effects (207/208 fr om round 2(EMF package provided), opposed to project and feels the US should Nt be using our power. Nte that his land is irrigated by the river.
R3-LF004L		-Compensation, EMF, cell phones, property values, prefer at least 1 mile east, review aNther SE alignment along Nrth tree. Nrth of subdivisions in La Broquerie.
R3-LF005L		-estimated value of lots more than \$50 k each, has Nt gone for subdivision application at this time, lots would be serviced by the buyers, farmery used as pasture with dugout. hunting or trapping on land in the past. Lots and parcels outlined on map.
R3-LF007L		Nvember: had staking done, flagged fence; orange tape, prvt; fencing conern
R3-LF008L		conerns related to EMF/health (proximity to schools); ROW easement/land compensation; RM and community opposition and realignment
R3-LF010L		same concerns as golf course (MLO 243)
R3-LF011L		concerned about sNwmobiling access; GIB Golf Inc is deregistered; concern about adjact tree removal and effect visually; business impact due to tree clearing on ROW; running parallel, creating ROW access to golf course greens, valued at \$50K per green; damage from quads and sNwmobiles; discussed concerns and opportunities for restricting access from ROW with golf course; he has concern from clients regarding EMF and presence of T-line, discussed recommended solution; appears from GoogleEarth that trees on eastern edge of property can be left intact (need to confirm); Nise is an issue; interested in future subdivision potential on land.
R3-LF009L		GJB Golf Course Nw defunct; delist this MLO

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF012L		future development potential likely east; health concerns (EMF); property value effects if live within a mile; interest of environment supercede interest of general public; clear preference for segment 207 Nt adjacent to LaBroquerie; lack of credibility in process.
R3-LF013L		health effects in proximity to T-lines; why going through a town, explained route selection; suggested underground; jog further to east.
R3-LF014L		neighbour uses GPS and equipment which may be shared on property; property compensation, land values, health concerns, quality of life (cell phone services), aesthetics; compensation for landowners Nt on their land; cost of project for landowners.
R3-LF024L		-yardsite is within 75 m of line
R3-LF015L		managed woodlot with MB Conservation, trails, camping (for family); clear cut of the ROW would take out most of the wooded area that they use to cut trees for firewood and other purposes; management plan with MB Conservation for over 20 years; used to be part of MB Xmas Tree Growers Association; shelter belt right down route.
R3-LF025L		-would prefer route 207, Nt 208 which affects less people and property. Line is very close to the house and property. Why were 2 letters sent out for 1 property?
R3-LF016L		Out of 20 acres, 5 of woodlands.
R3-LF017L		cost comparison of routes and what process is if an easement is Nt agreed upon with landowner; health compensation; Rd.3 brochure "prox. to residences" comments do Nt address the actual effects; how does MB hydro deal with local govt opposition and move forward with a decision if it isn't supported locally; provided contact information to landowner.
R3-LF018L		EMF concerns; proximity to residences.
R3-LF019L		looking for info; health concerns (EMF); concern regarding natural bush in the area which acts as a shelterbelt and visual aesthetics, living in the bush vs Nt living in the bush; Gosselin Rd accumulates lots of sNw where there are N treets, trees protect the only road access to home; wildlife river corridor, concern about the wildlife corridor to the river; property value: concern this will reduce the pool of potential buyers; Plan A = 207, Plan B = route between 207 and 208 to move away from shelterbelt, Plan C = move line 1/2 mile east to protect the shelterbelt, Plan D = buyout move line 400m west.
R3-LF026L		Nte that there are two residences for these landowners. One residence is located 100 to 400 m away and the other is located more than 400 m away. The line should be built on route 207 Nt 208 as it affects so many people and landowners. SW 5-6-8 E planning to clear bush to farm agriculture. Would require sulf supporting towers. Shelter belts have been planted and established on west side of NE 17-6-8 E and don't want it removed. Concerned with liability issues in event there is damage to tower or line and with trespassers hitting the structure. Biosecurity is a concern as well. Map provided indicating wells and yard sites identified.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF020L		concern regarding property values and EMF; issues with your distribution lines, N response; very little development around the street; concern regarding Nise; Plan A = move line east of the Watson P Davidson (207 segment) due to less impact to humans; Nt wanting to place line in the path of affecting others; 160m is too close for any humans; viewshed is Nt the issue.
R3-LF021L		
R3-LF027L		building on 2nd lot on street; concerns about proximity to line (health, safety, environment); concerns about property value.
R3-LF022L		Nise, Property value decrease, EMF- health, does Nt support segment through La Broquerie, house location identified on map
R3-LF028L		Plan A: 207 preferred as it goes through crown land and Nt affect people; worried about access to property (sNwmobiles, ATVs), would like to build a fence; concern regarding health issues; would like to discuss with MH regarding fence placement.
R3-LF023L		Health concerns - EMF - people and animals, vegetation. As well as property impact and proximity to town are major concerns for health reasons. Bought land to be away from development. Wooded prefer to see segment 207 to segment 208. Viewshed concern. Modifications: Plan A: east side of the watson P. Davidson Wildlife Management Area, Pland B: Move line approx. 1 km further west - total of 2 km west of the property. Cabin currently on property, home to be built in May 2015. Property, future home and property identified on map.
R3-LF029L		property value; health (EMF); Plan A: move to crown land; Plan B: move tower slightly to the west to avoid agricultural production; property owner has mineral rights to the property, some concern regarding what happens to those mineral rights.
R3-LF030L	uncertain	compensation questions, N major concerns other than fair/satisfactory compensation; concern regarding access to the property; recommendation to exclude ROW from property taxes.
R3-LF031L		opposed to route due to affects on people; viewshed and concern regarding tourism at the golf course; recreational activities on east side of golf course and use golf course in the winter for recreational; concern regarding numerous crossings of waterways on the preferred route; health concerns regarding EMF; would like to see MH implement a carbon offset program due to all the trees that would be cut down.
R3-LF032L		concern regarding Nise; concern regarding health (EMF), because this road is the only access to the home the family will walk by everytime they go for a walk; preference to move line to east side of wildlife mgt area
R3-LF034L		alternate route modifications in followup section; plans to build dream home on edge of river, pristine park like area that the planned preferred route would go through; will be a centennial farm in 2020.
R3-LF035L		health concerns (EMF); reliability and crown land are more important than taking peoples land; feels powerless in the process.
R3-LF036L		use lands east of property for skating; preference for elsewhere, development of the community along tetrault may have less development and decrease in tax base; wildlife effects and natural; human concerns such as EMF, property values; aesthetic concerns.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF037L		seen turtles and muskrat in nearby waters; berries along southern edge of property; aesthetic mitigation to plant trees on eastern edge of property; safety of tower collapse; regulatory process; Nise; Plan A: get rid of it.
R3-LF038L		property value, health concerns (proximity to school and residence); EA process and route selection (regulatory review); potential tower placements; MH Ntificaon methods over past 2 years; RM and town's role in EA process.
R3-LF039L		3/4 mile from line; 10 acre parcel; 207 vs 208 info; EMF concerns; quarter section NW 32-6-8; metis rights; he can help track vegetation or wildlife listed if needed.
R3-LF040L		12.5 acre pristine groomed evergreen (90%) lot; only a garage left on property, house was destroyd by vandalism; currently resides on farm, owner identified that land is acidic and saskatoon/blueberries; concerns regarding recreational use for cycling, ATV, sNwmobiles, poachers; wildlife near farms (bears, cougars) because it is a food source; concerns related to littering on property (cans, garbage, etc); concerns for cows because of health concerns related to littering; ROW access, Nise and health concern (duration); goal was to divide quarter-section into 4 parcels for small developments without subdivision application, desireable because within Hanover S.District
R3-LF041L		LaBroquerie schools; Richer and Ste.Anne schools Nt in proximity to preferred route.
R3-LF042L		route selection process and process moving forward; business relocation as a result; 40% of 17 acres of property.
R3-LF043L		general discussion about project (ROW, access, maintenance)
R3-LF044L		retirement plans to build farm; just cleared land for use; does Nt want to be near the line (EMF and cattle)
R3-LF045L		concern regarding access to property as they have a locked gate to their road to avoid this type of access; warmup shack is almost immediately adjacent to ROW and approximately 52 meters from transmission line; always kept area as wildlife area and crown land directly south of property; 2 quarter-sections directly south are leased by the club from the province, N access on leased land; Plan A: move line quarter-section east of 302, Plan B: solid access mgmt plan required, does Nt feel acccess will be able to be monitored and controlled.
R3-LF046L		Line is approximately 200 m from closest barn. Concern regarding health for animals and people. Drag hoses for manure is an issue. Plan A: preferred tower placement on map. Plans for aerial application in the future. wells, homes, barns identified on map. 2 homes on property.
R3-LF054L		only concern with EMF , provided info
R3-LF055L		full 80 acres owned; EMF and health is #1 concern; tree line is approx 25m, buffer area for creek required; has desire to render land organic.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF056L		routing concerns, why the preferred route is going through farm land and Nt further east in more natural land; why is the route Nt along the quarter line in NW20 (can it be moved south); see angular re-route on NW20; would prefer a different route, preferably more east.
R3-LF047L		Property value in proximity to the line, reduces subdivision potential, EMF and health - 600 m from preferred line, concerned about proximity of 2 schools, preference for route to the east outside of La Broquerie. Owns 2 properties: one on Tetrault Rd and one off of PR 210.
R3-LF057L		concern regarding access mgmt, quarter section is totally fenced off and wants fence to stay; precision pumping, uses drag line manure spreading on their property as well as on Porcherie-Gauthier Ltee.
R3-LF048L		EMF and the school proximity, route section 208 vs 207, regulatory review process
R3-LF058L		interested in routing; doesn't like it that close; worried about sound/Nise from line; too close to homes, doesn't like route.
R3-LF049L		Concerned about proximity to highly developed area, headache from EMF, concerned about EMF, property value drop, increased traffic along ROW, concern about wildlife related to transmission, Nise from line, interference on electronic devices, danger of electrical shock from failure. Residence 1200 m from line.
R3-LF059L		20 acres of land; health concerns; property value.
R3-LF060L		routing suggestion on map; health concerns (EMF)
R3-LF050L		Concerned about proximity to highly developed area, heaches and EMF - concerned about EMF, property value drop, increased traffic along ROW, concern about wildlife related to transmission, Nise from line, interference on electronic devices.
R3-LF061L		owners bought property in fall of 2014, Nt a real sense of what is on the landscape; there is large agricultural equipment being used in the hay area, which is much larger than appears on the satellite photo
R3-LF062L		NE 6-7-6 EPM: affects farm land, EMF field, stray voltage for dairy farm 1 mile west, spending \$4M on new dairy farm (robotic); doesn't think farm land should be affected if there is crown land available; NE 30-6-8 EPM: line on opposite side of GRA RM La Broquerie; too close to town, future development pln would be affected by location of line; land is Nt zoned for animal use (livestock); wly 80 acres has been purchased by a developmer, fly part is ready to be developed.
R3-LF051L		Selection of wildlife over people 207 vs 208 - doesn't make sence, concerned about fence alignment along property line whether it can go under transmission line or Nt. Concerned about effect on property value.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF063L		century farm, in the family since 1899; provided a routing adjustment, away from the farm house on still cultivated land but pasture on SW 17-7-8-E
R3-LF052L		Didn't receive Round 2 mailout, concerns related to why urban/residential and Nt east, approx 370 m to the line from residence, EMF. Farm equipment to go around towers, impediment farm operation, fire break if you use segment 207, segment 207 has business opportunities for clearing contracts.
R3-LF064L		
R3-LF053L		Split into 40 acre parcel with intention to develop aNther residence. Walked through compensation. ROW setbacks, for email Ntices finds it difficult to find information you wanted.
R3-LF033L		concern regarding property value of subdivided pieces; concern regarding Nise of line; viewshed concerns; concern regarding disruption of natural habitat; Plan A: preference to Nt have line on your property, move it to crown land; Plan B: futher though is needed, may contact MH with further considerations.
R3-LF001S		Review modification with double circuit. R49R-smaller ROW. Opposed to location of transission line out east. EMF safety (90 m from residence), R49R bothers them already from tips of fingers to headache, viewshed issue from home, fenced and gates will need to be included- access to the pits on R49R, helicopters are flying over too often, land was given as a wedding gift, clear cutting Nt desired, underground is much preferred, wildlife will Nt return to area.
R3-LF002S		What was the reason for the route modification? Property values on their property as a result of nearby transmission line. Should Nt go through private land. How was contact info obtained for landowners without a metre on their property? Concern over future land use (subdividing)? Fire concerns with ROW. Herbicides for maintaining the corridor and affect groundwater.
R3-LF003S		Will allow MB Hydro to come survey wetland as long as the property owners are Ntified prior to. Purchased land for preservation and camping. Previously deforested. Entrance to property on western edge. Current alignment on NW corner. Likely increase in access. Opposed to project. Would like land to be kept in current state as this was the reason for purchase. Would like to see a modification if possible.
R3-LF004S		ROW goes through property aquired January 2015. Unaware of route planning. On a segment that was added after Round 2. 170 m from proposed building. Bought land to get away from development. Health concerns- Rherumatoid arthritis. High wildlife value for the property. High use by deer and water fowl. Native harvester. Request a meeting on site. ATV access a big issue. Proximity to house building site. Aethetic value ruined by view to west. Preference to go back to original alternative to west.
R3-LF005S		approx 3 k from home. Concern regarding EMF, Ag machines
R3-LF006S		Concerns regarding health issues. Would prefer to see the line run on the Nrth side of the (blank). Concern regarding property values. Home identified on map.
R3-LF007S		Happy Manitoba Hydro moved line off his proposed subdivision. Wasn't very concerned the line is clipping the corner of his land.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF008S		Separate MMTP from R49R so he can get equipment and harrows in between. Son came to represent his father. Father farms land but does Nt live on the section.
R3-LF009S		Interested in if more land will be aquired outside of the existing ROW for Bipole III. Wants the towers ligned up across the landscape (D602F, MMTP, Bipole III).
R3-LF010S		EMF concerns, proximity to residences, just built and moved in in Nvember, visual impact aesthetics
R3-LF011S		Concerns- property value because wants to sell soon and viewshed could be a concern, already has one line running through property, line is less than 100 metres from home, seems too close. Modification suggestion: Plan A: move line further from home, preferrably on the east side of existing line. Would Nt like to see line on west side of home as it would be worse for view shed. House and property identified on map.
R3-LF012S		Plans to subdivide property into 4 sections for family. One home would potentially be approximately 170 metres from the line. Family will N longer want to live there. Upset because MTS took an easement for buried lines, damaged fences, lost cattle and was never compensated. Feels the value of the land is Nt true. Modification: Plan A: move to crown land, stop affecting private land. Compensation package is Nt eNugh money. Home and property identified on map.
R3-LF013S		Uses GPS for trail building and maintaining. Property value, hopes to subdivide property, feels property value will decrease. Bought property to be away from development. Lots of trails on property, cross country ski trials. Concern regarding access. Over 600 fruit trees on the property where the line would cross. Apples, cherries, plums. Fruit trees mixed in with old growth, as fruit trees. The larger trees are removed for firewood. Landowner only takes out 6 trees per year, therefore to remove all trees at once would leave many to rot. Modification: Plan A: Nt on the property- move to parallel 230 kv line. Is it possible to move approx 2 miles further east through crown land where less homes are impacted? Also move through middle of 1/4 section to the east which is only pasture lands. Plan B: does Nt like the option of tower placement. Wildlife photography done on property. Trout ponds, home and recreation and fruit trees identified on map.
R3-LF014S		Splitting 40 acres. Moving closer to house, 120 m separation from centre line. Already existing "eye sore" 230 kv line. Would like to see moved at least west side of existing as it was in Round 2. Concerns about eventually building by grandsons. Concerned about Nise, humming, and visual. Concerned about EMF effect on health. If line remains on property would like option to sell entire parcel as existing line already on property.
R3-LF015S		ATV, sNwmobile highway, opens access, opening up land, potential for subdivisions destroyed, concerns about construction equipment wrecking roads, property values from transmission line, arial application, radiation concerns from transmission line, cutting up and destroying land, open to traffic and fire from ATVs (Sandilands situation), sterilizes animals, affecting freedom from nature, 2 way radio effects, interference with signal, clean-up, weeds, dead grass, farmers hitting and damaging towers, radiation in different weather conditions where you can see glows on the conductor, Nise radiation from high powerline, radiation lighting up flourescent tubes from below power line.
R3-LF016S		West side of property would like to gain access to land from new ROW. Nt concerned about route across land.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF017S		Move line as far from property as possible. Would prefer if t-line was moved to the west, by gravel pit, approximately 1/2 mile. This is extremely frustrating for individual because his home will Nw be situated in the middle of two large transmission lines. The lines will bisect your property on either side of your home, through valued forest. Individual in Metis and uses the land for food, recreation and financial security for the future. Landowner was planning on building a shop where line is going. Please double circuit - SC suggestion. Map provided- indicated property (60 acres).
R3-LF018S		Does Nt like alignment onproperty. Purchased property 30 years ago. Discussed compensation- doesn't need money and would prefer Nt to have it. Landowner has been receiving different information regarding compensation. Discussed fencing at ROW. Would prefer Nt to have it, but N major concerns. Son will contact MH with questions or concerns. Uses land as a "retreat" - walk, drive, etc.
R3-LF019S		Own the most Nrthern section)- map Ntes relate to the four lots to be subdivided/constructed. How many decibles is the line? Proposed development on eastern edge of quarter section would like to see the line further east. Bought the property over 20 years ago. Was approved previously (approx 10 yrs ago). Map shows future retirement, vacant lot for sale in the future, brand new home (6 months old), existing rental property and modification.
R3-LF020S		SNwmobile trail does Nt cross on land. Fencing on property for cattle. Water testing stations along Monimento Rd and behind the property. Map indicates gravel pit, RM lagoon site and RM water testing stations.
R3-LF021S		Nise; wildlife habitat; access from recreational vehicles; construction damages; eye sore; Nt sure if you want to liveby power lines; fire risk; sandwiched by two power lines.
R3-LF036S		Future development (from investment) for children. Feels like Manitoba Hydro has trespassed on them and have last sanctity of the space. House, aesthetics, access from others, liability. Can't compensate for less in value as this. Home faces south and west (primary viewshed). Plan A - get out and go east. try to span tracks at less than 90 degrees to run diagonal. Place a tower on corner/edge of triange piece (X2). Route modification provided on iPads.
R3-LF022S		why can't it be pushed to Nt be within viewshed of properties on Monimento Rd.
R3-LF037S		- 40 acre piece in middle of quarter section. Nt accessible currently, enforcing of people trespassing. Landowners can protect the habitat better than areas that are near Sandilands and crown land. Fence at back and belongs to property behind. Map indicated 40 acre property owned and neighbour's 20 acre split proposed subdivision.
R3-LF023S		probably won't see the line from home; concerned about EMF; concerned about friends and family along the preferred route.
R3-LF024S		concerns regarding the close proximity to home, access, Nise of the line, health concerns; feels the line should move.
R3-LF025S		house on southwest corner of quarter-section on 4-acre lot; does Nt want line near her property (acquired in Dec 2014)
R3-LF026S		viewshed, EMF, property values.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF038S		EMF, compensation, regulatory process, distance, routing process and why Nt Ross. N major concerns with routing.
R3-LF027S		R2 route would have destroyed oak trees and boxed in parcel; preferred route does Nt impact them and is about 1mile west of PR; N concerns Nted but understands potential impact on neighbours.
R3-LF028S		concerned the line may move back to his property from R2; doesn't believe the compensation is fair; would like to see the line moved to the crown land to the east; concern regarding EMF
R3-LF039S		separation between M602F and MMTP approximately 45 m. 84 ft is the width of a larger piece of machinery. Lines of MMTP and M602F should be side by side. Close together would be preferred. Once construction is complete, there is higher likelihood of weeds to develop prior to grass to regrow (establishment). Sprayers can't access; wants to ensure MH will undertake proper maintenance if unable to spray.
R3-LF040S		Potential for land tile draining in future. Manure management is a serious concern for the dairy farm because it becomes very expensive to move further than a few kms. Very opposed to having the line on their property. GSP, aerial spray, mechanical spray equipment with large booms, GPS also used for seeding, large equipment used during harvest, drag hose systems would also Nt work. Will cost approximately 8 hours of work for every tower that required hand spraying. Big tiller and sprayer equipment are used and can be very dangerous around towers. Plan A: move away from landowner. Plan B: move to the east side off the property line. Map indicates DeKlein Copping areas, home, home and dairy farm, issue for aerial spraying and lactaria.
R3-LF029S		discussed concerns related to choosing the preferred route in a populated area vs forest land; should use existing hydro lines when possible.
R3-LF030S		0.913km from residence; EMF; regulatory process, when is it final? MCWS process; current alignment does Nt impact use of land; 10 acre acreage.
R3-LF031S		general info re: project and proximity to her property.
R3-LF032S		concerns regarding safety from hunting/poaching; potential to reduce access; EMF, property values; ID metis harvesting card; concerns with diagonal across quarter-section versus following road allowance; future plans to subdivide land for family houses; family attended together and all voiced their concerns for the project.
R3-LF033S		bought 80 acres with plans of subdividing and building aNther house (have Nt yet begun the subdivisions); compensation of 40 acre subdivided, approx \$100K value for youngsters; if fair compensation for the parcel, would be more accepting of current location
R3-LF034S		current location would cut NE corner <1 acre; believes the T-line will impede the sale of a future subdivision, for retirement; is Nt currently in a subdivision process; moving line to east of existing 230 kv would be less impactful for future subdivision (neighbour across the street has same concern); south loop makes N sense, should be straight line to MN.
R3-LF035S		eastside and why; height; safety and EMF and width; caccess, private land ownership, control by landowner, MH does Nt right unless granted; insurance and liability; underground; future home development appr 190m away; US use of power.
R3-LF041S		1.5 km from home, 1.3 km from future site, N concerns.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF042S		Before #15 highway, trail used to cross through property to Whiteshell. In the 1950's they used to be marked, Nw they are grown in. It was the original portion of the Dawson Trail. Peat under ROW that they want to remove to improve land (near creek). Map indicates location of metal machine shed, house (previously moved), mobile home and new workshop (4 years ago) and the only area of the creek on property with water because of a beaver.
R3-LF044S		concerns about liability if someone hits a pole or wire on property; plans to subdivide in the future; may want to build a road underneath the line for subdivided property; taxes on ROW/easement; income tax on compensation; Mark answered the above questions.
R3-LF045S		EMF; Nise; health; aesthetics; devaluation of property; would prefer the lines to be placed in Nrthern corner
R3-LF046S		spraying for clearing of ROW (concern); existing homestead on property (approx before 1900-1912); what happens if access Ntification is Nt available?; potential access for trespassers and damage from ATVs and access along ROW; mandating spraying vs bush clearing, concern related to watershed impacts from spraying.
R3-LF043S		Discussed: 80 acre parcel, R1 routes, fenced area. Plan A: prefers an option further east. N interest in carrying liability insurance. Hydro has cut fence on other property owned for distribution lines. RM of Tache has a bylaw that indicates you can only have "x" cattle per "y" acres. Indicated if Hydro is going to survey wetland. A letter was provided.
R3-LF047S		health concerns, distance, towers, ROW; would like trees stacked; only concern health but happy with information provided; N further concerns, just wanted to make sure they could still walk under it
R3-LF048S		interesting in getting wood; opposed to line; concerns about herbicide application and water quality; emf concerns; property value concerns.
R3-LF049S		property is on dead-end road; concern about view from home and backyard
R3-LF050S		property was under alternate route but Nw has been modified to Nt be over their house, happy with this decision; to the west of quarter line (private vs municipal land)
R3-LF051S	old homestead site	Nt any closer, conerned about environmental degradation, property values, health interest, hotbed for bird species: have birders approach him to go on property and look for birds, habitat for golden winged warbler and woodpeckers
R3-LF052S		N concerns; asked about access
R3-LF001H		underground possibility; EMF concerns; development potential; how long will construction take per tower; concern regarding Nise from the line and from construction
R3-LF002H		concern regarding EMF; would like to see the line buried to get rid of EMF; concern regarding access to Wpg if the lines break and block the road; feels the province has a conflict of interest in regulating the project

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF003H		N major concerns
R3-LF005H		owns property adjacent RL39/40 east of TOW, are concerned with clearing full width of ROW as they have paths along their property line and it would create more open space.
R3-LF006H		unhappy about the south loop, didn't kNw about it previously.
R3-LF002OB		health concerns (dealt with); aesthetics and property values; if viewshed changes, there is an issue
R3-LF001R		Interested in purchasing Cottonwood Golf Course. Has considered subdividing lots along highway and prefers the route to remain where it is in proximity to the golf course. Provided detailed information on development plans on rural residential zoning within golf course. Map shows a currently zoned residential area and an area that has potential residential/camping development on Cottonwood Golf Course
R3-LF002R		If land is needed, wants total buy-out. Will join Caepela if have to. will Nt like it if a line comes in. Indicates strong opposition. Worried about ATV access. Will oppose to the end.
R3-LF003R		William Simpson & Jacqueline Simpson-Riws (or Rioux). NE-21-9-7 E. Already spoke with at previous event. Approximately 240 m of line accross land. Would Hydro work with CAEPLA representative for property? Line crosses property in mid-point between hill and pit at SW corner. The well is approx. 245 ft deep. Wants to kNw if the herbicide could potentially affect well water quality. If landowner doesn't want herbicide , they don't have to use it on land. Home, well and property identified on map.
R3-LF001OB		when originally designing ROW, planners should have thought of larger equipment possibilities.
R3-LF003OB		appreciates opportunity to talk about it, ask questions
R3-LF004OB		
R3-LF004R		N additional comments
R3-LF005OB		EMF, information provided; Nise
R3-LF006OB		concern about bility to farm around towers, change to farm practice, unsure if impact right Nw until sees tower configuration
R3-LF005R		-if damage from equipment during construction/maintenance after line is built, who is responsible for costs? If herbicides are Nt used on their property but are on property adjacent, what happens with effects on property? (completed from questions previously)
R3-LF007R		concerned about aerial spraying; GPS concern about hitting towers; concerned about potential Nise effects on dairy animals at distance of approx 600m; helicopter fly overs and Nise effects on livestock; would prefer the route Nt to be there.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF006R		Landowner would be affected on west and south side of home with PR. View is west and south. Route adjustments and home shown on map. Route adjustments described in follow up section.
R3-LF008R		wasn't interested in filling out landowner form, just wanted to kNw about compensation (went through compensation package); has shop on proper, gravel pits on the other side of the section.
R3-LF009R		N concerns
R3-LF001D		concern regarding Ntification, didn't hear about until Rnd3, should hav received a letter from the beginning; feels they are already giving to society by having one line (230 kv) line on their property, unfair to have a second line; discussion on compensation; once the line does in, feels the east side of the property is wasted space.
R3-LF002D		Potential mitigation described in follow up section.
R3-LF004D		placement of towers important for famring (wants to see side-by-side placement for multiple lines); has land Nrth of existing ROW; farms under current 500kv line; has 7-8 subdivisions on his SE quarter-section currently occupied, Nt owned by Mr. Johnson.
R3-LF005D		Nt in favour of current line routing across property, on either side of existing 230 ROW; property value considerations; ATV access is a concern; future subdivision potential; EMF concerns, living in proximity to T-line.
R3-LF006D		property value effects; EMF concerns; Nise - don't want to hear them is their biggest concern; ATV access into new wildlife and hunting areas; visual impact
R3-LF001A		
R3-LF002A		see attached map and letter from landowner
R3-LF001ST		calving ridge concerns; 800 animals/year; calving in March/April; black out zone for work; wean in May; calving barn (on map) which is fenced off; prefer self-supporting tower, extra hazard; 42 bulls on one side; 130 cow calf; hay a portion
R3-LF004A		Owns southern 80 acres of 1/4 section. NW-20-7-8. Concern as owner plans to subdivide and properties will Nt be worth as much if they are near the transmission line. People are terrified of living close to a large transmission line. Landowner owns southern 80 acres of the 1/4 section. although Nt a huge direct impact, will decrease property value. Property identified on map.

AECOM Reference Number	Grave details	Additional comments
R3-LF003A		Letter received from landowner.
R3-LF001T		concern regarding access mgt, wants to work with MH to ensure a good access management plan is in place.

AECOM Ref Number	Open House	Date	Map Details
R3-LF001Z	Zhoda	10-Feb-2015	Property identified
R3-LF004Z	Zhoda	10-Feb-2015	Identified house and cattle pond on map
R3-LF005Z	Zhoda	10-Feb-2015	Identified property boundaries (2 maps)
R3-LF006Z	Zhoda	10-Feb-2015	Plan D - review with potential 2 degree modification at sw corner of WPD WMA. Second map with ponds identified and route modification
R3-LF001P	Piney	11-Feb-2015	n-s fiber optic line identified on map and 2 modifications
R3-LF002P	Piney	11-Feb-2015	Modification and ridge identified
R3-LF003P	Piney	11-Feb-2015	Property and Grove of Cedars identified
R3-LF002W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Identified property on map
R3-LF005W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Proposed route adjustment, home and property identified
R3-LF006W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Modification to increase distance from property
R3-LF007W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Identified hog barns and would like to see towers placed beside D602F in ROW
R3-LF009W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Indicating general public access point and tower spotting locations
R3-LF011W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Map from RM of Springfield. Drain Plan and Trans Line Plan
R3-LF012W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Uncertain if land owned by MH or easement. Follow up required
R3-LF014W	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Proposed route adjustment/modification
R3-LF002L	La Broquerie	17-Feb-2015	Home identified on map
R3-LF005L	La Broquerie	17-Feb-2015	Lots and parcels identified on map.
R3-LF014L	La Broquerie	17-Feb-2015	Additional residence on the property, private 5 acre lot
R3-LF019L	La Broquerie	17-Feb-2015	Home and natural shelterbelt for wildlife corridor to the river identified on map
R3-LF022L	La Broquerie	17-Feb-2015	House identified on map
R3-LF023L	La Broquerie	17-Feb-2015	Cabin, property and future home identified on map
R3-LF025L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	Property identified
R3-LF026L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	Wells and yardsites identified
R3-LF028L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	Wetlands and parcel of property identified
R3-LF029L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	Property identified
R3-LF030L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	Property identified
R3-LF031L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	home and recreational use area identified on map
R3-LF032L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	home and animal sighting/habitats shown on map
R3-LF033L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	home and subdivide pieces shown on map
R3-LF034L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	subdivision plans and future home location shown on map
R3-LF035L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	home and school identified on map
R3-LF040L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	map shows properties, sloughs and possible centennial farmhouse

AECOM Ref Number	Open House	Date	Map Details
R3-LF042L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	map shows home and future home/shop area on ALO 062
R3-LF045L	La Broquerie	18-Feb-2015	map shows warmup shack and clubhouse, flood storage and control structure; walking trails and adjacent crownland leased by the 7 oaks fish and game assn.
R3-LF046L	La Broquerie	19-Feb-2015	Homes, wells, preferred tower placement for manure drag line, barns identified on map
R3-LF047L	La Broquerie	19-Feb-2015	Property identified
R3-LF056L	La Broquerie	21-Feb-2015	route modification indicated on map
R3-LF057L	La Broquerie	21-Feb-2015	2 homes and route modification indicated on map (2 maps)
R3-LF060L	La Broquerie	21-Feb-2015	routing modification suggestion indicated on map
R3-LF061L	La Broquerie	21-Feb-2015	hay areas and shelterbelt around river shown on map
R3-LF062L	La Broquerie	21-Feb-2015	cultivated rental land, home, new barn shown on map
R3-LF063L	La Broquerie	21-Feb-2015	routing alternative and tower placement suggestions shown on map
R3-LF001S	Ste. Anne	24-Feb-2015	Measurements from residence to centre line and acreage calculation.
R3-LF006S	Ste Anne	24-Feb-2015	Home
R3-LF011S	Ste Anne	24-Feb-2015	Home
R3-LF012S	Ste Anne	24-Feb-2015	Home
R3-LF013S	Ste Anne	24-Feb-2015	Trout ponds, home and recreation, fruit trees, newly planted 2000 pine trees.
R3-LF017S	Ste Anne	24-Feb-2015	No comments
R3-LF019S	Ste Anne	24-Feb-2015	Future retirement home, vacant land for sale, new home (6 mth old), existing rental property, modification proposed.
R3-LF020S	Ste Anne	24-Feb-2015	gravel pit, lagoon site, RM testing stations
R3-LF037S	Ste Anne	26-Feb-2015	40 acres ownde, 20 acres split (proposed subdivision)
R3-LF023S	Ste. Anne	25-Feb-2015	Home
R3-LF026S	Ste. Anne	25-Feb-2015	Home, marsh area
R3-LF028S	Ste. Anne	25-Feb-2015	half of quarter section highlighted.
R3-LF040S	Ste Anne	26-Feb-2015	cropping
R3-LF042S	Ste Anne	28-Feb-2015	metal machine shed, house - previously moved, mobile home, new workshop, only area of creek with water because of beavers.
R3-LF049S	Ste. Anne	28-Feb-2015	No comments, area marked.
R3-LF002H	Headingley	4-Mar-2015	home
R3-LF003H	Headingley	4-Mar-2015	home, don't own yard site
R3-LF006H	Headingley	4-Mar-2015	transcanada trail
R3-LF001R	Richer	11-Mar-2015	shaded is currently zoned residential, highlighted area has potential residential/camping development

AECOM Ref Number	Open House	Date	Map Details
R3-LF003R	Richer	11-Mar-2015	home, well
R3-LF003OB	Oak Bluff	5-Mar-2015	subdivided
R3-LF004OB	Oak Bluff	5-Mar-2015	Move MMTP to north side of existing lines to avoid home.
R3-LF006R	Richer	11-Mar-2015	route adjustments (3)
R3-LF001D	Dugald	12-Mar-2015	driveway and home, future home for son
R3-LF001A	landowner home	30-Jan-2015	Owned, modification, slough, wetlands
R3-LF002A	Winnipeg	12-Feb-2015	Modification A, B, C provided on Map Viewer
R3-LF001ST	Hylife office	6-Feb-2015	calving ridge concerns, calving barn, fenced off, spreading allocation, manure storage
R3-LF004A	Phone Call	31-Mar-2015	No comments
R3-LF001T	Steinbach	9-Apr-2015	Route modification move line off potential subdivision.

