

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young;
Fossil fuel extraction and use has enormous impact on climate change, which is clearly upon us.

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Hilary Strang
908 Dunsmuir Rd
Victoria, BC V9A 5C3
CA

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Beate Auburn
504 Tralee Cres
Delta BC, BC V4M 3R8
CA
6049434717

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Eve Normand
17 beech hill drive
Fall river, NS B2T1H7
CA

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

11:08 05/19/17 ET Pg 1-2

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Lise-Anne Coupal
671 TRUNK ROAD
DUNCAN, BC V912R3
CA
250-701-4228

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

2017-05-19 09:13

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

We are at a crucial juncture in time when our resources must be protected and the goals towards using more sustainable and renewable energy should be a globular concern!!

We are well aware of the resulting massive polluting greenhouse gasses that will be the pipelines causal effect!!

I live in the hope that we can continue to 'clean up our lands and environment' by choosing less environmentally dangerous emissions.

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction

and operation of power lines required for Energy East

- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Elaine Taylor
16481 84A Ave.,
Surrey, BC V4N 3G8
CA
778 595 5475

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

RECEIVED
MAY 19 2017
2017-05-19 10:03:15

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Carole Fournel
16 rue Lanthier
Gatineau, QC J8M1E4
CA

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

2017-05-19 10:57

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

johnny fisher
1562 Dougall Avenue
Windsor, ON N8X 1S1
CA
5199954463

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

1 12
11 107

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Mark Belanger
560 Poplar st
Nanaimo, BC V9S2H2
CA

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Yvette Fox
2344 spring meadow way
Oakville, ON L6M0B9
CA
9053302321

07-11-98

07-11-98

Project

to open
nmental A
anel for
4G) emiss
has incl

pipeline
our comm
ons of t
commitment

3 is cons

- ...G emissio
...in a wor
...reement to
...ects of t
...es, polic
...imate ta
...ions Cap
...availabil
...ts econo
...ted with
...

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Carmen Grant
389 ontario
Stratford, ON N5A 3H7
CA

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Received
11:19 05/19/17

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Thomas Reynolds
66 New Salem South Rd
Voorheesville, NY 12186
US
5187652685

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

2017-05-19 11:24

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Helen Maupin
120 Cauchon Street
Winnipeg, MB R3L 1X2
CA
2044770760

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

RECEIVED
MAY 19 2017

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

we need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Linda Marshall
19 Dickens Dr.
Kingston, ON K7M 2M5
CA
613-536-5312

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Judith Dunbar
2 Sugar Maple Lane
Wasaga Beach, ON L9Z1P6
CA
(705)-429-6490

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAIL ROOM
SARAH BELLINGER
2017 MAY 19 AM 9:36

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Robert Stuart
Apt 213, 2181 Avenue Road
Toronto, ON M5M 4B8
CA
416 486 9590

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAIL ROOM
SAINT-JEROME

2017 MAY 19 A 9:36

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Fred Briggs
3 Wardrobe Avenue
Stoney Creek, ON L8G 1R9
CA
905-662-4406

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAIL ROOM
SECRETARY'S OFFICE

2017 MAY 19 A 9:36

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

we need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

P. Jensen
2600 Assiniboine Crescent
Winnipeg, MB R3J 0B7
CA
2045820779

Ms. Shéri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAIL ROOM
SECRETARIAT
2017 MAY 19 A 9:30

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Byron Hosking
11720 Blakely Road
Pitt Meadows, BC V3Y 1H1
CA
6044653101

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAIL ROOM
SUNNYVALE COURIER
2017 MAY 19 A 9:30

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Peter Forte
3 Mill Street North, Unit B3A
Brampton, ON L6X 1S5
CA
905-799-8681

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAN ROON
SAI
2017 MAY 19 11:53:30

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Julie-Anne Le Gras
3668 Edgemont Blvd
North Vancouver, BC V7R 2P7
CA

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAIL ROOM
SECRETARIAT
2017 MAY 19 A 9 30

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

James Erhart
283 Kingsbridge Rd SE
Airdrie, AB T4A 0M4
CA
4032085505

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

MAIL ROOM
SARAH COURIER
2017 MAY 19 A 9:29

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

David Abbey
1212-121 clancy dricmve
Saskatoon, SK S7M5G9
CA
3064918291

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land, and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Niel Lambert

a

North Vancouver, BC A9A 9A9

CA

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Donna Stocker
2102 Highway 3
Cawston, BC V0X 1C1
CA
2504992371

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

2017
2017
4:25

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Orysia Dawydiak
 1666 Union Road
 Union Road, PE C1E 3B7
 CA
 9026723036

Ms. Sheri Young
Secretary of the Board
National Energy Board
Suite 210, 517 Tenth Avenue SW
Calgary, AB T2R 0A8

Attention: Sheri Young, Secretary of the Board

CC: Don Ferguson, Board Member
Carole Malo, Board Member
Marc Paquin, Board Member

RE: National Energy Board Ruling No.1
NEB File Of-Fac-Oil-E266-2014-01 02
Energy East Project, Asset Transfer and Eastern Mainline Project

Dear Ms. Young,

I applaud the decision by the Energy East hearing panel to open its proposals for the List of Issues and Scope of Factors for the Environmental Assessment to comment from the Canadian public. I also commend the panel for its proposal to consider the upstream and downstream greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions of Energy East, as well as the expanded List of Issues the panel has included in its draft.

I am deeply concerned about TransCanada's Energy East pipeline proposal to ship tar sands oil across Canada and the risks it brings to our communities, land and water. I am particularly concerned about the GHG emissions of the proposed pipeline and their implications for Canada's climate commitments and economy in a world that is dedicated to the Paris Agreement.

I urge the Panel to adopt the additional issues the NEB is considering including in its review of Energy East, particularly:

- The potential impact of Energy East on Canada's GHG emissions
- An assessment of the economic need for the project in a world where global demand for oil must decline in line with the Paris Agreement to limit global warming to well-below 2 degrees Celsius
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of the construction and operation of power lines required for Energy East
- The potential impacts that government GHG strategies, policies, laws and regulations (including the Paris Agreement, Canada's climate targets, the pan-Canadian climate framework, the Alberta Oil Sands Emissions Cap, and other provincial and federal climate plans) may have on the availability of oil supply and markets underpinning the need for the Project and its economic and financial considerations
- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil production from project operations (upstream emissions)

- Any changes to the amount of GHG emissions associated with increased oil consumption from project operations (downstream emissions)
- Third-party electricity generation required to power the proposed pump stations and other project components, and associated GHG emissions
- The potential environmental and socio-economic effects of changes to marine shipping resulting from Energy East, including the potential effects of those marine shipping activities on the marine and coastal environment in Canada and the potential effects of accidents and malfunctions, including credible worst-case spill scenarios

We need to speed up Canada's transition to a modern, clean energy economy, not deepen our dependency on fossil fuels by building tar sands pipelines like Energy East.

Again, I thank the NEB and the Energy East hearing panel for listening to the Expert Panel on Environmental Assessment Processes and the Expert Panel on NEB Modernization, and taking additional measures to include the Canadian public in NEB energy project reviews. I look forward to continuing to participate in good faith in the NEB's review of the proposed Energy East pipeline.

Sincerely,

Anita Leon
51 Briar Dale Blvd.
Toronto, ON M1N 1Z6
CA
4166989419