Appendix E

E1 – Stakeholder Group and
Landowner Meeting Minutes

E2 – Comment Sheet Data

E3 – Online Comment Sheet
Data

E4 – Landowner Form Data

E5 – Mapping Data

E6 – Email and Telephone
Summary

iPad Mapping Data			
AECOM Identifier	ConcernDescription	PreferenceDescription	SiteDescription
R3-I001	Aesthetics, property values, concerns about noise from the lines, potential health concerns.		
R3-I002	Land going through permitting to build a home in this tree stand. Would be unable to build if this route 207 comes back on		
R3-I003	Uses land to hunt. Metis harvester rights holders. Three adjacent land owners are also using the land.		
R3-I004			Would not oppose having the angle structure located on their property
R3-I005			Currently building a home.
R3-I006		Would prefer to see the transmission line follow the existing transmission line. This would render the line to be in close proximity to the homes that re currently sandwiched between the two lines.	
R3-I007		Route modification suggested by landowner. This will limit the impact from an agricultural and a visual concern.	NW 17 10 7
R3-I008	Home planned to be built but sale of property is pending a decision on the final route. Preference for segment 208		
R3-I009	Concerned about health effects from the line. 3/4 family members have cancer. Living in house since 28 years. Thinks it's too close.		
R3-I010		Alignment would be preferred to allow for useable land and increase distance from home and would cross more bog than pasture.	
R3-I011			Would like to eventually spread their ashes in this area.
R3-I012			Current mining of gravel

iPad Mapping Data			
AECOM Identifier	ConcernDescription	PreferenceDescription	SiteDescription
R3-I013		Tower placement would minimize visual impact. Jives with northern tower placement.	
R3-I014		Tower placement to minimize visual impact. Jives with a tower placement just on the south side of Tetrault drive (35N)	
R3-I015			Future mining plans for the rm of tache for gravel
R3-I016			Future location of a home after subdivision.
R3-I017	No concerns as the project does affect their property		
R3-I018			Black bear have been known to den I this area during the winter
R3-I019			Lady slippers have been seen in the property line south of the home. Annual sightings
R3-I020	Moved to the area 5 years ago, from wpg to become farmers. Used to have a cottage at pointe du Bois and do not want to see another transmission line.		
R3-I021		Would prefer this alignment based on potential impact to operation. Would like to see this line follow the creek and have a tower in the swampy area.	
R3-I022			Future plans of MIT to redevelop the Courchaine Bridge
R3-I023		Alignment would allow landowner to dig a drain to one t to developing drains along highway 89	
R3-I024	concerns with how close the line is to the property. Was happy with the relationship the Colony has with Hydro (allowing them to farm the land). Comes close to some buildings.		

iPad Mapping Data			
AECOM Identifier	ConcernDescription	PreferenceDescription	SiteDescription
R3-I025	It was raised by local members and the RM of Stuartburn that there is an annual rodeo which the community members attend and it is generally held the last weekend on August. They would like is to consider this in our assessment and possible mitigation measures during construction.		
R3-I026			Intends to develop a dug out in the summer of 2015.
R3-I027			See black bear over past spring
R3-I028			Has noticed Sandhills cranes nesting in the area. Tends to be doing so annually.
R3-I029	Lots of bogs and springs in the area. Member of public wanted us to know. No major concerns.		

Appendix E

E1 – Stakeholder Group and
Landowner Meeting Minutes

E2 – Comment Sheet Data

E3 – Online Comment Sheet
Data

E4 – Landowner Form Data

E5 – Mapping Data

E6 – Email and Telephone
Summary

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line- Round 3- Summary of Email Logs

AECOM Index #	Email Information		
	Date and Time	Email Summary	ALO / MLO #
R3-E001	Tue 1/13/2015 11:56 AM	Looking for updated information on the project. Understands that the Zhoda Hall has been booked for an OH. MH indicated that the preferred route will be released in the near future and notification will be done through letters, posters, postcards, etc...	ALO 138
R3-E002	Mon 1/12/2015 8:08 AM	Questions: how does the env. impact statement get started without a line being announced yet? There is an ad in the free press (jan 10/15) and carillon news (jan 8/15) asking for public and landowner input; how do we input when we don't even know where the line is going? MH indicated that the Env. Assessment Scoping doc. was filed with MBCWS is the outline of how we will be developing the EIS. There will be public and regulatory review of the EIS once submitted to MB Cons that outlines the potential impacts and mitigation measures of the preferred route.	ALO 041
R3-E003	Tue 1/6/2015 2:37 PM	Question regarding when MH announces the line, is it put in the paper? Do you send emails to landowners or is there another way? MH indicated that affected landowners will receive a registered letter via Canada Post, MH will announce route in Wpg. Free Press, Sun and other local papers, in the Ecampaign that will send an email to those subscribed and if you have attended a previous OH and indicated your preferred method of contact. Provided link to website, phone number and email address.	ALO 041
R3-E004	Fri 1/2/2015 9:33 AM	Website says line picked by dec. announce early 2015. Is it to early to ask. MH indicated will be releasing the preferred route within a month.	ALO 041
R3-E005	Thu 12/18/2014 1:22 PM	Continuation of previous email. Requested a map. Indicated that their land is just south of the curve in highway 5 south of Glenboro. Do you by chance have a Google map that shows proposed with existing towers for the area extending from Glenboro to the wetlands area south.... About six miles? MH provided a map of this area.	
R3-E006	Thu 12/18/2014 1:12 PM	Provided resume. MH recommended signing up on the MH website under "Careers" and provided the link.	
R3-E007	Fri 1/16/2015 3:44 PM	Email blast for R3. Letter B sent to stakeholders & MH will contact them directly to set up a meeting.	
R3-E008	Fri 1/16/2015 3:43 PM	Email blast for R3. Letter A sent to stakeholders for info only and if they would like to meet with MH to contact MH directly.	
R3-E009	Fri 1/16/2015 3:41 PM	Email blast for R3. Letter C sent to Glenboro stakeholders.	
R3-E010	Fri 1/16/2015 3:41 PM	Email blast for R3. Letter D sent to a group of stakeholders & MH will contact them directly to set up a meeting.	
R3-E011	Fri 1/16/2015 3:41 PM	Email blast for R3. Letter A sent to stakeholders for info only and if they would like to meet with MH to contact MH directly.	
R3-E012	Fri 1/16/2015 11:54 AM	When MH announces the line, will an email be sent out instantly to affected landowners? OR do we wait until it goes public? MH indicated that the website will be updated and an email blast will be sent out. Registered letters will follow.	ALO 041
R3-E013	Wed 1/14/2015 7:44 AM	Emailing requesting "send info." MH requested to please contact him directly at 1-877-343-1631 for him to pull together the info they are looking for or to please provide the type of info they would like by email.	
R3-E014	Fri 1/16/2015 4:39 PM	As per phone conversation, MH attached a map of the preferred route in relation to landowners property.	ALO 136
R3-E015	Tue 1/20/2015 7:45 AM	Initial email is the Preferred Route Determined e-blast. Provided new contact for Travel Manitoba (stakeholder) as M.Clarke is retiring.	
R3-E016	Tue 1/20/2015 10:08 AM	Received a letter that contained information stating that the addressee owns land within 1 mile of the proposed right-of-way. To my knowledge the Groundwater section of Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship is not a land holder. However there is no land description or other information included in this letter to make a determination as to whether some other group in MC&WS does hold land in the area and to which the letter should be forwarded. MH indicated that they utilized GIS data points for MB Hydro meters within one mile of the preferred route and provided additional information details.	MLO 1669
R3-E017	Tue 1/20/2015 9:54 AM	MH provided KMZ files as discussed.	
R3-E018	Tue 1/20/2015 11:47 AM	Landowner indicates that preferred route runs along the north boundary of their home property. Will be situated in the middle of 3 line transmission corridor. Will Hydro be doing anything about this now that the preferred route has been selected? My specific concerns are as follows. Want to know how residing in the middle of a three line transmission corridor is going to affect my health. Not a glossed over version, I would like to see an actual medical study of others similarly exposed and how it affected their health over the long term. I expect that the value of my property will be negatively affected due to being located in the middle of a three line transmission corridor. I can ask about a Market Value appraisal with and without the third transmission line and will want to know if Manitoba Hydro plans to provide compensation for this loss in value. Removal of all trees to the North of my property to make way for the transmission line will expose my property to an open field with no protection from the wind. There is some room on Hydro lands adjacent to my fence line where new trees could be planted to provide protection from the wind and a bit of a visual barrier between my property and the transmission lines. I would like to be involved in a planting design and the selection of a number of trees (of appreciable size, not seedlings) for this area. My expectation is that the trees would be purchased and installed by MB Hydro and that Hydro would also water the trees in year one while they are becoming established. MH provided info on EMF along with links to the website for other brochures. Indicated as part of the environmental assessment. Manitoba Hydro will enter into easement agreements with all traversed private land holders and will pay 150% of market value for the acreage necessary for the easement. Agricultural lands will receive a tower placement payment for the land taken out of production that is based on the crops harvested on the land. Regarding property values and adjacent land owners, Manitoba Hydro has monitored property values with other projects and has noted no appreciable change in property value. Compensation payments will only be paid for those who house the transmission line infrastructure.	MLO 145
R3-E019	Tue 1/20/2015 11:44 AM	Initial email is the Preferred Route Determined e-blast. Landowner asks if "it must be settled now...well probably was, all along."MH provided that Hydro has been undertaking a route selection process as well as gathering feedback through public engagement to determine a preferred route for the Project. This preferred route aims to balance human, natural and engineering perspectives on the landscape. Round 3 will focus on gathering feedback to consider any final adjustments to the route prior to filing the project's environmental impact statement for regulatory approval.	
R3-E020	Tue 1/20/2015 11:36 AM	Initial email is the Preferred Route Determined e-blast. Concerned about rate increases and not agree with the proposed MMTP.Will be affecting their quality of life. MH indicated that MB Hydro maintains some of the lowest electricity rates in North America and exports surplus power to neighboring provinces and states as part of revenue generation. The Public Utilities Board regulates rates charged by Manitoba Hydro to its customers. Also provided additional details regarding exporting. Individual responds concerned that they will not be able to pay their increased Hydro bill. MH provides links to power smart information and equal payment plan programs and indicates MH takes energy affordability very seriously.	
R3-E021	Tue 1/20/2015 11:30 AM	Landowner indicates "Did my route get picked cause I didn't sign up with that snake oil salesman ?? Or is it cause I didn't get my face all over the news like others?? Or ??what are the time lines now?? If my gravel worth more then building lots is the pay out at time of signing for property or does it happen in 2020 when line is up??" MH indicated numerous criteria and considerations go into determining a preferred route, and we seek to balance concerns from human, natural and technical perspectives. The route at this time is considered 'preferred' but is not final. We will be meeting with affected landowners to understand their concerns to develop measures to mitigate potential impacts of the project. MB Hydro aims to submit the EIS this summer. This will begin the regulatory review period. At the end of the regulatory review period the route is considered final, and then we will begin negotiating with landowners on related compensation if a license is received for the Project which is anticipated for 2017/2018. Easement payments would be paid to the landowner after they have signed the agreement.	ALO 041
R3-E022	Tue 1/20/2015 11:10 AM	MT provided some information about MMTP and included link to website, project newsletter and interactive webviewer.	
R3-E023	Tue 1/20/2015 10:43 AM	MH as per phone conversation provided a link for the map request.	MLO 1186
R3-E024	Tue 1/20/2015 12:34 PM	Would like to know if the existing hydro towers running through Headingley will be removed after the new ones are built or if they will remain in place. MH indicated the existing towers will remain in place and will follow the existing corridor.	
R3-E025	Tue 1/20/2015 1:38 PM	Did my route get picked cause I didn't sign up with that snake oil salesman ?? Or is it cause I didn't get my face all over the news like others?? Or ??what are the time lines now?? If my gravel worth more then building lots is the pay out at time of signing for property or does it happen in 2020 when line is up?? MH indicated there are numerous criteria and considerations that go into determining a preferred route and MH will sit down with landowners to understand their concerns to develop mitigation measures. Individual requested to meet with MH and MW to understand what to do with his properties. MH indicated MH, MW and MT will be available to meet as the landowners convenience.	ALO 041
R3-E026	Tue 1/20/2015 2:05 PM	Thought the Riel Station was originally designed and constructed to for power lines from Dorsey to run a northern route around Winnipeg and come into the Riel complex from the north. This distance is also shorter than the proposed route circling to the south. Changing technical design after the fact and incurring a longer route to the south is going to leave Manitobans on the hook for extra costs related to design adjustment, implementation, and maintenance for years to come. The project should be built as it was originally engineered to be. MH explained that The Riel Station provides a second converter station in southern Manitoba to ensure the continual supply of power to Manitoba Hydro customers. The transmission line for the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project will not be connected to Riel Station but will utilize the southern corridor that is separated from the existing 500kV export line that terminates at Dorsey Station. Having separation between the two converter stations and having terminus points at the two locations will provide a higher degree of redundancy to the Manitoba Hydro system if a catastrophic failure were to occur with one of our facilities.	
R3-E027	Tue 1/20/2015 2:34 PM	MH informing individual that his package is ready for pick up at 820 Taylor Avenue.	
R3-E028	Tue 1/20/2015 4:16 PM	MH provided individual with information regarding the public engagement process that is being undertaken for the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project. Explained stakeholder notification and meetings, workshops and open houses. Sent Round 1 and Round 2 information.	
R3-E029	Wed 1/21/2015 8:41 AM	MH sent links to the project website, document library and interactive map viewer. The newsletter was attached.	
R3-E030	Wed 1/21/2015 12:13 PM	Individual thanked MH for sending the information on the MMTP. They indicated that her husband and her have no concerns about the project and they will not be attending the upcoming meetings. MH replied thanking them for the email.	MLO 1393
R3-E031	Thu 1/22/2015 4:32 PM	MH as per phone conversation provided links to project information: postcard invite to previous open houses in Glenboro, Storyboards from the open house, Map of Endbridge property adjacent to the MH Glenboro Property and link to the project website. The Enbridge property SE ¼ 3-7-14 WPM which lies to the eastern limit of public road plan 979 MLTO is adjacent to the properties being affected but is not directly affected by the project.	
R3-E032	Thu 1/22/2015 11:20 AM	Question: How Is the price of our land gonna be decided ? Individual indicated that it will be hard to compare it to the other properties in the area as they are located on the highest land on 501 and everything else downhill is wet or swampy and bushland down the road sold 5 acres for 55,000\$. They highly doubt MH will pay prices like that we calculated on the route it should be going thought at least 12 acres of our bush land so I hope there paying for the land plus damage to the trees and what not . Individual is unable to attend the meetings as they will be out of town. Asks when they will receive compensation. The individual is planning to subdivide and build a new house on the land the line is running through In the next few years but individual states that it would be a waste of time planning. MH responded explaining appraisers use various methods and tools to calculate market value and MH will discuss any specific questions landowners have at the time of the negotiation. MH explained that ancillary damages such as loss of fire wood due to bush clearing will be negotiated prior to signing of the easement agreement and will be paid after the easement agreement is registered at the Land Titles Office. Damages resulting from construction activities and structure impact (farm lands only) will be paid after construction. Landowners will receive a deposit payment (re: land payment and ancillary damages) of \$225 at the time of signing the easement agreement with the balance to be paid after the easement agreement is registered at the Land Titles Office. MH indicated regulatory dates and inservice date.	ALO 101
R3-E033	Wed 1/21/2015 4:50 PM	Question: are you going to be using the existing towers that currently are there or will you be adding another row of towers.? If so how far north of the existing tower will you be going? Currently the line is just over a half mile away from my property. I want to know exactly how how close you will be with the structure, guide lines or what ever else you are planning on installing. Lives in Anola. MH responded indicating the towers will be on the north side of the existing T-line. Asked for individuals legal land location and will provide a map indicating its location in relation to the individual's home, including Bipole III and the existing T-Line.	MLO 069

AECOM Index #	Email Information			ALO / MLO #
	Date and Time	Email Summary		
R3-E034	Thu 1/22/2015 3:50 PM	Request from RM of Tache for MH to attend a future council meeting in February to discuss the proposal. Of particular interest: Section 28-9-7E. MH responded they will be in attendance on Feb. 10. Individual stated council's main question is what is MH's approach (compensation) to property owners when transmission lines cross into and/or over valuable aggregate resources such as in our case? There will be 8 Members of Council and 2 administrative figures. MH confirmed attendance will be with MT and himself.		ALO 117
R3-E035	Thu 1/22/2015 3:12 PM	Individual states that MH consultations are "smoke and screen", the route was predetermined, social impact is greater than MH could anticipate, The cost benefit approach is temporal in nature, for the additional cost(legal, political, compensation, etc) forthcoming from a concerned public will outweigh your current analysis, The next upcoming provincial election may add an additional damper to the present route, The decided route is too close to the town, given that the Seine River, golf course, proposed municipal parks will destroy the landscape and impact on the future recreational potential. Requests all current and past documentation on the public feedback and eco-environmental analysis. MH responds by explaining the EA and public engagement process. MH provides link to the project website and document library and EA material. Provides link to open house dates.		ALO 023
R3-E036	Mon 1/26/2015 9:47 AM	Initial message was not shown in email chain. MH replied by thanking in the individual for their interest in MMTP and provided the link to the careers section on the MH website.		
R3-E037	Mon 1/26/2015 8:38 AM	Questionning why MH does not buy local food and drinks for open houses ie Bothwell cheese, Mom's Bakery, Canadian Gold Water. MH replied that local caterers are used where possible.		
R3-E038	Mon 1/26/2015 8:28 AM	Advising MH that they sold their property and don't know who purchased it. MH responded thanking for the email and will look into who purchased the property.		ALO 031
R3-E039	Mon 1/26/2015 8:26 AM	Advising MH that the route alignment on website on Jan 2015 is ok for their family with satisfactory distance. MH thanked individual.		
R3-E040	Mon 1/26/2015 8:25 AM	Thanked MH for the online maps and determined the line will be 3 miles west of our home and is satisfied. MH replied thanking them for their email.		
R3-E041	Mon 1/26/2015 8:27 AM	Stated the current proposed route of Jan 2015 is suitable for their family, with fair distance from their multi-generational home. MH responded and thanked the individual.		
R3-E042	Mon 1/26/2015 10:44 AM	landowner did not give permission to place cancer causing power lines right under their property. Health concerns-brain tumors, leukemia, birth defects, lymphoma, EMF such as headaches, fatigue, anxiety, insomnia, etc. MH indicated that part of teh EA being undertaken, EMF will be discussed. Attached links to information provided on website including EMF report.		ALO 023
R3-E043	Mon 1/26/2015 10:10 AM	Reference letter dated Jan 16, 2015. Will not be able to attend OH's in Feb and Mar but would like to receive a copy of any updates. Does not want any done to his property that would jeopardize the current and future potential value of the property. Difficult to express his view until receive an acceptable compensation offered to his property and the other affected landowners. MH would like to set up a phone call if desired to discuss the project and gather info about the property. Provided phone number for MH and provided links to the project on the website.		ALO 054
R3-E044	Mon 1/26/2015 3:42 PM	Landowner would like to know if Hydro has any objections or concerns with their proposed subdivision because of MMTP. MH indicated that at this time they do not anticipate large modifications to the preferred route however during regulatory review there is a possibility that the route could be modified. The route is not final until a licence is received to construct the project and it's not anticipated until 2017. Attached a map showing their property in relation to the preferred route.		
R3-E045	Mon 1/26/2015 3:09 PM	Continuation from email R3-E020: Concerns about Hydro increasing the rates for electrical heating. There are people in rural MB tha have no other option for heating besides electricity. Hydro should not be increasing the rate of heating. MH understand your concerns and have presented suggestions that are available to help address them, including our Power Smart initiatives which have recently been dramatically increased. We also understand that you feel these do not adequately meet your needs and have communicated this to our Power Smart program people.		
R3-E046	Mon 1/26/2015 3:07 PM	Received MLO letters for 9 sites. Who are they intended receipients so that we can redirect them accordingly. MH indicated that These packages were sent to electrical meter holders within one mile of the preferred route to inform them of Manitoba Hydro activities regarding the Project. Please contact me at 204-360-4305 and I can provide you with some information regarding the details of the database.		MLO 1670, 1671, 1673, 1674, 1676, 1678, 1679, 1680
R3-E047	Mon 1/26/2015 2:51 PM	As discussed last week, there is a large overview map and localized map for the Tache area at the security desk of 820 Taylor.		
R3-E048	Tue 1/27/2015 9:11 AM	Received notice that the proposed route will be within 1 mile of land we own. Please provide more specific info as to the location being referred. MH provided map. Landowner indicated that it was a temporary service for work at the Brady Landfill; this service has been removed. MH thanked landowner.		MLO 1766
R3-E049	Tue 1/27/2015 8:37 AM	Continuation from email R3-E046. MH spoke with landowner about the letters. Discussed how meter locations were pulled and she will forward the letters onto the appropriate individuals. 01/27/2015 @ 8:36 am		MLO 1670, 1671, 1673, 1674, 1676, 1678, 1679, 1680
R3-E050	Tue 1/27/2015 8:21 AM	Continuation from email R3-E042. She does not want the line over her land, plain and simple. Look into a new route.		ALO 023
R3-E051	Mon 1/26/2015 4:04 PM	Received a kick back from the letter we sent out for your last week. I have modified the mailing address so it should arrive to you shortly. My apologies for not catching this.		ALO 136
R3-E052	Tue 1/27/2015 11:23 AM	Forwarded initial email from MH regarding stakeholder meetings.		
R3-E053	Tue 1/27/2015 9:16 AM	I understand you were wanting some information regarding potential engineering consultant contracts related to the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project. At this time Manitoba Hydro intends to complete our design using internal labour resources.		
R3-E054	Tue 1/27/2015 9:16 AM	SJ suggested I contact you. I received a phone call from a gentlemen who is interested in finding out if Manitoba Hydro will be hiring any contractors for engineering for MMTP.Would you be able to provid him with the info he needs. AM indicatd that she will respond to him via email.		
R3-E055	Tue 1/27/2015 1:37 PM	Initial email blast sent. MAAA indicatd they met with Stantec on the morning of Jan 26, 2015. A report of the meeting will be forwarded to Hydro and to MAAA and will be in touch later this week.		
R3-E056	Tue 1/27/2015 1:13 PM	Scheduled a meeting with RM of Ritchot and Hydro on Feb 3, 2015 @ 10:30 am.		
R3-E057	Tue 1/27/2015 3:25 PM	Forwarded initial email from MH regarding stakeholder meetings. Indicated that I will follow up with her next week to set up a meeting if interested.		
R3-E058	Tue 1/27/2015 2:58 PM	Phone call Jan 26, 2015 @ 1630. Attached map suggests two modifications put forward to landowner. Blue Dot – Location of Residence Yellow Line – Preference for the line to remain on the western side of R49R Orange Line – Stay on the western side of R49R until past her property. Cross over R49R prior to her neighbor (to the south) to the west to maximize separation between both residences.		ALO 074
R3-E059	Wed 1/28/2015 8:57 AM	Would like to meet to address concerns and discuss compensation. Also own "company and QS specified". Small amout of stone and gravel in 3 mile from line. Would also like to see more local products at meetings. MH asked if there is a specific time you would like to meet with one of our representatives at one of the OHs. Please let me know what date and time would work best and will schedule to meet.		ALO 083
R3-E060	Wed 1/28/2015 8:53 AM	Initial email: Voici mes inquiétudes... D'abord vous démontrez les 3 routes 75, 59 et 12. Sur la route 59, on y trouve Ile-des-Chênes, St-Malo et Toilstoi. Comment êtes-vous arrivés a choisir ces villages? Pourquoi St-Pierre-Jolys ne s'y trouvent pas? D'abord la route 59, passe directement dans le village de St-Pierre-Jolys, ce village bien actif est incorporé et MB Hydro y a des installations (sub station) à l'est dans le village. Est-ce un manque de votre part ? je souhaiterais que ceci soit corrigé à la prochaine publicité de votre part. J'invite le CDEM, l'ANIM, la SFM et le village d'appuyer cette demande. MH responded: Par rapport à la publicité, nous choisissons des localités qui se trouvent à proximité du tracé préféré pour le projet. Puisqu'il y a de nombreuses localités dans le sud-est du Manitoba, nous essayons de choisir celles où ont lieu nos discussions avec le public. Indicated to log as Public Engagement.		
R3-E061	Fri 1/30/2015 11:04 AM	Received a package in the mail as MLO. Their property is roughly 0.25 miles from the nearest transmission line (Biopole III). Based on estimates, the three lines combined will run at a width of 200 m? Will the lines be placed as close as possible to each other? EMF questions based on three lines being greater (biopole III, D602F and MMTP)? Property values? MH provided a map of the property where these lines are and provided an estimate of 425 m distance from the residence on this quarter section. Provided links to the website including documents. Hydro is review property values as part of the EA. Landowner thanked MH for the information and will get back to him if they have additional questions.		MLO 307
R3-E062	Fri 1/30/2015 9:34 AM	Provided links to the Hydro website for information regarding the project as per phone call this morning .		
R3-E063	Fri 1/30/2015 8:53 AM	Landowner thanking MH for taking the time to listen to their concerns. explanations and thoughts were helpful to our understanding of the project, the process, and its implications. They are very happy that the line follow north of them and will not impact their properties. MH thanked them for participating in the public engagement process.		
R3-E064	Thu 1/29/2015 3:18 PM	Requesting a map showing the route changed regarding the cemetery north of sundown and a zoomed in map showing the line running through the RM. MH is in the process of putting the package together. He will be providing info to council regarding the Ridgeland Cemetery. RM asking if Hydro is meeting with the Sundown Group on Feb 2nd? MH indicated they have not been approached by the group. RM indicated that they thought they heard "rumblings" of a meeting; just checking to see if it was accurate.		
R3-E065	Thu 1/29/2015 11:07 AM	Forwarding a map request forwarded to MH from KC. Will be sent via two emails (1 of 2).		
R3-E066	Thu 1/29/2015 11:08 AM	Map 2 of 2		
R3-E067	Fri 1/30/2015 12:03 PM	Continuation of R3-E043: Landowner provided 8 questions to gain a general understanding of the following: area on my property affected; how to assess market value, am I still the owner/how would the title be affected; how is compensation calculated; what would compensation be on the removal of trees on my property; future zoning. MH provided a map showing the proposed centre line of the transmission lines on landowner property. Also provided some additional information to the above questions including a landowner form that they will go through with affected landowners. This would be the focus of the phone call.		ALO 054
R3-E068	Fri 1/30/2015 12:33 PM	MH thanking for the email regarding MMTP. Mr. P brought your concerns (email dated Jan 26, 2015) to the EA team. MH has documented your concern regarding the proximity of the transmission line to the WMA. We work with various groups during the environmental assessment and I want to assure you that protected and proposed ecological reserves, and WMAs, are considered in our route determination and environmental assessment processes. The preferred route minimized many perceived impacts from interested parties. Continuation of R3-E066. Council discussed the transmission line and have several questions: what is hydro proposing to affected property owners; are the intentions to purchase land or enter into easement agreements? As per the resolution that we had forwarded to you in May 2014 (resolution 172-14) whereas council requested that route #207 as the logical alternative for this project, what were or are the challenges that you encountered or reasons for not taking this route option?		
R3-E069	Fri 1/30/2015 12:30 PM	Which towers are you intending to use throughout our municipality, self-supporting lattice or guyed suspension steel and what is the height/width of these towers? MH responded: • Manitoba Hydro will enter into easement agreements with landowners. Landowners will retain ownership rights and the easement grants Manitoba Hydro the right to construct and maintain the transmission line. • The resolution brought forward by council was considered by the project team during the route determination process. The routing process takes into consideration an entire route and not single segments when comparing and evaluating positives and negatives. The route selection process aims to balance the human, natural and technical environments for the entire route. Concerns that were brought forward for the segment include but is not limited to the proximity to existing the existing 500kV transmission line (reliability), heritage and archaeological concerns, quarry leases, and protected areas (existing and proposed).• As the preferred route in relation to the RM of La Broquerie falls predominantly on agricultural lands Manitoba Hydro will be utilizing mostly self supporting structures in the area. These towers range in height (40-60m), require an 80m easement and will span on average 450m apart (3.4 towers per mile). MH provided links to the documents on the website.		
R3-E070	Fri 1/30/2015 2:50 PM	Hydro pulled together some additional info regarding their understanding of the concerns surrounding the Ridgeland Cemetery including mitigation measures being considered by the project team. MH will bring hard copies of this to the council meeting and please forward to council members as well as others who have demonstrated a concern regarding the cemetery.		

AECOM Index #	Email Information			ALO / MLO #
	Date and Time	Email Summary		
R3-E071	Wed 2/4/2015 9:24 AM	KC sent group email to Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship tentatively booking a meeting with MH and CWS Feb 13 at 10 am. Individual replied indicating the time works and they 'cc'd a couple managers in the wildlife department if they are interested in attending the meeting.		
R3-E072	Wed 2/4/2015 9:24 AM	KC sent group email to Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship tentatively booking a meeting with MH and CWS Feb 13 at 10 am. Individual replied indicating the time works.		
R3-E073	Mon 2/2/2015 10:40 AM	Original email: KC sent SRRCD the MMTP poster outlining all dates for the open houses as per previous phone conversation and have tentatively scheduled the Council to meet with MH March 17, 2015 at 10 am at the SRRCD office. KC will follow up Feb 17 to confirm meeting.		
		Does not want to see anything happen to their property and its proximity so that it would jeopardize the current and future value. Given the huge impact of the proposed construction, it is difficult for the individual to express their view at this point of time to the Preferred Route until I receive an acceptable compensation offered to my property and the other affected property owners. MH replies indicating MH would like to set up a call with individual and gave contact info for MH. Also provided the link to information on the MMTP compensation package and the document library. Individual replies with a series of questions prior to booking a call: 1. The area on my property that will be affected. 2. How to assess the current market value on this affected area. 3. Am I still the owner of this affected area? How would my title to the property affected? 4. No of towers to be constructed on my property. 5. How do you calculate the compensation on these towers. I understand that my property can grow any kinds of crops. 6. What would be the compensation on removal of trees from my property. 7. Future Zoning on my property after construction. 8. Please provide an agenda to the call. MH replies indicating that Many of your questions I am unable to respond to and will be dependent on final design and placement of the transmission line. I hope the responses below assist you in your review of the project. 1) SE 09-03-09E1 – RM of Stuartburn. The blue outlines the proposed centre line of the transmission line and the shaded area outlines an anticipated 80-100m easement. <image001.png> 2) Appraisers will use various methods and tools to calculate market value. Manitoba Hydro representatives will discuss any specific questions landowners have at the time of negotiation 3) You will remain the owner of the property that is affected by the transmission line right-of-way. Manitoba Hydro will enters into easement agreements with private landowners, and the agreements allow Manitoba Hydro to construct and maintain the transmission line, while ownership and use of the land is maintained by the property owner. 4) The precise number and location of towers will depend on final design. Towers are spaced on average 450m apart (3.4 towers/mile). Also, small changes to the route may be made as an outcome to Round 3 public engagement and after the project has been reviewed by regulators. 5) Please review the compensation brochure we have placed on the project website – it explains the approach to compensation and provides some example calculations. 6) Manitoba Hydro construction crews will work with landowners regarding piling or removal of trees on private property. 7) Manitoba Hydro prohibits construction of permanent structures within the right-of-way of the transmission line for safety reasons and to ensure we can access and maintain the transmission line. Outside of the right-of-way there are no restrictions imposed by the transmission line on how you can use or develop your land. In regards to zoning I would suggest speaking with your municipal office. 8) I have attached a landowners form that we will go through with affected landowners. This would be the focus of the call. If you would prefer to complete the form on your own you are more than welcome to. We ask if completing by yourself, you provide as much detail as possible. Please let me know if you would like to schedule a meeting. I look forward to discussing the project further with you. Landowner responds by thanking MH and indicates he will call next week to schedule a call		
R3-E074	Fri 1/30/2015 5:23 PM			ALO 054
R3-E075	Tue 2/3/2015 2:22 PM	MH informing individual that the maps they requested at at the front at 820 Taylor ready to be picked up.		
		MH was informed by MT that landowner did not receive a mile landowner package. MH used meter locations to contact ppl within one mile of the line and we understand we may not have captured all landowners within the mile (land where no meter may be needed/exist). MH utilized tax rolls for those who have the preferred route located on their property. MH put the package in the mail this morning for the individual.		
R3-E076	Wed 2/4/2015 9:16 AM	Initial email: R3 email blast. Individual requested the shapefile of the preferred route. MH provides link to al GIS related downloads, materials, maps, etc that can be found in the document library.		
R3-E077	Wed 2/4/2015 9:07 AM	initial email: R3 email blast. Individual requested the shapefile of the preferred route. MH provides link to al GIS related downloads, materials, maps, etc that can be found in the document library.		
R3-E078	Tue 2/3/2015 8:53 AM			
R3-E079	Wed 2/4/2015 8:45 AM	Individual inquiring whether MT, MH and MW are available to sit down between Feb 16-20. MH responded that he will check everyone's availability and get back to him.		ALO 041
R3-E080	Thu 2/5/2015 2:06 PM	Confirming stakeholder meeting with HRB		
R3-E081	Wed 2/4/2015 12:17 PM	MH sketched the modification they were discussing over the phone and attached it to the email via a map.		ALO 138
R3-E082	Wed 2/4/2015 9:51 AM	Received a note from MT that you did not receive a mile landowner package. MH put the package into the mail this morning. Landowner indicated that there is no dwelling on that property. It was purchased years ago as a woodlot and we have just left it in its wild state. The locals do quite a bit of hunting on it [with or without permission] as it has a spring on its southern edge.		
R3-E083	Sun 2/8/2015 11:20 AM	I am opposed to the proposed transmission line running by the town of La Broquerie. there is lots of bush/scrub land further east along the Sandilands forest reserve that would be preferable. As we are away from home at this time, we cannot sign the petition at the RM of La Broquerie offices.		MLO 282
R3-E084	Sun 2/8/2015 11:18 AM	I am unable to attend but a interested contractor for the project if you can please send information to (provided mailing address). MH forwarded the request to the purchasing department.		
R3-E085	Mon 2/9/2015 10:33 AM	Would you guys have time week of feb 16-20 th to sit down. MH will speak with others to determine a date/time that works best for everyone. Landowner suggested Feb 20 at 1:30 pm.		ALO 041
R3-E086	Tue 2/10/2015 11:10 AM	Provided project website address.		
R3-E087	Tue 2/10/2015 7:13 AM	Landowner is not in favor of the MMTP or the expansion of the Dorsey Converter Station. MH thanked landowner for their feedback.		MLO 1550
R3-E088	Tue 2/10/2015 7:11 AM	Submitted resume for project. MH provided website link to submit resume.		
		Continuation from previous email R3-E083 - I strongly object to a transmission line passing one mile from our Main Street of LaBroquerie. Just beyond this would be countless miles of bush and marginal land. There's even a major Line a few miles further. What is the benefit in this location? Is our RM benefiting financially? MH provided details about the project and route selection and concerns that have been brought up in the LaB area. Provided upcoming open house link.		
R3-E089	Wed 2/11/2015 7:27 AM	We will not be attending any of the public engagement activates regarding the manitoba-Minnesota transmission project.		MLO 282
R3-E090	Wed 2/11/2015 7:24 AM	MH provided details about the project, route selction process and concerns that have been brought up in the LaB area.		
R3-E091	Wed 2/11/2015 7:23 AM	Email continuation from R2 (captured). Landowner received notice that they live within 1 mile of the current preferred route. Why did hydro choose 208 over 207? In your opinion, do we have any chance of convincing Hydro to change the preferred route path back to 207? MH provided details on route selection process, provided details of the challenges in the LaB area and indicated that open houses are beginning again. Provided email and phone number.		
R3-E092	Wed 2/11/2015 7:17 AM	MT indicated as a follow up from the RM council meeting last week, MT has spoken with the Manager of Communications and he would be happy to meet with you to discuss fibre optics that are planned with the MMTP. MT will work with AP to find a couple of dates in the next two weeks and will send them your way to see if they work.		MLO 189
R3-E093	Wed 2/11/2015 6:55 AM	Requesting for MT contact information. MT provided contact info. They provided a letter from the MP titled: Letter to Manitoba Hydro-La Broquerie Feb 13, 2015. Letter indicates that constituents in the RM of LaB would prefer route 207 over 208 as it would have far less impact on residents in the area as 207 is on crown land. Schools and businesses and homes are located within a mile of the preferred route.		
R3-E094	Tue 2/17/2015 8:54 AM	Intrested contractor for the project provided mailing address. MH provided linkto project website for info on the project.		
R3-E095	Thu 2/12/2015 11:12 AM			
		President of the Ecole St. Joachim school council to which parents have approached us In protest of route 208. Upon our meeting last night we had a unanimous vote amongst the members against route 208. This Route has the power lines close to both our school grounds with well over 500 students and our parents are concerned. I will be out of town next week and will be unable to attend the open house forum. I would like to submit my opinion that I am against the route 208 going through la broquerie. Having 120 foot tall towers running along our community and golf course would be unsightly. I also live within the 1 mile of the new proposed route #208 through our town of La Broquerie. Having it further east, #207 in the bush would have the additional benefit of being used by snowmobiles / quads in the summer / winter months. As these cut lines in the forest become very beneficial routes for off road travel / snowmobiles etc. MH thanks for providing feedback and it will be documented. Provided links to the project website inclduing info on EMF. Landowner: Being in the electronics industry myself, I understand the Non-issue with the EMF. Please keep in mind that the bulk of the complaints however were the fact that when given a choice of routing these massive towers through the heart of our community or beside it... the choice is quite apparent to keep it beside the community. On a separate topic, I myself am a private pilot and harv's air has a large practice area for training aircraft due south and north of town. Generally speaking we never fly below 1000' agl (above ground level) but we do regularly pick farmers fields to simulate forced landings. Farmer's fields offer the best option for these situations as we are not permitted to fly low over built up housing areas. This route also cuts directly onto the corner air strip located in the curve south of richer on highway 302. There is also a sizeable recreational pilot group whom fly powered parachutes at much lower altitudes. These powered parachutes have a much lower operating speed and as a result are more susceptible to winds. They fly low and slow around the town, I've done this myself. Having these towers so close to town will restrict where they can fly.All our pilots are aware of the two existing large power lines the run north south east of la broquerie, by grouping this new one amongst the other two Makes sense from an aviation perspective. MH thanked for snap shots.		
R3-E096	Thu 2/12/2015 9:59 AM			MLO 258
R3-E097	Thu 2/12/2015 9:46 AM	MH provided links to EMF info.		
R3-E098	Fri 2/13/2015 10:43 AM	Regards to setting up a meeting to discuss fibre optics associated with MMTP. Provided dates as to when the Manager of Communication can meet with RM.		
R3-E099	Fri 2/13/2015 10:39 AM	Provided property map as per conversation during OH in Wpg.		MLO 160
R3-E100	Fri 2/13/2015 10:11 AM	MH thanking for booking a meeting with them on March 17th. You requested information regarding Energy East and I can provide further insight at that meeting.		
R3-E101	Fri 2/13/2015 9:59 AM	I received the information package for homeowners located within one mile of the proposed route. The letter has a reference ID of MLO(1369). I reside in BC. I will be unable to attend the local meetings. The telephone number you list does not accept calls from here. Do you have another phone number? MH provided phone number.		MLO 1369
R3-E102	Tue 2/17/2015 10:45 AM	We received a brochure regarding the Headingley Grand Truck Trail from a member of the public last week, probably at the Winnipeg Open House. It appears we cross the recreational trail in the southern loop portion of the route. I have scanned and attached the brochure for everyone's records.		
		Following up on the RM meeting last week; you mentioned that our team should come down on Feb 20th to discuss the RM owned quarry. What time would you like us to be in attendance? Besides mapping and information regarding compensation, is there any other information you would require. Time has been set aside for 9:30 am -nformation about tower placement on our properties, that would be of benefit as well, otherwise the maps and compensation with respect to quarries is what we are interested to discuss with your group.Tower placement is not undertaken until final design but we can discuss locations that would best minimize any potential impacts. We will share your preferences with our design team for their consideration when determining final tower locations.		
R3-E103	Wed 2/18/2015 10:43 AM			
		I want to thank you again for attending our open house in Zhoda last week. I will move forward the modifications you suggested to me for consideration by the Project team. As we discussed, please take some time to review the attached and provide suggest tower locations which I can then provide to our design team for consideration in final design if the modifications you provided are unable to be accommodated.		
R3-E104	Wed 2/18/2015 10:19 AM			ALO 106

AECOM Index #	Email Information			ALO / MLO #
	Date and Time	Email Summary		
R3-E105	Wed 2/18/2015 10:00 AM	I am submitting my concern regarding the new project. Is there a reason the new route isn't being run to the north of the city then down next to Anola? Seems the route going south then north then south is not as direct. Further to my email. I think another meeting place in Winnipeg closer to the south perimeter is warranted, if you really want to hear the opinion of those affected as your flyer indicates. For example, a venue south of Bishop Grandin. MH-We understand that a location further from your home is not preferable yet our public engagement process accommodates personal site visits if you are unable to attend any of our open house locations. We also offer a toll free project information line (1-877-343-1631) where concerns can be addressed and questions answered. If you would like to set up a meeting to discuss the project with a project team members, please contact us to set a time and location. Regarding your email regarding "SafeSpace" and EMF, please see the attached file addressing those concerns.	MLO 1565	
R3-E106	Wed 2/18/2015 9:57 AM	Thanks for providing us with information regarding the MB-MN project. We have attended your open house sessions in the past. However, just this morning, I have heard a "rumour" regarding compensation to landowners. A landowner informed me that he/she would NOT be receiving any compensation for land since their property was considered to be "swamp" land. Can you confirm if there is any truth to this or not? MH-Private lands will be compensated. Easement agreements will be signed with private landowners. They will retain ownership and the easement grants Manitoba Hydro access to construct and maintain the transmission line. The landowner will receive payments for towers if they are agricultural lands as we would be taking land out of future production. Provided link to compensation.		
R3-E107	Wed 2/18/2015 9:49 AM	Meeting week of Feb 16 to 20. MH requesting to reschedule for Feb 24th at 10am.	ALO 041	
R3-E108	Wed 2/18/2015 9:43 AM	Continuation from previous email R3-E097. You have still not answered my question. MH provided an attachment of the SafeSpace doc.		
R3-E109	Wed 2/18/2015 9:41 AM	Continuation from previous email R3-089 -thanks for the reply but you did not answer my question. Does the RM of La Broquerie stand to benefit financially with this proposed location? MH - At this time, we have made no commitments to date related to any benefit program similar to that of Bipole III.	MLO 282	
R3-E110	Wed 2/18/2015 8:37 AM	I attended your open house in La Broquerie this evening. Can you please let me know what the closest distance is between the proposed line and Ecole St-Joachim. MH -The measurement I have based on Google Earth is 1,386m (4,500ft) from the centre line to the southeast corner of the school.		
R3-E111	Wed 2/18/2015 8:28 AM	Meeting scheduled with MIT. MIT- In relation to the meeting, could you confirm if this Project include the line(s) from Dorsey, over the Red River, and along the south embankment of the Red River Floodway?MH-southern loop is considered as part of the MMTP. The project members in attendance at the meeting will be able to discuss all aspects of the project. Provided link to southern loop.		
R3-E112	Wed 2/18/2015 8:18 AM	Continuation of previous email R3-E074. Phone number provided for MH did not work. MH provided the correct phone number.	ALO 054	
R3-E113	Tue 2/17/2015 11:49 AM	At that presentation, MT offered to meet with you and any interested council members to provide a more detailed discussion on the routing process for the Project. If this is of interest to council, please let me know some dates and times and we will prepare material to bring to you. We have done this presentation with other interested parties and we find that an hour to an hour and a half is necessary. RM-council would like to meet to discuss. Would you be available Feb 23rd at 5pm. MH will get back to RM shortly.		
R3-E114	Wed 2/18/2015 12:01 PM	Continuation from R3-E113 - MT and MH are available for 5pm meeting on the 23rd. Attached a safe space memo for RM to distribute.		
R3-E115	Wed 2/18/2015 11:55 AM	Continuation from R3-E105 - I am aware of peer and non-peer reviewed literature/research on this topic and feel there has not been enough unbiased research conducted on the health effects of these large transformers/lines. Some research suggests these lines be buried. Is this a consideration? When deciding to build in this area of the city we did so to avoid these types of lines as well as many other "city pollutants". We pay city taxes and receive much less city services than other areas of the city but look past it considering the other benefits we have staying away from these lines, traffic, etc. It has not gone unnoticed that the meetings you have held in the city are placed away, inconveniently from the communities you are affecting. Very unhappy with another Manitoba Hydro project being pushed through to sell hydro to other communities, countries with disregard for our communities happiness and health. MH-As indicated in my previous email, we welcome personal site visits as we are aware of individuals' busy schedules and the need to commute to our venues on specific dates. If you would like a meeting, please let me know and we can coordinate a meeting time and come to a location that is convenient for you. Regarding underground options, Manitoba Hydro prefers overhead lines as there is ample space in the existing right-of-way, and they are cost effective and reliable. Alternatives such as placing lines underground are associated with additional costs, risks, and are pursued by utilities only where there is insufficient space in which to place the transmission lines.	MLO 1565	
R3-E116	Wed 2/18/2015 11:44 AM	Continuation from R3-E112 - MH thanking landowner for taking the time to have a conversation with Hydro this morning. As requested, MH provided her email address for further contact if needed.	ALO 054	
R3-E117	Wed 2/18/2015 11:34 AM	Following up on phone call this morning. Attached are a few documents as well as links to this email for your review. Also placed a package in the mail for you this morning.	ALO 031	
R3-E118	Wed 2/18/2015 11:17 AM	Continuation of R3-E106 - "YES" we have received the information and have read the compensation brochure, which is why we had a "heated" discussion with this individual. He/she is adamant that there will be no compensation for pasture/grassland, only land that is cultivated with cereal/grain (harvest) crops. Apparently pasture/grassland has no compensation value. Which is why I contacted your office. MH-We always encourage individuals to speak with us directly for information. If land is pasture or seeded hay there is a structure impact payment. Landowner-We have encouraged these folks to attend one of the open house sessions and speak with knowledgeable representatives. We are confident that our land will not be deemed worthless and that compensation will be worked out. I would like to think that "big brother" is not taking something for nothing		
R3-E119	Fri 2/20/2015 11:24 AM	Has received permission to invite you to our office in Landmark. I have a few dates to choose from, let me know what works for you. We have 5 people attending from MLAF. MH-asking other's in MH office as to what dates work best for them and for AECOM to add to MSL and doc email.	MLO 482, MLO 483	
R3-E120	Fri 2/20/2015 12:06 PM	Provided links to biosecurity policies, anthrax outbreaks, SE MB region prone to incidences of anthrax. MBP can state broadly that the line will cross some cattle producers' operations, although we cannot provide more specific details than that as we do not have a complete inventory of cattle producers in the area traversed by the proposed line nor their legal land descriptions. MBP's primary concerns about the transmission line remain focused on areas such as: ensuring the least possible disruptions to the day-to-day operations of the farm/ranch; ensuring biosecurity practices are adhered to before, during and after construction of the line (i.e. during the maintenance phase as well); maintaining open lines of communications between Manitoba Hydro and producers whose property may be crossed to ensure that producer questions and concerns are swiftly addressed; ensuring that there is fair compensation for affected producers, both in terms of payment for the line crossing their property, or in the event of expropriation. Also at AGM: Therefore be it resolved that Manitoba Beef Producers lobby the Government of Manitoba and Manitoba Hydro to provide detailed assurances that the following will be made available to all agricultural producers: ongoing and substantive two-way discussions about transmission line routes and tower placements; fair compensation, either for line and tower placement on producers' land or in the event of expropriation; a choice of payment methods; access to an independent appeal process producers can use if they are not satisfied with decisions around line and tower routing placement or in relation to compensation or expropriation payments; and, an ongoing mechanism to address any concerns that may arise as lines and towers are built, put into use and maintained.		
R3-E121	Tue 2/24/2015 10:01 AM	Scanned copy of the RM of Tache Resolution.		
R3-E122	Fri 2/20/2015 8:26 AM	Received a package in the mail; it appears proposed preferred route goes through our property and would like to book an appointment when you are in Ste. Anne for Saturday Feb 28th. MH-spoke with MH and she indicated to me that she has been playing phone tag and she apologizes for that. We have around 1 pm on Saturday. Landowner - 1 pm on Saturday works.	ALO 025	
R3-E123	Fri 2/20/2015 12:20 AM	Initial email blast sent forwarded as per conversation KC had on Feb 18, 2015. Indicated she has dropped a reminder to call me into Feb 23 week calendar regarding setting up a meeting. MH-SC informed me she dropped off copies of the Valued Components handouts to your office. Are you requiring any other materials at this time? GWE-only materials dropped off at our office by SC was a small box of the R3 bulletin. If she has dropped off VEC handouts she has not communicated this, and I do not know where they are. I have been asking her this week for 50 sets of the materials provided at the Open House in Winnipeg. That would be the VEC handouts plus anything else that was available at the Open House. Manitoba Hydro has a engagement project re MMTP, which includes a staff person, with Peguis First Nation. They need a good supply of all these materials. Perhaps you could solve this tomorrow ?		
R3-E124	Thu 2/19/2015 11:38 AM	Continuation from email R3-E073. SRRCD also had questions regarding the Energy East pipeline coming through their district, would you have any info on this? AECOM - sent reminder email for meeting. SRRCD-The SRRCD board is confident that most of the issues with the MMN transmission line have or are being addressed through each of the respective municipalities and we are not requiring a delegation at this time. Our board meeting has also been postponed from March 17th due to conflicts with municipal scheduling. The Board has requested that we would like to be informed of any changes to the proposed route as a potential outcome of all your current public meetings. Does this work for you? AECOM- removed from the meeting scheduler. SRRCD- MH, I was looking forward to talking to you, maybe we can meet up some other time to talk about the pipeline? MH -I am at the hall above the arena in La Broquerie this evening from 2-8 if you wanted to quickly chat about the pipeline. I am also there Saturday from 11-4. If not, it may need to wait until the 17th as I am out of town for other events until then! SRRCD-Perfect, I'll stop by the arena this afternoon.		
R3-E125	Thu 2/19/2015 11:38 AM	Part of previous email R3-E124. MH - Just noticed AECOM's email regarding the 17th. If you are unable to attend La Broquerie tonight or Saturday feel free to give me a call. Also, we will be submitting a final route with the EIS this summer and if any stakeholder would like a meeting following the submission we would accommodate. SRRCD-As per MH's suggestion, we would like to be contacted for a potential meeting following the final submission to the EIS if possible.		
R3-E126	Fri 2/20/2015 2:12 PM	Continuation of previous email R3-E111. MH was able to respond to your question regarding the southern loop. Confirming the number of people in attendance for the meeting. MIT-there are 12 people confirmed for the meeting on Feb 25th at 10 am.		
R3-E127	Fri 2/20/2015 11:23 AM	Continuation from previous email R3-E119. MH-will forward to project team to see what works best and get back to you shortly.	MLO 482, MLO 483	
R3-E128	Sat 2/21/2015 6:00 PM	Can we do meeting after wed. I have a drilling company coming out to do proper test holes on tues. They just called and an earlier date came up. I might need 2 days cause there is a couple gravel companies and a colony that are going to be there. We are drilling down to limestone then at least I know for sure what is there. We dug 34 test holes in Dec but only to 25 ft. Marc said you guys would only do 2 of your own test holes so I will do same. If gravel guys or Hutterite colony want to drill on other parts of pit then we will be there Wed also. If Thurs or Fri work for u great. It will take a few days to get all the final results from sieve screen and sand gravel clay tests. Apparently they bake the gravel to do official clay testing. If the following week works better for you guys that works to. MH- no worries; let me know when would be best for you.	ALO 041	
R3-E129	Mon 2/23/2015 8:38 AM	Continuation from R3-E115. I think that it would be great if you could arrange a town hall meeting closer to the south end of the city for the communities involved. One of the schools south of Bishop Grandin for example. I'm interested to know why the route is not being directed north/east of the city which seems the shorter route as well as how the demographics and ratio of population that is affected with that route vs the route to the west/south that has been proposed. MH-Thank you for indicating your interest regarding holding another venue in southern Winnipeg. We had many participants at our Winnipeg Open House from the area where you have a concern. Currently, there has not been any additional requests for venues in Winnipeg. As mentioned previously, we will meet with you and provide all the material that was shown at the open house. Regarding a northern routing option, Manitoba Hydro has been acquiring land along the western and southern edge of the city. The Southern Loop will allow for multiple transmission lines to be placed within a single corridor. This will reduce the number of independent rights-of-way on the landscape and improve system reliability by connecting various stations around Winnipeg. The southern loop begins at Dorsey station located near Rosser and follows the western and southern boundary of the city of Winnipeg terminating near our Riel Station (Deacon's Corner). A map of the southern loop has been placed on the Manitoba Hydro website . Manitoba Hydro has been acquiring property rights for the Southern Loop since the mid 1960s. Manitoba Hydro also entered into an easement agreement with the Floodway Authority in 1985 to accommodate the transmission corridor.	MLO 1565	
R3-E130	Tue 2/24/2015 9:39 AM	Continuation from R3-E127. MH-would 1pm on the 11th work for your team?	MLO 482, MLO 483	

AECOM Index #	Email Information		
	Date and Time	Email Summary	ALO / MLO #
R3-E131	Tue 2/24/2015 10:41 AM	I am the recent landowner of NE-2-9-7W (top NE corner) where the preferred route for this transmission line will be running directly through my property. I the line will be cutting my property in half and I would like to oppose this. Could you please provide me with information about how to do so and if there are any other routes that can be taken and any general information. I have not received any information in the mail regarding this. Please email back with any information you may have. MH-I have attached a map of your land holding in relation to the preferred route. This route is not final and we are collecting feedback and documenting concerns to assist in determining the final placement of the transmission line. We are currently speaking with local landowners regarding the project and I would encourage you to attend one of the events this week in Ste. Anne. We will be at the Seine River Banquet Centre (dates and times provided). will also be in Richer & Dugald in a couple weeks and venue locations (link provided). Also provided phone number.	ALO 077
R3-E132	Tue 2/24/2015 10:33 AM	I currently have property for sale for a client in the RM of Ste. Anne and would like to confirm that the final preferred route shown on your website is the one being taken. I wish to confirm that the property that I have for sale is not planned to be affected. This property is the Cottonwood Golf Course comprising 300 acres in SW 24-8-7E. Please respond at your earliest convenience as we are currently working on an agreement and this question has just arisen. MH-The closest line in relation to quarter section would be the proposed MMTP. We are currently collecting feedback from local residents and will be in Ste. Anne this evening and will be holding other events over the next 2 weeks. Provided link to website and attached a map.	MLO 147
R3-E133	Wed 2/25/2015 9:31 AM	Please see attached resolution urging MB Hydro to consider and respond to all concerns regarding MMTP. "...the RM of Piney Council urge MB Hydro to consider and respond to all of the effected municipal ratepayers concerns with regard to construction of the MMTP"	
R3-E134	Tue 2/24/2015 1:06 PM	Continuation from R3-E132. If the meeting in Ste Anne is an open meeting I would like to attend, can you tell me where and what time? MH-It is at the Seine River Banquet Centre at 80A Arena Road in Ste. Anne. It is drop in from 3-8 pm.	MLO 147
R3-E135	Tue 2/24/2015 11:23 AM	Continuation from R3-E130. Date and time provided should work. If anyone has contact with a hog production site, we require 2 nights downtime before visiting our office.Regarding our office entrance protocols, you are welcome to park in our lot with visitor parking in front of the building. When you enter the building you will see a disinfectant mat for cleaning your shoes and hand sanitizer. The receptionist will buzz you in and ask you to sign the visitor log. We require photo id please. MH- Will ensure attendees are aware of the protocols.	MLO 482, MLO 483
R3-E136	Tue 2/24/2015 11:17 AM	own this property with the proposed hydro line coming through it, I thought I would share with you what I have been working on for the last 20 yrs on this property. When I first purchased this property 20 yrs ago it was my full intention to cut lots and build houses on a few of them and the remaining lots were to be my childrens birth right. We made the application to do this in the mid to late 90's and it was approved by the municipality, we made the planned decision to hold off back then on the subdivision and decide to start raising a family, and my wife would remain at home to care for our children until such a time that they were old enough to be legally be left alone after school so she could enter the work force. 2 years ago we entered phase one of our dream plan, one lot was cut, one house was built, with the intention of this house being put up for sale in 2015/16. Two more lots will be cut this spring and the developing the land will be started. The proposed line of the bipole is coming straight through my property, directly where I am planning, and " WILL be", building a house. I am in no way in any approval of this bipole project coming through my property at all. I have been working on this plan to subsidize my retirement and am not about to be deferred from my plan. MH-Upon reviewing your quarter section ID, the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project is proposed to traverse the property and not Bipole III. Provided info regarding open house/landowner info sessions. Provided link to website and attached map of property.	ALO 032, MLO 177
R3-E137	Tue 2/24/2015 10:58 AM	I have attached a pdf of the map you requested to this email. Please let me know if this will work for you or if you require anything further.	MLO 880
R3-E138	Wed 2/25/2015 9:52 AM	Please find attached a copy of the landowner form we completed as well as a summary of our discussions. If you have anything further to add or have any questions please let me know.	ALO 052
R3-E139	Wed 2/25/2015 9:47 AM	Continuation from R3-E131. Regarding the meetings taking place in St.Anne will hydro reps be there all week or only today as listed on your website? MH-I will be present at all venues listed below.	ALO 077
R3-E140	Wed 2/25/2015 9:32 AM	I am writing to obtain permission from Manitoba Hydro to reproduce and use datasets found on the following website (particularly the shapefile representing the MB-MN Transmission Project): (provided link) Our company, Calliou Group, partakes in the Aboriginal consultation process; as such, the shapefiles from Manitoba Hydro will most likely be displayed on maps created for our clients. Is it possible to acquire permission for this particular use? MH - Thank you for the email. I have been informed that the material is all publically available.	
R3-E141	Wed 2/25/2015 9:31 AM	Continuation from R3-E133. MH - thank you for providing the resolution.	
R3-E142	Fri 2/27/2015 11:17 AM	Part of previous email R3-E134-Landowner thanking MH for the info and requesting to resend the map page showing the NE corner of the golf course where the proposed line crosses. MH attached the map of Cottonwood Golf Course in relation to the project.	MLO 147
R3-E143	Fri 2/27/2015 10:08 AM	Continuation of R3-E140- would like to clarify because technically everything on MB Hydro website is publically available. However, their policy still states that nothing from the site can be used in commercial purposes without written permission. Therefore, I am seeking this written permission to use the publicly available shapefiles for a commercial purpose. MH-I have discussed with public affairs and they would require the following information: - Detail on what the commercial purpose is - Who the clients are. They would be granting approval (once reviewed) for this specific purpose only. Response: Calliou Group, in conjunction with its clients, engages in the Aboriginal consultation regulatory process. As such the requested shapefile will be used in maps that we create for our client, the Manitoba Métis Federation. MH - BH who in your department would sign off on teh request based on the info they have provided? BH - This request is approved for the Calliou Group to create maps pertaining to the MMTP project for their client the MMF.	
R3-E144	Thu 2/26/2015 12:11 PM	At the meeting you requested that I do some digging as to why a distribution line was moved in proximity to your property. As I mentioned at the meeting, the distribution line was not moved due to the location of the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project. Here is the information I gathered from various individuals in distribution:Based on load flows, Manitoba Hydro decided to tap a southern line off PR302 to lower the load of other distribution lines. This build/salvage was not in any way connected to the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project and was undertaken to offset local distribution loads ensuring a reliable supply of electricity to users in the area. I hope this sheds some light on the distribution line removal. If you have any further questions, please contact me directly at the number below	ALO 011
R3-E145	Thu 2/26/2015 3:10 PM	Continuation from R3-E129. I'm glad to hear there was good attendance from our area. Thank you for the additional information I had requested earlier regarding the route planning. It helps explain the proposed route. I still would like to know why the north/east was not considered as it looks like a shorter route as it continues on the east side of the province. If you have any additional information on this I would be interested. MH-The northern corridor contains 3 transmission lines whereas the southern corridor does not yet contain any transmission lines (from LaVerendrye Station east). For reliability purposes, Manitoba Hydro would like to keep infrastructure utilized for the same purpose separated in order to minimize the likelihood of one extreme weather event (such as wind) impacting lines that serve a similar purpose. The Southern Loop will allow for multiple transmission lines to be placed within a single corridor. This will reduce the number of independent rights-of-way on the landscape and improve system reliability by connecting various stations around Winnipeg. The southern loop begins at Dorsey station located near Rosser and follows the western and southern boundary of the city of Winnipeg terminating near our Riel Station (Deacon's Corner). A map of the southern loop has been placed on the Manitoba Hydro website	MLO 1565
R3-E146	Tue 3/3/2015 1:52 PM	Thanking HyLife for meeting with Hydro on Feb. 6 to discuss the MMTP and sharing concerns. In our discussions you indicated a preference for the route to not cross through your property that is used for calving. We further discussed that if the transmission line does run through this property you would prefer that self-supporting structures be used and the bases of these towers fenced to prevent your livestock from becoming entangled in the tower structures. I noted at the time that we had initial plans for tower types developed, and can now confirm that the current plan is to use self- supporting structures on your property. Finalization of tower type will occur after we have taken soil samples in the area to confirm the ability of the terrain to support the tower foundation.	ALO 057
R3-E147	Tue 3/3/2015 12:50 PM	I attended and was very sad on the outcome of presentation. MH-I would like to indicate to you that this route is not final and we are collecting feedback and documenting concerns to assist in determining the final placement of the transmission line. We will continue collecting feedback and we will determine the final placement of the transmission line this upcoming summer when we file the Environmental Impact Statement with Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship. Please ensure you have signed up for project email notifications on the project website (www.hydro.mb.ca/mmtp) to be informed of upcoming steps in the regulatory review process.	ALO 062
R3-E148	Tue 3/3/2015 12:48 PM	Please see the attached letter in regards to the proposed transmission project and its proposed route through my property in Sundown within the Piney municipality. I have requested that CNof the Roseau River Anishinaabe First Nation attend on my behalf. I am undergoing chemotherapy for stage 3 cancer at this point in time and will be unable to attend. I will know more about my schedule once the planned Piney meeting has occurred. MH-Thank you for taking the time to share your feedback with the project team. Please find attached a response to your letter as well as the Landowner Form completed by Charlie Nelson on your behalf in Piney Manitoba.	ALO 134
R3-E149	Tue 3/3/2015 11:48 AM	Continuation from R3-E057 - KC sent a follow-up email regarding the MMTP and if they would like to schedule a meeting with Hydro. She indicated that she has reviewed the info provided and will attend one of the upcoming open houses but doesn't think a meeting with their office is required at this time. AECOM forwarded this email to MH as an FYI.	
R3-E150	Wed 3/4/2015 11:42 AM	AECOM forwarded a follow-up item from Zhoda open house comment sheet. MH requested BA to send a E sized file of Map 3 to the attached address and to send the cover letter to AECOM for documentation when sent. BA forwarded follow-up letter to be filed.	
R3-E151	Wed 3/4/2015 12:02 PM	I have some questions regarding the transmission line. Could you please call me. MH-Replied by phone – March 3rd at 5pm. Contact back at 12pm March 4th. Discussion included: - Why the southern loop and not a direct route south or north of the City. - Bipole III – west vs. east - Process for determining the transmission line - Southern loop land acquisition - Utilize crown lands where possible - Compensation for agricultural operators	
R3-E152	Wed 3/4/2015 6:52 PM	When we met this evening at the MB Hydro information meeting you offered to send me a copy of the study that outlines planned future development along the transmission line corridor. As I mentioned at the meeting I was surprised to learn that there are not one but two transmission lines planned within 5 years along the corridor that runs west and south of Winnipeg. I was shocked to learn that this number may swell to 4 or 6 lines within the next two decades. BH-We looked into the report that I mentioned to you at the Open House, but it did not address your question. However, the following information is pertinent to your question about transmission lines in the corridor that runs west and south of Winnipeg. This corridor is what Manitoba Hydro refers to as the "Southern Loop." It is a dedicated transmission corridor that will accommodate multiple transmission lines necessary for system reliability and to meet future energy demands in the Province. The southern loop begins at Dorsey station located near Rosser and follows the western and southern boundary of the City of Winnipeg terminating near Manitoba Hydro's Riel Station (Deacon's Corner). A map of the southern loop is on the Manitoba Hydro website: As you may know, presently there are two new projects (MMTP 500kV (in-service 2020) and the LaVerendrye-St. Vital 230kV transmission line (in-service 2018) proposed for the Southern Loop. Additional infrastructure could potentially be developed over the next 15 years and the Southern Loop currently has sufficient width to house additional transmission lines. At this time, we have not identified how many lines at what voltage level will be required. Answers to these questions depend on various possible development scenarios that are uncertain at this point in time. The Southern Loop reduces the number of independent rights-of-way on the landscape and improves system reliability by connecting various stations around Winnipeg. Manitoba Hydro has been acquiring property rights for the Southern Loop since the mid 1960s and also entered into an easement agreement with the Floodway Authority in 1985 to accommodate the transmission corridor. Landowner: will be sending in my forms from the OH with a list of concerns identified when I return next week. BH-forwarded to MH as FYI.	MLO 940
R3-E153	Thu 3/5/2015 10:13 AM	It was nice to meet you and your colleague last night at the Headingley open house. I have forwarded your contact information as well as the files you provided to me and am awaiting a response as to who within Manitoba Hydro is responsible. Also, we have added you to our contact list and we have (contact) as our primary contact for TCPL. I mentioned the map viewer to you both last night - you can access it here (website)	
R3-E154	Thu 3/5/2015 10:00 AM	We held an open house in Headingley last night for the Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Project. We had two different individuals come in and indicated that where the ROW hits PR241 the tower sees to make a substantial noise outside of the usual hum. He explained this as more of a gallop of the conductor. Indicated it rattles and shakes and it is audible from 1000 ft away along Wescana Road. Curtis indicated someone could call him if they wanted more information but I am hoping this could be directed to the appropriate division/department. Email had a map showing the location. Customer Service: We have forwarded your request to the Fort Garry Customer Service Centre for review and action.	

AECOM Index #	Email Information		
	Date and Time	Email Summary	ALO / MLO #
R3-E155	Thu 3/5/2015 8:54 AM	I was speaking with Reeve last week about setting up a meeting to discuss fibre optics associated with the Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Project. The Manager of Communications at Manitoba Hydro (Al Pinder) and I would be happy to meet with Reeve, and wonder what his availability would be on the following days: Feb 18 Feb25, Feb 27. Would you please pass this message on to Reeve and lets us know which date and time works best for him? CAO - the 27th works best at 1pm. I have to postpone this Friday's meeting. I getting a visit from [visitor]. Can we re schedule ? Throw me some dates. Sorry. MH-How about 2pm on March 9th. Shall we still meet you at the RM office in Vita?	
R3-E156	Thu 3/5/2015 8:53 AM	Manitoba Hydro representative called the landowner regarding their Section. Owns 40 acre rectangle in the south-east area of the ¼. Plans to build in the preferred route RoW in the meadow area where there is currently a shed and old school bus. Would like to see preferred route moved to the west side of the existing 230kv line as indicated in the attached map.	MLO 648
R3-E157	Thu 3/5/2015 8:46 AM	Map attached with route adjustment.	
		s per our phone conversation this morning, please find attached a revised letter regarding Council Resolution #99-15.	
R3-E158	Mon 3/9/2015 8:46 AM	Continuation from R3-E148. Landowner attached an updated version of the questionnaire that had been completed by CN of the Roseau River Anishinaabe FN at the Piney meeting. I have filled in and corrected some of the answers that CN had originally filed so as to be more accurately representative as possible. If you need clarification, please feel free to contact me via email. MH-Thank you for taking the time to update your form and providing us with more detail regarding your land holdings. We will keep you informed as we move forward in determining the final placement of the transmission line.	ALO 134
R3-E159	Sun 3/8/2015 9:10 PM	Part of R3-E158 - attached is the updated questionnaire provided by the landowner.	ALO 134
R3-E160	Fri 3/6/2015 5:19 PM	Continuation from R3-E153 - Thanks for taking the time to meet with us and explain the project. I would like to know, the contact information of the person for the HVDC transmission Line and 230 KVAC transmission Line in order to be sure that an Interference Study will be performed and the respective mitigation (if required) will be in place before the energization of each respective Transmission Line. Thanks.	
R3-E161	Fri 3/6/2015 3:36 PM	Original email blast. CWS-Thanks for meeting with Parks and Protected Spaces regarding the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission project as presented to Conservation and Water Stewardship (CWS) on February 13, 2015. Further to the discussion at the meeting, Parks and Protected Spaces strongly supports the current preferred route as opposed to the previous preferred route dated 2014 01 07, specifically we have concerns with segment No. 207, for the following reasons:Segment No. 207 crosses twice through Hugo Wetland proposed protected area. Protected areas are land, freshwater, or marine areas where logging, mining, hydroelectric development, oil and gas development, exploring for or harvesting peat, and other activities that significantly and adversely affect habitat are prohibited by law. The proposed protected area contains both uplands and low wet areas. It captures an intact wetland complex including vegetation cover such as wetland meadows, tamarack, and black spruce muskeg. It contains rare or uncommon species including ram's head lady's slipper, golden-winged warbler, and mottled dusky wing. Segment No. 207 crosses through the northern part of Hugo Wetland proposed protected area by paralleling an existing transmission line. Although this keeps the disturbance near an already disturbed areas it would still impact the overall ecological integrity of the site. Segment No. 207 crosses the southern part of the proposed protected area through an area that is currently undisturbed. The enduring features in both the north and south part of the proposed protected area are underrepresented in the protected areas network and therefore are being targeted for protection. The current preferred route as presented at the Feb 13/15 meeting doesn't not run through Hugo proposed protected area and is therefore the route supported by Parks and Protected Spaces.	
R3-E162	Fri 3/6/2015 12:20 PM	landowner: do you guys have time to sit down? MH->> Let me know your best dates and preferred time of day to meet and I will try to coordinate. Landowner: Normally any day other than wed. Anytime. Sooner the better. MH-Would 10am on Tuesday March 10th work? Landowner-Sure. Still Taylor Ave ?? MH-Taylor would be great. I will book us a room. Please sign in with Security. See you Tuesday at 10am.	ALO 041
R3-E163	Tue 3/10/2015 9:08 AM	Part of R3-E158 - attached is the letter from landowner about property.	ALO 134
R3-E164	Mon 3/16/2015 10:31 AM	MH emailing attached meeting minutes, MMTP fibre connection opportunities, picture of a OPGW Splice enclosure and picture of OPGW as per their meeting in Vita. Attached letter states As we discussed at our March 9, 2014 meeting in the RM of Stuartburn offices, Manitoba Hydro is planning on installing fibre optic cable along the transmission line associated with our Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line Project (MMTP). Although the primary purpose of the fibre optic link is to provide power system protection and control there will be sufficient capacity to permit other uses such as commercial telecom opportunities that may arise within the area of the proposed line. Once the route is finalized we will begin our planning for splice access points which for this system will be approximately every 3 to 5 kilometres. If there is an initiative at the community level to take advantage of the fibre system it would be helpful for you or your technology partner to engage us as early as possible so that we can plan the system to ensure access points are located as close as possible to where the service is to be delivered which will greatly reduce customer access costs.	
R3-E165	Mon 3/16/2015 10:33 AM	Initial email blast from MH about R3 preferred route. CWS thanks MH for meeting with Parks and Protected Spaces on Feb 13, 2015. Parks and Protected Spaces strongly supports the current preferred route as opposed to the previous preferred route dated 2014 01 07, specifically we have concerns with segment No. 207, for the following reasons: Segment No. 207 crosses twice through Hugo Wetland proposed protected area. Protected areas are land, freshwater, or marine areas where logging, mining, hydroelectric development, oil and gas development, exploring for or harvesting peat, and other activities that significantly and adversely affect habitat are prohibited by law. The proposed protected area contains both uplands and low wet areas. It captures an intact wetland complex including vegetation cover such as wetland meadows, tamarack, and black spruce muskeg. It contains rare or uncommon species including ram's head lady's slipper, golden-winged warbler, and mottled dusky wing. Segment No. 207 crosses through the northern part of Hugo Wetland proposed protected area by paralleling an existing transmission line. Although this keeps the disturbance near an already disturbed areas it would still impact the overall ecological integrity of the site. Segment No. 207 crosses the southern part of the proposed protected area through an area that is currently undisturbed. The enduring features in both the north and south part of the proposed protected area are underrepresented in the protected areas network and therefore are being targeted for protection. The current preferred route as presented at the Feb 13/15 meeting doesn't not run through Hugo proposed protected area and is therefore the route supported by Parks and Protected Spaces. MH responds thanking for meeting and comments provided.	
R3-E166	Tue 3/10/2015 12:08 PM	Individual lives on 80 acres near the RM of Tache in the Ste Genevieve area. We bought the place to subdivide and build our dream house And my business along with our hobby farm. We have cut many trails through out our acreage for animals we feed deer and have brought lots to our property, along with hanging many bird feeders and bird houses through out. As MMTP plan comes to an end ,MH plans to cut property in half and takes out 14 acres of land exactly where house is to go.If that's the case the house and property will be up for sale As my wife won't live anywhere near a hydro line like that that is gonna affect us and kids and a pain in the ass for people trespassing and everything else that comes along with that. This line can be moved east onto crown land where it won't bother so many people and there is no reason it shouldn't or can't be moved there. I know how terrible this line is, i am a dozer and excavator operator I've cleared lots of bush infact the company I work for has a 300km contact for bipole right now in split lake and I refused to go. I here the stories and problems they have up there with people. I really hope this line gets moved east or at least off my property and I would appreciate a reply back. MH replied describing EA process and expains that MH can develop an access management plan to mitigate for unwanted access on the ROW including fencing, gate, signage. MH provides 4 links and attaches 1 documentat on EMF.	ALO 066, MLO 173
R3-E167	Tue 3/10/2015 1:47 PM	Initial email: MH indicates they have forwarded your contact information as well as the files you provided to me and am awaiting a response as to who within Manitoba Hydro is responsible.MH provides link to mapviewer. Individual replies and requests the contact info of the person for the HVDC transmission Line and 230 KVAC transmission line in order to be sure that an Interference Study will be performed and the respective mitigation (if required) will be in place before the energization of each respective Transmission Line. MH provides a contact regarding any discussions regarding studies/mitigation regarding MH Trans.lines and TransCanada Pipelines. MH provides current status of MH's other transmission line projects and provides project links.	
R3-E168	Mon 3/16/2015 10:26 AM	Recently purchased 50 acres of paradise, no traffic, wildlife, 30 acres of marsh/swamp to the east, guaranteeing no neighbours. Feed wildlife, see a dozen deer daily. No human traffic. Not interested in having an unobstructed view of two 200 foot towers an a major hydro line. Lines are notorious for inviting quad/snowmobile traffic (and deer hunters), which will disturb us the marshland wildlife. Landowners sent two links (one video and one article) about their property and opposition to the line. MH replied indicating that route is not final and are continuing to collect feedback and document concerns in determining final placement of the transmission line and will file EIS with MWS this summer. Described access management plan to mitigate unwanted access.	ALO 025
R3-E169	Mon 3/16/2015 10:15 AM	Landowner frustrated with proposed Hydro line and had family out to look at proposed location. Would like to meet after 5:00 pm to chat about the route. MH replied suggesting Wed March 18, 2015 5:30 at Taylor Ave. Indicated computer, TV, and various data sets will be available to review their land holding.	ALO 077
R3-E170	Fri 3/13/2015 12:09 PM	Individual indicates they looked very closely at the map in the Southwester paper. The power line from Dorsey to Riel It looks to be a lot longer then going the north side of the city with only one river to cross, compared to three on the south route. I'd be pleased to hear your answer or answers as to the route and the cost factor of each. MH describes "southern loop" and that the corridor will accomodate multiple transmission lines necessary for system reliability and meet future energy needs. Provides MH link to map of southern loop. Indicates the southern loop reduces the number of independent rights-of-way on the landscape. MH has been acquiring property rights since the mid 1960s and also entered into an easement agreement with the Floodway Authority in 1985 to accommodate the transmission corridor.	
R3-E171	Thu 3/19/2015 3:27 PM	Delivery returned to MH. Canada Post delivery orinally sent to Ship To: 2102- 9 Cresent Place, Toronto ON M4C 5L8,CANADA. Package delivered and was held at post office with no pick up and returned to MH. MH looking into contact information.	
R3-E172	Wed 3/18/2015 3:21 PM	Concerned of proposed lines near schools in LaB.This will not only be detrimental to the safety of our children near the lines, but during the construcion. Children need that green space to run, play and socialize with their community. This will negatively affect many children, as well as community and after school programs that use that green space by the schools. MH-safety is of primary concern during construction for all members of the public. Provided some info on EMF, access, along with links to various brochures.	
R3-E173	Wed 3/18/2015 3:13 PM	If the US does not want our dirty oil anymore why should they have our clean power? MH - Manitoba Hydro maintains some of the lowest electricicity rates in North America and exports surplus power to neighboring provinces and states as part of revenue generation. These exports offset domestic rates. From 2003-2012, revenue from export sales contributed a larger share (33%) of Manitoba Hydro's total revenue than residential customers in Manitoba (27%). Manitoba industrial and commercial customers accounted for the rest. Provided link to Hydro's exports sales.	
R3-E174	Wed 3/18/2015 9:36 AM	The information on our website regarding the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project – Round 3 is now outdated. Can we replace it with the attached notice, or should there be more detail? Please let me know, and we will have the webmaster update the page. MH-The information in this email notices summarizes the current status of our project and provides your members with the appropriate contact information (email, website and phone line) to share feedback. If possible, could you please add a notices which states that we will be holding a "Trapper's Open House" to share the preferred route and collect feedback. The trapper open house takes place on April 9 from 4 to 8 p.m. at the Steinbach Legion Hall, 294 Lumber Ave. Refreshments will be served.We will forward you a poster/advertising to share with your members once finalized.	
R3-E175	Tue 3/17/2015 3:16 PM	The landowner indicated they would like to see the tower placement directly east of their home as there are no east facing windows and the front of the home faces north	ALO 066

AECOM Index #	Email Information		
	Date and Time	Email Summary	ALO / MLO #
		Landowner: attached is a letter that includes our completed survey form from the 12 Feb open house. Please acknowledge receipt of our email and ensure that our concerns are noted in the outcomes from the consultations. MH-Thank you for taking the time to provide your concerns and modifications regarding the southern loop corridor. We will keep you informed as we move forward in determining the final placement of the transmission line that we will file with regulatory authorities during summer 2015.	
R3-E176	Tue 3/17/2015 9:46 AM		MLO 940
R3-E177	Tue 3/17/2015 9:06 AM	Continuation from R3-E171 - The date/time proposed should work for us.	ALO 077
R3-E178	Fri 3/20/2015 2:32 PM	I am following up on a conversation we had at the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project Open House at the Headingly Community Centre on March 4 th regarding EMF from transmission lines. Provided info regarding EMF along with links to the Hydro website.	
R3-E179	Tue 3/24/2015 9:11 AM	I am curious as to why the transmission line does not follow the Monominto transmission line as to me this would make much more sense from a maintenance perspective. If the line could share the right of way and access roads as you have them less than a km apart. This would save on future maintenance cost of brush control and access maintenance. I am sure there is a good reason but I am curious as to why you do not want the lines to follow on the south side of highway 1. It appear like there is a large detour to the north east . MH-left you a voice msg and provided MH's phone numbers. Landowner-my question remains that I am wondering why the line deviates from the monominto transmission line and runs in areas where there is brush to clear instead of going in open prairie.	MLO 385
R3-E180	Tue 3/24/2015 8:32 AM	Scheduling a meeting with Nature Conservancy for March 24, 2015 from 3 pm to 4:30 pm. Nature Conservancy provided discussion topics consistent with previous meetings with MT. Letter attached.	
R3-E181	Mon 3/23/2015 11:32 AM	Continuation from R3-E174 - attached is the advertisement for the MMTP trapper's open house. If you could post this poster to the website we sure would appreciate it.	
R3-E182	Mon 3/23/2015 12:56 PM	Continuation from R3-E181 - I've asked our webmater to put this on our site.	
R3-E183	Tue 1/27/2015 8:43 AM	I had a gentleman call today who sold his property to Manitoba Hydro in 1977. It is the ROW just south of Dugard, Anola and Glass. He called regarding MMTP but I hope you can help me answer his question. He currently farms the RoW attached to the land he owns. He is interested in selling his land and wants to know where he can find documentation that indicates he can continue to farm the RoW even though he no longer owns the land. I spoke briefly to a Manitoba Hydro representative who indicated that he is probably supposed to be paying rent to MH to farm the land but as MH is not typically on top of these things he probably has continued to use the land without paying any rent. Would this be correct or is there some way he could receive some indication in writing that he is in fact entitled to farm the RoW? ROW Agent-If you can provide me with the gentleman's name and the legal description of his land we could search our leasing records to see if there is a current lease in place and if not do some further digging to see we can find. MH-provided name of landowner and quarter section. ROW Agent-found a cultivation permit for that landowner. sold his land to MH in 1977 the Permit identifies that he could continue to farm the MH Right of Way. However, Clause 9 of the Permit states "That the rights and licenses granted to the undersigned by Manitoba Hydro shall not be assignable or transferable in whole or in part by the undersigned" such that if individual were to sell the land the new owner would be required to apply through our Secondary Land Use Program and enter into an Agricultural Lease for the property which would have an associated rental fee being the proportional share of the Taxes. RG-landowner was happy to find out. Provided phone number for ROW Agent to contact him. ROW Agent-will call him this morning (Jan 27, 2015)	
R3-E184	Wed 3/25/2015 9:32 AM	Part of email chain R3-E123 - AECOM following up on conversation we had a little while back about setting up a meeting with Hydro to discuss MMTP. GWE-indicated she would like to meet with Hydro and requested for AECOM to contact her again Easter Monday.	
R3-E185	Wed 3/25/2015 9:11 AM	This email is a follow up to a meeting Manitoba Hydro had with the RM of Piney Reeve and Council on February 23 rd regarding the Manitoba-Minnesota Transmission Project. During the meeting the RM of Piney had requested contact information for contract and employment and Training opportunities. Provided a few links along with a toll free number.	
R3-E186	Tue 3/24/2015 3:22 PM	Have you had a chance to show your colleagues the two degree pitch change that we are pleading for? I need you to help us get that tiny victory locked down. Pls don't forget about us we need the pitch change (at the very least) to happen for our sanity! We have been very calm with hydro at every meeting considering how much crap we are being put through. I only hope my good behaviour at these meetings can help in my favour. I just can't stand the waiting game so pls keep me informed. I know it's only been less than a week since we met but I'm still worried as much as ever. I need you to make this pitch change happen 100%. Thx for your patience. MH - I have shown my colleagues the modification we have discussed but unfortunately we are still gathering information from both the public engagement process as well as the environmental assessment. We must continue with the routing process which takes in all considerations and we will not be in a position to inform you of the final placement for a few months time. I know this is not the answer you were looking for. My apologies for the length of time it takes to review all the information and make a decision. I will keep you informed as I receive more information.	ALO 077
R3-E189	Mon 3/30/2015 10:54 AM	MH provided individual with information regarding the EPRI-GTC siting methodology responding to their questions. Provided link for further information on MH balances numerous perspectives in routing process.	
R3-E190	Mon 3/30/2015 10:52 AM	MH providing response to follow up questions from completed comment sheet. MH is in the midst of undertaking a rural property evaluation to enhance understanding of the potential effects that have been raised through the past environmental assessment processes and the feedback received throughout the public engagement process. This study will be available to the public when Manitoba Hydro submits the environmental impact statement for the project to our regulators (anticipated to be in summer 2015). MH provided a link for more information about what will be included in the evaluation. MH provided links to information regarding impacts to wildlife, noise levels and viewshed. MH thanked individual for providing a route modification.	
R3-E191	Mon 3/30/2015 10:50 AM	MH providing response to follow up questions from completed comment sheet. MH provided link to environmental assessment information sheets. Also in regards to understanding the reasoning for the selections made regarding the route as based on the selection criteria explained in Round 2, these were not the principal factors taken into account in choosing the route in La Broquerie area (minimal disruption/risk... to human activity and health..." MH described the route selection process ie how well routes balance potential effects to human, technical and natural environments from the start to the end point. Data gathering, on the ground field work, and the input of numerous technical specialists, the public, and stakeholders over the course of two years have been taken into account when making this decision. Manitoba Hydro believes that the route presented best balances perspectives on the landscape and concerns that have been brought forward to date. Challenges exist in selecting any transmission line route. Manitoba Hydro evaluates routes from start to end point. In the vicinity of La Broquerie, the following concerns have been identified: <ul style="list-style-type: none"> Potential effects of the project along the more western route that were considered in the decision making process include: a greater prevalence of privately owned lands, concerns related to the impact on property values, the proximity of the proposed route to homes near Labroquerie (from the edge of the ROW), the potential impact on proposed subdivisions, and agricultural land uses. The more eastern route would travel through an area of relatively intact habitat that interconnects protected conservation areas and supports a number of valued species. The route would also effect an area noted for cultural and heritage value that is valued as a resource use area by the public, First Nations and Metis. From a technical perspective, this option is in much closer proximity to the existing 230kV and 500kV international power lines which poses a greater risk to system reliability should severe weather (e.g. wind events, icing, tornados, or fires) occur in the region. MH provides link to info on route selection. 	MLO 700
R3-E192	Mon 3/30/2015 10:49 AM	MH providing response to follow up questions from completed comment sheet. MH describes route selection process and outlines concerns identified for La Broquerie. Link is provided to more information describing route selection.	
R3-E193	Mon 3/30/2015 10:48 AM	In response to individual's request at an open house, MH provided a summary of the modifications and additions the Glenboro South and Dorsey Converter Stations for the project below. Manitoba Hydro will also include a detailed project description in the environmental impact statement which is anticipated to be submitted to the regulators in summer of 2015. This document will also be made available to the public.	
R3-E194	Mon 3/30/2015 11:50 AM	MH indicates that they received landowner's package this morning. Thank you for taking the time to complete your form. We will review your information as well as feedback provided from our environmental assessment team and other members of the public to determine the final placement of this transmission line.	ALO 074, ALO 086
R3-E195	Thu 3/26/2015 10:20 AM	Curious as to why the transmission line does not follow the Monominto transmission line. If the line could share the right of way and access roads as you have them less than a km apart. This would save on future maintenance cost of brush control and access maintenance. I am sure there is a good reason but I am curious as to why you do not want the lines to follow on the south side of highway 1. It appear like there is a large detour to the north east . MH followed up by telephone: One mile from line and had general questions about the project. Main interest was why in the Ste. Genevieve area we did not parallel R49R for a longer stretch of time. Noted that homes would need to be purchased or relocated. He understood the rationale for the split at the RM of Tache quarry following that explanation. Had questions about what type of technical considerations were considered in determining the route. Other discussion topics included: - Routing process overall - How cost and public input is factored into decision making - Minimize other ROWs from a cost perspective and maintenance perspective - Discussed how quarries, zoning and subdivisions are taken into consideration for routing - Discussed difficulty in routing a transmission line in close proximity to the city of Winnipeg. No follow ups required. Left number with him if any further questions come up.	MLO 385
R3-E196	Mon 3/30/2015 2:17 PM	Manitoba-Minnesota transmission line will cut through the heart of some property? Do we as Canadian, Manitoba born taxpayers have any say over where the Hydro line is proposed? We can attend all the open holuse meetings, and one on one we want to and still end up with only one thing? Hydro will pay you a fair market value to buy or rent your land? for the easment required to place the structures and run the overhead lines? OR As many were told " It will be expropriated nevertheless? So we have to be stepped on, walked on and have to put up with what ever someone from our Government and utilities wants to do! I'm sure that there will be a few owners of the land very upset? The towers will start to lean and you will wonder why? Put a tower up in your back yard? My dad will roll over in his resting place, once the construction moves in? Why not stick to the right of ways as the municipalities have mapped out for us all, We should not just decide to build what we want where we want to. "Stick to the mapping No short cuts." Why don't you go over the city instead of around it? You don't own the land, which we own, and worked hard to hold on to it? My thoughts are: Stay off my land. Unless you can use SKY HOOKS: go Ahead? MH response: provided info on route selection process, easement agreement info, and provided links to website.	
R3-E197	Tue 3/31/2015 2:18 PM	My name is SC and I am coordinating with Sagkeeng First Nation representatives as they work to develop a traditional knowledge study for Sagkeeng First Nation in the MMTP study area. This study is in development, and I would recommend you contact Doug Boyd for further information.	

AECOM Index #	Email Information		
	Date and Time	Email Summary	ALO / MLO #
R3-E198	Tue 4/7/2015 12:25 PM	Writing on behalf of ALO048 (father). Father did fill out a form along with myself and husband (MLO369). The lady at the meeting completed one form for both of us. We have 5 acres off of my dad land, therefore she said the form would be the same. Unless she forgot to make him fill out a separate form because the route would cross on his land that he owns or she lost our form which would mean that she lost our landowner form too . I would appreciate that you look into this matter and if you have any questions or concerns please contact me. MH - we have your fathers form and it's attached as requested.	ALO 048 (MLO 369)
R3-E199	Fri 4/10/2015 11:49 AM	Continuation of R3-E184 - Suggested a meeting on April 20th or 21st. MH-MH would be available at 10 am Friday April 24th as from the 20th to 22nd does not work for their team.	
R3-E200	Tue 4/7/2015 10:51 AM	I read your notice in the carollion news dated April 2nd Why are all the towns blacked out?? CAN YOU EXPLAIN THIS TO US.?.? I guess hydro doesn't want the TRAPPERS to know where the line is going ,why?? MH - Due to the size constraints of the newspaper there was a need to make the advertisement more legible. We have been working with these trappers and the Manitoba Association since the onset of this project and encourage their participation in our process	
R3-E201	Tue 4/7/2015 9:16 AM	does MB hydro have a line limit or distance they start objecting to subdivisions on?? When is a good time to call u?? MH- provided time he will be around for a call.	ALO 041
R3-E202	Wed 4/8/2015 3:08 PM	Your come-and-go consultations in the region were quite ineffective as forums for the ordinary citizen, because while the corporation has the opportunity to show what it intends to show, there was no allowance for the populations affected to come together as a group to have frank discussions and ask their questions together about the proposed project. I therefore, at the least, cannot let pass your invitation to give my opinion by email on your present, and very expensive, initiative, although I would have preferred a few evening town hall meetings to discuss it together with you and the community. Having talked with my neighbours about this proposed hydro project, I can speak for them when I say that these massive skeletal steel structures and suspended wires would permanently mar the beautiful landscape of the surrounding Eastern Highlands, and cut through a well-populated, valuable real estate swath of land, degrading property values everywhere they pass. To us, they represent an intrusion into our quiet, healthy country environment, and into the beautiful community we are trying to build here. There is also the issue of the powerful electro-magnetic fields that would be emitted, from two directions in our case, spreading their nefarious effects on human and natural life and degrading our quality of life and natural habitat. Will we ever be compensated for the losses in quality of life, and for the losses in property values, not to mention the losses we will all eventually suffer health-wise? This costly project seems to be serving the interests of Americans and multi-nationals south of the border, who are getting our electricity at bargain-basement rates. All we Manitobans are promised are ever-higher electricity rates.If a hydro transmission corridor must be traced, as a good corporate citizen, Manitoba Hydro must make sure it will be infringing on the least-populated regions possible, and therefore not the presently indicated "preferred route", but perhaps way farther east. Quite frankly, I don't understand why the overall proposed transmission route needs to trace such an indirect route from northern Manitoba through southern Manitoba. It seems to be going all over the province, before heading south via our highly populated corner of the province. MH - provided info on route selection, env. assessment, regulatory review, addresses public engagement, provided links to website and discussed rates.	
R3-E203	Wed 4/8/2015 2:56 PM	Confirming meeting for tomorrow at 3pm in Landmark. Meeting confirmed.	
R3-E204	Wed 4/8/2015 12:45 PM	Continuation of R3-E169 - Landowner and I are still very concerned with the potential outcome of the final route. I hope you and your team realize that this 2% change that we spoke about would help us immensely. It would be over 100 ft farther away from our building site, which would lessen the health concerns that we have about living so close to it and it would also take it out of our view a bit more. We really need this to happen and don't think it's too much to ask as Hydro is going to be splitting our property in half! When we had our meeting we did not talk very much about the environmental assessment and what we can do to make our point heard in that respect as well. Could you give me some info on what we should do? MH- Provided response he emailed landowner a few weeks back. I have shown my colleagues the modification we have discussed but unfortunately we are still gathering information from both the public engagement process as well as the environmental assessment. We must continue with the routing process which takes in all considerations and we will not be in a position to inform you of the final placement for a few months time. I know this is not the answer you were looking for. My apologies for the length of time it takes to review all the information and make a decision. The meeting summary, your comment sheets, your landowner forms have all been documented and will be filed with the environmental assessment for regulatory review. The information the public engagement team collects is then passed on to discipline specialists to enhance their assessment of various valued components. Both of you will have a chance to review and challenge any of the information we submit in the environmental assessment when we file with regulators this summer.	ALO 077
R3-E205	Thu 4/9/2015 12:02 PM	MH providing response to follow up questions from phone call. A hard copy of the landowner form package is being sent out to you. Also attached a map of the preferred route which may potentially affect your property (NE-26-3-8-E). Provided info on EMF and links to website.	ALO 026
R3-E206	Thu 4/9/2015 10:30 AM	I needed to indicate to your office that the property on the south side of PR201 backs onto the Sundown Bog, a wetland area that is indicated on maps of the area. I am adding this information to your office in addition to the information provided in the form that I was asked to fill in. MH - Wetlands are being assessed as part of the EA. Provided links to the vegetation and wetland handout.	ALO 134
R3-E207	Tue 4/14/2015 9:38 AM	Attached is a fax received. Regarding ALO 009. It was noted that MH will follow up with a phone call to landowner.	ALO 009
R3-E208	Tue 4/14/2015 9:07 AM	Provided resume. MH recommended signing up on the MH website under "Careers" and provided the link.	
R3-E209	Thu 4/23/2015 1:47 PM	Follow up - requested info regarding route selection process and how it's related to the more eastern options. MH-provided this info including links to website.	MLO 534
R3-E210	Thu 4/23/2015 1:45 PM	Follow up - indicated through a feedback form that you would like to be notified regarding upcoming steps for this project. MH - added to email list. Also provided links to website.	
R3-E211	Thu 4/23/2015 1:44 PM	Follow-up - requested the following from project team; wants to see side-by-side placement of multiple lines and wants notice in early spring before seeding and before access (so he can leave a strip for construction). MH - still collecting info and are in process of determining the final route placement. provided link to sign up for project emails.	
R3-E212	Thu 4/23/2015 1:42 PM	Continuation from R3-E146. MH-Provided link to the library on the website and provided link to project components zip file.	ALO 057
R3-E213	Thu 4/23/2015 8:42 AM	Continuation from R3-E204 - would like to schedule another meeting. MH - would be available the evening of the 28th or 30th. Landowner-30th will work at the same place for 5:30 MH-requested for landowner to send him some topics they would like to discuss.	ALO 077
R3-E214	Tue 4/21/2015 10:01 AM	Provided a victim impact statement which is attached to the email.	ALO 077
R3-E215	Mon 4/20/2015 10:15 AM	Follow-up- requested a copy of the landowner form. MH attached it to the email and provided link to website.	ALO 048 & MLO 369
R3-E216	Fri 4/17/2015 1:54 PM	Continuation of R3-E199 - date provided will not work. MH suggested a meeting for either the 28th or 30th. Confirmed that the 28th of April at 11 am works best.	
R3-E217	Thu 4/23/2015 2:01 PM	Follow-up - requested to have email address added to Hydro mailing list. GR-confirmed	
R3-E218	Thu 4/23/2015 2:01 PM	Follow-up - requested "I would like a signed letter from Hydro guaranteeing no ill effect from EMF's. Also don't understand how reliability and cutting trees down (207) is more of an issue than taking people's land (208)" MH-provided links to emf along with other info on website. Provided info on the route selection.	
R3-E219	Thu 4/23/2015 2:01 PM	Follow-up - requested "What makes this the preferred route when majority of the people do not consider this the preferred route? Are you willing to compensate anyone that lives near the preferred route? How about rebates to MB Hydro users from the profit from the export of hydro. Since we will all have to pay for this infrastructure." MH-provided info on route selection process and provided links to website.	
R3-E220	Thu 4/23/2015 1:58 PM	Follow-up - requested "Concrete evidence and research from private groups about ecological impacts for using route 207. Actual cost of using 207 vs. 208" MH-provided info on route selection process and provided links to website	
R3-E221	Thu 4/23/2015 1:57 PM	follow-up - requested "Have more info on how it affects the ecological side of it. why pass 1/2 mile from town instead of 10 miles east because of "wildlife." Know the actual cost difference of both lines." MH - provided info on route selection process and provided links to website.	
R3-E222	Thu 4/23/2015 1:52 PM	Follow-up - requested "Am wondering what the reasons are for not choosing the more eastern route which would affect fewer residential and farming areas." G - provided info on route selection process and provided links to website.	
R3-E223	Thu 4/23/2015 1:52 PM	Follow-up - requested "Why not put line through Crown unpopulated areas instead of populated areas?" MH - provided info on route selection process and provided links to website.	
R3-E224	Thu 4/23/2015 1:51 PM	Follow-up - requested a copy of the LIC questionnaire. MH provided copy	
R3-E225	Thu 4/23/2015 1:51 PM	Follow-up - requested a copy of the LIC questionnaire. MH provided copy	
R3-E226	Thu 4/23/2015 1:49 PM	Follow-up - requested a copy of the LIC questionnaire. MH provided copy	
R3-E227	Thu 4/23/2015 1:49 PM	Follow-up - requested a copy of the LIC questionnaire. MH provided copy	
R3-E228	Thu 4/23/2015 1:47 PM	Follow-up - requested a copy of the LIC questionnaire. MH provided copy	
R3-E229	Fri 4/24/2015 10:12 AM	Follow-up - requested "Where is the draft assessment of the project; it is on the website but not presented or discussed." MH-indicated handouts outlining some of the contents of the EIS were presented in R3 and provided link to website. Provided additional info regarding EIS.	
R3-E230	Fri 4/24/2015 9:56 AM	Follow-up - requested "More construction info, would like to do work for project if needed." MH-provided link to website regarding purchasing with Hydro and provided link about tenders or contracting opportunities.	
R3-E231	Fri 4/24/2015 8:36 AM	Letter and map sent to MLO 0625 on April 24, 2015	
R3-E232	Thu 4/23/2015 4:15 PM	Follow-up-requested "If herbicides are not used on their property, but are on property adjacent, what happens with effects on property? If damage from equipment during construction/maintenance after line is built, who is responsible for repair costs?" MH-Pathways (such as air and water) to receptors (such as a local resident) will be considered with regard to herbicide use. Provided link to ROW maintenance. If any damages are to occur outside of the right-of-way during construction or maintenance, please contact your local district office. Manitoba Hydro (or its contractors) will repair damages that occur on privately owned property.	ALO 032, MLO 177
R3-E233	Thu 4/23/2015 3:54 PM	Follow-up - "Would like to discuss fence placement with Manitoba Hydro." MH-Manitoba Hydro will work with landowners once a Licence decision has been made for the Project. Fencing and other concerns (such as access) will be discussed with a property representative from Manitoba Hydro when discussing easement compensation.	
R3-E234	Thu 4/23/2015 3:43 PM	Follow-up - "Right-of-way appears to go beyond what was previously expropriated/easement." MH-Manitoba Hydro undertakes work within the owned right-of-way or within the boundaries of the easement that is held on the land title. If you believe Manitoba Hydro is undertaking work outside of this right-of-way, I encourage you to contact you district office.	
R3-E235	Fri 4/24/2015 2:24 PM	Follow-up - MH sent a copy of the landowners questionnaire map.	
R3-E236	Fri 4/24/2015 2:12 PM	This letter was sent out to the four recipients April 24, 2015.	
R3-E237	Fri 4/24/2015 2:02 PM	Follow-up - requesting "Dimensions of corner tower structures at base, what is the compensation for corner towers?" MH- provided info and link to landowner compensation brochure.	
R3-E238	Fri 4/24/2015 1:57 PM	Follow-up - requested to be added to the email notification list.	
R3-E239	Fri 4/24/2015 1:54 PM	Follow-up - requesting "At public meeting held at the LaBroquerie Municipal Office on Feb 2, the RM urged MH to consider route 207. We would like to see the feedback you've received in favour of 208." MH-provided info on route selection process and provided links to website.	
R3-E240	Mon 4/27/2015 2:04 PM	Continuation from R3-E214 - MH-thanks for sharing your concerns regarding MMTP. We can discuss these concerns as well as other topics during our upcoming meeting on April 30th at 5:30pm	ALO 077
R3-E241	Tue 4/28/2015 2:24 PM	Follow-up - requesting "Cost between both routes; 207 and 208; impact of the lines of humans, animals, etc. I would like to know which aboriginal claims there are on route #207" MH-provided info regarding route selection/process and provided link to website.	
R3-E242	Tue 4/28/2015 2:23 PM	Follow-up requesting "Just bought property. Dawson & Demeyers right by floodway-concern about future 3 more lines and exactly where they would go? Our house is right on that corner under 1/2 mile to top of floodway. The 4th line would be very close or even on our property." MH-provided map of their property which also shows the MMTP preferred route and ROW. At the moment there are no immediate plans to develop additional transmission lines within this right of way. However, there is room for potentially 3 more lines in this general area. Provided link to website.	
R3-E243	Wed 4/29/2015 8:54 AM	Follow-up - Why are we selling power to the USA for less than it costs MB to make it? 14 cK to make & selling it for 4 cK?? Not cool! MH-provided info regarding electrical rates, info regarding exporting including revenue from exporting and provided link to website.	
R3-E244	Wed 4/29/2015 8:49 AM	Continuation from R3-E213 - landowner provided some topics for discussion for the meeting including rural property evaluation, tower spotting, bird surveys, setbacks, buffers around wetlands and riparian areas, human health affects and aesthetics.	ALO 077

AECOM Index #	Email Information			ALO / MLO #
	Date and Time	Email Summary		
R3-E245	Thu 4/23/2015 10:20 AM	Follow up items from meeting they had with MAFRD on April 15th, 2015. This email will be attached to the meeting minutes.		
R3-E246	Fri 5/1/2015 3:43 PM	Signed up for the map application but the map section doesn't open up or direct to the map. Turtle Island sustained millions of people for many years, it is possible to live without damaging technology. MH-provided a link to the map application also the link to the mapping in the document library..		
R3-E247	Fri 5/1/2015 3:43 PM	Follow up to a landowner meeting held on April 30. Attached is the map that was discussed with a rough estimate of potential tower placement at 450 m apart. The route the landowner provided will be considered as MH determine the final placement of the T-line. This email is saved with the meeting minutes.		ALO 077
R3-E248	Tue 5/5/2015 8:34 AM	Continuation from R3-E243 - It had nothing to do with your retail sales in MB. When you expect bulk sales to net under 6 cents, why do you build new capacity that costs over 10 cents? Cliches and company slogans may sound cool, to you, but do not answer my initial question. If the correct answer to my question is that this money losing practice is simply following a government directive, why will you not just say so? MH-The short answer to your question is Manitoba Hydro does not sell export power at less than its cost. The prices export customers pay for surplus Manitoba power includes a profit margin for Manitoba Hydro. If Manitoba Hydro does not recover its extra costs of supplying power to export customers plus a profit margin, we do not sell the power. Rates to Manitobans would be significantly higher in the absence of profits from power exports. MH provided additional info about exports.		
R3-E249	Tue 5/5/2015 8:29 AM	I had attended the information session at the holiday inn south a couple months ago and spoke to someone regarding our property. We live at Waverley and According to our land survey, it looked as though the plans for your lines went right through our property. Someone was supposed to get back to us regarding this. MH-Requesting their legal land description; it will help pin point the entire land holding.		
R3-E250	Fri 5/1/2015 4:50 PM	Continuation from email R3-E247 - Landowner thanking MH for the meeting. From the tower placement and the distance from my building site the pink line looks a million times better to me then the blue line. I hope you can work some magic for us man this really gives us some hope so thank you for that. Enjoy the weekend as well and talk to you soon.		ALO 077
R3-E251	Mon 5/4/2015 1:49 PM	Requesting a shapefile on the latest route. MH- provided link to shapefile.		
R3-E252	Mon 5/4/2015 7:42 AM	Were you aware, or have others notified you, that this www.arcgis.com webappveiwer is not downloading the interactive map? I had to sign in at this site and creat a profile and still no map. I have tried searching, and still no map is found. It is very difficult if not impossible to answer Hydro's questions when the map link they have chosen does not function (i am using an ipad). Please advise how i can access your map so I can answer the questions. MH- provided the updated link.		
R3-E253	Tue 5/5/2015 9:12 AM	I am pleading one more time concerning the hydro line going through my property. I had 40 acres inherited to me by my dad who passed away at an early age when I was only ten months old. My grandson has fallen in love with this property and would one day like to build a house there for himself. Therefore the Manitoba-Minnesota power line going through would only make the land useless. He certainly would not consider building with a line over top of his future house. This power line would be going through the middle of the property. This land is DES NE32 9 7E in the RM of Tache. No one would be interested in buying that property either. So don't take away from me the little that I already have. MH-I would like to indicate to you that this route is not final and we are collecting feedback and documenting concerns to assist in determining the final placement of the transmission line. We will continue collecting feedback and we will determine the final placement of the transmission line this upcoming summer when we file the Environmental Impact Statement with Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship. There will also be a public review period where you can share your concerns with both provincial and federal regulators. Provided link to sign up for emails.		
R3-E254	Tue 5/5/2015 1:30 PM	Follow-up items from meeting held with MB Wildlands. Email saved to meeting minutes.		
R3-E255	Tue 4/21/2015 9:50 AM	Scanned copy of a letter from the RM of Springfield.		
R3-E256	Thu 5/7/2015 7:20 AM	He applying for Manitoba Provisional Nominee Program. For applying MPNP, job offer is must to enhance chances of EOI. Please find attached my resume for the subject opportunity.Looking forward to receive your favorable response soon.MH-provided link to submit resume with MH.		
R3-E257	Tue 5/5/2015 3:10 PM	Follow-up letter sent via mail to landowner requesting "more specific location ie. square footage of damage to the forest - I would appreciate being mailed detailed maps of the preferred route that affects my property." MH enclosed a map of the preferred route in relation to landowner and included some additional brochures.		
R3-E258	Wed 5/6/2015 2:59 PM	we are disappointed and oppose the Proposed MMTP especially or the proposed route which will go through landowners land. Landowner has 300 acres east of Sundown, Manitoba. Landowner had purchased the lands so she can harvest medicinal plants. She is seeking to get support groups to voice their opposition for having the Transmission line going through her land. The full effect of hydro electric on the land is yet to be determined, yet so far people have seen apples by power line grow to be grotesquely big saying it was doubling in size and would not eat them. The natural gift of the medicine plants will be altered and so will the habitat and ecology of the land. The natural life will be angry us for not speaking out. We have natural teachings for harvesting and we talk to plants so that they know what they are ask to help us with. The transmission line is going through Treaty 1 territory. Was Treaty 1 part of the decision? Was cooperation sought? Did Treaty 1 respond as part of the negotiations. In many case consultation means being asked for our input only to be told they going ahead anyways so why ask us. In our Treaty 1 understanding we did not give the resources. We shall be part of the official statement for the decision of the route. Another Person - thanking for letter concerning MMTP. He agrees and supports this defense and her right to object to any such project crossing her land. Such objections should be taken into consideration before any decisions are made regarding this project.		ALO 134
R3-E259	Thu 5/7/2015 2:14 PM	Continuation from R3-E248 - Why did the NFAT not consider buying US power in dry years? It is lowest in capital and risk in today's low cost energy regime. MH-Manitoba Hydro already plans on buying power from the US in a dry (drought) year. All of the potential development plans considered by Manitoba Hydro in the recent Need for and Alternatives To (NFAT) process included significant quantities of imports energy during a drought. Manitoba Hydro anticipates that in a severe drought about 10% of the Manitoba load would be served by imports. The quantity of imports that Manitoba Hydro can utilize is limited by the import transmission capacity.		
R3-E260	Fri 5/8/2015 11:52 AM	Part of R3-E258 - MH-Thank you for taking the time to share your concerns regarding the landowner both in person and through this email. I would like to indicate to you that this route is not final. We are collecting feedback from a variety of interested parties including First Nations Communities, Metis, landowners, the public as well collecting information from the environmental assessment work being undertaken to determine the final placement of the transmission line. We will continue collecting feedback and we will present the proposed final placement of the transmission line this upcoming summer when we file the Environmental Impact Statement with Manitoba Conservation and Water Stewardship. We encourage any interested individual to sign up for project email notifications on the project website (www.hydro.mb.ca/mmtp) to be informed of upcoming steps in the regulatory review process. In addition, as part of our community engagement process, Manitoba Hydro continues to share information with Treaty One First Nations, other interested First Nations and Aboriginal Organizations. Landowner has shared additional information with our team and we welcome any additional information she or yourself would like to provide.		ALO 134
R3-E261	Fri 5/8/2015 10:33 AM	Requested for MH to send a map of the current preferred route for MMTP (attached to email). Provided link to website for additional project information.		
R3-E262	Mon 5/11/2015 1:58 PM	The neighbourhood association for the Turnbull Drive-Red River Drive area (768 Association Inc) met last week for their Annual General Meeting. At the meeting, a concern was brought forward that I'm hoping you can shed some light on. "Are you aware of where the placement of the tower will be between Highway 75 and St.Mary's road?" Our concern is that if a tower is placed between the dike and Courchane road, that it could hamper the ability of the Association to raise the level of the dike in a flood situation. In order to raise the dike, we would need to widen the base of the dike and it would not be wise to have to add earth under a hydro tower. If you aren't aware of the where the tower will be placed, is there some way for you to find out this information? MH-final tower placement has not been determined and will not be determined until preliminary survey work is undertaken. Determination of tower placement may not occur until after a Licence has been received for the project which is anticipated in 2017. We are in continued discussions with Manitoba Infrastructure and Transportation regarding flood prevention and tower placement. As these are continued discussions, no final decision has been made regarding tower locations. I have attached a map of the area mentioned below. Tower spacing is estimated at 450m apart on average. If there are areas of higher concern please feel free to mark up the map and return it for our consideration.		
R3-E263	Mon 5/11/2015 12:38 PM	Follow-up requesting "Property owner has mineral rights to the property and has concern regarding what happens to those mineral rights." MH-Manitoba Hydro will discuss compensation with each affected landowner along the final preferred route. Certain circumstances, such as mineral deposits, will be discussed but will be dependent on final placement of the line, tower placement, and the value and abundance of the deposit. Each scenario varies and Manitoba Hydro will undertake this discussion with you during compensation discussions that are likely to occur following a Licence decision. Provided link to website and phone number.		
R3-E264	Mon 5/11/2015 12:23 PM	Follow-up requesting "Wants to know if herbicide could potentially affect well water quality?" MH- Water Quality will be reviewed as part of the environmental impact statement (EIS) that is being developed for the Project and only draft assessment results are available at this time. Active & artisanal wells have been identified and mitigation measures will be in place to minimize potential effects to well water quality if any potential effect are anticipated. The EIS is anticipated to be filed this summer with both provincial and federal regulators. With the filing a public review period will begin and any member of the public can comment on the EIS. Provided link to website and phone number.		
R3-E265	Tue 5/12/2015 9:54 AM	Another recipient replies to initial email R3-E258. To be coded as R3-E258		ALO 134
R3-E266	Tue 5/12/2015 8:34 AM	I am attaching a picture of the land survey we have. Is this what you need? MH-The resolution on the photograph is quite low and I am having difficulty reading the information. If you could resend at a higher resolution (the email size will be much larger) it would be beneficial. We also have a webviewer similar to google earth that you could review and find your property on also. You can review the map viewer using this link http://www.arcgis.com/apps/webappviewer/index.html?id=2e9a7363a45f42a19d9c7c1ceaa348de Feel free to contact us directly also if that is preferred.		
R3-E267	Mon 5/11/2015 8:16 PM	Continuation from R3-E262- Thanks for your reply and information. I'll pass that information along to the members of the 768 Association. If we have any further questions, we will definitely be in touch.		
R3-E268	Wed 5/13/2015 2:32 PM	Continuation from R3-E266-provided a better quality image. MH will request MH property department to look into your property and will get back to you soon. (Image saved in 403-02-04-R3Letters&Other Info)		
R3-E269	Tue 5/12/2015 2:42 PM	Continuation from R3-E265- reciepent thanking MH.		ALO 134
R3-E270	Thu 5/14/2015 8:53 AM	Please share these two attachments with all the decision-makers. Provided a letter regarding: I would like you to see it because it shows so well what a difference it will make for me if the transmission line runs along the WEST rather than the east side of the current line when it crosses my property.All the negative impacts of the line will be somewhat mitigated by moving it to the west: enjoyment of wildlife and wild plants, noise from the line, privacy, pacemaker, protecting firewood, protection from weather, food gathering, children building homes, property value. Also included map of property. (Info saved in 403-02-04-R3 Route Modifications)		ALO 074, ALO 086

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P001	1/26/2015	Caller called regarding precieved decrease in property values due to transmission lines in close proximity to his property. He was looking for literature or any studies that have been done regarding proerty values and new transmission lines. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that studies are currently being done for the MMTP and that information will be available in the EIS once it is submitted to the regulators. The Manitoba Hdyro representative also indicated there were studies completed for the
R3-P002	1/20/2015	Caller has recently (August 2014) acquired property within one mile of the preferred route (*QS specified*), just north of the Cottonwood golf course near highway #1). He called to ask if the previous owner was notified of the project. The MH representative indicated that a letter had been sent to the previous owner.
R3-P003	1/20/2015	Caller called back this morning and indicated he is an affected land owner. He owns 50 acres on the northern portion of *QS Specified*. as he is just hearing about the project he had questions regarding EMF. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that Manitoba Hydro follows all legal limits on emitting EMF and also indicated that there is further information on EMF on the Manitoba Hydro website from the World Health Organization and Health Canada. Caller also asked about the compensation policy. Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that they typically go through an easement process in which the landowner would recieve 150% of marketvalue for the land as well as compensation for any damage during construction. Caller asked how Manitoba Hydro determines the market value. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that they use the assessed value as well as current sales in the area of similar property. If this is not sufficient for the land owner Manitoba Hydro can also pursue land value certification through the Land Value Appraisal Commission of Manitoba. Caller also enquired about tower placement. The Manitoba Hydro representative
R3-P004	1/20/2015	Caller called to let Manitoba Hydro know they no longer own they property that is within a mile of the preferred route. they sold the property to a landowner in 2012.
R3-P005	1/20/2015	Caller called to let Manitoba Hydro know there is a cell tower close to the line just north east of the floodway gates. He is concerned that the preferred route is too close to the tower. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that the preferred route is close to 2000 feet away from the cell tower which is well away from the legal proximity.
R3-P006	1/20/2015	Caller called regarding his property which is approximately 500 metres from the preferred route near Beaudry Provincial Park. He is concerned that the line is too close to his home, concern regarding noise of the line, how it will affect property values and potential future development. the Manitoba Hydro employee informed him of the LICs
R3-P007	1/20/2015	Caller called to enquire about the preferred route crossing his property. He is unable to attend the open houses and land owner information centres and asked that we come to his home to discuss the project. The Manitoba Hydro representative indcated this would be fine and will set up an appointment. He is concerned about hunter and public access to his land as he already has issues with this without a transmission line right of way. He would also like information regarding a contact in distribution at
R3-P008	1/21/2015	Caller called to enquire about how much of the preferred route is on Crown land versus how much is on private land. He also indicated that he would prefer this communication to be via hard copy in the mail. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that they would find this information and sen to his address provided
R3-P009	1/20/2015	Caller called expressing that he is happy the route is no longer on his property but he will be working with newly affected landowners. We discussed route decision making processes, the Open house schedule, modifications that occured based on public feedback from R2. He requested 15 copies of the following material which was picked up 01/20: newsletter, route selection, EMF brochure, business card, individual maps from PTH1 to D602F (Anola) to give out to landowners.
R3-P010	1/16/2015	Caller was having an issue with the map on the website. I outlined that we made the modification that he requested during Round 2 (move the line to the eastern edge of his proeprty). I developed a map and emailed it to the caller on January 16th @ 430pm. He requested that as we move forward he would like someone to come stake the
R3-P011	1/15/2015	Wanted to know whether MMTP would be crossing his property as he is building a chicken barn. I indicated that there is no restrictions of building on his property as long as it is not within the ROW for the Transmission Line (both R49R and MMTP). I indicated he should contact his local office for further details as R49R will be in proximity but not MMTP (*QS specified*)
R3-P012	1/13/2015	Received Email about the scoping document and wanted it explained to him as to how the process works. Also outlined that a preferred route would announced in the near
R3-P013	1/22/2015	Wanted to understand the timelines for the project and when construction is anticipated. Indicated that construction would occur after an Env. Act licence is received and
R3-P014	1/13/2015	wanted to discuss the scoping document and what it means and how does he participate. I indicated that his concerns with Quarries will be addressed in the EIS and that it was considered as we move forward with a preferred route. I noted that the PR would be released in the near future. Outlined that the scoping document is the blueprint for
R3-P015	1/22/2015	Called to ensure they received our notification. Left a message and discussed the project with an individual about process and indicated we are willing to meet with the colony prior to the Piney open house if they would like. Discussed why 2 miles east is not viable (Proposed Ecological Reserve). Concerned with proximity to the yard. Caller MLO[261]
R3-P016	1/23/2015	wanted to know why he is getting the package. Noted he was a mile away. He said he would attend the Richer open house. outlined that MMTP will follow the existing transmission line that follows Cottonwood golf course. Discussed difference between PR and FPR and when we intend to file the EIS.
R3-P017	1/21/2015	Called regarding *QS Specified*. Would prfer to see the towers in the same line as current towers on the property. He also sold ROW to Manitoba Hydro in 1977 with agreement that he could continue to fram the land free of charge. Wanted to know if this would still be the case if he sold the land. The Manitoba Hydro prresentative indicated that clause 9 in his contract indicated that if he sold the property the new owner would not be able to farm the land for free but would have to pay the cost to lease
R3-P018	1/27/2015	Caller is an affected landowner at *QS specified*. the line runw diagonally through his section and is approximately 500 metres from his house. He believes this is till way too close to his home and he also has a cattle operation on the property. He booked a meeting during the Zhoda open house on feb. 10 at 5:30 to speak with a Manitoba
R3-P019	1/22/2015	Caller lives on the north side of the floodway and was notified as a land owner who is within one mile of the preferred route which is on the south side of the floodway. He called to say he had concerns regarding EMF. the Manitoba Hydro representative guided him to the literature available on the Manitoba Hydro website.
R3-P020	1/27/2015	Caller called regarding the community development initiative for Bipole III. He finds it odd and unnecessary and does not believe a community such as the City of Steinbach needs funding from Manitoba Hydro. He beleives that money should be distributed to the affected landowners. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that the affected landowners already recieve compensation from Manitoba Hydro and also relayed the purpose of the community development initiative which will provide an annual
R3-P021	1/27/2015	Considering buying section *QS Specified* which has a Manitoba Hydro owned right of way near Anola. Called to enquire what is in the right of way. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated there is currently one transmission line in the right of way with the potential to build 3 more lines in that right of way.
R3-P022	1/22/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called caller who is an affected landowner adjacent to the glenboro station to let her know Manitoba hydro is moving into Round 3 of public
R3-P023	1/20/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called to inform Enbridge that we are moving into Round 3 of public engagement and there are no changes to the Gelnboro Station project. Enbridge indicated they now have a new contact. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated they would forward materials on the project to the new contact via email.
R3-P024	1/20/2015	A Manitoba Hydro representative left a message for landowner to let him know we are moving into round 3 of public engagement, however there are no changes to the
R3-P025	1/27/2015	A Manitoba Hydro representative left a message for landowner to inform them that we are moving into round 3 of public enagement for MMTP and there are no changes
R3-P026	1/27/2015	Caller called regarding his section *QS Specified*. He is an affected landowner. He is upset becasue he is currently rehabitiliating his property from farmland to its natural state. He uses the land for recreation and wildlife and vegetation interests. Concerned about the public accessing his land via a right of way. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated they will call back and make a phone appointment to review the landowner information sheet as Caller currently lives in Edmonton and will not be
R3-P027	1/26/2015	Caller called to enquire why there were no oopen houses in Winnipeg for MMTP Round 3. the Manitoba Hydro representative called back and left a message indicating
R3-P028	1/27/2015	Caller called regrading the affected sections owned by HyLife; *QS Specified*. His 3 main concerns are Bio-security on their hog operation. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated they will bring the Manitoba hydro bio-security policy to their face to face meeting for further discussion; *QS Specified* is where their cattle ranch is located. the preferred route is right over top of their calving ridge. this area is extremely sensitive and they would prefer to see the line not cross the ridge. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated they would bring some maps to their face to face meeting to discuss potential mitigation opportunities to avoid the ridge. The third concern is
R3-P029	1/27/2015	Wanted to know the crown vs. Private for the PR. Indicated that 33% is owned/eased by MH, 25% crown and 42% private. He also requested 4 additional E sized overview maps (he picked up 01/27). Wanted to know if R49R and parelleling the line meant MH did not need additional easements. Indicated that the easement would be just for
R3-P030	1/26/2015	Discussed the preferred route and how the preferred route is now paralleling R49R on the east side as opposed to the west side. Caller is very unhappy with the alignment as it is brought closer to her home. She is concerned about EMF. Visually she is not concerned. Outlined that she should come to an open house/LIC to complete a landowner survey and contact Manitoba Hydro representative to set up a time to discuss with an MH Rep. She provided 2 modifications in her area. Will submit changes in an email to MMTP regarding her modification preferences. Indicated that her preference is to have it back on the west side or to cross over the line to maximize separation
R3-P031	1/21/2015	Lives on Fraser Road, north side of the floodway. Has no major concerns and will attend the open house in Winnipeg.
R3-P032	1/27/2015	Caller called to inform Manitoba Hydro that he is opposed to MMTP and does not think Manitoba Hydro should be exporting power to the United States. He also does not agree with the rate increases for Manitobans and believes the PUB should not approve anymore rate increases for Manitobans. He does not believe the export line is
R3-P033	1/27/2015	Caller called regarding precieved decreases in property values due to new transmission lines within close proximity to private property. He was looking for information on previous studies. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that he could review the information that was presented in the Bipole III EIS which is available on the Manitoba Hdyro website. There is also a document on the MMTP project website that discusses the studies that will be completed for the MMTP EIS relating to property
R3-P034	1/28/2015	Caller called regarding 3 properties her and a landowner own. Two properties are within one mile of the preferred route and the property of most concern is *QS Specified* , which already has a 230kv line and the preferred route would see the 500kv line run adjectent to the 230kv line which is already crossing their property. They feel this is way
R3-P035	1/29/2015	Resident from sage Creek called to ask if MMTP would be replacing the St. Vital Letellier line. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that MMTP will not replace St. Vital Letellier which is a smaller 230kv line being built for domestic purposes in southern Manitoba while MMTP is a larger 500kv transmission line being built for export
R3-P036	1/29/2015	Caller called to book a meeting at a MMTP LIC for Feb 18th at 5pm. He is located at SW *QS Specified* near the La Verendrye golf course. He indicated he was planning on buidling his home along the river where the preferreds route is and feels extremely upset about this as he recently prchased the property to do this.
R3-P037	1/29/2015	TCPL representatives called to ask for mapping information to determine if MMTP will cross TCPL. Caller indicated he would send an email to the MMTP email address to
R3-P038	1/30/2015	Caller called to get more information regarding MMTP. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that the property (*QS Specified*) is close to a mile away from the preferred route and the only real impact would be view shed and perhaps a very short closure to Highway #1. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated they would put
R3-P039	1/28/2015	Discussed the difference between a preferred route and the process to determine the final placement of the route. He has scheduled some time with us in Zhoda to discuss further. He indicated it was too close to his residence and that we should try and move the route more NE from current alignment to maximize separation.
R3-P040	1/29/2015	Wanted contact information for a landowner in his constituency to contact Manitoba Hydro representative directly to discuss.
R3-P041	2/2/2015	ALO[080] Wanted to know what the venues would consist of to share feedback. Indicated they came and shared their preference for the eastern route further from La
R3-P042	2/3/2015	Broquerie. Indicated we are trying to finalize the placement of the transmission line. He is heading on vacation and did not want to set up an appt at this time but will attend
R3-P043	2/4/2015	Concern regarding width of ROW and compensation, uses property for recreational activities. Booked LIC meeting for Feb. 24th
		ALO [138] suggested a route modification which was sent by email for confirmation on 02/04 at 12:15

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P044	2/5/2015	Caller called regarding viewshed from his home looking onto the floodway and the south berm where the preferred route would be located if the project is approved. He would prefer to see the route go 3km further south so it would not impact his view. he lives at Fraser Road.also booked a meeting on March 5th at the Oakbluff open house
R3-P045	2/5/2015	Called regarding proximity of line to the school in La Broquerie. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated the preferred route is approximately 1.6 km from the school.
R3-P046	2/6/2015	Caller indicated that seemed like a pretty goiid distance from the school and booked an appointment at one of the Land Owner Centres. Called to book a landowner meeting at the Wpg Open House on Feb 12 at 3pm.
R3-P047	2/13/2015	displeased with the project. Indicated poor notification processes as this is ttthe first time he has heard about the project. concerns regarding EMF, viewshed, property value. would like to see the south loop moved to the northern side of the city where there are already numerous transmission lines. He feels the system is reliable enough as he has lived in St. Vital for 30 years and never had any reliablity issues. therefore, feels there is no need for the south loop corridor. Although he understand that people north
R3-P048	2/17/2015	Called to beook a one on one landowner meeting in La Broquerie on Feb. 18th. did not have his mlo/alo number but will bring it to the meeting.
R3-P049	2/18/2015	called to book a one on one LIC meeting for Feb. 28th
R3-P050	2/18/2015	*QS Specified* is affected in the NE corner of the property, has plans for future subdivisions, made an appt for a one on one LIC meeting on Feb 26 in Ste. Anne
R3-P051	2/17/2015	Called to enquire how close ttthe MMTP Preferred Route is to Richer, MB. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated the preferred route is approximately 2.3km west of
R3-P052	2/18/2015	called regarding sections *QS specified*. Family owns the above 1/4 sections. Made an appointment to come to an LIC and speak to a Manitoba Hydro representative directly
R3-P053	2/24/2015	purchased land in fall of 2014. Preferred route goes across his property but was not notified as the letter was sent to previous owner. Booked a meeting at the LIC in La Broquerie on Saturday, February 21.
R3-P054	2/19/2015	Called to book an LIC meeting for himself as well as his neighbor who both live on Quintreau Road near La Broquerie
R3-P055	2/20/2015	Called to enquire about the project, Manitoba Hydro representative gave an overview of the project and indicated Caller should attend the open house in Headingly as this would be the closest venue to his home. Caller indicated he would attend the open house in Headingly.
R3-P056	2/19/2015	Called to book an LIC appointment in La Broquerie on Saturday Feb 21
R3-P057	2/23/2015	Called because she was unable to make it to an open house during round 3. Asked how close the line was to the floodway. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that the preferred route is just south of the floodway gates. The land owner asked asked about property values. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated they are
R3-P058	2/25/2015	Caller called to inform Manitoba Hydro he is very unhappy with the placed of the preferred route which is approximately 115 metres from his home. There are already 2 other tranmsssion lines in that right of way which he stares at out his front window. He has concerns regarding viewshed, property value, health concerns and noise concerns. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated they have noted his concerns and all information gathered through the public engagement process will de
R3-P059	2/23/2015	Caller called because she was having difficulty printing a map from the project website. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that they could send a pdf of the
R3-P060	2/24/2015	Called to book a meeting at an LIC on February 26 at 4:30 pm
R3-P061	2/13/2015	Left Message Feb 3rd to schedule a meeting to discuss the preferred route.
R3-P062	2/17/2015	Set up a meeting for 1pm on February 23rd
R3-P063	2/25/2015	Called the individuall to get more information regarding the internal defibrillator which was brought to our attention at the La Broquerie Open House.
R3-P064	2/8/2015	Wanted to know when he would receive his notes from the meeting that was held January 29th at his home. Also discussed 207 vs. 208.
R3-P065	2/19/2015	Wanted to know the status of the meeting notes. Meeting notes were sent by email by MH on February 25.
R3-P066	2/25/2015	Purchased *QS Specified* in January 2015 and was not aware the preferred route crosses the property. called to enquire if Manitoba Hydro had notified the previous owner. Manitoba Hydro indicated they would have done a broad notification in the area that the proposed project may be routed in the area. However as this segment was
R3-P067	2/26/2015	Within one mile of the preferred route on symington Road. called to make an LIC appointment on Sat Feb. 28th at Ste. Anne.
R3-P068	3/3/2015	Caller called, recently bought property on the preferred route and was unaware of the propject. Concern regarding property value, viewshed and EMF. Has been given a rough estimate on the potential easement compensation for his property and has requested a more exact dollar amount on the potential easement on the property if the
R3-P069	3/4/2015	spoke to caller on the phone regarding RoW clearing and compensation.
R3-P070	3/4/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative spoke to landowner. she indicated she was familiar with the project and would call the 1-800 number the Manitoba Hydro representative
R3-P071	3/4/2015	The Manitoba Hydro Representative spoke to landowner regarding their property which is 40 acres on the south east side of the 1/4 section (*QS Specified*). They had plans to build their home in the meadow area where the bush and shed are now on the east side of the current 230kv line which would be only 50m from the preferred
R3-P072	3/4/2015	Caller who resides on Scotland Avenue in winnipeg called because she is against both BiPole III and MMTP. She believes the costs are too high and she does not beleive Manitoba Hydro should be stealing land out from underneath hardworking farmers. She is unable to attend any open houses and therefore would like her information
R3-P073	3/4/2015	Manitoba Hydro representatives have talked to the landowner previously. Discussed that the line would be approximately a 16 acre easement. He just purchased the land and was unaware of the potential project. Indicated that they were not notified due to our team pulling tax roll and the lag between purchases. They purchased the property for privacy and with a marsh for wildlife. They intend to build a home facing southwest which would face the transmission line. Walked through the regulatory process and
R3-P074	3/4/2015	Wanted to know when the route would be deemed final and wanted to know about the compensation package. He has had talks with MHHC regarding his proeprty and would be willing to donate the land to them as he has no children. If it were to go on his property he would want assurance and assistance from MH that access would be
R3-P075	3/5/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called to enquire if landowner was familiar with the project and knew the preferred route was going across her property. she indicated she was familiar with the project and that her son went ot the Open House in La Broquerie to represent her.
R3-P076	3/10/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner to inquire if she was aware of the project and the potential of the preferred route being on her property. she indicated that yes she was very familiar with the project and upset that the project was on her property as the line will go across an area her son had planned on building his home on. she has concerns regrading insurance, property value, EMF, access management. Landowner indicated that if the preferred route were going to be on her property she would
R3-P077	3/9/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner [ALO 132] to enquire if he was familiar with the project and the potential for the preferred route to cross his property. He
R3-P078	3/9/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner [ALO 104] to enquire if he was familiar with the project and that the preferred route may cross his property. Landowner
R3-P079	3/9/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called to enquire if landowner [ALO 098] was familiar with the project and aware that the preferred route may be impacting her property. Landowner indicated she was aware of the project and had met with Manitoba Hydro in Zhoda at the Open House to discuss the project.
R3-P080	3/10/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called to enquire if landowner [ALO 096] was familiar with the project and that the preferred route may impact his property. Landowner indicated he was familiar with the project and that he will be attedning the Open House in Richer on March 11.
R3-P081	3/9/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called Landowner [ALO 090] to enquire if he was familiar with the project and the potential for the preferred route to impact his property. Landowner indicated he was familiar with the project and that he will attend the Open House in Richer on March 11.
R3-P082	3/9/2015	Manitoba hydro representative called to enquire if landowner [ALO 089] is familiar with the project and if she was aware the preferred route may impact her property. Landowner indicated she was familiar with the project and that her main concern was access management. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that if a license
R3-P083	3/9/2015	Manitoba hydro representative called to enquire if landowner was familiar with the project and knew there was a potential for the preferred route to impact her property. Landowner indicated that she informed and had no major concerns regarding the preferred route crossing her property.
R3-P084	3/10/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called the landowners [ALO 066] to enquire about their concerns regarding the project. Landowner indicated she had numerous concerns including EMF, property value, potential subdivision plans and general sfaety of children and livestock around the towers.
R3-P085	3/9/2015	Landowner [ALO 135] called to follow up on the registered letter she received regarding the project and the potential for the preferred route to cross her property. she indicated she will come to the Open House in Dugald on March 12th to review the map of her property with a Manitoba Hydro representative.
R3-P086	3/11/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner [ALO 036] to inquire if he was familiar with the project. Landowner indicated he was familiar with the project and attended
R3-P087	3/11/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner [ALO 064] to enquire if he was familiar with the project. He indicated he was familiar with the project and had concerns
R3-P088	3/11/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner [ALO 060] to enquire if he was familiar with the project,. He indicated he was familiar with the project and had no objections to the project but did have questions regarding compensation, tower size and RoW clearing. The Manitoba Hydro representative answered his questions.
R3-P089	3/11/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner [ALO 055] to enquire if she was familiar with the project. Landowner indicated she was familiar with the project and aware the preferred route may cross her property. She has concerns regarding property value, EMF and safety for children and livestock around the towers.
R3-P090	3/11/2015	Manitoba Hydro Representative contacted landowner [ALO 099] to see if he had received a letter from Manitoba Hydro regarding the project. Landowner indicated he had recieved the letter in the mail and also indicated he would be attending the Open House in Richer on March 11th.
R3-P091	3/12/2015	the Manitoba Hydro representative called the landowner residnece the day before and spoke to landowner's wife, she indicated he would call back with further information regarding their property and the potential mitigation opportunities for the project. Landowner indicated he is very displeased with the potential for the project to cross his property. He sees the process as very unfair, concerned about property value, EMF, safety around the lines, access management, size of towers. Indicated the best tower
R3-P092	3/17/2015	Manitoba hydro representative contacted to landowner to enquire if he was familiar with the project. he indicated he was familiar with the project and also indicated he had concerns including, property value, feels the compensation package is too low, concerns with access management.
R3-P093	3/17/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner twice but there was no answer or answering machine. Manitoba Hydro also has record of landowner attending and open

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P094	3/18/2015	<p>Bus & Ind KPI's - Motel 6 Headingley # rooms – 70 Occupancy Rates – Winter 60%, Summer (March to Sept/Oct) is approximately 90-95%</p> <p>1)No 2)Won't impact us 3)No, it's going through anyways 4)Neither here nor there 5)No 6)– 7)No 8)No other than perimeter expansion that's been going on for the last few years 9)– 10)– 11)– 12)– 13)No 14)Not sure, not sure what's involved, if re-routing traffic then yes. 15)Yes, first come first serve for who gets rooms. We have a very busy construction season. 16)– 17)– 18)No 19)No, call anytime 20)Absolutely, go ahead</p>
R3-P095	3/17/2015	<p>ENVIRONMENTAL ISSUES - RIVERS WEST RM of Ritchot only area she sees would be impacted for Rivers West. Her mandate is Emerson to Lake Winnipeg along the Red River. 1)Most communities have the NIMBY effect. Her biggest concern is the negative environmental impacts on areas where the Transmission line is going. Looks like they've tried to avoid land where there is development and are mostly on agricultural land. 2)Positives – job creation, innovation, positive impacts to the Manitoban economy of selling hydro to the U.S. -> positives are all on the economic level. 3)No, as long as don't transmit emulsions of gas that impacts people's health (she was under the impression it was all underground. When she realized it was above ground she got worried about transmission of something... maybe EMF?) 4)Agricultural and light industrial 5)Not us 6)Recreational stuff we do is along the Red River Corridor, only is the floodway between Grand Pointe and La Salle. Assuming would go around the floodway because can't see them building on floodway. Fishing and other activities on the Red River. Where the TL would go is not a popular area for boating/fishing activities. 7)No 8)Aesthetic concerns, that may decrease property values 9)Only access point along Red River, north of St. Adolphe 10)There may be, some people will be against the project. Projects of this magnitude will be controversial no matter what. Manitoba Hydro is smart to do public consultation and interviews in advance to avoid problems in the future. 11) Some increase in traffic from construction, same as any project. Not a huge impact on our area (Rivers West Area) 12)No 13)Red River is a tributary to Lake Winnipeg. Natural environment is important. Important features include water quality, wetlands, green spaces and river bank stabilization is all important to us. If working near tributaries to the Red River, (Ex: Rat River), they need to be cognizant of maintaining integrity of river bank stabilization. If can create wetlands that would be good. 14)– 15)RM of Ritchot – Grand Pointe area – housing development. Sure the TL will be an issue for the RM of Ritchot but up to their council to talk to MB Hydro about that. Also CentrePort, housing developments in west side of Winnipeg. Hydro needs to make sure there is no negative impacts on other projects from this development.</p>
R3-P096	3/18/2015	Caller lives on Fraser Rd, north side of the floodway. Called reagrding EMF concerns. Manitoba Hydro representative informed caller of the information Manitoba Hydro
R3-P097	3/13/2015	Manitoba Hdyro representative contacted the landowners to see if they had received the Project notification letter from Manitoba Hydro in January. Landowner [ALO 042] indicated they had received the notification and had no major concerns other than wanting to keep the lumber that is cleared from the RoW and also wanted to inform
R3-P098	3/13/2015	The Manitoba Hydro representative contacted the property owners to enquire if they received a package from Manitoba Hydro regarding the project. Landowner [ALO 039] indicated they did receive a package and were familiar with the project. She indicated she had concerns regarding EMF. the Manitoba Hydro representative sent landowner
R3-P099	3/17/2015	Land owner called to enquire about the project which is approximately 800 metres from his home. concern regarding veiwsshed, property value and EMF
R3-P100	3/18/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative called landowner [ALO 017] to enquire if they had received a letter regarding the potential impact of the project on their property. she indicated they had received the package and has concerns regarding property value, EMF and compensation.
R3-P101	3/18/2015	Called to request an MLO package from Manitoba Hydro. the Manitoba Hydro representative indcated she did not originally receive an MLO package because her home is further than one mile from the preferred route; however Manitoba Hydro would be happy to send her a package.
R3-P102	3/18/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative contacted the landowner [ALO 010] to determine whether they had received a package in the mail indicating a potential impact to their property regarding the project. Landowner indicated that yes they received the package and understood the potential effect of the project on their property. He enquired
R3-P103	3/23/2015	Landowner (MLO 856) lives on Fraser Road near the floodway and called to indicate she has concerns regardng EMF and viewshed and is against the project.
R3-P104	3/26/2015	Caller has property west of Piney and was unable to attend any of the open houses and called to enquire if his land was going to be affected by the preferred route. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that his land was not affected. Caller then indicated he had no further concerns regarding the project.

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P105	1/8/2015	<p>Resource/industry Business Group – B. Vermette Backhoe Service Ltd.</p> <p>Notes/ Key Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Job creation; positive effects for the economy, supports the project <p>Issues/Interests/Questions Raised:</p> <p>Q1 – No</p> <p>Q2- Yes, the Project will create positive benefits to people (e.g. create temporary and some permeant jobs)</p> <p>Q3- no health concerns</p> <p>Q4-the project will likely go through some farmland/marginal land in the St’ Anne area – some people may be upset</p> <p>Q5- yes, where it is going north and up</p> <p>Q6- No plans to expand business</p> <p>Q7-No, not aware of any other development projects going through and EAC application or already approved</p> <p>Q8- The economy around St’ Anne is fairly stable; the project would likely make more jobs and is positive (jobs related to upgrading roads, gravelling, excavating etc.)</p> <p>Q9-No</p> <p>Q10 A)- No; B) - jobs have increased in the past 5 years; C) – Yes</p> <p>Q11 –The project may use some local suppliers and will therefore effect the local economy e.g. use local equipment suppliers, gravel etc.</p> <p>Q12 – people commute to their work local, form nearby communities and other cities in the area</p> <p>Q13- past projects have created positive impacts; B) N/A</p> <p>Q14) No concerns about construction, operation, maintenance</p> <p>Q15- No, other projects are proposed, but there is a proposed pipeline and if it goes through then it would affect the industry</p> <p>Q16- the proposed pipeline would be new infrastructure in the Project area</p> <p>Q17 – N/A</p> <p>Q18 – Yes there is sufficient capacity</p> <p>Q19 – None</p> <p>Q20 – No</p> <p>Q21- No</p> <p>Q22- No</p> <p>Q23 – No</p> <p>Q24- Yes</p> <p>Overall, finale comments: It is a positive think, goof for the province/no issues with it (verbal consent given over the phone to follow-up/use info in the EAC)</p>
R3-P106	1/13/2015	<p>Notes/ Key Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Bear outfitter, discussed bear hunting, baits, impacts of TL’s on his outfitting, positive economic benefits to the province (hoped for anyway, does not want us to end up subsidizing this line for the US), best land use for TL is higher ground to not affect bogs•Feels that there will be impacts on personal appreciation of the area by certain users, some positive and some negative•Increased consequences to TL will be on wildlife and especially on the ATV side of things, some riders don’t care what the terrain looks like after they’ve gone through. 1 or 2 might not make a difference but many will have effects on the bogs. <p>Issues/Interests/Questions Raised:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Concerned about maintenance operations since the line is in close proximity to his line (200m in some cases), concerned that maintenance might be carried out when using a bear bait with clients out•Concerns on bear population – during construction, will dens be damaged? <p>KPI Questions</p> <p>1.Yes, TL will run within 200m of 6 bear baits that have been established for 15+ years. Concern – deal with non-resident hunters (US & all over the world) who want to see the wild. Structures will impact look and feel of hunt.</p> <p>Not sure what impact the line will have on bear population during construction. Will dens be damaged? Last summer worked on a project with hydro monitoring his 6 bait sites and 6 additional bait sites to get better feeling of bear population</p> <p>2.Hope there will be positives with Manitoba getting paid for resources and enough money charged for utility that we (Manitobans) make money for this line. Positive impact on economy and jobs. Want to subsidize utility later on.</p> <p>3.No</p> <p>4.Areas with higher ground to not affect bog.</p> <p>5.No</p> <p>6.Ecological reserves that will be close to Transmission line</p> <p>7.–</p> <p>8.No, nothing at this point</p> <p>9.Yes, will impact certain users. Some positive, some negative. (Positive examples are for ATV’s and snow machines)</p> <p>10.Construction – no impact</p> <p>11.Yes</p> <p>12.Yes, on wildlife and especially on ATV side of things. Some of these riders don’t care what terrain looks like after they’ve gone through. 1 or 2 may not make a difference,</p> <p>Recreational User Group KPI’s – Business Name Removed</p> <p>Notes/ Key Discussion:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Recreational and Aesthetic effects of the TL on the golf course, Access issues•Concerned with proximity to the golf course and perception of health concerns issues related with the close proximity of a TL to the golf course•Thinks best land use is farming, TL’s are an eye sore, so anywhere with little population around•A TL will affect future initiatives by Golf Course, they were planning on expanding land for extra golf course (greens?) which would be even closer proximity and eyesight to the TL•Concerned that tourism will be affected. “One thing going for us is we are surrounded by nature”, the TL will be an eyesore and will affect the golf course (tourism), has tourists coming from across Canada and internationally to golf, is worried that the eyesore of a TL will affect business•Golf course is 4 years old, has not observed changes in natural landscape and has not been affected by other projects to date•Thinks access will be affected by construction, operation and maintenance. Will also take away from the pristine nature surrounding the golf course and allow more access on that side of the golf course (where there was a natural barrier [forest]) Also thinks visual quality will be affected•Important natural environmental features include the forest (that will be removed), the river (won’t be affected) and the natural state around the golf course that will be disrupted. <p>KPI’s</p> <p>1)Minor concerns 1 – proximity to golf course and 2 – perception of health concerns</p> <p>2)Happy with development, to keep bills down, and MB to be a major supplier of power</p> <p>3)Yes, nothing substantial other than perception issues. -> just general perception and conerns</p> <p>4)Farming, it’s [TL’s] an eyesore, so anything/anywhere with little population around it</p> <p>5)Yes, planning to develop land for extra golf course, closer proximity & eyesight of transmission line</p> <p>6)Golf course</p> <p>7)Yes, definitely. One this going for us is we are surrounded by nature. The TL is an eyesore and will affect the golf course. It will affect the number of people coming to visit the course. We get lots of national & international visitors.</p> <p>8)Golf course is only 4 years old</p> <p>9)Yes, aesthetic values</p> <p>10)Yes, access to golf course & visual</p> <p>11)Judging by the map I think it will</p> <p>12)Yes, because it goes through naturalized area, so it will allow access to our lands on the golf course</p> <p>13)Yes</p> <p>14)Yes, will hinder access and take away from the pristine nature of the surrounding area</p> <p>15)Forest (that will be removed), the river (won’t be affected) and the natural state around us will be disrupted</p> <p>16)Not yet</p>
R3-P107	1/14/2015	

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P108	1/14/2015	<p>Recreational User Group KPI's - Manitoba Lodges and Outfitters</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•Concerns about bear outfitters along the TL, should also speak to f Blackjack Outfitting•From an association perspective, would like to see a compensation program (similar to BPIII) for outfitters that are losing area, bait areas, stands, etc. to compensate them for their time to relocate their bait areas and stands•Anticipates disruption to bear population. Transmission line construction will scatter bears, damage denning sites. Have already noticed this with BPIII.•Concern about the TL opening area up to resident hunters and poachers (especially poachers). TL's are a good area to hunt deer. In BPIII, the line was zig zagged in areas to reduced hunting and visibility to a few hundred meters. Other mitigation factors including letting brush grow.•Outfitters wouldn't take clients to hunt on a hydro line, but resident hunters and poachers don't care.•Doesn't want to see toxic waste spilled on the line.•Doesn't see hydro lines being really disruptive <p>KPI Questions</p> <p>1.Yes, a few bear outfitters along the transmission line. (Matt Epp, Blackjack outfitting, north of Ken, in the initial corridor)</p> <p>2.Deferred to outfitters on that. From association perspective, requested for BPIII there be a compensation program for those that lose area bait stands, etc from the line.</p> <p>Working on details for BPIII</p> <p>Officially request that would like to see same thing for this project (similar compensation program for outfitters to pay for time to relocate</p> <p>3.No</p> <p>4.No position on that</p> <p>5.None on the go</p> <p>6.–</p> <p>7.–</p> <p>8.No other projects in the area</p> <p>Anticipate disruption to bear population. Transmission line will scatter bears, damage denning sites. Already noticed this with BPIII</p> <p>9.No position on that</p> <p>10.–</p> <p>11.See #8</p> <p>12.There could be, yup. Concern about resident hunters, it improves access for hunters & poachers, more opportunity for illegal hunting. Good place to hunt deer. For BPIII, they had a zig-zag model in some areas to help with this (Every few hundred meters).</p> <p>Other mitigation factors like letting brush grow (to reduce visibility for hunting)</p> <p>Our clients wouldn't take clients to hydro line, but resident hunters don't care</p> <p>Resource User KPI's - Snoivian inc.</p>
R3-P109	1/15/2015	<ul style="list-style-type: none">•Should talk to the three clubs that will be affected•1) Central Region – The Cross Country Snow Drifters•2) Ste. Anne Area - Snow Raiders Snowmobile Club•3) Woodridge area – Southeast Snowriders <p>•Concerns about effects on SnoMan trails in the area and if trails need to be re-routed and the costs associated with that. SnoMan trails have dictated access with Manitoba Conservation and have an agreement with them that companies that come in, if they need to re-route trails that the company help cover costs so that SnoMan doesn't have to take on a huge financial burden because it is a labour intensive job to clear new routes (and occurs in the summer)</p> <p>•Suggested it might be due diligence for MB Hydro to work cooperatively with SnoMan and clubs to preserve trails (and move line over a few feet if that's what it takes to preserve the trail).</p> <p>•Also concerns on biosecurity and the spread of disease (with the TL). Issue is with Canola. It isn't currently a big issue in Manitoba but SnoMan is trying to be proactive on the issue. There are lots of protocols in place in Alberta because it is a huge issue there. This can be an issue if there isn't enough snow and dirt is hit (while snowmobiling, ATV'ing, work occurring, etc).</p> <p>•Important rec areas – ATV Manitoba is setting up a trail system to have a designated ATV trail. Rec areas for snowmobiling need to be discussed with the individual clubs.</p>
R3-P110	1/15/2015	<p>•Thinks the Project is a waste of the provincial government's money and they should not build it (no benefits)</p> <p>Q1- No</p> <p>Q2- No</p> <p>Q3 – No health concerns; but building the line will create more access with equals more users in the areas which will permanent effect the animals and speciefies in the areas (e.g. garbage and the animals will get use to human interaction).</p> <p>Q4 – Doesn't think the Project should be built at all; the Project should be built where other transmission lines already exist (e.g. through eastern Manitoba) and on already deforested land e.g. agriculture and farmland (although he recognizes this would not please the owners of this type of land).</p> <p>Q5 – No, not aware of any other major projects in the area</p> <p>Q6 – The project will affects future lands wherever they decide to build it; it may especially effect Sands Lands Provincial Forest Park</p> <p>Q7- No</p> <p>Q8 – No, other than Sand Lands Prov. Park</p> <p>Q9 - Not everyone wants the Project, they are noisy and cut out radio communication; and are ugly</p> <p>Q10- N/A</p> <p>Q11- Not recently</p> <p>Q12 – No one likes seeing them around already, you have trees all around and then all of a sudden a vacant peace of land, they are an “ eye soar”</p> <p>Q13 – N/A</p> <p>Q14- There are people out there all the time, the construction and operation phase of the Project will just increase the number of users in an that is already very busy (**noted that the area around La Borquerie had the highest concentration of hunters, snowmobilers and ATVs in all of Manitoba**)</p> <p>Q15 – All types of personal and machine related transport are used to access the wilderness area (e.g. truck, ATV, snowmobile, boat, walking in etc.).</p> <p>Q16) Yes – there will be consequences with increased access to a TL ROW (e.g. increased traffic volume and users)</p> <p>Q17) The area will get a lot busier during all phases of the Project, once the ROW is opened up it will have people going through it all the time (e.g. snowmobilers, hunters, ATVs).</p> <p>Q18 – Doesn't think any of the effects can be mitigated, once they project goes through, there isn't much the locals can do about it. Regarding organizations who might be interested in helping advise educate the public on guide and use of the area this would be directed to Manitoba Lodges and Outfitters Club</p> <p>Q19 – There have been forest fires in the past couple of years from more recreational users in the area</p> <p>Q20 – “pristine wilderness” is considered to be the most important natural environmental feature of the landscape (personally) and to his customers. People come to the area to get away from it all and enjoy the untouched scenery and quiet (the ROW would ruin this);</p> <p>Q21 – N?A forest fires have ruined certain areas – they look like clear cut blocks after</p> <p>Q22- Do not built it</p>

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P111	1/20/2015	<p>Resource User R111's - Sandilogs Club President, contact person for AtvMB</p> <p>Q1) This preferred route is the one he would have chosen (over the other alternative route). No concerns with this preferred route.</p> <p>Q2) Yes, create jobs for locals in the area, whether logging or hired on the line as labourers.</p> <p>Q3) No, don't think there will because it's not a population area. With this route, it won't affect any population areas.</p> <p>Q4) On current TL's, there are agreements with SnoMan to have trails along the TL, so maybe AtvMB could have a similar agreement where they could have ATV trails along the TL</p> <p>Q5)No, not now with preferred route (previous routes there would have been)</p> <p>Q6) No, not any that would be affected</p> <p>Q7) no</p> <p>Q8 Besides logging, sometimes waterways are altered slightly, but usually it's more of a benefit because a road is created. So it's just forestry loss.</p> <p>- There have been issues in the Sandilands Marchard to Stuartburn – grass fires, fire from ATV derby in Woodridge in 2008 (so derby is no longer held), In 2012 there was a massive snow and wind storm that devastated the Sandilands Forest Area.</p> <p>-Has restricted access in some areas due to these events</p> <p>Q9) no won't affect appreciation, maybe hikers/bikers because change to forest but in this area there is not a lot of tourism.</p> <p>Q10) No with respect to new line. Only effect may be construction thoroughfares where heavy traffic may be going (when crossing roads may affect snowmobiles and ATV's)</p> <p>Q11) most people don't ride in this area because it's swampier, especially along the 208 line. Richer to 212 (Piney) there is not a lot of traffic so shouldn't affect anyone</p> <p>Q12 (&13)) Consequence in increased access during construction is logging and heavy equipment increase</p> <p>Q14) Increased heavy traffic. If using 210 or Highway 12 or 404. If signage is posted well people will get the point (to look for heavy machinery and traffic)</p> <p>Q15) scenic trail system, trees & natural scenery. Don't like the idea of strip logging. This line shouldn't affect that</p> <p>Q16) east of Woodridge, there is a gas line, wood line and a steel line. In some areas they are right next to each other, so there are large strips of forest gone, but lots of people using steel & wood lines to ride on. Access still fine in the middle. 1,000 km of trails around Woodridge, many still impassible (because of fallen trees & debris), so far a quick ride will go up steel line and down gas line.</p> <p>Q17) no</p> <p>Q18) would like to talk to his dad for his opinion because he has worked on the steel line to see what types of issues they ran across for opposition and thoughts in the community.</p> <p>Q 19) no</p>
R3-P112	1/21/2015	<p>Q1/general discussion: When we take off north down the runway, there are transmission lines ½ mile away. Any obstruction over 100 feet high is inconvenient. If these lines are going on the south side of the current lines, it will be very inconvenient, but if they are going on the north side of the current TL it's not an issue. It becomes a problem on a hot day with a heavy-loaded aircraft to have obstructions.</p> <p>Change to land use would affect us. Currently, 80 acres is required for having housing development on a piece of property. The airport is more concerned with residential development and that it may be changed to 2 acres and have residential development occurring nearby. They don't want residential development nearby because of increased people in the area which may increase noise complaints, safety complaints, etc.</p> <p>In Canada, there is no notice given to airfields on the development or construction or hydro towers, just one day they are there, which can be a problem because airfields are not informed and maps are not updated and all of a sudden there is a new obstruction in the sky for planes. Updated maps and information is not given to the airfields of these, which can cause serious hazards.</p> <p>Q2). Probably, positive for our electrical infrastructure grid but managed by an inept government</p> <p>Q3) No probably not an issue because it's a DC Current. In the States had seen a movie where people were holding up lightbulbs and they'd light up but was sure that was an AC current. DC lines – don't think it's a problem. Had a cabin near power lines and it was ok, nobodies kids came out with a third eye.</p> <p>Q4) best land use would be agriculture. Usually on an ROW and usually has agricultural land around it.</p> <p>Q5). No. We buy up real estate when available to prevent housing development and reduce the risk of people complaining. No plans for an expansion though. Currently have 234 acres. We rent out the agricultural land to a farmer.</p> <p>Q6) No that someone has done around us.</p> <p>No labour force</p> <p>Q7) TL and cell phone towers – potential air hazard, are more of an obstruction in the air. In built up areas, planes must fly 1,000 feet off the ground, but when close to airports planes must go lower [to land] so any obstruction causes a hazard. The airfield is not in favour of any type of development within 5 miles of the airport that is more than 100 feet high.</p> <p>Q9) no</p> <p>Q13) anything with the airport, infrastructure improvements include road maintenance</p> <p>Q18) no</p>
R3-P113	1/29/2015	<p>Recreational User R113 - Business Name Removed</p> <p># of rooms – 4</p> <p>Busy season – slower in the winter. Usually 2 rooms are vacant. In the summer we are usually booked with TCPL. We also have 1 room that is always rented for trucking</p> <p>Q1) Absolutely. Two major concerns.</p> <p>1)Coming across adjacent to land. Hoping no trees will be removed because of the hydro line, some trees make my holes, they are on the road allowance that would never be developed and that's where the TL is planned to go. This would cause affects to my livelihood and would affect hole #1 for sure.</p> <p>2)With the TL, the corridor for quads and snowmobilers. Who will pay for the fence line to border my property? Lots of ATV'ers and Snowmobilers do not have respect for private property owners and trespass and cause lots of damage. Each green is valued at \$50,000, so if ATV's and snowmobilers start using the golf course and tearing up the greens and causing damage, it will cost \$50,000 per green to fix, along with lost revenue during this time.</p> <p>-The humming of the line affects more in the winter than the summer.</p> <p>-Eye sore running by the golf course. Might not make a big difference to golfers so not as many concerns about this aspect</p> <p>-Big problem with opening the corridor.</p> <p>-It looks like it is a done deal, hoping Hydro will work with us and compensate us for the actual value of effects. There are many other routes they could have taken but they were more expensive and they took the cheapest option.</p> <p>-Do NOT agree with the hydro line going up, think it's a joke. Our province is a have-not province that keeps spending.</p> <p>Major concern is the fencing issue (to keep ATV's and snowmobiles off of his property) and the tree line (wants it to stay there and not be cut down).</p> <p>2) no (does not feel there will be any positive effects to transmission line development)</p> <p>3) Yes, scares of leukemia concerns. Is worried about the Brits and Scottish people (tourists) (and their perceptions) because of fear and scare tactics about cancer and scare of hydro lines. Don't know if it's true or if it's just scare tactics. Not concerned about health effects for himself.</p> <p>4) Best land uses are swamp. The swamp route was better because it was not conflicting with any humans. If he could stage a protest on the land he'd get arrested, but if it went across the swamps it'd be better. It's a cost incurred, not environmental effects that they are concerned with. The environment will regenerate after the project is constructed. Right now there is road access every 2 miles minimum so they don't have to worry about the cost of flying in equipment and concrete. (which is why he thinks they chose this route rather than going through the swamps, b/c Hydro didn't want to pay the extra costs to fly in equipment and resources).</p> <p>5) Short term, no. Down the road it could because there were plans for residential development (in the next 5-20 years) along the golf course, but no immediate plans. This will kibosh residential plans because it's not a desirable location to be looking at transmission lines and living next to them. So long term, yes it possibly will affect them.</p> <p>6) golf course</p> <p>7) Covered in #1</p> <p>8) Other than the river, no. River changes/shifts all the time. The Seine River runs through the golf course and changes all the time. The TL will be going over the Seine River.</p> <p>9) Absolutely. At other golf courses, people complain about Transmission Lines, but there they run through the golf course. Aesthetics alone make them undesirable.</p>

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P114	1/30/2015	<p>Rec Users KPI - Ile Des Chenes Motor Hotel</p> <p>Q1) no Q2) no, anything to help with community, we are supportive of. We are actively a part of the community Q3) no Q4) not sure Q6) TransCanada Center holds banquets & fundraisers Wildlife rehabilitation center is being built, it is a multi-million dollar project. It takes rescue animals like lynx, birds, owls to rehabilitate back into the wild Q7) not at this time, as long as they're aware of their surroundings Q8) nothing Q9) yes Q10) don't think it will because lots of ways to get to everything, even if people have to be re-routed, it will only take an extra minute, it's not like it will take an extra 2 hours to get somewhere. Q12) can't wait until see what's going on Q13) no, the more we bring into the restaurant the better. Higher volume is good for our community. Everything I hear from customers about the project is positive Q16) no, past development has made the town look better (the new condo developments for example) Q17) the wildlife rehabilitation center Q18) no Q19) for sure (can contact again) Q20) yes</p>
R3-P115	2/11/2015	<p>Recreation Users KPI's - Headingley Motor Inn</p> <p># rooms – 12 Vacancy rates – 0% during busy season (spring to summer). Rest of the year vacancy rates around 40%</p> <p>Q1) no Q2) always positives to developing something Q3) no, first I'm hearing of it Q4) residential Q5) no Q6) community club – pretty small Q7) no Q8) no, been some flooding, but that's to do with weather Q9) no Q13) no Q14) no Q15) no Q16) no Q17) no Q18) no Q19) no Q20) yes</p>
R3-P116	2/11/2015	<p>Tried to discuss the KPI's - Business Name Removed</p> <p>Manager does not seem interested in participating.</p> <p>I asked to speak with the manager when I called the Sleep Suite Motel. I gave him information about the project and let him know that I was interested in doing a KPI and that it would take approximately 10 minutes. He said “I'm busy” and that I could try and call back some other time and see if he wasn't busy then. I asked when a good time to call back would be and he said he didn't know but I could just try whenever. I let him know that I wasn't a telemarketer and gave him a bit more information about myself and the project and the types of questions on the KPI's and he said that I should try calling somebody else instead. I thanked him for his time.</p>

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P117	2/11/2015	<p>Rec Users KPI's - Business Name Removed</p> <p>Q1) don't know anything about it 2) no idea 3) no 6) Spruce Woods Provincial Park 10) no idea 15) Provincial park, Spirit Sands Desert 16) not that I'm aware of 19) I guess so yes 20) ok... don't know anything about the project at all</p>
R3-P118	2/12/2015	<p># rooms – 8 (just added on 4 rooms recently for a total of 8 rooms now) Busy season – summer is always busy. Needs advance notice for reservations</p> <p>1)No, hear a lot of people complaining about it though, especially north of here in the 501 area. 2)I'm Catering hydro meetings, so that's a positive 3)No, try not to think about that stuff. I know a bit about it but I try not to think about it. 4)Crown land would be best 5)No, already done. 7)no 8)no, highways are always doing work, but just repairs and maintenance. 9)No 13) no 14) no 15) no, recently added 4 rooms (for a total of 8), should have done more 18) no 19) sure, go ahead 20) yes</p>
R3-P119	2/12/2015	<p># rooms – 2 that I rent on a normal basis. The spa room can be converted to a third bedroom if needed. Busy season – June, July & August, we have a 0% vacancy rate during those months. Busy all year round though because we specialize in retreats & wellness for spa weekends.</p> <p>1)I don't want it. I don't like big corporations coming in and changing the environment. Electromagnetic Field (EMF) will have negative impacts. Concerns about that. Even concerns with windmills in St. Leon & ill effects of man-made energy. 2) Should bring a lot of business to accommodations and restaurants. Assuming this will be a big thing. 3)Health effects of EMF. Disruption to nature, wildlife, going through pristine nature. If studied the ecosystem and environment, will have an effect. How many trees will be killed? It's our oxygen. -Always greed & big dollars that win -How I think a bird will be affected won't matter. -Will see effects, people will be seeing me because they're not feeling well because they have cancer, so I will get more business -Whole economic thing. So more business in the community. Good that comes from it and also bad that comes from it. -Need to live with the consequences of our actions. Is it worth the consequences of that footprint? It'll be our children's generation that have to clean up that mess.</p> <p>4)None 5)No, not for hydro, quite happy with my business the way it is. Maybe some remodeling, but nothing big planned. 7)No, only been here for 10 years so not aware 8)No 9)No 13) no, that that we haven't covered already 14) haven't really thought of it. Depending on size of workforce, might be scrambling for B&B's if all the hotels and motels are booked. It's tricky for me because my focus is on spa & wellness retreats, not sure if I want business with people/company/project I don't support. I'm not allowed to discriminate. If Manitoba Hydro wants to book all my rooms at a big chunk of time, how does one manage that? I have ethical issues with the project, I'm not sure how I'll handle it. - There aren't a lot of accommodations in the area besides Steinbach Days Inn and Frantz Motor Inn, will people travel back and forth to Winnipeg? - it will definitely have an impact. I will cross that road when I get to it. Maybe I'll have to expand depending on the amount of business and required rooms.</p> <p>18) no (covered in # 3 and #14) 19) no 20) I have no problems sharing anything. I'm good with that!</p>

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P120	2/12/2015	<p>Recreational User Groups KPI's - Names Removed</p> <p># rooms – 3 cabins, 250 campsites Busy season – open during the spring/summer</p> <p>1)Totally against this project. It's a dictatorship, project should be stopped. It's too much money, I don't see the benefit. It's wrong to expropriate people's property. Lots of friends and neighbours will be affected. I know lots of people that bought property and can't build on it now because of the Transmission Line because it either goes through their property or is an eyesore beside their property. Hydro is taking land and giving a little bit of money. Not a lot. People would have bought somewhere else to build instead of there. 2)No, can't think of ONE. Lots of greedy people will make money. Using our tax dollars to make money for themselves. They'll probably lose money and raise our rates. They are hoping to bring in revenue but can't prove it. 3)I don't have enough info, have heard of maybe some negative health issues. 4)Over Crown Land as much as possible to avoid disturbing people's property. Going through too many populated areas right now. I feel for the people. 6)Snow trails, etc. Hydro lines probably won't affect it much, rode sleds up hydro lines up and it's fine. No issue with Transmission lines going up no-man's land. Not the best thing for wildlife with noise and the process of putting them in will be devastating. 7)Yes, short term during construction. Not once in operation. Haven't seen wildlife stay away from power lines. 8)Fires in southeast (natural0, so no, nothing at this point. 9)Yes I think it would. 10)In immediate area. Probably won't affect my business, it will be east of us. The pipeline will affect us, going through my property (at the back), there will be noise during construction, but the pipeline will be underground after that so not an issue. 11)Won't be visible for us 13)no 15)clean air, maintain our forest and wildlife as much as possible. "We Must be Careful with the Foot Prints We Leave Behind" 16)Covered it already 17)TCPL Pipeline – natural gas to oil 18)No, I think I said it all. Need to review the process. Something is wrong with the process if they have to expropriate property. They have changed the route a few times, but there are still errors that need to be corrected. -> in too densely populated areas. Hope they reconsider the entire project. If it must go on, the path chosen should affect fewer home owners, shouldn't be by people's houses, should be moved to affect the least amount of people 19)Sure 20)Yes</p>
R3-P121	2/18/2015	<p>Manitoba Health, Healthy Living and Seniors Southern Health Winnipeg Regional Health Authority</p> <p>Current population health trends, potential impacts of project and recommendations for maximizing benefits and minimizing adverse outcomes</p> <p>Notes/ Key Discussion:</p> <p>1. Current trends in population health:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•The southern municipalities surrounding the city of Winnipeg are rapidly growing with lots of housing developments. There are several new subdivisions in small areas outside the city of Winnipeg.•The eastern leg of the transmission line is much less populous, with a forested grassland area. It is also further away from health services.•For people living in areas surrounding Winnipeg, the health care services are primarily concentrated in the city.•The only ER to service the east leg of the transmission line is the Steinbach ER. The Vita ER is no longer staffed.•Should try to avoid highly populated areas, such as what is likely the St. Norbert area. The transmission line goes through rapidly growing areas – are there other less-populated routes in terms of the impact on the city of Winnipeg?•St. Vital and Fort Garry could be relevant project areas to look at in terms of community health trends, although there is nothing specific to note at this time in terms of differing trends.•Health is better around the city of Winnipeg – the further away you go in Southern Health, the poorer health becomes. <p>2. Challenges with capacity of health care services:</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•There are no facilities right around the city of Winnipeg; residents would have to go into the city or access small 1-2 person clinics in Ste. Anne, Niverville, or St. Pierre. Steinbach has the majority of family physicians, an access centre, and walk-in clinic. Vita Health Centre is not consistently staffed. Sprague has a community health clinic staffed by a Nurse Practitioner. There are limited ER services in this corner of the province.•Do not think there are problems with staffing within Winnipeg but wait times to see physicians at certain hospitals are longer than others. Southern Winnipeg relies on the services from Victoria General Hospital. The city of Winnipeg is moving towards quick care clinics to deflect some types of patients from going to emergency. For example, a person with influenza-like symptoms could be seen at a quick care clinic or walk in rather than emergency. <p>3. Experience with past projects</p>

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P122	2/20/2015	<p>Health RPTS - Province of Manitoba - Office of Disaster Management, Health, Healthy Living and Seniors Department</p> <p>Note: RM elected to reply to the interview questions in writing. The questions (in italics) have been included where necessary to provide context for his responses, which are presented in direct quotes.</p> <p>1.Current conditions for disaster management services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•“The Office of Disaster Managements mission is to ensure the Health Sector is able to effectively prevent, mitigate, prepare for respond to and recover from all emergencies and disasters.”•“Our Office is located at Unit 7 1680 Ellice Avenue in Winnipeg, Manitoba.”•In terms of coordinating with other programs and services, “We have several networks and individual stakeholder groups that we work with on a regular basis. There are approximately 10 networks from municipal to national and approximately 65 individual stakeholder groups that we work with.”•Are there any changes that are planned or predicted in the future that may impact your capacity for disaster management? “No”•Are there certain situations where disaster management services become overwhelmed? How can these situations be avoided? “No, as we have the ability to reach out municipally, regionally, provincially, and federally for assistance.”•Do you currently experience any staffing shortages? If yes, why do you think that is? Do you foresee this challenge being alleviated through current efforts or is this just a continuing challenge? “No we are not experiencing staffing shortages.”•Based on your experience, what are the key issues for disaster management in and around the Winnipeg area? Is there anything that is unusual, surprising or important to note? “The Office of Disaster Management follows key operational foundations to ensure we are ready to provide assistance as required. Some of those foundations are: CSA Z1600, All hazards Common consequences approach to emergencies, Hazard risk vulnerability assessment.” <p>2.Anticipated effects on the health areas</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•“Large construction projects have not affected usage of our services in the past.”•Have you ever coordinated disaster management services with Manitoba Hydro on past projects? “Yes we work with Manitoba Hydro on almost a daily basis. This begins with their Corporate Emergency Response Co-coordinator. We also plan various exercises and educational session with Manitoba Hydro asone of our stakeholder groups.”•Based on what you know about the project (see Project Description), how do you think MMTP will affect the usage of disaster management services in the context of potential accidents and malfunctions? “We do not think that it will affect us at the Office of Disaster management.”•Based on the types of work Manitoba Hydro will be undertaking for the MMTP, do you believe your services will be capable of meeting their needs? “Yes, and as MB Hydro's capabilities are increased, so will be the Provinces' capabilities, which is also positive for us.” <p>•Do you think there are community health issues or anything in terms of current disaster management services that could impact this Project and that MH should know</p> <p>Current health care services, potential impacts to services, recommendations for minimizing adverse effects and maximizing benefits - Southern Health</p>
R3-P123	2/20/2015	<p>1.Current health care services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•To the west, the Bethesda Regional Health Centre (BRHC) services communities between Steinbach and Morris, including the area east of Highway 75 down to the Minnesota border. To the east of BRHC, Steinbach services communities across to the Ontario border, south of the Trans-Canada Highway. Between Steinbach and Winnipeg – an area with many bedroom communities – residents can choose between services in either centre, although if specialist care is needed, residents must travel to Winnipeg.•The southeast corner of Southern Health doesn’t have a very large identified Aboriginal population. Parts of Roseau River Anishinabe are broken into two pieces in the southeast corner, and Buffalo Point is down by border. There is a large Metis population throughout the southeast corner of the province. The majority of the Aboriginal population is located in the north area of the RHA, Portage La Prairie. Doretta Harris (Regional Director Aboriginal Health) would be a good resource.•BRHC serves approximately 35,000 to 40,000 people in the Rural Municipality of Hanover, but including other surrounding areas, it serves around 80,000 people.•BRHC currently has 76 beds open and in operation.•BRHC is the main Emergency Room and provider of secondary care in the southeast region of the province, including the majority of surgical and birthing services. It also has a mini-intensive care unit. MVA [motor vehicle accidents] and other trauma cases come to BRHC before Winnipeg (unless they require a tertiary level of care).•Current capacity of the ER is 22 beds (15 treatment spaces, 4 observation beds, and 3 open intensive care).•16 beds are dedicated to surgery, although most surgeries are day surgeries. In-patient surgeries require a short stay in the hospital of approximately 3-4 days.•Offers general and some orthopedic surgery (no fracture repairs or hip surgeries – these patients would go to Boundary Trails Health Centre). About 2/3 of the procedures provided are scope procedures, both scheduled and emergency – total of about 7,000 to 8,000 procedures per year.•The BRHC Emergency Room has 25,000 visits per year.•BRHC offers all diagnostic services (including ultrasound, X-rays, CT scans and labwork) apart from MRIs. MRIs are provided at Boundary Trails Health Centre.•From time to time, visiting services (e.g. stroke or ortho) are provided by itinerary physicians. BRHC is looking down the road at adding other specialties, such as pediatricians.•BRHC is currently meeting demand but struggling with bed management and patient flow. The Health Centre is aiming for 82-92% bed occupancy, although current occupancy is running close to 95%. Part of the struggle is managing beds for the elderly population, who need to be located in a proper setting. Southern Health is in the planning stages for more personal care homes.•Staffing shortages are experienced every day, in disciplines across the board – not just nursing. As Steinbach is only 45 minutes away from Winnipeg, people choose to live and work in the city. This will likely be a continuing challenge.•Within BRHC, Mental Health Liaison Nurses work in the ER to address mental health challenges and try to keep clients out of hospital beds where possible. They also work with challenging elderly clients. <p>•Steinbach has a crisis stabilization unit (at 450 Main Street) with a short-stay unit for those on the verge of decompensating; this also prevents long-term admissions.</p> <p>Bus & Truck Questions - Business Name Removed</p>
R3-P124	2/25/2015	<p># rooms – 6 motel rooms</p> <p>Vacancy rates/busy season – no busy season. We are so close to Winnipeg and Steinbach we aren’t busy. It’s very intermittent. Not usually full. If we’re busy it’s because there is a function.</p> <p>1)No idea, not sure. What’s there is there and at this point we just accept it</p> <p>2)No</p> <p>3)None that I’m aware of. But don’t like close proximity to transmission lines. Personally, I don’t want to live near a transmission line</p> <p>4)Strictly farming or bush area. No residential. Non-usable properties</p> <p>5)–</p> <p>6)–</p> <p>7)Yes, storage units built behind us, didn't feel it was an appropriate spot.</p> <p>8)Yes, but if in commercial area that’s fine. I like to see growth but it should be in the appropriate place.</p> <p>9)–</p> <p>10)–</p> <p>11)–</p> <p>12)–</p> <p>13)No</p> <p>14)If not near the business it shouldn’t be a problem</p> <p>15)Yes, we would be able to accommodate</p> <p>16)–</p> <p>17)No</p> <p>18)No</p> <p>19)Ask for Bernice or call and leave a message if you have further questions</p>

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P125	2/26/2015	<p>Bus & Ind RPTS – Business Name Removed</p> <p># rooms – 12 Vacancy rates – 30%</p> <p>1)Don't know much about it so hard to give an opinion. There is a petition going on. 2)Can't comment, I don't know 3)No 4)No idea 5)Not at this moment 6)– 7)No 8)No 9)– 10)– 11)– 12)– 13)No 14)Ya it'd be great if it helped business but don't know that 15)Sure 16)– 17)– 18)No 19)Sure 20)Can use information but can't reference her name</p>
R3-P126	3/17/2015	<p>Environment RPTS – Rivers West</p> <p>RM of Ritchot only area she sees would be impacted for Rivers West. Her mandate is Emerson to Lake Winnipeg along the Red River.</p> <p>1)Most communities have the NIMBY effect. Her biggest concern is the negative environmental impacts on areas where the Transmission line is going. Looks like they've tried to avoid land where there is development and are mostly on agricultural land. 2)Positives – job creation, innovation, positive impacts to the Manitoban economy of selling hydro to the U.S. -> positives are all on the economic level. 3)No, as long as don't transmit emulsions of gas that impacts people's health (she was under the impression it was all underground. When she realized it was above ground she got worried about transmission of something... maybe EMF?) 4)Agricultural and light industrial 5)Not us 6)Recreational stuff we do is along the Red River Corridor, only is the floodway between Grand Pointe and La Salle. Assuming would go around the floodway because can't see them building on floodway. Fishing and other activities on the Red River. Where the TL would go is not a popular area for boating/fishing activities. 7)No 8)Aesthetic concerns, that may decrease property values 9)Only access point along Red River, north of St. Adolphe 10)There may be, some people will be against the project. Projects of this magnitude will be controversial no matter what. Manitoba Hydro is smart to do public consultation and interviews in advance to avoid problems in the future. 11) Some increase in traffic from construction, same as any project. Not a huge impact on our area (Rivers West Area) 12)No 13)Red River is a tributary to Lake Winnipeg. Natural environment is important. Important features include water quality, wetlands, green spaces and river bank stabilization is all important to us. If working near tributaries to the Red River, (Ex: Rat River), they need to be cognizant of maintaining integrity of river bank stabilization. If can create wetlands that would be good. 14)– 15)RM of Ritchot – Grand Pointe area – housing development. Sure the TL will be an issue for the RM of Ritchot but up to their council to talk to MB Hydro about that. Also CentrePort, housing developments in west side of Winnipeg. Hydro needs to make sure there is no negative impacts on other projects from this development.</p>
R3-P127	3/18/2015	<p>Bus & Ind RPTS – Business Name Removed</p> <p># rooms – 70 Occupancy Rates – Winter 60%, Summer (March to Sept/Oct) is approximately 90-95%</p> <p>1)No 2)Won't impact us 3)No, it's going through anyways 4)Neither here nor there 5)No 6)– 7)No 8)No other than perimeter expansion that's been going on for the last few years 9)– 10)– 11)– 12)– 13)No 14)Not sure, not sure what's involved, if re-routing traffic then yes. 15)Yes, first come first serve for who gets rooms. We have a very busy construction season. 16)– 17)– 18)No 19)No, call anytime 20)Absolutely, go ahead</p>
R3-P128	3/30/2015	Caller called to inform Manitoba Hydro she is opposed to the project as it comes within a mile of their property. She has concerns regarding health, noise, wildlife affects and property value. She feels the project should stay away from people and homes and go through the Crown land further east of La Broquerie.
R3-P129	3/31/2015	Caller called to indicate he was not happy weith the preferred route, would prefer to seee the line move further east. Is planning to subdivide and feels the project will
R3-P130	4/2/2015	Called to inform Manitoba Hydro of new address
R3-P131	4/2/2015	Realtor called indicating she is the realtor for a landowner who is very upset about the project potentially crossing his property. She called regarding property value and
R3-P132	4/8/2015	Caller called from the city of Winnipeg to get more information on why they received a letter regarding MMTP. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that the preferred route crosses the rialway and aquaduct right of way just east of highway 12, which is owned by the city. Manitoba Hydro is informing the city of the potential
R3-P133	4/8/2015	Caller called to inform Manitoba Hydro that he opposes the project and does not want the project on his property. He then hung up befor ethe Manitoba Hydro representative had a chance to respond. The Manitoba Hydro representative called him back and left a message indicating Manitoba Hydro is interested in speaking to him

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P134	4/9/2015	Caller called to say he does not want the project on his property, he has concerns reagrding EMF, veiwshed and effects to wildlife. He said he sold some of his land last year and wasn't sure if this was still his property or not. the Manitoba hydro representative indicated they would resend the land owner package to him and he would then be
R3-P135	4/15/2015	Caller called to inform Manitoba hydro that he and his wife had no major concerns regarding the preferred route. He had questions regarding compensation and access
R3-P136	4/15/2015	Caller called to inform Manitoba Hydro he was pleased with the route modification presented in round 3 that moved the project from the middle of their 1/4 section to the east side of the 1/4 section. Caller and his wife share the property with another landowner, ALO-050, who strongly oppose the project being on the property. Key Topics Discussed: Current population health trends, potential impacts of project and recommendations for maximizing benefits and minimizing adverse outcomes 1.Current trends in population health •There are no current trends in population health of note in relevant (Prairie Mountain Health/Interlake-Eastern Regional Health Authority) Project areas. 2.Challenges with capacity of health care services •The Health Centre in Glenboro is a small facility with intermittent ER services; full services were suspended due to a lack of physician providers. Services are shared with Killarney or Boissevain. The status of services at the Glenboro Health Centre is currently unclear, as the operating schedule keeps rotating. It would be best to connect again in 2019 (around the project construction phase) to assess the current status of the Glenboro Health Centre (note: please refer to additional information provided by Garlen Maxwell, Director of Acute Care at PMH, on separate KPI form, regarding current status of services in the Glenboro region). •In an emergency situation, the facility closest to the incident would respond, and depending on the extent of the injury, the patient would be transferred to Brandon or flown to Winnipeg. Brandon is a one-hour drive from Glenboro and has no identified issues with health service capacity (note: please refer to additional information provided by Garlen Maxwell, Director of Acute Care at PMH, on separate KPI form, regarding current status of services in the Glenboro region). •Around Rosser, patients would be transferred to nearest facility in Winnipeg (e.g. Seven Oaks, Grace Hospital) or Stonewall. 3.Experience with past projects •No/limited previous experience with previous Manitoba Hydro or large construction projects. 4.Positive and negative effects on population health and health care services •Feedback on this topic is similar to that expressed by the other Medical Officers of Health. •No anticipated effects on health and health care services given the small population of workers; effect of dams may be more important. •The project may provide a benefit if short-term work provides increased economic opportunities (depending on who those opportunities will be available to). 5.Vulnerable population groups •Affected landowners and farmers in the area are potentially most vulnerable to Project impacts. 6.Recommendations for maximizing benefits/minimizing adverse effects •Important to assess the impact of herbicides on farming and water systems, where relevant. 7.Gaps in baseline community health Information •Information on food security might be available through the Regional Community Health Assessments; PMH is currently updating theirs. Additional information may be available through the Community Health Assessment team at PMH. •Primary care is available in Glenboro but some residents (particularly those residing around Glenboro) may look for care in areas south of Glenboro, or go to Brandon or Carberry. •Residents of Rosser may go to Winnipeg or Stonewall for primary care (uncertain which). •Location of ambulance stations and response times unclear for Glenboro and Rosser. Additional information may be available through EMS at Prairie Mountain Health. •Environmental health officers, drinking water officers and public health inspectors (restaurants, schools, daycares) fulfill responsibilities under their relevant health acts.
R3-P137	3/9/2015	Prairie Mountain Heath Key Topics Discussed: Health care services in Glenboro area Notes/ Key Discussion: Note: This email, quoted verbatim, was received from KPI following an interview with Dr. (Medical Officer of Health, Prairie Mountain Health) at her request that provide Habitat with additional information regarding health care services in the Glenboro area. “As I can appreciate you wanting to establish a plan for your project, it is not possible to say what services will be offered where by 2019 in the Glenboro area. I can tell you today that Glenboro and Carberry Health Centers share on call, as well as Treherne and Notre Dame share on call. This means that all 4 sites offer acute and ER services but are not open 24/7. As the number of physicians in each community is small (2-3), the physicians take turns responding to emergencies after hours and on week-ends. So one site or the other is open. Killarney is a larger site and also offers acute and ER services. All of our acute and ER services are dependent on having available 24/7 physicians, diagnostic staff as well a compliment of RN and LPN 24/7. Currently in our health region several areas/centers are struggling with one or all of these components to be able to offer acute and ER services. Sustaining services can be a challenge at times and the region has had to “shift services” where by acute and ER services are not available. As this is a moving target and I could not confidently inform you today where services will be available one month from now; I could not possibly predict what the landscape of our health resources will look like in the year 2019. Should emergency care be required the public is required to call 911 and EMS will be dispatched. Our region offers 24/7 EMS services and the closest most appropriate station will respond to the call. The EMS personal will then transfer the patient to the closest open emergency room. The Medical Transportation Coordination Center considers alternate resources ie, STARS, life flight for all calls and dispatches resources as needed for the situation. I do apologize that I am not very helpful.” Issues/Interests/Questions Raised: •Glenboro Health Centre is not open 24/7, therefore acute and emergency care is shared with Carberry Health Centre.
R3-P138	3/9/2015	Central Medical Officers of Health Services, Manitoba Health, Healthy Living & Seniors Key topics: Current population health trends, potential impacts of project and recommendations for maximizing benefits and minimizing adverse outcomes Notes/ Key Discussion: 1.Current trends in population health •Southeastern Manitoba has the lowest rates of STIs in the province, although it is uncertain whether this is due to a truly lower incidence or less frequent testing for cultural reasons (i.e. strong Mennonite communities). •Winnipeg currently has the highest rates of syphilis in 30-40 years. There is also an increased number of cases in Southern Health, but difficult to know if it is an outbreak. •In terms of communicable diseases, rates are pretty stable in the Southern Health region. •Southern Health has lower immunization rates. It does not have a high incidence of vaccine preventable diseases but it has lower rates of immunization across all age groups (more detail available through MIMS). •Southeastern Manitoba has the province's longest established deer tick population, which is capable of transmitting Lyme disease and therefore poses a risk for vector-borne disease transmission in the southeast part of the province. 2.Additional data on STIs and communicable diseases •The incidence of measles and mumps is so low as to be nearly non-existent, which may explain the lack of data available at the RHA level. •An STI report will be made available in the next couple of weeks that includes annual rates of chlamydia, gonorrhea and syphilis broken down by RHA. Rates of HIV are included in a separate report. 3.Challenges with capacity of health services •No identified challenges in terms of communicable disease. •In terms of STIs, capacity is not a challenge so much as cultural dynamics. Although condoms and needles are distributed freely in Winnipeg, the STI Coordinator at Southern Health reports challenges to do prevention work. •It is important to communicate with the community. Harm reduction activities may be beneficial to the community if they remain in place after the project ends; however, if
R3-P139	3/10/2015	

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P140	4/7/2015	Wanted to know if there was a set distance from the edge of the ROW where a home could be developed. It was noted that outside of the ROW any home/property/subdivision could exist. Used Sage Creek as an example. He is doing some planning regarding how the project may impact him and what he should do with
R3-P141	4/16/2015	AECOM identifier - R3-LF011W Manitoba Hydro representative followed up with a request from Land owner form from an open house. He wanted to know the width of the ROW from Riel to Anola. The Manitoba Hydro representative indicated the ROW is approximately 330 metres wide. Landowner is also interested in sub-dividing his property and is concerned the property value will decrease with 2 additional line in the ROW which cuts through his property. The Manitoba Hydro representative indcated that they are currently doing a rural property value assessment and will be available for review once the EIS has been submitted
R3-P142	4/17/2015	Manitoba Hydro representative spoke with (City of Wpg manager) regarding the preferred route crossing City of Winnipeg Property which is an ROW with the Shoar Lake Aquaduct and a rail line. He indicated they signed an easement agreement with Manitoba Hydro regarding the BiPole III Project. That agreement had 3 conditions - the City's ROW cannot have any structures in it, there can be no Hydro vehicles crossing the City ROW, and the lines need to allow for sufficent clearance for the City's operation within the ROW.
		Winnipeg Construction Association Construction labour force in Manitoba – current and future projections, construction labour force mobility, current/approved projects and change to oil industry may or may not affect Manitoba.1)Currently, what is the construction labour supply like in Manitoba (e.g., more workers than work, the right amount of workers, or less workers than work)? The market has balance, although is oversupplied with electricians. That sector [electricians] cannot accommodate any more apprentices. The apprenticeship program has done good with electrician and carpenter apprenticeship programs, but with the rest of the trades – not so much. [he also noted that the apprenticeship programs usually like to let out less people than demand to keep demand up. He also noted that it is hard for guys to get their apprenticeship hours because there are not enough journeymen. Even with the pre-employment courses in carpentry for example, there are not enough journeymen out there to sign for their hours, and most employers don't want to hire them without actual work experience and finding out if they are a good worker. Most workers need to work their way up from the bottom and then companies agree to apprentice them, so the pre-employment program hasn't really helped with that). 1a) Is there a requirement for out of province companies to hire local workers when taking on jobs in Manitoba? No, there is no requirement for our of province companies to hire local workers. This industry [the construction industry] is highly mobile and supports mobility. Quebec can worker anywhere in Canada. The rest of Canadians can work anywhere in Canada BUT Quebec. There are no inter-provincial barriers. Provinces have agreements in place on internal trades and contractors can move freely between the provinces. (Ron also noted that having agreements to hire local workers would not be beneficial to companies). 2)How has the availability of opportunities in construction labour changed in the Province? Region? Do you expect this to change in the future? Steady growth for the past 15 years. Construction volume in Manitoba has tripled – ramped up to keep up. Biggest change will be what happens in Alberta and where those guys end up. Layoffs in oil industry, but still lots of construction work out there, but once those projects are completed there won't be another big project. There will be an influx of people here [Manitoba]. Contractors will be on work here and people will move. Ron noted that at a conference he just attended, the person for BuildForce presented and said it will be 3 years until Alberta rebounds. Oil won't be back at \$110/barrel but will only be \$80/barrel so there will not be the same pace of growth and demand.
R3-P143	4/22/2015	3)Does the construction industry in Manitoba regularly seek employees from outside the local project area or outside the province? If so, why? Are incentives given to these workers to come work in the project area/Manitoba?
R3-P144	5/1/2015	Called to confirm that the route does not cross his section. Manitoba hydro representative indicated the preferred route does not cross his property but is on the proeprty
		Winnipeg Regional Health Authority Key Topics: Current trends in Aboriginal health, potential impacts of project, and recommendations for maximizing benefits and minimizing adverse outcomes Notes/ Key Discussion/Questions: 1.Current trends in Aboriginal health •If you look at health (broadly defined), indicators would show rising rates of chronic disease, diabetes, hypertension and high cholesterol. Smoking rates are much higher compared to the general population; this is likely related to high rates of underlying stress and poverty, lower levels of education, and fewer job opportunities. The differential access to these determinants has negatively impacted Aboriginal populations. •Positive determinants of health include access to traditional land and participating in traditional activities, cultural events and ceremonies, which is occurring across the country and within these communities. The key thing to watch out for is in terms of potential land impacts in ways that may impact participation in these activities, e.g. ability to trap or collect medicines. 2.Health care provision and jurisdictional responsibility •It's variable depending on location and remoteness. In general, the province is responsible for any insured service (e.g. physician, hospital stays, medication while in hospital); the feds are responsible for anything that falls outside of that realm in terms of public health and noninsured health benefits: occupational therapy, physiotherapy, medical supplies, drugs, glasses, dental, dentures, etc. •The reason for this variability depends on how far a community is from these services; some may have physician services within the community while others may need to access services through the RHA. For example, Brokenhead has no physician in the community; all residents must go to a provincial site (although they would like to have physician services in their community). Peguis has a health centre in their community, but must access physician services in the town of Hodgson. These physicians are independently contracted through the Northern Medical Unit; their salaries are paid by Manitoba Health but all other expenses (e.g. travel, food, etc.) are paid by the federal government. Many communities would receive some funding from the feds but run services internally. 3.Data for Métis food security and traditional food consumption •If available, this data might be found in the Profile of Métis Health Status report by the Manitoba Centre for Health Policy.
R3-P145	3/30/2015	

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P145 (con't)	3/30/2015	<p>5. Experience with past projects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Not a lot of direct experience, though have engaged with communities concerned with changes to the fish population or mercury levels in relation to dams (not transmission lines). In general, these projects are not viewed positively by Aboriginal communities. The trade-off in jobs has never been as positive as they thought it would be in terms of balancing the negatives like reduced access to fishing or trap lines. <p>6. Positive and negative effects on Aboriginal heath and health care services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• In terms of health care services, not really much of an effect; there is not much new infrastructure, and the mobile population is not too large or intrusive. The one big impact is in terms of access to lands. Any project can have a potential impact on health if access to land is compromised. Unclear on the width of the path, or what the impact might be on trap lines or waterways. Are there medicines that communities won't be able to access?• If job creation occurs, the effects may be positive and negative. If currently unemployed, there may be benefits, but if means working away from family, it's not great...sort of a mixed bag in terms of effects. <p>7. Communities at risk</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Any community whose traditional territory is affected (occupied or not) may potentially be affected. Anything that can negatively impact the connection to the land has the potential to impact health. <p>8. Recommendations for maximizing benefits/minimizing adverse effects</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">• Limit the amount of territory that is impacted or displaced. If through other studies they find trap lines, ceremonial grounds, spots for fishing, etc., should try to avoid them as a way to minimize adverse effects.• When the right for communities to be self-determining and involved is respected, the [community engagement] process can be empowering and positively impacts community health as a whole. Long-term cooperation and opportunities to continually engage in conversation and decision-making can also positively impact health, as self-determination is an important determinant of health.• If possible, have job creation that is close to home.• Work with communities whose traditional land is impacted; provide restitution funding for medicinal gardens or to relocate ceremony grounds. Restitution should not just be financial but provide opportunities that enable the actual activities to continue. <p>9. Aboriginal Health Programs</p>
R3-P146	4/7/2015	<p>Prairie Mountain Health</p> <p>Key Topics Discussed:</p> <p>Current health care services, potential impacts to services, recommendations for minimizing adverse effects and maximizing benefits</p> <p>Notes/ Key Discussion/Questions:</p> <p>1.Current health care services</p> <ul style="list-style-type: none">•The Brandon Regional Health Centre (BRHC) services all of southwest Manitoba up to the Southern Health border in the east. Communities located near the Prairie Mountain Health (PMH)/Southern Health border, such as Treherne, might access services in Winnipeg, Brandon, or Boundary Trail [operated by Southern Health]. Some communities northeast of Brandon, such as Gladstone and MacGregor, would access health care services in Portage La Prairie or Winnipeg. Communities in the northernmost areas of the PMH region, such as Swan River, often refer patients to Winnipeg rather than Brandon. The community of Dawson [also in the north] has a semi-regional hospital with general surgery on-site. Most referrals are from communities in the southern parts of the Regional Health Authority rather than the north.•PMH has a small Aboriginal health program, although it is not as defined as it might be in larger centres. PMH has Aboriginal HR consultants on staff and provides some services on-reserve, including primary care.•The BRHC currently has 398 beds open and in operation. It has [X number] of surgical units with [X number] of beds, [X number] of ER units with [X number] of beds, and an Intensive Care Unit (ICU) with 10 beds. Currently the ER has [X visits per month/year/average]. Current hospital bed occupancy is between 80-90%.•The BRHC offers general surgery, all types of orthopedic surgery except pediatric, and all diagnostic services including X-rays, MRIs and CT scans. It does not offer brain or heart surgery.•Services are not typically provided by visiting physicians or specialists.•The BRHC is currently able to meet the current demand of the Brandon population.•There are no significant planned or predicted changes in the future that might impact health service capacity.•The BRHC employs [X number] of staff members. There are definitely staffing shortages, probably across all areas, including nursing, allied health, and physicians. There is no real reason for the shortage other than that it's rural Canada, and staffing shortages are an ongoing challenge in the health care industry. Don't see this changing in the short-term.•In terms of mental health services, the BRHC has an adult in-patient unit, a geriatric inpatient unit, and a child and adolescent treatment centre (i.e. outpatient crisis stabilization unit). PMH also offers outpatient community mental health services, such as counseling, across all age spectrums in Brandon.•As the BRHC has no cardiac unit or cardiac cath lab, patients requiring cardiac services would be sent to Winnipeg. Patients with brain or head trauma would also be sent to Winnipeg; although BRHC has two neurologists, they do not perform neurosurgery. Lastly, pediatric patients requiring surgery would be sent to Winnipeg.•People in the Glenboro area with a serious injury would go to the Glenboro Health Centre first, or the nearest open ER (as per policy); PMH has some unique shared on-call situations. The closest open ER might be Carberry (this is most usual, as Carberry shares on-call with Glenboro) or Killarney.
R3-P147	5/4/2015	<p>Landowner has property along the preferred route *QS Specified*. He called to let Manitoba Hydro know he has snad hill cranes, geese, swan and bald eagles on his property and has concern the preferred route could affect their habitat. the Manitoba Hydro representative thanked landowner for the information and indicated they would</p>

Manitoba Minnesota Transmission Line - Round 3 Phone Log

AECOM Identifier	Date and Time of Call	Message
R3-P148	5/5/2015	<p>Brandon Fire & Emergency Services (Note email)</p> <p>Key Topics Discussed:</p> <p>Confirmation of #'s on website, # of calls per year and list of vehicles</p> <p>Questions:</p> <p>I just wanted to confirm some information that was on your website:</p> <ol style="list-style-type: none">There are 72 staff total<ol style="list-style-type: none">Fire Chief2 Deputy Chiefs2 Training Officers4 Fire Inspectors2.5 Admin Staff60 Firefighters/paramedicsAreas served include:<ol style="list-style-type: none">City of BrandonCornwallisEltonOaklandWhiteheadAnd are part of the Grand Valley Mutual Aid DistrictTotal Calls – 4,840 in 2013.<ol style="list-style-type: none">3,700 ambulance483 alarms416 rescue MVC123 Fire (other)60 Investigation41 Other17 Fire (structure) <p>And here is a list of the vehicles we have:</p> <p>Quint 2 Pumpers Water Rescue Trailer Tech Rescue/Confined Space Trailer Zodiac Cougar (Brush Truck) Command (Quad cab 3/4 Ton) 3/4 Ton Utility Truck Rescue 4 Vans - Fire Prevention 1 - Chiefs Vehicle 4x4 Laredo 5 Ambulances</p>
R3-P148 (con't)	5/5/2015	
R3-P149	5/8/2015	Wanted to discuss Fire Guard 13 and whether MH is considering options in that area. Discussed the feedback received and the routing process. MH would like to know if there is any information the RM would like to provide regarding that area. Discussed that Route 207 is not being pursued and that options are being considered.
R3-P150	5/8/2015	Left message to discuss setting up a meeting.
R3-P151	5/8/2015	Caller called on behalf of his mother who has recently passed away. Owns 1/4 section *QS Specified*. concerns regarding wildlife on the property. He has seen blue heron, white trumpet swans and there is a nice pond that is feed by a creek. Caller indicated he will do a little research on the website and call back next week with further
R3-P152	5/15/2015	Caller called reagarding his deceased Mother's property: *QS Specified*. He is concerned about access managment for the property and would like to see the line moved further west to avoid his private property or would like to see the line include three extra angle towers to route the line around the property line of his property.
R3-P153	5/15/2015	Caller called to see if a legal land survery will be completed for the project. the Manitoba Hydro representative indicated that a legal land survey of private property would be

Appendix F

Route Modifications/Tower
Placements/Mitigation
Measures

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Landowner Form	MLO 700	x			Plan A: segment 207, Plan B: route between 207 and 208 to move away from the shelterbelt, Plan C: move line half-mile east to protect shelterbelt, Plan D: buyout move line 400m west.
Landowner Form	ALO 131	x		x	Plan A: consider route to Round 2 to the west of current location (see map); Plan B: move towers to *QS provided* as it is wet and not very productive; Plan C: land on quarter-section is all very similar, tower placement would not minimize impacts to land owner.
Stakeholder Meeting	RM of Tache		x		In the quarry that is located on *QS provided* in the RM of Tache he would like to see Manitoba Hydro place reflectors of some kind on the conductors themselves if possible. His concern is based on safety for those operating trucks that may be collecting gravel and come in contact with the conductor.
Stakeholder Meeting	RM of Tache		x		If Manitoba Hydro were to ever decommission the transmission line, he would like areas where there are gravel and sand (within the RM of Tache) and an easement exists that the easement be lifted as soon as possible to allow the RM to begin extracting gravel in immediately.
Landowner Form	ALO 052			x	Move line around owned quarter-sections, with buffer of one QS west and south.
Landowner Form	ALO 057	x	x		Prefer self-supporting towers. Calving Ridge
Landowner Form	ALO 097		x		Prefer self-supporting towers.
Landowner Form	MLO 2149		x	x	Towers should be placed so they match up with existing towers. Hydro could develop a better tower design to accommodate more lines on one tower.
Landowner Form	ALO 035	x		x	Two possible route modifications: 1 = north of property for ROW with no towers and 2 = south of propery for ROW with towers.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Landowner Form	ALO 084	x	x	x	Would prefer tower to be placed in marsh, south of ridge (less acute angle). Shift in ROW slightly to north-east of current ROW, to avoid ridgeline/heavy bush. No herbicide application.
Landowner Form	ALO 134	x	x		First Nation traditional medicinal and ceremonial harvesting occurring on this parcel, no route modification suggested but does not want line on property. No herbicide application.
Landowner Form	MLO 940	x		x	Three alternate routes suggested: A = southerly route most preferred, following existing gas corridor; B = extend line south slightly to eliminate one turning tower; C = diagonally cut across from one turn to the next to eliminate a turning tower.
Landowner Form	MLO 1934			x	Prefer to see towers on east side of river and west side of Turnbull Rd
Landowner Form	MLO 880	x			Route moved south to cross Hwy 75 straight across.
Landowner Form	MLO 1901	x	x		Use existing corridor to avoid clearing existing trees. Modification increases distance from property, moves south to cross Hwy 75 straight across.
Landowner Form	MLO 072, MLO 658			x	Would like to see towers placed beside D602F in ROW.
Landowner Form	MLO 1002			x	Tower spotting to accommodate general public access point.
Landowner Form	MLO 2106	x			Route moved south to cross Hwy 75 straight across.
Landowner Form	ALO 023	x		x	DATA ON IPAD(Global ID: {76072291-6A2D-4470-A4CF-340EA817D39C}). Prefer at least 1 mile east, review another SE alignment along north tree, north of subdivisions in La Broquerie. Tower placement on IPAD.
Landowner Form	MLO 243		x		Suggested trees on northern edge of property can be left intact.
Landowner Form	MLO 2147	x			Move line 1km further west (for a total of 2km west of property).
Landowner Form	MLO 255, MLO 343 and ALO 059	x		x	Prefer self-supporting towers as owner plans to develop land for agriculture. Prefer to avoid shelterbelt on west side of *QS provided*.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Landowner Form	ALO 079			x	Move tower slightly to west to avoid agricultural production.
Landowner Form	MLO 202		x		Would like to see MH implement a carbon offset program due to all the trees that would be cut down.
Landowner Form	MLO 165		x		Aesthetic mitigation to plant trees on eastern edge of property.
Hardcopy Comment Sheet	MLO 1002	x	x		Move route to north side of floodway away from homes in area.
Landowner Form	ALO 126	x	x		Move line quarter-section east of 302. A solid access-management plan is required to restrict/monitor access to ROW.
Landowner Form	ALO 113			x	Preferred tower placement for manure dragline.
Landowner Form	ALO 008		x		Buffer around creek required.
Landowner Form	MLO 018	x			Prefer route go further east in more natural land; why is the route not along the quarter line in *QS provided* (can it be moved south); see angular re-route on *QS provided*. DATA ON IPAD
Landowner Form	MLO 416	x			Move east-west portion slightly north, away from quarter-section boundary.
Landowner Form	ALO 031	x	x	x	Plan A: would prefer to see towers not go through any of the bush on the property, this is considered the most valuable to land owners; Plan B: route through crown land adjacent to the west side of the property. Avoid shelterbelt around river, indicated on map.
Landowner Form	ALO 016	x		x	Provided a routing adjustment, away from the farm house on still cultivated land but pasture on *QS provided*. Tower placement in lot spot close to Hwy 302.
Landowner Form	ALO 067	x	x		Review a modification with R49R (smaller ROW). Mitigation for fences and gates to restric access to pits on R49R.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Landowner Form	ALO 044	x	x		Would like land to be kept in current state as this was the reason for purchase. Would like to see a modification if possible.
Landowner Form	ALO 077	x			Preference to go back to original alternative to west.
Landowner Form	MLO 1386	x			Would prefer to see the line run on the north side of the (floodway/ROW/city? - left blank).
Landowner Form	ALO 087			x	Separate MMTP from R49R so he can get equipment and harrows in between.
Landowner Form	MLO 625			x	Want the towers lined up across the landscape (D602F, MMTP, Bipole III).
Landowner Form	ALO 072, MLO 254	x			Move line further from home, preferrably on the east side of existing line.
Landowner Form	ALO 133	x			Not on the property, move to parallel 230 kv line; move approx 2 miles further east through crown land; move through middle of 1/4 section to the east which is only pasture lands. Does not like the option of tower placement.
Landowner Form	ALO 038_a	x			Would like to see moved at least west side of existing as it was in Round 2.
Landowner Form	ALO 100	x	x		Would prefer if t-line was moved to the west, by gravel pit, approximately 1/2 mile. Please double circuit.
Landowner Form	ALO 032, MLO 177	x			Modification provided to move the line over to the next 1 mile road.
Landowner Form	MLO 692	x			Move line east of Ste Genevieve.
Landowner Form	MLO 714, MLO 713	x			Use existing Hydro corridors when possible.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Landowner Form	ALO 115	x			Prefer along road allowance versus across property.
Landowner Form	ALO 015	x			Would prefer to see a modification that would minimize potential 40 acres.
Landowner Form	ALO 007	x			Would prefer to see the line follow east of existing 230kv, to minimize impact for future subdivision.
Landowner Form / Ipad	ALO 107	x		x	R3-LF036S - Try to span tracks at less than 90 degrees to run diagonal. Place a tower on corner/edge of triange piece (X2). R3-LF006R - Route adjustment #1: would move PR to back of home- less impact on viewshed. Would be equal distance approximately 480 m between homes. Additionally, the homes on the east side of adjustment face east, therefore viewshed not as impacted. Route adjustment #2: Move line further west from home, may impact other. Route adjustment #3- Lessens impact on south side and impacts home owners to the southwest equally. Route adjustment #4 - add-on to #1. Would this lessen impact homes to the west. Route adjustment #1 is most preferred as it appears to have the most equal impact to landowners in the area. House and route adjustments identified on map. {5869A358-38B2-4B92-8FE9-2ACFC0515EB9} - Alignment would be preferred to allow for useable land and increase distance from home and would cross more bog than pasture.
Landowner Form	MLO 097			x	Lines of MMTP and M602F should be side by side, close together would be preferred.
Landowner Form	ALO 076, ALO 093	x			Move line east side off property line to allow for large equipment and avoid hand-spraying around towers.
Landowner Form	ALO 121	x			Prefers an option east to avoid losing any land, in order to maintain their cattle herd density. DATA ON IPAD (Global ID {3AF49B7E-D41A-4663-861C-73242513D4C6}))
Landowner Form	MLO 1686	x			Would prefer lines to be placed in northern corner [illegible].
Landowner Form	ALO 127		x		Interested in getting wood cleared from ROW.
Landowner Form	ALO 025, MLO 143			x	Preference to put towers as far south/north on property line, because view from house is northeast as indicated on map. Does not want on property but would prefer to stay on half-mile line instead of moving closer near their property.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Landowner Form	MLO 1739		x		Maintain as much of a tree buffer with their property and the ROW
Landowner Form	MLO 1441		x		Would like to see a buffer of trees to soften the view and would like to see MB Hydro provide a greenspace type of environment to soften the feel of the ROW (the MB Hydro ROW could connect to the TransCanada Trail which runs to Beaudry Park, off leash dog park)
Landowner Form	MLO 1532	x			Move new lines to northside of the ROW, west of LaVerendrye station, would be further from homes on the quarter-sections and assist with farming operation
Landowner Form	ALO 120	x			Existing line on property, would like to see MMTP line moved east or west of quarter-section. Avoids cutting property in two pieces.
Landowner Form	MLO 145		x		Potential mitigation to reduce impacts on aesthetics from line would be to plant trees on property edge (at least 6 foot trees would be better). approximately a 10 foot strip of grassland north of property (fence) that could accomodate trees.
Landowner Form	MLO 518			x	Placement of towers important for farming (wants to see side-by-side placement for multiple lines).
Landowner Form	ALO 038_b	x			ROW should be on other side of existing ROW.
Landowner Form / Phone Log / Email	ALO 086, ALO 074	x	x	x	R3-LF003A - Move line west, to other side of existing t-line but without unfairly affecting the neighboring lot. Remove the least amount of vegetation as possible. Place line and towers as far from the house as possible. R3-P030 - She provided 2 modifications in her area. Will submit changes in an email to MMTP regarding her modification preferences. Indicated that her preference is to have it back on the west side or to cross over the line to maximize separation between her home and her neighbour to the south. Indicated that it would be brought forward to the project team yet additional angle structures and criss crossing an existing line would not be viewed favorably from a technical design perspective. R3-E058 - Attached map suggests two modifications put forward to landowner. Blue Dot – Location of Residence Yellow Line – Preference for the line to remain on the western side of R49R Orange Line – Stay on the western side of R49R until past her property. Cross over R49R prior to her neighbor (to the south) to the west to maximize separation between both residences.
Hardcopy Comment Sheet	n/a	x			Suggests using electric cables underground
Hardcopy Comment Sheet	MLO 700	x	x		To mitigate "the swath cut along Gosselin Rd will be too wide a disconnect for many mammals to continue to cross from the riverway", white noise health concerns and property value: move line to the bush away from the road, thus creating habitat/clearing instead of just widening the roadway (also maintains shelterbelt), move the line 2 miles east
Online Comment Sheet	n/a	x	x		To mitigate obstructing viewshed, would ike to move line approx. 1000 feet southeast of proposed route towards floodway dike.
Online Comment Sheet	n/a	x	x		The route is beside land (floodway) that is public space; the route could be moved 200 ft further south
Online Comment Sheet	n/a	x			line crossing in front of house; would like line moved to the east side of province

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Online Comment Sheet	n/a	x	x		Route crossing their tree farm and concern for camping; use route 208
Online Comment Sheet	n/a	x	x		Concern - wildlife health and migration with cutlines; mitigate by moving corridor approx 300 m southeast of original location or to area beside COW tree nursery
Online Comment Sheet	n/a	x			proposed line going through marsh near property. Will disrupt ecosystem; does not want line there
Online Comment Sheet	n/a	x	x		Would like towers put up without destroying or clearing trees. Move the route to a more western route that is already open.
Hardcopy Comment Sheet	n/a		x		Suggest pre/post construction monitoring project with selected interested trappers; as previously suggested, a trapper workshop in fall 2015 in conjunction with Manitoba Trappers Association and local (southeastern) Wildlife Federation affiliates; suggest routing/construction activity notification to possibly *name provided* (fur harvester), North American fur auctions winnipeg and 4 wildlife federation locals in area of route; Stu supplied Trappers log book developed by MH.
Landowner Form	ALO 036	x			Route modification to move line off potential subdivisions
Phone Log	MLO 531; ALO 100	x			He requested a modification be considered at *QS provided* where 3 homes are now located in between both R49R and MMTP.
Phone Log	n/a		x		He requested that as we move forward he would like someone to come stake the location of the line so he can begin clearing the wooded area by himself as he is concerned about damages to the parcel.
Phone Log	MLO 003			x	Would prefer to see the towers in the same line as current towers on the property.
Phone Log	ALO 057	x			Hylife: the preferred route is right over top of their calving ridge. This area is extremely sensitive and they would prefer to see the line not cross the ridge.
Phone Log / Email	ALO 106	x			R3-LF006Z - Follow southern edge of WMA east for 1-2 QS, then south. Route along D602F. Emailed map to identify tower spotting. R3 -P039 - He indicated it was too close to his residence and that we should try and move the route more NE from current alignment to maximize separation. R3-E104 - Route modification attached to email.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Phone Log	-	x			He would prefer to see the route go 3km further south so it would not impact his view.
Phone Log	ALO 122	x			Landowner would like to see the preferred route moved to the west side of the current 230kv line so he could still build the home on his property.
Phone Log	ALO 120	x			Landowner indicated that if the preferred route were going to be on her property she would prefer it where it is now instead of on the west side of the 230kv line that is already crossing her property. One of the alternative routes in Round 2 was on the west side of the current 230kv on her property.
Phone Log	ALO 066			x	Indicated the best tower placement would be directly east of the home as the home as no east facing windows and the front of the home faces north.
Phone Log	ALO 042		x		Landowner wants to keep the lumber that is cleared from the RoW and also wanted to inform Manitoba Hydro he would like to see all the stumps removed from the RoW for a clean clear pathway on his property.
Stakeholder Meeting	Keystone Ag Producers		x		Consider three phase power and local distribution clearances as part of compensation.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
		x			
Stakeholder Meeting	ALO 052				The landowners described a potential route adjustment: Road allowance 2 miles from the edge of the management area that appears to potentially be a better spot to route the line with less impact.
		x			
Stakeholder Meeting	ALO 052				The landowners made a suggestion to move the line to run through *QS provided* which is on is crown land. Seems to be a trail right through this area. Suggestion to move line across this property.
		x			
Stakeholder Meeting	ALO 041				Owens 142 acres of *QS provided* and was held in pasture previously. Sand and Gravel deposits along the ridge that travels through the entire property. Current Preferred route located 1/3 mile through the property.
			x		
Stakeholder Meeting	Maple Leaf				Maple Leaf asked if they could suggest timing for construction (e.g., winter) and if there were restrictions for timing.
		x			
Stakeholder Meeting	Maple Leaf				The justification for the route mod is to move the preferred route from in between the two most sensitive barns to the west. There appear to be no major issues as it would all be crown land. The concern regarding the current preferred route is that there is a lot of movement between those two barns where the ROW would cut through causing major bio security issues. Also important to note is that they access those two barns from the south. They take a large berth around the barns to the west and access via the south to avoid any potential contamination from the numerous hog barns north of them.
		x			
Stakeholder Meeting	ALO 077				They would prefer not to see the line on their property but noted that if it were possible to shift slightly east and miximize distance from their home while not encroaching on their neighbours would be preferred.
		x			
Stakeholder Meeting	Pineland Colony				Modification to move line south within current QS. Will not split the ROW between two property owners and if moved will assist with drainage development plans.
				x	
iPad Data	-				Would not oppose having the angle structure located on their property.

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Phone & Email	ALO 138	x			ALO [138] suggested a route modification which was sent by email for confirmation on 02/04 at 12:15. TJ sketched the modification they were discussing over the phone and attached it to the email via a map.
Email	MLO 145		x		Provides information on where new trees could be planted to provide protection from the wind and a bit of a visual barrier between my property and the transmission lines. Would like to be involved in a planting design and the selection of a number of trees (of appreciable size, not seedlings) for this area.
Email	MLO 648	x			Route adjustment suggestion for *QS provided*. Map provided
Email	ALO 066			x	Would prefer tower placement directly east of their home. Map attached.
iPad Data	ALO 121	x			Would prefer to see the transmission line follow the existing transmission line. This would render the line to be in close proximity to the homes that re currently sandwiched between the two lines.
iPad Data	-	x			Route modification suggested by landowner. This will limit the impact from an agricultural and a visual concern.
iPad Data	ALO 080	x			Would prefer this alignment based on potential impact to operation. Would like to see this line follow the creek and have a tower in the swampy area.
iPad Data	ALO 035	x			Alignment would allow landowner to develop drains to highway 89
Landowner Form	R3-LF034L (ALO 078)	x		x	R3-LF034L (ALO 078) - Avoid treed areas on property to maintain park-like setting, move line to 1/4-section or place towers away from treed area. R3-CS004L (n/a) - Move line 1/2 mile further east near La Broquerie. R3-CS012L (n/a) - Would like the line moved 3 miles east, use other route (207).

Recommendations, Mitigation Measures and Tower Placement Recommendations Provided through all methods of Public Engagement

Method of Contact	ID# (MLO/ALO)	Type			Description
		Route Modification	Mitigation	Tower Spotting	
Landowner Form Landowner Form Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Online Comment Sheet Online Comment Sheet Stakeholder Meeting	R3-LF012L (MLO 108) R3-LF022L (MLO 614) R3-CS006L (n/a) R3-CS009L (n/a) R3-CS013L (n/a) R3-CS026L (n/a) R3-CS027L (n/a) R3-CS001D (n/a) 73924 (n/a) 76111 (n/a) MM - ALO 052 MM - RM of La Broquerie MM -RM of Piney MM - RM of Ste. Anne MM - RM of Ste. Anne MM - RM of La Broquerie MM - RM of Reynolds R3-E094 R3-E096 (MLO 258)	x			R3-LF012L (MLO 108) - Preference for segment 207, not adjacent to LaBroquerie. R3-LF022L (MLO 614) - Does not support segment through La Broquerie. R3-CS006L (n/a) - Line should be further east from LaBroquerie by at least 4-5 miles. R3-CS009L (n/a) - Move to inhabited areas 5 miles south. R3-CS013L (n/a) - Would like the line moved 3 miles east. To mitigate growth disorders in livestock industry, move line 6 miles east away from La Broquerie R3-CS026L (n/a) - To mitigate growth disorders in livestock industry, move line 6 miles east away from La Broquerie R3-CS027L (n/a) - Would like line close to fireguard #13 R3-CS001D (n/a) - Please use land further east into Sandilands 73924 (n/a) Cancer/EMF pollution concern, would like route to be moved east into non populated area 76111 (n/a) - Move like 2 miles east of school. MM ALO 053 - The landowners asked if fire guard 13 is being looked at for routing. MM RM of La Broquerie - The RM Council indicated there was no public support for segment 207 and cannot believe Manitoba Hydro would go against the clear direction of the community and not route the transmission line on the east side of the Watson P. Davidson Wildlife Management Area. MM RM of Piney - The RM Council indicated there was no public support for segment 207 and cannot believe Manitoba Hydro would go against the clear direction of the community and not route the transmission line on the east side of the Watson P. Davidson Wildlife Management Area. MM RM of Ste. Anne - RM Council does not understand the reasoning behind the choice of routing within close proximity to the Town of La Broquerie rather than the route on the east side of the Watson P. Davidson Wildlife Management Area. MM RM of Ste. Anne- The RM Council indicated the area south of Richer is the most unpalatable, as well as north of Richer. They would prefer to see Manitoba Hydro route the line down fireguard 13 all the way to the # 12 highway. This would make the most sense from our perspective. The RM Council indicated that the section of the route south of Richer would not have to be a huge adjustment; even 2km further east would be a huge adjustment for the region. Fireguard 13 needs to be reconsidered and the RM of Ste. Anne firmly believes Manitoba Hydro has dropped the ball on this project and will continue to be very displeased if nothing is changed. MM RM of La Broquerie - Petition recommending 207 vs. 208, signed by 200+ residents. MM RM of Reynolds - Letter stating RM preference to 207 route through the RM of Reynolds. R3-E094 - Ted Falk provided letter indicating preference over 207 to reduce impact on La Broquerie residents R3-E096 (MLO 258) - This route also cuts directly onto the corner air strip located in the curve south of richer on highway 302. There is also a sizeable recreational pilot group whom fly powered parachutes at much lower altitudes. Preference for 207.
Landowner Form Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet Hardcopy Comment Sheet	R3-LF013L (MLO 055) R3-CS014L (n/a) R3-CS016L (n/a) R3-CS022L (n/a) R3-CS002A (MLO 010) R3-CS003A (ALO 016)	x	x		R3-LF013L (MLO 055) - Jog line further to east. R3-CS014L (n/a) - To mitigate impact on property value, environment and health concerns, move line 5 km east of LaBroquerie R3-CS016L (n/a) - To mitigate health concern, would like line to more further away into the field R3-CS022L (n/a) - To mitigate health concerns, quality of life, would like line moved 6 miles east to fire guard #13 R3-CS002A (MLO 010) - Centennial Farm and beef concerns, concerned about development of La Broquerie , move line 1/4 mile east. Identify "as per map" in CS, however no map was provided" R3-CS003A (ALO 016) - Concerned about crop and beef herd and EMF, Centennial Farm: Move land 1/8 mile east; half of the land is used for pasture, the rest agriculture